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## Summary of the thesis

This thesis is a descriptive grammar of the Wǎdū speech variety of Pǔmǐ, a TibetoBurman language of the Qiangic branch spoken on the border of Yúnnán and Sìchuān provinces in Southwest China. It is based on a large corpus of primary data collected during two fieldwork trips in 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 by the author, who is also a member of SIL East Asia Group. The thesis presents a phonological and grammatical description of the language, and includes three fully analysed interlinear texts in the appendix.
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## Abbreviations

| 1 | first person | EMPH | emphatic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | second person | EPIST | epistemic |
| 3 | third person | ESC | escaped information marker |
| ADD.FOC | additional focus | EXALT | exaltation |
| AGR | agreement marker | EXCL | exclusive |
| AGT | agentive | EXIST.AB | existential (abstract) |
| ALERT | alertive | EXIST.AN | existential (animate) |
| ATTENT | attention marker | EXIST.AT | existential (attached) |
| AUD | auditory | EXIST.H | existential (horizontal) |
| CASU | casual | EXIST.IN | existential (contained) |
| CERT | epistemic certainty | EXIST.POSS | existential (possessive) |
| Ch | Chinese loanword | EXP | experiential |
| CLF | classifier | EXPT | expectational |
| CMX | climax |  | FBB |


| N.EGO | non-egophoric | REP | repetition marker |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N.SG | non-singular | RHET | rhetorical question marker |
| NEG | negation | SG | singular |
| NMLZ | nominalizer | SIM | simultaneous action |
| OUT | outwards | SITU | situation marker |
| PART | partitive | SPEC | speculative |
| PFV | perfective | SVM | stative verb marker |
| PL | plural | T | Tibetan loanword |
| POL | polite | TB | Tibeto-Burman |
| POS | positive attitude marker | TOP | topic |
| PROH | prohibitive | TO.SP | to speaker |
| PROS | prospective | TRALL | trailing knowledge marker |
| PTB | proto-Tibeto-Burman | UND | undefined marker |
| PUZ | puzzlement marker | UP | upwards |
| Q | question marker | URG | urging marker |
| QUEST | question attitude marker | VOL | volitive |
| RECP | reciprocal | WARN | warning marker |
| REMIND | reminder marker | YN | Yǒngníng Na loanword |

## Symbols

$<\quad$ derived from
$>$ shows direction of development

- separates syllables within polymorphemic polysyllabic word
. separated syllables within polymorphemic monosyllabic word
* proto-form
\# indicates tone group boundary
? indicates a morpheme whose meaning is unclear or, when attached to a word, signals that the gloss of that word is tentative


## Chapter 1.

## Introduction

This thesis aims at describing the Wǎdū speech variety of Pǔmǐ 普米，a minority language spoken in the People＇s Republic of China．In this introductory chapter I will give an overview of the language and ethnic situation（§1．1），the sociolinguistic situation（§1．2），previous research（§1．3），genetic affiliation（§1．4），typological profile （§1．5），some cultural background（§1．6），fieldwork（§1．7）and the presentation of the grammar（§1．8）．

## 1．1 Language and ethnicity

The current grammar is based on the wétu ${ }^{1}$ speech variety of Pǔmǐ，spoken in Middle Wǎdū Village（中瓦都村），Yǒngníng Township（永宁乡），Nínglàng Yí Autonomous County（宁液彝族自治县），Northwestern Yúnnán Province．Middle Wǎdū Village is one of the four Pǔmǐ villages in the Wēnquán area．${ }^{2}$ The Pǔmǐ of this speech variety call themselves $t^{h}$ ólmə＇white people＇．The designation $t^{h}$＇ó＇white＇sets the Pǔmǐ apart from some surrounding ethnic groups whom they designate as $n^{j} \check{\mathscr{x}}$＇black＇：the gonn $n^{j} \check{x}$ ＇Nuòsū（Yí）彝＇（＇black skin’）and the $n^{j} æ m \check{~ ' N a ~(M o ́ s u o ̄) ~} ⿸ ⿸ 广 ⿰ 木 木 ⿱ ㇒ ⿻ 二 亅 ⿱ ㇒ ⿻ 二 亅 刂 ~(木 ⿳ 厶 八 夊 心 ' ~(' b l a c k ~ p e r s o n ’) . ~ T h r e e ~$ other ethnic groups that the Pǔmǐ in Wǎdū have a designation for are næŋxín＇Nàxī 纳西＇，qêmə＇Tibetan 藏＇3 and $\varphi \check{e}$ ‘Hàn Chinese 汉＇．${ }^{4}$ mô is the common Tibeto－Burman word for＇person＇．Exonyms include Ba in Tibetan，Na and Nàxī；Xīfān（西番）in Chinese historic writings ${ }^{5}$ ；and $\mathrm{Ozzu}^{6}$ in Nuòsū（Harrell 2001：195）．

[^0]The name 'Pǔmǐ' (普米) is the Chinese form of the autonym. This form has been used since the 1960s when Pǔmǐ were officially recognised as one of China's 56 ethnic groups in the nationalities classification project by the communist government. In this grammar I use the name 'Pǔmir' to denote the people and language in general, and the name 'Wǎdū Pǔmǐ' to denote the particular speech variety this grammar is based on. Through the work of linguist Picus Sīzhì Dīng, the linguistic world has also become familiar with the name Prinmi. This spelling is based on the autonym $\left[p^{\text {th }} \mathrm{in}^{55} \mathrm{mi}^{55}\right]$ in the Niúwōzǐ speech variety (Dīng 1998:1).

Pǔmǐ speakers are scattered throughout Northwestern Yúnnán and Southwestern Sìchuān provinces. According to the $6^{\text {th }}$ national population census conducted in 2010, the Pǔmǐ ethnic group counts 42,861 people. This figure only includes the Pǔmǐ living in Yúnnán Province. Due to historical reasons ${ }^{7}$, Pǔmǐ living in Sìchuān Province are all included in the Tibetan ethnic group, and thus in official censuses their number is included in the Tibetan ethnicity. It is therefore difficult to establish a precise figure and several estimates have been made: Stevan Harrell (2001) mentions 31,000; Lù Shàozūn (2001:1) mentions around 50,000 Pǔmǐ-speaking Tibetans, of which around 34,000 live in Mùlǐ Tibetan Autonomous County.

Figure 1.1 shows a map of the language area, with the towns and villages that are Pǔmǐ or have a considerable Pǔmǐ-speaking population in blue non-italics. The map does not show all the Pǔmǐ villages and hamlets, but only the ones that are mentioned in this grammar. Even so, this gives a rough indication of the extent of the area in which Purmí is spoken. The enlarged red square shows the research area with the villages that I visited during my fieldwork. Maps are drawn based on Google maps.

[^1]Figure 1.1. Map of the Pǔmǐ language area


Based on research done by Lù Shàozūn, Pǔmǐ is said to have two dialects: Northern Pǔmǐ and Southern Pǔmǐ. Northern Pǔmǐ is spoken in parts of Nínglàng County and Sìchuān and is internally less diverse than Southern Pǔmǐ. According to this dialectal division Wǎdū Pǔmǐ belongs to the Northern dialect group. More recently, Dīng (2012; 2014) has argued for three dialect groups: Northern, Central and Western, based on shared phonological innovations and retention of salient features. According to Dīng's grouping, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ belongs to the Northern dialect and the Niúwōzǐ speech variety
to the Central dialect．The speech varieties of Lánpíng belong to the Western dialect． In this grammar I will use the word dialect in the sense of the established dialects and the terms speech variety or variety for Pǔmǐ spoken in particular places．

## 1．2 Sociolinguistic situation

The sociolinguistic situation of Yǒngníng Township is typical of much of Southwest China，with several ethnic groups inhabiting the area：Na（Mósuō），Pǔmǐ，Hàn Chinese， Nuòsū（Yí），Nàxī̊，Tibetan ${ }^{9}$ ，and some occasional Bái or Huí traders that set up business in Yǒngníng．

The Na（Mósuō）and the Pǔmǐ are the dominant groups in Yǒngníng Township．They frequently intermarry and so a fair amount of Pǔmǐ speak or understand Yǒngníng Na to some degree．The reverse is not necessarily true．In Pǔmǐ villages closer to Lake Lúgū， Yǒngníng Na is spoken as the predominant language，but in the four Pǔmǐ villages in the Wēnquán area，Pǔmǐ is still the predominant language．

Relations with the more marginalised Nuòsū are not always friendly．Nuòsū villages tend to be located higher up the mountains，but recently many Nuòsū have bought land and settled in the valleys among the Pǔmǐ．This causes quite a bit of friction between the groups．Linguistically this means that more interaction takes place in local Chinese ${ }^{10}$ ．Some Pǔmǐ also speak Nuòsū，the main reason being the contact with Nuòsū classmates in primary or secondary school．

Contact with local Hàn Chinese is usually friendly．Wǎdū is sandwiched between two Hàn Chinese villages，and frequent contact with the Hàn has resulted in all people in Wǎdū being bilingual to some extent in the local dialect of Chinese．In general，of the four Pǔmǐ villages in the valley，the people in Wǎdū have greater proficiency in local Chinese，whereas the people in the other three villages have greater proficiency in Na ． More and more people are able to understand standard Chinese through the influence of TV and jobs in other parts of China．It can be observed that children who are attending school are starting to use Chinese among themselves when they play．

Chinese loanwords are prevalent，especially in the area of politics，technology and education，and code－switching into local Chinese can be observed frequently．This is

[^2]much more the case in Wǎdū than in Yǔchū and Jísū，where I did some research as well．In my database of around 4000 words，around 450 words are of Chinese origin．${ }^{11}$

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has also been deeply influenced by Tibetan，especially in the realm of religion．Given the prevalence of Tibetan vocabulary in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ，a systematic study of the layers of borrowing from Tibetan into Pǔmǐ would be extremely valuable，not only for historical linguistics，but also for the synchronic study of the language．This however，lies outside of the scope of the present thesis．An initial comparative list is given in Appendix B．

Apart from interaction with other ethnic groups，Pǔmǐ in Wǎdū have frequent interactions with Pǔmǐ speakers in Yījí Township（依吉乡，Mùlǐ Tibetan Autonomous County，Sìchuān）．Local oral history tells us that the Pǔmǐ in Wǎdū came down from Mùlǐ and blood relationships with the people that remained there go back a few centuries．

Not all Pǔmǐ speak their language now．Lù（1994）mentions that an average of $36 \%$ of the Pǔmǐ people in Yúnnán do not use Pǔmǐ，but have switched to Chinese or other minority languages（such as Lìsù and Bái）．Of the ethnically Pǔmǐ Tibetans in Sìchuān almost all still speak Pǔmǐ．In Lù 2002，Lù Shàozūn gives a number of 9,460 people that still speak the Southern dialect and 32,300 people that still speak the Northern dialect（of which 26,700 are ethnically Tibetan）．Harrell（2001：195）mentions that the language is widely spoken in Mùlǐ County，and also in a few pockets in Yányuán County． People in the Yǒngníng area often tell me that Pǔmǐ is a difficult language to learn and that whereas Pǔmǐ speakers are able to speak other languages，not many people from other ethnic groups speak Pǔmǐ．This，however，is not the case in Mùlǐ．Anecdotal evidence suggests that in many places in Mùľ，Pǔmǐ is the dominant language and is spoken by people of other ethnic groups，including local Hàn，Kham Tibetan and Xùmǐ．

## 1．3 Previous research

The first linguistic work on the Pǔmǐ language was conducted as part of the ethnic classification project in the 1950s and 1960s by Sūn Hóngkāi and Lù Shàozūn． Additional research was done in the 1980s．The results were published in Lù＇s 1983 A brief description of the Pǔmǐ language and his 2001 A study of Pǔmǐ dialects．Additional Pǔmǐ basic vocabulary and segmental phonology from these early investigations can

[^3]be found in several works: Sūn Hóngkāi et al. (1991) Tibeto-Burman phonology and lexicon; Huáng Bùfán (1991), and Dài Qìngxià and Huáng Bùfán (1992) A TibetoBurman lexicon.

The years 1997 and 1998 saw the appearance of several important studies on Pǔmǐ: James A. Matisoff, who had the chance to work on Dàyáng Pǔmǐ in Kunming with Hé Shùkāi, ${ }^{12}$ published a detailed study on the phonology of that speech variety (Matisoff 1997). ${ }^{13}$ Fù Àilán finished her in-depth Ph.D. description on the verbal system of Dàyáng Pǔmǐ. She published several other articles (Fù 1996, 1999, 2000) and worked (among others) with Hé Xiàngdōng, a Pǔmǐ scholar. And last but not least, Picus Dīng wrote a descriptive grammar of Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ, to date the most comprehensive study on any Pǔmǐ speech variety (Dīng 1998).

The main scholar currently working on Pǔmǐ among Chinese-speaking academics is Jiǎng Yǐng. She recently published articles on classifiers (2008), verb suffixes (2009), semantic role particles (2010), a controllable auxiliary (2012a) and double consonants (2012b).

The main scholar working on Pǔmǐ among the English speaking academic community is Picus Sīzhì Dīng. Starting with his Ph.D. thesis on the Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ speech variety, he has continued working and publishing on Pǔmǐ. The reader is referred to his work on the grammar (1998), topic-comment constructions (2000), pitch-accent (2001), a sketch (2003), language modernization (2005), tonal comparison with Japanese (2006), language modernization (2007a), the use of perception tests (2007b), and rhoticization (2010). His grammar of Prinmi based on the Central dialect has just been published (2014). Using Dīng's data, Marcus Greif (2010) published an article on the tones and intonation of Prinmi.

As part of the research project 'What defines Qiāng-ness: Towards a phylogenetic assessment of the Southern Qiangic languages of Mùlǐ', Guillaume Jacques' (2011a, 2011c) published two articles on Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ aspirated fricatives and tonal alternation. These are two of the few studies published on a Pǔmǐ speech variety spoken in Sìchuān.

The existence of descriptions of different Pǔmǐ speech varieties is extremely valuable, especially in an area where many languages are underdescribed. My hope is that the current study will contribute to further understanding of the language and dialectal comparison and lead to a deepening insight into Pǔmǐ as a whole and its relationship to other languages in the area.

[^4]
### 1.4 Genetic affiliation

It has generally been assumed that Pǔmǐ is a language of the Qiangic group of the Tibeto-Burman language family (Sūn 1983; Matisoff 1991; Bradley 1997, 2002; Thurgood 2003; LaPolla 2003a, 2005). Bradley (1997, 2002) includes Qiangic in the Northeastern branch of Tibeto-Burman, see Figure 1.2. His 'core Qiangic' includes Báimǎ, Qiāng, rGyalrong (Jiāróng), Guìqióng, Zhābā, Quèyù, Ěrgōng, Mùyǎ, Ěrsū, Xùmǐ (Shǐxīng), Nàmùyī, and the extinct Tangut. Other languages in the branch are Nàxī, Na, Tǔjiā and Bái. More recently, Bradley (p.c.) distinguishes a Naish group: Nàxī, Na, Nàmùyī, Xùmǐ (see Michaud, Hé and Zhōng forthcoming ${ }^{14}$ ), a rGyalrong group (including Tangut and Lavrung), and a residual group (Pǔmǐ, Ěrsū, Guìqióng, Quèyù, Mùyǎ, Ěrgōng, Qiāng, Zhābā). Báimǎ is considered a Tibetan dialect.

Figure 1.2. Tibeto-Burman (adapted from Bradley 2002:75)


The exact makeup of the Qiangic group is still unclear, the main reason being the sparsity of description of many of the languages. Note that while Bradley (2002) and Sūn (2001) include the rGyalrongic languages as part of Qiangic, several other linguists such as LaPolla (2003a:30) and Thurgood (Thurgood 2003:14) view the rGyalrongic languages (i.e. rGyalrong proper, Lavrung, Ěrgōng) as part of the Rung branch including Kiranti, Kham, Western Himalayan, Dulong, Anong, Rawang). rGyalrongic was established as a subgroup by Jackson Sūn (2000a, 2000b).

Sūn Hóngkāi (2001) divides the Qiangic languages into Southern Qiangic and Northern Qiangic. According to this classification, Pǔmǐ belongs to Northern Qiangic. It is most

[^5]closely related to Qiāng (LaPolla, p.c.). The subclassification of Qiangic proposed by Sūn is displayed in Figure 1.3. Another subclassification is that of Jacques and Michaud who propose a Na-Qiangic branch as shown in Figure 1.4.

Figure 1.3. The Qiangic subgroup (adapted from Sūn 2001:160)


Figure 1.4. The Na-Qiangic group (from Jacques and Michaud 2011:6)


Since its naming by Sūn Hóngkāi in 1983, the genetic relationship between the members of the Qiangic group has been controversial. Grouping has mostly been based
on typological features, such as the presence of word-tone and directional prefixes, rather than shared innovations (although Matisoff (2004) has proposed 'brightening', i.e. *a>i, as a shared innovation for the Qiangic group). ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~A}$ recent discussion of the Qiangic theory is Chirkova 2010. Her conclusion is that Qiangic should be seen as a linguistic area, rather than a genetic subgroup, since many of the typological similarities that are considered 'Qiangic' are also shared with non-Qiangic languages in the area. Also, massive language restructuring can be observed in the non-Qiangic languages in the area such as Chinese and Tibetan, which points to active language convergence. Much more research needs to be done to clarify the exact genetic relationships.

### 1.5 Typological profile

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ straddles somewhere between agglutinating and analytical, with a few affixes and multiple clitics. Consonants can be divided into two classes based on their ability to occur with palatalization. Several phonological processes happen with the palatal-group consonants (see §2.1.7).

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows certain features that are said to be indicative for membership in the Qiangic group (see Sūn 2001). It has a large consonant and vowel inventory which includes a set of phonemic uvular stops. Uvular phonemes have not been attested for any of the other northern Purmǐ speech varieties. It has no consonantal codas. It is tonal. A three-way distinction of singular, dual and plural can be observed in nouns. Pronouns show inclusive and exclusive dual and plural forms. Reduplication is an important means for word formation, and is also used to form reciprocal verb forms. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has numeral classifiers, several existential (locative) verbs, and directional verbal prefixes.

Some of the features reported for the Qiangic group are not present. No extensive vowel harmony has been attested, and most of the consonant clusters have disappeared. No contrast between prenasalised and plain initials has been observed. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ does not show person and number agreement in verbs as some of the other Pǔmǐ speech varieties do (see Daudey 2014), but rather an egophoric/non-egophoric system.

Typological features ${ }^{16}$ that are also found in other languages in the linguistic area include a separate perfective verb stem for controllable verbs, evidential marking, several nominalizers, a semantic role marking system that is pragmatically conditioned,

[^6]and a template word-tone system: a system of culminative tone in which one lexical tone is assigned to a prosodic word.

### 1.6 The people and their culture

Wǎdū is located in a high-altitude basin at an altitude of 2700 m . The climate is wet in summer and dry and cold with intense sun in winter. The Pǔmǐ in Wǎdū are mainly subsistence farmers. Staples include Himalayan red rice, ${ }^{17}$ oats, maize, potatoes and some wheat, (highland) barley and buckwheat. Other things that are grown include cabbage, radish, turnip, squash, beans, apples, pears, peaches, walnuts, sunflower seeds and prickly ash (Sìchuān pepper).

Animal husbandry includes goats, cows, an ox for plowing, a few horses or mules, pigs (including the pigs that are fattened for slaughter and are usually kept in their pens) and chickens. In recent years, water buffaloes have been introduced for plowing.

A big part of the produce is fed to the pigs who perform an important role in Pǔmir society. Fattened pigs are slaughtered around Pǔmǐ New Year every year and turned into the traditional cured pork back. When cured well, pork backs can be kept for years and their size and quantity is a sign of the relative wealth of a household. Pork provides an important source of nutrition, and different parts of the pig ( $t \epsilon^{h} w \nsim-k^{h} W e-t \epsilon^{h} W \hat{\mathcal{E}}-J^{j} \boldsymbol{v}$
 limbs') are eaten as traditional food during festivals or served as delicacies to guests. Pork backs (or slices of pork back) form part of the traditional wedding and funeral gifts.

Grains (barley, highland barley, millet, maize, buckwheat) are used to brew $t^{h} w \hat{1}$, a type of home-brewed alcohol. Oats are ground into flour that is traditionally eaten with salty black tea in summer and butter tea in winter. Brick tea from Dàlǐ forms the basis for butter tea.

Many young Pǔmǐ have left the village to look for work in towns and big cities. Young women often end up in shops and cafes in Lìjiāng; young men often end up on building sites or factories in many parts of China. Before opportunities to work outside were available, trade played an important part in the area. Local mule caravans would travel between areas in Mùlǐ and towns such as Yǒngníng, Lìjiāng and Zhōngdiàn. Nowadays, mule caravans are used to a lesser extent for trading walnuts, prickly ash (Sìchuān pepper) and pig bristles among other things. Another source of income in the recent past was taking trips to the mountains in search of mushrooms and medicinal plants that would then be sold. With the growing opportunity to make money in the cities, this has become infrequent.

[^7]Traditional crafts include weaving baskets and weaving fabric．The weaving of garments would be done with a mixture of hemp and the soft fibers taken from the leaves of $p i ́$ ，a type of plant that grows at high altitudes．${ }^{18}$ The hemp was used for its strength；pí was used for its softness and warmth．Wool was also woven into blankets． Nowadays weaving is mostly done for a Na middleman to supply hand－woven＇Mósuō’ shawls for the tourist shops around Lake Lúgū and in Lìjiāng．

The household is the basic economic unit．Households consist of a couple with their children and the husband＇s parents in case of marriage，and of a brother，his sisters and his sister＇s children in the case of＇walking marriage＇（zǒuhhūn 走婚 in Chinese）．The latter marriage custom in which both the woman and the man stay in their respective households and the husband visits his wife only for a night or several days at a time， is also practised by the Na．Among the Pǔmǐ，this occurs only in the Lake Lúgū area． Children from those marriages are raised in the mother＇s household．In Yǔchū（and several other villages in Mùľ̌ Tibetan Autonomous County）polyandry and polygyny is practised in addition to monogamy，but the multiple wives or husbands need to be siblings．As a result of the various types of marriage，extended households are quite common．

Just like the Pǔmǐ－speaking Tibetans in Mùlǐ Tibetan Autonomous County，the Pǔmǐ in Wǎdū adhere to Tibetan Buddhism．Religious rituals，like funerals，that were conducted by shamans in the past ${ }^{19}$ are now conducted by Buddhist monks．Many families choose to send one of their sons to a monastery to become a monk．This is considered to be an honor for the family．Every household in the village has a shrine on the local mountain where incense is burned every morning and protection of the household and animals is prayed for．At Pǔmǐ New Year，the whole village burns incense to the mountain god （zotsên zədá＜Tibetan ？gzhi．bdag＇protector of the place＇）and liquor．Based on the twelve－year zodiac calendar，auspicious days are calculated for traveling，planting and harvesting，building houses，weddings and funerals．Several bird omens are observed， such as the arrival of the cuckoo at the beginning of the planting season．

In Wǎdū，houses are traditionally built with logs，but in recent years people have started building houses with packed earthern walls，similar to the Tibetan houses in Zhōngdiàn．Houses are built around a central courtyard and consist of separate buildings．The main building contains the hearth room and a side room，and is located up the valley（upriver）from the courtyard．The other buildings contain a shrine－room，

[^8]bedrooms，storage space and animal pens．The shrine－room is located uphill from the courtyard．

The hearth room is the central room of the house and its special features include the ké．$i$ itæ $\eta$（a central pillar，also referred to as the tsón $g æ k^{h} W \hat{e}$＇heart of the house＇），the Cíntwe（a cooking tripod with the main altar beside it），the $\phi \hat{x} \eta$（a raised platform with an additional cooking tripod and a smaller altar），and the $t s^{h} u b u l i ̌$（a wooden shielding device attached to the raised platform on the side of the door that was used in the past for shielding the people in the house from enemy＇s arrows）．The hearth room is the site of daily rituals，such as libation that is poured out to the ancestors on the cooking tripod at every meal．

At the beginning of the twentieth century，Joseph Rock traveled extensively through the area and published his experiences with the Pǔmǐ and other ethnic groups in several National Geographic articles（see for example Rock 1925）．Apart from Chinese sources on Pǔmǐ culture（for example Hú 2002），two anthropologists that have published on Pǔmǐ are Koen Wellens $(1998,2010)$ and to a lesser extent Stevan Harrell $(2001)$.

## 1．7 Fieldwork and language documentation

My initial fieldwork was conducted between the end of July 2010 and mid－February 2011，partly in jîtsts（Yǔchū 雨初，Mùlǐ Tibetan Autonomous County，Sìchuān）and partly in Wǎdū．The miraculous permission to live in a Pǔmǐ－speaking Tibetan village was a great opportunity to collect data from one of the lesser known Pǔmǐ varieties of Mùlĭ．Halfway through the first fieldwork trip，however，I decided to switch to the Wǎdū speech variety．This is the variety spoken by my co－researcher and main consultant Gerong Pincuo（Pǔmǐ kéjzon p $p^{h}$ ints $^{h} u<$ Tibetan skal．bzang phun．tshogs）${ }^{20}$ ， whose knowledge of his language and previous training in IPA helped us to process much more language data in a shorter amount of time．During the first fieldwork trip I spent close to two months in Yǔchū and almost three months in Wǎdū．At the invitation of a shaman from dzêsu（Jísū 吉苏，Mùlǐ Tibetan Autonomous County， Sìchuān）whom I met on the road to Yǔchū，I also visited that village for a few days on two different occasions（see Figure 1.1 for the exact locations of these villages）．

The second fieldwork was conducted between the end of November 2011 and mid－ June 2012，and was spent mainly in Wǎdū till the end of February（with a few days in Jísū and Yǔchū in December）；and from the end of February till mid－June in Lìjiāng．

In Wǎdū we recorded and analysed a total of 25 conversations amounting to three and a quarter hours； 24 narrative texts（personal experiences，procedural texts，folktales） by six different speakers（three women and three men）amounting to close to two hours；

[^9]riddles, and several ritual texts (a blessing, a genealogy, two libation chants, and a ritual of expelling ghosts). Additionally my co-researcher wrote five short texts. All texts (except for some of the ritual texts) were transcribed, translated into Chinese and English, analysed and entered into FieldWorks Language Explorer (FLEx). The thesis is based on these texts and conversations. A list is given in Appendix C. Some example texts are given in Appendix D. The data will be properly archived following the submission of this thesis.

During the time in Yǔchū we recorded a wordlist, several conversations of varying length, riddles, 33 texts (traditional, procedural, historical and exhortational) by four different men and a woman, and a ritual chant by a shaman. The texts add up to over five hours of recording. The wordlist and ten of the stories have been transcribed so far, and my co-researcher Gerong Pincuo is in the process of transcribing the rest of the data for future research. In Jísū we recorded four traditional songs sung by a woman, and a story and a ritual chant told and performed by the shaman we visited.

During the first fieldwork trip we used Olympus LS-10 and Edirol R-09 recorders and a Røde NT3 condenser microphone; during the second fieldwork trip we used Zoom H4n and Edirol R-09 recorders and a Røde M3 condenser microphone.

In addition to the linguistic data we collected, we also filmed several cultural events, such as the corn harvest, the making of pork backs, the celebration of New Year, and the feeding of mules in winter. During the first fieldwork trip a Canon FS11 was used to film; during the second a Panasonic HDC-TM700 was used. The footage of the corn harvest was made into a $20-\mathrm{min}$ long documentary with Pǔmǐ narration and Pǔmǐ traditional songs by Gerong Pincuo. We gave a copy to every household in Wǎdū and on request to some Purmǐ households in other areas. The effect of the film was immediate: during the New Year celebrations following the distribution of the film, the two songs featured on the documentary were sung everywhere. Since my return to the university Gerong Pincuo has continued to film cultural events.

My history as a researcher has been recorded in this data. The topics of conversation weave through life, and with as much ease my life is woven in. References to my family, my trip back to the university, and my attempts to speak Pǔmǐ are all documented for history.

One conversation gives an unexpected insight into language documentation and what my host family understands of it. Following is an excerpt of this conversation. It was recorded by a group of old ladies when I was not present. Speaker Y is a member of my host family and N a member of my extended host family. Speaker L is a member of their clan.

L: ná $=\mathrm{m}$ = $=\mathrm{dè}=$ sà $\quad$ qǔ $\quad \mathrm{mà}=q \varepsilon ́ j \quad$ bǎ.
thus $=$ NMLZ $=$ insignificant $=$ CONTR.TOP need NEG $=$ EXPT SPEC
'(...) an insignificant one like this is maybe not needed.' (CV21.455)
Y: quà = qéj nǐy, ìy = ұǽ nǒy thóறmá-lì = gæ̀ míy dzá = gà
need $=$ EXPT INTJ 1:INCL $=$ PL:GEN so Pǔmǐ-language $=$ GEN what be $=$ DEF
$q^{h} \mathbf{u}=d$ ǎw.
need $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'It will be needed, mind you, whatever of our Pumi language (material) will all be needed.' (CV21.456)

Pǔmǐ $=$ GEN every need $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'Everything of Pumi is needed.' (CV21.457)

### 1.8 Presentation of the grammar:

### 1.8.1 Orthography

In this grammar I have chosen to use a practical phonemic representation of the language generally following the IPA guidelines. An exception to this is the use of a syllable-final $\eta$, which represents a nasalised vowel (§2.2.2) rather than a real nasal consonant ending, to prevent confusion with diacritic tone marking.

The use of a phonemic representation instead of an orthography is done for several reasons. In the language area where I conducted my fieldwork, no official orthography has been implemented yet. Often the tendency for linguists has been to analyse the phonology of the language, devise an orthography and present it to the speakers of the language, in the hope that they will start to use it. That speakers do not automatically start to use an orthography is clear from an honest account by Dīng in his paper on promoting Pǔmǐ language development (2005:24). My conviction is that the development of an orthography needs to be a community-based project rather than the job of a single linguist, and I hope that the community efforts that are already happening in the area can be expanded in the future. In addition, the script that is preferred by the Pǔmǐ speakers I have talked to is Tibetan script, which is not conducive for readers not familiar with it. Since this grammar is written mainly for an international linguistic audience, ${ }^{21} \mathrm{I}$ felt it would be most appropriate to use a phonemic IPA representation.

[^10]Tone is represented by diacritics over the vowel: high tone with an acute accent (á), low tone with a grave accent (à), rising tone with a háček (ă) and falling tone with a circumflex (â) (see also Chapter 3, Table 3.1). The Wǎdū Pǔmǐ lexemes listed in the glossary, quoted in the prose of the thesis (in italics), or given as phonemic (in slashes), are all marked for underlying (phonemic) tone; IPA forms quoted in square brackets are marked for surface tone. For the benefit of the reader who would like to read out the example sentences, or would like to see the actual surface realization of tone, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ example sentences are all marked for surface tone. In the texts at the end of the grammar, both surface tone as well as underlying tone will be shown.

In this grammar, Pǔmǐ place names are given in Chinese pinyin. The presentation of other linguists' transcriptions is given in IPA. In most cases, I have converted the tone transcription to my way of marking tone, in order to enable easy comparison. For Fù, Lù, Matisoff and Gerong Pincuo the tone transcription represents the surface tone. In Dīng's data the tone transcription generally represents phonemic tone.

Loanwords are given in their IPA transcription ${ }^{22}$ and indicated as loanwords in the glosses by Ch:, YN:, NS: and T: before the gloss (for Chinese, Yǒngníng Na (Mósuō), Nuòsū or Tibetan loanwords respectively). Where possible, the Tibetan source of a loan is also presented using Wylie's (1959) system of transcription.

### 1.8.2 Examples and numbering

Most of the examples used in this grammar are taken from the sizeable corpus of natural data described in §1.7. Some elicited examples are used as well. Much of the elicitation was done while analysing the corpus, and thus relates directly to the background of the text. The abbreviations following the various examples throughout the thesis correspond to the numbering of the interlinear texts. For example, '(CV21.512.3)' indicates that it was taken from the $21^{\text {st }}$ conversation (CV) in the corpus and the $512^{\text {th }}$ line of the conversation. The last digit ' 3 ' indicates that it is the third utterance in the turn of the current speaker. Numbering is done according to the FLEx database. For monologues, other initial capitals refer to particular narrators. When the example is taken from a written text or a text that has been edited by my coresearcher, ${ }^{23}$ this is indicated by a lower case ' $w$ ' or 'ed' after the text reference number, for example '(PC06w.3)' and '(TC01ed.1)'. 'EL' indicates that the example was elicited out of context and 'EL' following an abbreviation indicates that the example was either proffered by my main consultant or elicited in the context of analysis of the text line

[^11]indicated by the abbreviation, for example '(CV21.512.3EL)'. Abbreviations that start with 'EL' and are followed by another abbreviation refer to elicited examples taken from my fieldnotes; abbreviations without the addition 'EL' that are not found in the interlinear texts correspond to speech that occurred naturally as recorded in my fieldnotes.

Sometimes examples have been edited by me for brevity or clarity. When only part of the utterance is given, this will be shown in the English translation by '(...)', as in example (515). When something has been edited out in the middle of the Pǔmí utterance in order to make the example better processable for the reader, this will be shown in the Pǔmǐ line of the interlinear by '(...)', as in example (512).

Content in single brackets '( )' in the Pǔmǐ line of interlinear examples indicates what my main consultant proposed to delete, for example (45); content in double brackets '(( ))' indicates what my main consultant proposed to insert, for example '( ( $\left.\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}\right)$ )' in (709).

Content shown in single brackets in the English translation is not present in the Pǔmǐ, but added for clarity.

## Chapter 2.

## Segmental phonology

This chapter deals with Wǎdū Pǔmǐ segmental phonology. Consonants include stops (§2.1.1), affricates (§2.1.2), fricatives (§2.1.3), nasals (§2.1.4), liquids (§2.1.5) and approximants ( $\$ 2.1 .6$ ). Consonant grouping based on palatalization is discussed in §2.1.7. Vowels include oral (§2.2.1) and nasal vowels (§2.2.2). Syllable structure is treated in §2.3. Phonological processes include vowel harmony (§2.4.1), consonant lenition (§2.4.2), voicing and aspiration change (§2.4.3), vowel reduction (§2.4.4), retroflex and alveopalatal alternation (§2.4.5), nasalization (§2.4.6) and glide alternation (§2.4.7).

### 2.1 Consonants

The Wǎdū Pǔmǐ consonants are presented in Table 2.1. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has 42 consonants, and distinguishes seven places of articulation: bilabial, alveolar, retroflex, alveopalatal, velar, uvular and cavity. Based on their manner of articulation, the different consonants can be divided into stops, affricates, fricatives, nasals, liquids and approximants. All consonants can occur as the onset of a syllable. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ also has 31 consonant clusters and 9 palatalised consonants that will be treated in §2.1.6.

The stops are discussed in §2.1.1, the affricates in §2.1.2, the fricatives in §2.1.3, the nasals in §2.1.4, the liquids in §2.1.5 and the approximants in §2.1.6. In §2.1.7 the issue of consonant grouping is discussed.

### 2.1.1 Stops

In terms of voicing, stops present a three-way contrast: voiceless unaspirated, voiceless aspirated and voiced. The only exception is the uvular stop series that present a twoway distinction, i.e. voiceless unaspirated and aspirated. Stops distinguish five different places of articulation: bilabial, alveolar, retroflex, velar and uvular. A glottal stop is automatic in syllable-initial position when there is no other consonant (§2.3). Prevoicing of voiced stops and affricates is the main auditory clue to distinguish them from voiceless stops and affricates. The duration of the prevoicing can vary from around 100 ms to over 200 ms , as is shown in Figure 2.1 for the words, $g$ y̌̌ 'to exchange', dztúto grind' and $q \ddot{t}$ 'to write'.

Figure 2.1. Prevoicing of stops


Table 2.1 Wǎdū Pǔmǐ consonant phonemes


Near minimal pairs for all the stops are given below.
(1) /pě/ 'tsampa flour'
$/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} / \quad$ 'to vomit'
/bě/ '(high altitude) leech’
(2) /te-/ 'one' (in numeral classifier compound)
$/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h} \mathrm{e}}$-/ 'from speaker' (directional verbal prefix)
/dé/ 'to cling'
(3) /té/ 'falsehood'
$/ \mathrm{t}^{\text {he }} /$ 'to push'
/dě/ 'to be capable'
(4) /kě/ 'to be tough'
$/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ek}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} /$ 'to separate'
/gêdžon/ 'grotto'
/qě/ 'strength'
/qhé/ 'emperor'
The retroflex stops and their development from older consonant clusters are discussed in §2.1.1.1. The uvular stop phonemes are discussed in §2.1.1.2.

### 2.1.1.1 Retroflex stops and consonant clusters

The southern Pǔmǐ speech varieties spoken in Qìnghuā, Lǔdiàn, Xīnyíngpán and Dàyáng have extensive consonant clusters (see Lù 1983:8; 2001:15-17, 28-29, 36-37 and Matisoff 1997:175-176), that can be divided into two types: a stop or nasal followed by a fricative, or a fricative followed by a stop or affricate. The first type of cluster seems to be more resistant to change, whereas the second type has mostly lost its initial fricative. Qìnghuā seems to be the most conservative variety in terms of its consonant clusters. Both the first and the second type of clusters are still present (Lù 2001:15-17). In Lǔdiàn, the first type of cluster is still used (bilabials or velars followed by a retroflex fricative), while the second type of cluster is almost lost. According to Lù (2001:28-29) there are only a few people left who use a homorganic nasal plus stop or affricate cluster, and there are still some old people who use fricative plus stop clusters, but younger speakers have lost the first segment. In Xīnyíngpán, the first type of cluster is still present (bilabials or velars followed by a retroflex fricative), although Lù notes that the pronunciation is closer to a simple retroflex fricative (Lù 2001:37). The second type of cluster is only used by older people. In the speech of younger people, the first fricative segment is lost.

The northern speech varieties of Niúwōzǐ (Dīng 1998:17) and Shuǐluò (Jacques 2011c:362) have six and three consonant clusters respectively. Dīng (1998) mentions
$[p x],\left[p^{h} x\right],\left[b_{x}\right]$ and $[k x],\left[k^{h} x\right],[g x]$ (note however that Ding (2010) makes the case that these are not really consonant clusters, but rather stops with secondary articulation); Jacques (2011) mentions [pr], [ $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{r}$ ] and [br] for Shuǐluò, but in Shuǐluò these clusters are in free alternation with [dzw] in some words (Jacques 2011:362). These are all clusters belonging to the first type (a stop or nasal followed by a fricative). So far, there have been no attested clusters of the second type (a fricative followed by a stop or affricate) in the northern speech varieties, although Chan, working on the Xiǎngshuǐhé speech variety in Yányuán, reports three morphemes that are still used by some old people: [sk $\tilde{x}^{51}$ ] 'neck', [zdə ${ }^{31}$-] (directional verb prefix) and [sth $\partial^{31}$-] (directional verb prefix) (MS:20).

In contrast, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has not retained any consonant clusters. ${ }^{24}$ Instead, all stop-plus-liquid consonant clusters have developed into retroflex stops. This is similar to what Matisoff notes for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ (1997:175,176), where the original proto-TibetoBurman (PTB) *velar-plus-liquid clusters developed into retroflex stops. Note the correspondences in Table 2.2 (adapted from the table in Matisoff 1997:176). ${ }^{25}$

Table 2.2 Dàyáng and Wǎdū reflexes for PTB velar-plus-liquid clusters

| PTB | Dàyáng | Wǎdū | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *krwəy | $/ \mathrm{th}^{\text {he }}$ | /tȟ/ | 'daughter-in-law (wife)'26 |
| *krəy | $/ \mathrm{t}^{\text {hix }}$ / | /thá/ | 'foot ${ }^{27}$ |
| *m-kris | /tíl | /tə́/ | 'gall' |
| *glay | /tı̌/ | /tæ̌/ | 'hawk/eagle' |
| *krəw | $/ t^{\text {thé }} /$ | / $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ / | 'horn' |
| *d-krok | /thǔ/ | /thǔ/ | 'six' |
| *Rgrəy | /ď̌/ | /dǎ/ | 'star' |
| *krig | /dǔ/ | /d̂al | 'thread' |

[^12]In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, even the *labial-plus-liquid clusters have developed into retroflex stops. ${ }^{28}$ Table 2.3 shows some correspondences between the bilabial and velar consonant clusters in Niúwōž̌ Pǔmǐ and the retroflex stops in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. ${ }^{29}$ Note that in the case of the bilabial consonant clusters in Niúwōzǐ the labiality gets carried over to the retroflex in Wǎdū, either in the form of a [w] glide following the retroflex or in the rounding of the vowel. ${ }^{30}$

Table 2.3 Niúwōzǐ clusters and Wǎdū retroflex correspondences

| Niúwōzĭ | Wǎdū | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /píwu/ | /twíwu/ | 'year of the Monkey ${ }^{31}$ |
| /p ${ }^{\text {phí }}$ / | /t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Wî/ | 'ale' |
|  | /dõq¢ố/32 | 'roasted barley flour' |
| /k'ə/ | /tá/ | 'gall' |
| $/ \mathrm{k}^{\text {dhá/ }}$ | /thá/ | 'foot' |
| /g'a゙/ | /dž/ | 'star' |
| $/ \mathrm{k}^{\text {dha }} /$ | /thæ̌/ | 'to shoot' |

Occasionally one can still hear a rhoticization effect before the vowel, as in (6), or even the original labial plus liquid cluster, as in (7). But the cluster disappears upon careful repetition.

[^13](6) /[wíwu/ ~ [tŁwíwú] 'year of the Monkey' ( < Tibetan spre'u 'monkey')
/dútejwu/ ~ [ḑútéjwù̀] 'year of dragon' (<Tibetan 'brug 'dragon')


```
/dúdawu/ ~ [b_fúdáwù] 'year of snake' (<Tibetan sbrul 'snake')
```

Dīng (2010) notes in his paper on rhoticization that the Pǔmǐ consonant clusters in Xīnyíngpán are not really clusters but rather stops with secondary articulation.

One case where this development of the *labial-plus-liquid cluster becomes quite apparent is the pronunciation of the group autonym. In Wǎdū the autonym is not the


### 2.1.1.2 Uvular stops

The occurrence of uvular stop phonemes in this speech variety of Pǔmǐ is interesting, because a uvular phoneme series has not yet been attested for any of the northern speech varieties. Uvular phonemes are attested for the Southern dialect, in the speech varieties spoken in Qìnghuā (Lù 2001:10) and Dàyáng (Matisoff 1997:173). But Guillaume Jacques (p.c.) noted that he did not know of any speech varieties in the Northern dialect of Pǔmǐ that had uvular phonemes, and Dīng (2005:21) did not include symbols for the uvular stops in his pan-dialectal orthography for the northern speech varieties. Uvulars are normally taken to occur as allophones of velars before back vowels in the Northern dialect (Lù 2001:43,63,72,80; Chirkova 2010:9).

Uvulars can precede most vowels, as in (8), and the minimal pairs in example (9) clearly show that $/ \mathrm{q} /$ and $/ \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ are phonemes in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ.
(8) /qîpu/ 'cuckoo' (variant of /qûpu/)
/q̌̌j/ 'oily'
/q'̌/ 'bitter'
/q hə̌-/ 'out-' (directional verb prefix, §4.6.2, §7.1)
/qȟ/ 'head'
/qǔu/ 'needle'
/qher/ 'emperor'
/qhǎ/ 'to pick'
$/ \mathrm{q}$ º̃ / 'life'
/q芭/ 'neck'
(9) /kǔ/ 'to carry on back' /qǔ/ 'to be blind'
/kǔ/ 'penis' /qǔ/ 'to bend'
/kêj/ 'let' /qêj/ 'faeces'

[^14]| $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} /$ | ＇prison＇ | ／ $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\text { ¢ }}$／ | ＇irrigation channel＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ／khŏ\％／ | ＇give：IMP：SG＇ | ／qhŏ\％／ | ＇life＇ |

Based on my fieldwork in Yǔchū ${ }^{34}$ and an unpublished collection of wordlists（Gerong Pincuo，MS），${ }^{35}$ I suspect that there might be more speech varieties in the Northern dialect that have uvular stop phonemes．${ }^{36}$

## 2．1．2 Affricates

Affricates distinguish three different places of articulation：alveolar，retroflex and alveopalatal．${ }^{37}$ All affricates in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ show a three－way distinction in voicing： voiceless unaspirated，voiceless aspirated and voiced．Examples for the three affricate series are presented in（10），（11）and（12）：
（10）／tsǽ／＇gluttonous＇
$/ t s^{\mathrm{h}}$ ̌／＇to mug＇
／mâdzæ／＇every＇
（11）／tš̌̌／＇dirty’
／ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ̌／＇generation＇
／dzǎ／＇inherited traits＇

[^15](12) /tç̌̌/ 'to weave'
$/ t \underline{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \check{\mathrm{a}} / \quad$ 'bashful'
/dž̌̌/ 'to add'
Both Dīng (1998:17) and Lù (2001:62) note that when the retroflex affricates precede the high front vowel /i/, they are pronounced as retroflex stops instead. ${ }^{38}$ I have no instances of retroflex affricates or fricatives followed by /i/ so it might well be the case that the same process is happening in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. One example that would support this analysis, is the verb pair 'to cut/tear (intr./tr.)' that has retroflex stops and a high vowel /i/ in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ ([dî] and [ $[\mathrm{t} \hat{1}]$ ]) and retroflex affricates and a different vowel in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:126 [dzê] and [tṣ̂ê]).

### 2.1.3 Fricatives

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has four fricative series that all contrast voice and voicelessness. The different places of articulation are alveolar, retroflex, alveopalatal and cavity. ${ }^{39}$ In example (13) near minimal pairs are presented for the different series.

```
(13) /sæ̌/ 'to give to pass on'
    /zá/ 'crooked'
    /sč/ 'to bind sheaves'
    /zǎ/ 'saliva'
    /с\check{x}/ 'to taste'
    /zǎ/ 'hand'
    /hægû/ 'shaman'
    /f\hat{æ̈/ 'mountain pass'}
```

The status of labiodental fricatives will be discussed in §2.1.3.1, alveopalatal fricatives will be discussed in §2.1.3.2 and cavity fricatives in §2.1.3.3. For examples of fricatives that are the result of consonant lenition, see §2.4.2.

### 2.1.3.1 Note on labiodental fricatives

In his Pǔmǐ dialect comparison, Lù Shàozūn (2001:6) states that all speech varieties of Pǔmǐ have a voiceless labio-dental fricative /f/ in their phonological inventory, which only occurs in loanwords from Chinese. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ this only partly the case: the older speakers borrow the Chinese /f/ as [hw], so the word féijī 飞机 'airplane' is

[^16]pronounced［hwéjtcí］or［hwítcí］${ }^{40}$ and fàmíng 发明 ‘invent’ as［hwàmì］${ }^{41}$ ．For the word＇house＇fángzi 房子，especially older women will say［hồtsź］or［hwæั̀tsź］．Note that the labiality carries over，either in the roundness of the vowel or the labial glide． Younger speakers who have received an education are more likely to incorporate the whole word into their Pǔmǐ，and so over time，the［f］might become a part of the phonological system in Wǎdū too．${ }^{42}$ In one of the conversations recorded for this study， the distinction in pronunciation between a child（14）who is going to school and so knows Chinese phonology，and his grandmother（15）who has not received any education is clear：

Ch：airplane Ch：drive like＝SVM
＇（I）would like to fly an airplane．＇（CV11．29）
 Ch：airplane Ch：drive＝also again letter study put．in．effort need WARN ＇In order to fly an airplane（you）need to study hard as well！！＇（CV11．31）

Another example of a Chinese loanword taken from a personal narrative is given in （16）：

| á－dzæ̀ | swíhwèn $=$ tǐ | nè－q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wá $=$ sì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| that－location：GEN | Ch：water．amount $=$ INDF | DOwn－take：PFV：N．EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ |

＇（．．．）（the buyers）took away（．．．）the weight of the amount of water（．．．）＇ （YJ02．34）（＜shuǐfèn 水分）

## 2．1．3．2 Alveopalatal fricatives

Alveopalatals are extremely frequent，especially followed by the high front vowel／i／． This seems to be the result of ongoing palatalization in the language．Examples from Gerong Pincuo＇s wordlist（MS）show that several speech varieties in Wéixī and Lánpíng still have retroflex or post－alveolar consonants where Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has alveopalatal consonants（this is not limited to the fricatives，but is also the case for the affricates）．

[^17]A few examples from Dàyáng Pǔmǐ（Matisoff 1997）compared with Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are provided in Table 2．4．Some areas in Mùľ̌ show even stronger palatalization（cf． §2．4．5）．${ }^{43}$

Table 2．4．Dàyáng retroflexes and post－alveolars versus Wǎdū alveopalatals

| Dàyáng | Wǎdū | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ／Š̌／ | ／¢̌̌） | ＇to spend the night＇ |
| ／zít | ／zá／ | ＇many／much＇ |
| ／tsézǐ／ | ／tsêzi／ | ＇monkey＇ |
| ／ptfhň／ | $/ t^{\text {b }} \mathrm{w}$ ¢ $/$ | ＇pig＇ |
| ／tş̌／ | ／tçă／ | ＇weaves＇ |
| ／dzôN／ | ／dzồ／ | ＇hole＇ |

## 2．1．3．3 Cavity fricatives ${ }^{44}$

The cavity fricatives occupy a large space and can have a wide range of realizations． These fricatives have been analysed in several ways for different speech varieties．Lù Shàozūn reports a velar series $/ \mathrm{x} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ in all but one of the Purmǐ speech varieties he discusses．${ }^{45}$ Dīng analyses Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ as having a velar and a glottal fricative $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ and／h／（1998：13），and Chan analyses a glottal series／h／and／h／for Xiǎngshuǐhé Pǔmǐ（MS：50）．Lǐ Huī，an anthropologist who published a paper on orthography，posed a velar／x／and a glottal／f／for Lánpíng Pǔmǐ（2008：39）．But both Matisoff（1997b：173） and Jacques（2011c：363）posit a velar as well as a glottal series of fricatives for Dàyáng and Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ respectively．${ }^{46}$ The examples that Matisoff gives（1997：195－196）do not show any minimal pairs between $[x]$ and $[h]$ or $[\gamma]$ and［ K$]$ though．Several times he notes that $[\gamma]$ varies with［ f$]$ ．He only gives one example for $[\mathrm{h}]$ and indicates that it is fronted to［ç］（before the high front vowel／i／；this is similar to what happens in

[^18]Wǎdū Pǔmǐ). There are no minimal pairs between velar, uvular and glottal fricatives in Wǎdū, so I have analysed them as a single set of cavity fricatives represented by /h/ and /f/.
/h/ is sometimes realized with local friction as [x] or [ $\chi$ ], especially when followed by a high back vowel. ${ }^{47}$ But even with low back vowels and low front vowels, the same speaker will sometimes pronounce the words with audible friction and sometimes without.

| /hûtc ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wi/ | [ $\chi$ út ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ¢ìi] | 'south' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /hồbu/ | [xốbù] | 'owl' |
| /hồmĩ/ | [xốmì̀] | 'colour, dye' |
| /mêhaw/ | [méxàw] | 'wind' |
| /nî́nãhaw/ | [nî́nã́xàw] | 'cloth bag' |
| /quhú/ | [qù $\chi$ ú] | 'bamboo butter basket' |
| /phihaw/ | [phixáw] | 'woven bamboo sieve to wash vegetables' |
| /jehǎ/ | [jèhǎ]~ [jèxǎ] | 'all, everybody' |
| /hæbæ̌/ | [hæ̀bæ̌]~ [xæ̀bæ̌] | 'plate' |
| /hæøgû/ | [hæ̀ngû]~ [xæ̀ngû] | 'shaman' |

When /h/ precedes the high front vowels /i/ or /i/ or is palatalised, it is fronted to [ç] as in examples (18) and (19). ${ }^{48}$
(18) /hí/ [çí] 'god’
/hî/ [çî] 'who'
(19) $/ h^{j}$ ǔ/ [çəǔ] 'to want to eat'
$/ h^{i} \hat{\varepsilon} j /$ [çêj] 'to release'
/hiõh'á/ [çồçá] 'to randomly beat'
Like its voiceless counterpart, the voiced cavity fricative / $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{is}$ sometimes pronounced with local friction as well, as in (20). There seems to be more friction when the tone is rising or low. When followed by the nasalised vowel / $\tilde{x} /$ or the low back vowel /a/ there is variation with a velar nasal stop in a few cases (cf. §2.1.4.2). This could be a case of rhinoglottophilia, similar to what was described by Matisoff (1975). He discovered that glottal consonants often occur with nasalization as a result of a lowered uvula which allows airflow through the nose resulting in nasalization of the vowel. Examples are given in (21).

[^19](20)
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { /hěj/ ~ [yčj] 'to be unbalanced' } \\
& / \text { fỗ/ ~ [ } \gamma \hat{\hat{o}}] \quad \text { 'to sip (liquid)' } \\
& / \text { foั̃/ ~ [үoั̃] 'to eat tsampa with the hand' } \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

In the present analysis, there are no cavity fricatives followed by the back vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$. No minimal pairs between [wu], [fiu] and [ки] have been found and the same word is often pronounced with and without local (or cavity) friction by the same speaker. There seems to be a link between rising tone and local friction, with local friction occurring when the tone of the syllable is low or rising. I also have the impression that [ь] tends to occur between vowels, and [w] occurs word-initially. There are three ways of analysing the sequence [wu], [fu] or [ки]:

1. All underlyingly /wu/, but sometimes realized with more friction and uvularization as [ки]. This is consistent with my main consultant's intuition that these words all have $/ \mathrm{w} /$, but he can hear the friction sometimes as well.
2. All underlyingly /ful, but because of the rounding of the vowel often perceived as [wu] and sometimes pronounced with slightly more friction as [ки]. This would be consistent with Matisoff's statement for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ that the glide /w/ is not followed by back rounded vowels, apart from a few words that he mentions like wŏ 'tiger', wó 'mouse' and wò-mí 'guest' (1997:174). These have a corresponding vowel /u/ in Wǎdū. ${ }^{49}$
3. Some words underlyingly /wu/ (pronounced [wu]) and some underlyingly /fu/ (pronounced [ки]). This would fit with the example words that Matisoff provides, but it would go against the intuition of my main consultant. Additionally, this analysis fails to explain the lack of contrastive pairs and the fact that the same word can sometimes be perceived without friction and sometimes with friction.

For the present purpose, the words in question are analysed as having underlyingly /w/ synchronically. The main reason for this is the lack of contrastive pairs between [fu], [ки] and [wu] and the intuition of my main consultant that all are underlyingly $/ \mathrm{wu} /$. Some words clearly have an underlying /w/, like the word wûza 'plowing ox' which has a female form wêmi 'female cow'. Additionally, there are some words that show that /w/ can be followed by a rounded vowel in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, and when that happens, /w/ is sometimes pronounced with more friction. The 'random reduplication'

[^20](§7.4.1.3) of verbs with a /w/ in their basic stem, such as wと̌'to prepare food', wěj 'to curse' and wé 'to pile up' is /wõwé/, /wõwéj/ and /wõwê/ respectively. The first syllable /wõ/ is sometimes realized with more friction as [fiõ] or [fiwõ].

Diachronically, however, the initial /w/ in forms that are synchronically /wu/ have possibly more than one source and some /w/ initials might have developed from velar or uvular consonants. The main argument for this is cross-dialectal. Both wŭ'tiger' and wú 'rat' correspond with / уо/ in Lánpíng, Qìnghuā and Táobā ${ }^{50}$, / yu / in Niúwōzǐ and /wo/ in Dàyáng; ${ }^{51}$ wî 'mountain' corresponds with /yGo/ in Lánpíng ${ }^{52}$ and /gu/ in Tuōzhī; wû ‘dry’ corresponds with /रu/ in Jiǔlońg and Táobā, and /gu/in Lánpíng and Qìnghuā. ${ }^{53}$ nəwú 'twenty’ corresponds with /nəgo/ in Lánpíng and Qìnghuā, noyo/in Jiǔlońg and /nəya/ in Táobā. ${ }^{54}$ These cross-dialectal comparisons point to a process of consonant lenition that is going on in the language, where uvular or velar stops change to velar, uvular or cavity fricatives, and have lenited to such an extent in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ that in combination with a rounded back vowel they are indistinguishable from a bilabial approximant.

### 2.1.4 Nasals

The nasals can be divided into three series based on their place of articulation: bilabial, alveolar and velar. The bilabial and alveolar series have a voiced and a voiceless counterpart; the velar nasal lacks a voiceless counterpart. A sequence of minimal pairs for the set is shown in (22).
(22) /mǔ/ 'corpse'
/mǔ̌/ 'oily'

[^21]```
/nǔ/ 'to know'
/nǔ/ 'to pad'
/yǔ/ 'to reach'
```

Several sources mention a palatal nasal phoneme (Matisoff 1997:197, Lù 2001:7). Even though one could argue that there is a palatal nasal phoneme in Wǎdū, I have chosen to analyse these forms as palatalised alveolars. Palatalization is an important feature of the language and most often co-occurs with coronal consonants. Further discussion of palatalization is given in §2.1.6 and §2.1.7. An interesting development of a voiceless bilabial nasal is discussed in §2.1.4.1, and the velar nasal is discussed in §2.1.4.2.

### 2.1.4.1 Bilabial nasal

In the word mê or mô for 'person' the voiced bilabial nasal is in free variation with its voiceless counterpart. It is strange that the clearly PTB reflex ${ }^{*} m i$ should have a voiceless nasal in Pǔmǐ. My main consultant considers that the voiceless nasal in that word is a later development in the Wēnquán area, and the other speech varieties do not have it; they have either mî or mô as reflexes (Gerong Pincuo, MS). ${ }^{55}$

It is interesting though that many compound words denoting human beings also show a voiceless nasal. This includes the words for 'male' motc ${ }^{h}$ ól and 'female' modž, 'old man' məgín, 'mortal, human being' môqæŋmm, which might point to a less recent date for the development of $m \hat{\partial}$ to $m \hat{o}$.

Some voiceless bilabial nasals reflect earlier proto-forms. ${ }^{56}$ The voiceless nasal in $m \hat{o}$ 'daughter' could be the result of a prefix in the proto-form. ${ }^{57}$ Most other Pǔmǐ speech

[^22]varieties also show a voiceless nasal in the word for 'daughter'. ${ }^{58}$ And the word for 'medicine' míl seems to be a reflex of the PTB form ${ }^{*} S$-man. ${ }^{59}$ The word $\prod_{0} \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ 'hair' has a voiceless nasal only in the Wǎdū and Yǔchū speech varieties (Gerong Pincuo, MS). However, this seems to reflect the PTB form ${ }^{*} s$-mul (see note 62).

### 2.1.4.2 Velar nasal

The velar nasal is relatively infrequent, ${ }^{60}$ but it does occur before different vowels. Unlike the other nasals, it does not have a voiceless counterpart. Interestingly, Lù's data from the 50's and 80's document the presence of a voiceless velar nasal in all the northern speech varieties, including Tuōqī Pǔmǐ (Lù 2001:61), spoken in the same valley as Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The southern speech varieties do not have a voiceless velar nasal (Lù 2001) and Niúwōž̌ Pǔmǐ does not have velar nasals at all (Dīng 1998:13). It could be that Wǎdū Pǔmǐ only recently lost the voiceless velar nasal.

|  | 'gold' |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'glowing (of embers)' |
| /dzeyćj/ | 'wasp' |
| /ná/ | 'dare' |
| /nwê/ | 'five' |
| /gớ/ ~ /nố/ | 'silver, money' ${ }^{62}$ |

(and Lolo-Burmese) reflects a proto-form where an indefinite possessor prefix was reinterpreted as part of the root when the system collapsed.
${ }^{58} \prod_{0} \tilde{\sigma}^{35} b a^{35}$ (Táobā) and $m_{o} i^{13} b y^{55} b a^{13}$ (Qìnghuā) (Matisoff 2003:187); mîi (Sānjiè, Gélǔdiàn, Kāngwū); mólì (Dōngzi, Bókē, Gùzēng) (Gerong Pincuo, MS).
${ }^{59}$ Or more likely a borrowing from Tibetan sman, cf. Japhug $\operatorname{smrn}$ (Jaqcues, p.c.).
${ }^{60}$ Cf. also Matisoff 1997:197 who only provides two examples for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ: ךóuN‘silver,
 thə-ŋṕN‘stand idle’. Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ lacks a velar nasal altogether (Dīng 1998:13).
${ }^{61}$ It is sometimes difficult to establish which form is the basic form, but in this instance a case can be made for $\eta \varepsilon \varepsilon j$, as this form appears in the morphologically more complex forms nínêjŋ̂̂j and $\not \subset Z E \eta \varepsilon ́ j$, also listed in (23). There appears to be a vowel split, with speech varieties located to the south of Wǎdū often showing reflexes with [æ] and speech varieties to the north in Mùlǐ showing reflexes with [ $\varepsilon j]$ (Gerong Pincuo, MS). A similar split of southern and northern varieties can be observed with the word for 'silver' that has the reflex [ $\eta$ õ] to the south and [ $n^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{o}$ ] to the north of Wǎdū. In Wǎdū, both words for 'silver' are used.
${ }^{62}$ The PTB form for 'silver' is *d- $\eta u 1$. The PTB form *ul gives a nasalized vowel reflex in several other forms as well: ${ }^{*} S$-mul > m ${ }_{o} \hat{\mathscr{x}} \eta$ 'hair'; *bul > bón 'tree'. However, this is not the same nasalized vowel in the three forms.

The velar nasal also occurs as a free variation of the cavity fricative / $\mathrm{f} /$ in the following words (see the discussion in §2.1.3.3):

| /fiǎ/ ~ [yă] | 'thick' |
| :---: | :---: |
| /fix̌nqûqû/ ~ [ŋæ̌yqûqû] | 'yellow' |
| /fænjǐ̌ ¢ǐ/ ~ [næ̀njĭ ¢ǐ] | 'to know' |

### 2.1.5 Liquids

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has two liquid series: an alveolar lateral series and a retroflex approximant rhotic series. Both distinguish voicing. Minimal pairs are given in (25) and (26). One could also analyse the voiceless lateral as a lateral fricative, since sometimes more frication can be observed (this is the approach Ding (1998:15) takes). But from a structural point of view it can be argued that the pair is based on a voicing distinction, like the rhotic series and the nasal series.
(25) /lǔ/ 'to hang (sth on sth)'
/lıŭ/ 'forehead'
(26) / $\mathfrak{u ̂} /$ 'chicken’
/ग़̂û/ 'pine torch'
In his transcription my main consultant sometimes showed inconsistencies between [ $[\downarrow]$ and [z], but when asked, he was able to distinguish very clearly between the two. Some speech varieties actually have a corresponding $[\mathrm{z}] .{ }^{63}$

The voiceless retroflex approximant rhotic in Wǎdū / $/$ / corresponds to the voiceless retroflex fricative /s/ in some speech varieties. ${ }^{64}$

### 2.1.6 Glides

In Chinese descriptive tradition the approximants $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{j}$ / are usually analysed together with the vowels as part of the rhyme. This is the approach that Ding (1998) takes. Dīng's reasons for analysing the glides as part of the rhyme are economic (1998:19) and in order to simplify the description of the vowel change in verb inflection that involves glides as well (1998:20).

Matisoff (1997b:173-174) discusses the issue of analysing glides as part of the initial or as part of the rhyme for /w/ in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ and talks about three different phonemic scenarios: if a glide only occurs after certain consonants, it might be analysed

[^23]as part of the initial; if it only occurs before certain vowels, it can be analysed as part of the rhyme; if it occurs in relatively unrestricted positions, it can be analysed as a structurally separate part of the syllable. Matisoff applies the arguments only to the /w/ glide and notes that its occurrence in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ is relatively unrestricted: /w/ occurs after all consonants except for labials and before all vowels except the back rounded vowels $/ \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{ou} /$. At the beginning of a word $/ \mathrm{w} /$ occurs freely before all vowels as the initial consonant (1997:174). He analyses both /j/ and /w/ glides as part of an initial consonant cluster.

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ both /w/ and /j/ can occur as the onset of a syllable, and also appear as off-glides in the diphthongs /aw/ and $/ \mathrm{\varepsilon j} /$, as in (27) and (28).

| (27) | /wěj/ | 'to curse' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | /wě/ | 'to prepare food' |
|  | /wâ/ | 'to sprout' |
|  | /wễ/ | 'to have learned' |
| (28) | /jê̂/ | 'tobacco' |
|  | /jỗ $/$ | 'to pick up' |
|  | /jěj/ | 'to get' |
|  | /jǐ/ | 'conch' |
|  | /jǎw/ | 'Ch:again' |

In addition, the glide /w/ (and its allophone [ $\mathrm{\Psi}$ ], see §2.1.7.3) is relatively unrestricted: it occurs with all consonants except bilabials and the cavity fricative / h / and all vowels except the rounded vowels $/ \mathrm{u} /$, and / $/ / .{ }^{65}$ It could therefore be analysed as a medial consonant that forms a consonant cluster with an initial consonant. Consonant clusters are given in Table 2.5.

The glide / $\mathrm{j} /$ is severely restricted in its occurrence with consonants: it can only occur with roughly half of the consonants, the consonants of the 'palatal group' (as described below in §2.1.7.1). It appears with all vowels (/عj, æ, ə, e, u, õ, aw, a/) except the high vowel /i/ and the nasalised front vowels / $\mathbf{1} /$, /ẽ/ and / $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}} /$. I will therefore analyse it as palatalization of the initial. A list of palatalised consonants is given in Table 2.5.

There are multiple examples with a palatalised initial combined with the labial-velar medial /w/. Phonetically this sounds like the presence of a labial-palatal glide [ L$].{ }^{66}$ Some examples are given in (29). When these words are pronounced, the lips are clearly rounded and the tongue moves towards the hard palate during the production of the initial.

[^24]```
(29) /d}\mp@subsup{}{}{j}wé/[dyé] 'to become thin'
/lwě/ [lчě] 'ashes'
/1`wě/ [lyě] 'to smear'
/n'ənon}\mp@subsup{}{}{j}w\hat{x}/[\mp@subsup{n}{0}{j}\partialn\varphi\hat{x}] 'to smell'
/hi}wǽ/ [çчæ] 'to flaunt'
```

This is opposed to the near-minimal pair examples in (30) with only palatalization, where the lips are clearly unrounded during production, and the near-minimal pair examples in (31) that have only labialization: the lips are rounded, but the tongue does not move towards the hard palate.

| (30) | /dǐ̌/ | 'boat' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /lǐ̌mǎtà/ | 'no use' |
|  | $/ \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathrm{x}} /$ | 'eye' |
|  | /hǐ̌-/ | 'IN:Q-' (directional verb prefix) |
| (31) | /dâdwe/ | 'to ask' |
|  | /lowě/ | 'gaze:PFV:N.EGO' |
|  | /gwǎ/ | 'to sing' |

Even though phonetically the combination of palatalization and the labial-velar glide sounds like a labial-palatal glide [ $\Psi$ ], I do not posit a separate phoneme $/ \Psi$ / for the words in (29). My main argument for this is morpho-phonological. Many controllable verbs have an alternate non-egophoric stem form with an infix $<\mathrm{w}>$ (§8.1.1), as in (32). When the basic form of a verb has a palatalised initial, the non-egophoric form displays a combination of palatalization and labialization as a result of the labial-velar infix $\langle\mathrm{W}\rangle$. This phonetically appears as [ $\Psi$ ].

| /lwěj/ [lwěj] | PFV:N.EGO' ( </ľ̌j/ 'to sow') |
| :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}$ ¢́j/ [çu ${ }^{\text {chj }}$ ] | 'take along:PFV:N.EGO' ( $</ \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ¢j/ / 'to take along') |
| $/ d^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{we} /$ / [duê] | 'have.intercourse:PFV:N.EGO' ( </d ${ }^{\text {i } \hat{\mathrm{e}} /}$ 'to have intercourse') |
| $/ \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{We}$ / $/[\mathrm{n} \varphi \mathrm{e}$ ] | 'pour:PFV:N.EGO' ( $</ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{j} \hat{\mathrm{e}} /$ / 'to pour') |

Table 2.5 lists the palatalised consonants and the consonant clusters with the labialvelar /w/. In the next section more will be discussed about palatalization, labialization and consonant grouping.

Table 2.5. Palatalised consonants and consonant clusters

| Bilabial | Alveolar | Retroflex | Alveopalatal | Velar | Uvular |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {j }}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{tw} / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}$ | tw |  | kw |  |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hj }}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hj }} / \mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{w}$ | $t^{\text {h }}$ w |  | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\text {j }}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{dw} / \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}$ | dw |  | gw |  |
|  | tsw | tsw | tcw |  |  |
|  | $t s^{\text {h }} \mathrm{w}$ | ts ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{w}$ | ${ }^{\text {t }}{ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{w}$ |  |  |
|  | dzw | dzw | dzw |  |  |
|  | sw | SW | ¢W |  | $\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{hw} / \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}$ |
|  | zw | zw | zW |  |  |
| $\mathrm{m}^{\text {j }}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{nw} / \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}$ |  |  | jw |  |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{n}$ ¢ $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{lw} / \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{w}$ | JW |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\circ}^{1} / 1 / \mathrm{w}$ | ¢.W |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | jw |  |  |

### 2.1.7 Consonant grouping

I would like to posit a distinction in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ consonants similar to that described by Dīng for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ. Dīng (1998:13) groups consonants into two sets, based on whether or not they can co-occur with the palatal glide. In his analysis, this is an important distinction to make, since it helps explain the behaviour of the vowels.

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the division of consonants into two groups, a palatal and a non-palatal group, is based on four phenomena. ${ }^{67}$ The first is the ability of certain consonants to be palatalised: this group of consonants I will call the 'palatal group'. The second is that when the consonants of the 'non-palatal group' are followed by the high front vowel /i/, the vowel is slightly lowered and centralized. This does not happen with the consonants in the 'palatal group': the /i/ will be pronounced as a normal high front vowel. The third phenomenon is the allophonic variation shown by the glide /w/: when it follows a consonant of the 'palatal group' and is followed by the high front vowel $/ \mathrm{i} /$, /w/ is pronounced as a rounded palatal glide [ $\mathrm{\varphi}]$. The fourth phenomenon is the

[^25]fronting of the directional prefix $q^{h \check{\partial}-‘ o u t w a r d s ' ~ t o ~} k^{h}$ ว̌- before verbs that start with a consonant of the 'palatal group' and are followed by a high front vowel. In this section I will treat each phenomenon in more detail and discuss a possible explanation for this consonant grouping. The two groups of consonants are shown in Table 2.6.

The consonants belonging to the palatal group are shaded. The other consonants, the non-palatal group, have not been shaded. The velars occupy an in-between position as can be seen from the discussion below and indicated by lighter shading, but I have analysed them as belonging to the 'palatal group'.

Table 2.6 The palatal group consonants

|  | Bilabial | Alveolar | Retroflex | Alveopalatal | Velar | Uvular | Cavity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops | p | t | t |  | k | q |  |
|  | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ |  | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{q}^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | b | d | d |  | g |  |  |
|  |  | ts | ts | t6 |  |  |  |
| Affricates |  | ts ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ts ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | t6 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | dz | dz | dz |  |  |  |
| Fricatives |  | s | § | 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  | z | z | 7 |  |  | fi |
| Nasals | m | n |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | m | ก |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | ! |  |  |  |  |
| Approximants | w |  |  | j |  |  |  |

### 2.1.7.1 The first phenomenon: co-occurrence with the palatal glide

The palatal glide only occurs with consonants belonging to the 'palatal group'. In my data, I have no examples of the palatal glide occurring after any other consonants. ${ }^{68}$ The alveopalatals are inherently palatal. Some examples of the occurrence of the glide are shown in (33).

| $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hj}} \hat{\mathrm{u}} /$ | 'push.over:IMP:SG' |
| :---: | :---: |
| /biŏ\%/ | 'fly:ImP:SG' |
| /tíu/ | 'stomach (non-cud chewers)' |
| $/ \mathrm{t}^{\text {hja }}$ w/ | 'steep (downhil)' |
| /êd ${ }^{\text {j}} \mathfrak{\text { / }}$ | 'grandmother' |
| /nớ/ | 'money' |
|  | 'to pour' |
| /lǐ̌/ | 'lot, fate' ( < Tibetan las) |
| /melo ${ }^{\text {jú/ }}$ | 'tail' |
| $/ \mathrm{h}$ ǔ/ [ç̌̌u] | 'to want to eat' |

There is no example of a palatalised velar nasal in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, but there are some occurrences of palatalised velar stops, as shown in (34).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { /kælí/ ~ [kj̀lí] 'small alcohol jar' }  \tag{34}\\
& / \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \check{\mathrm{c}} / \sim\left[\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{hj}} \check{\text { č }}\right] \quad \text { 'basket' } \\
& / \mathrm{g} \dot{\mathrm{x}} / \sim\left[\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{j}} \dot{\mathrm{x}}\right] \quad \text { 'happy, beautiful' }
\end{align*}
$$

However, I analyse palatalization in combination with velar stops as phonetic rather than phonemic, since palatalization only happens in combination with the vowel /æ/; palatalization of velar stops does not occur with any other vowel. Additionally, palatalization and non-palatalization of the consonant when followed by /æ/ seems to be in free variation: there are no minimal pairs and the same speaker will sometimes pronounce a word with a clearly audible palatalization of the consonant and sometimes without it. My main consultant's intuition is that these words are underlyingly not palatalised. This agrees with Matisoff who notes that there are no 'velar-plus-y clusters' in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ (1997:174).

[^26]Palatalization with velar stops seems to be the result of influence from the following front vowel /æ/: the tongue-body movement between the release of the velar stop and the formation of the front vowel creates a transition effect that is perceived as palatalization of the velar stop. Thus I will not propose a separate series of palatalised velar stops for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ.

In my data there is only one example of a palatalised bilabial nasal: the interjection $\hat{e} m i$ that is sometimes pronounced as $\hat{e} m^{j} \mathfrak{x}$. The palatalised bilabial series seems to have collapsed with the palatalised alveolar series in Wǎdū. Both Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ and Dàyáng Pǔmǐ have words with palatalised bilabials, but for some of those examples I have words with a corresponding palatalised alveolar nasal in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. One example is the word for 'eye', as shown in (35). Added to the Niúwōzǐ and Dàyáng data are data from Gerong Pincuo's wordlist.
(35) /mjé/ Niúwōzǐ (Dīng 1998:112)
/myóN/ Dàyáng (Matisoff 1997:196)
[mîeo] Tuōzhī
[mâa] Pāntiāngé, Sānjiè
[njê] Gélǔdiàn
[ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathfrak{x}}\right] \quad$ Wǎdū, Dōngzi, Gùzēng, Kāngwū
[njuâ] Xiàmàidì
[njû̂] Bókē

### 2.1.7.2 The second phenomenon: vowel retraction

When the high front vowel /i/ is preceded by consonants belonging to the non-palatal group, it is slightly lowered and centralized at the onset of the vowel to [9i]. ${ }^{69}$ This retraction does not happen when the vowel is preceded by consonants belonging to the palatal group. Speakers do not make a phonemic distinction between the retracted and non-retracted forms, but perceive it as one type of sound. The retraction is clearly shown in Figure 2.2. The first sound is the word /tsǐ/ [tssi] 'to erect', the second sound is the clear vowel [i] pronounced without initial consonant.

[^27]Figure 2.2. Vowel retraction of /i/ in /tsǐ/


An initial look at the vowel formants F1 and F2 of 40 retracted and 15 non-retracted tokens using Praat software (Boersma and Weenink 2009) shows that the range of F1 values for the retracted [9i] is $276-426 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (with a mean of 306 Hz ), as opposed to $271-$ 322 Hz (with a mean of 272 Hz ) for the non-retracted [i]. The range of F 2 values for [si] is $1543-2230 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (with a mean of 2089 Hz ) as opposed to $1992-2327 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (with a mean of 2153 Hz ) for [i]. This means that the retracted /i/ that occurs with the consonants of the non-palatal group is slightly lower and slightly more central than the non-retracted /i/ that occurs with consonants of the palatal group. The contour of the lowered, centralized /i/ shows a rising pattern in its F2: the start of the vowel is 452 Hz lower than the end, which means that the vowel starts more centralized and becomes more front.

In relation to this phenomenon, the velars and the cavity fricatives clearly belong to the 'palatal group', and the uvulars to the 'non-palatal group'. Figure 2.3 is an example of a velar stop, a cavity fricative and a uvular stop followed by /i/ in the words $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ 'to grab', /hí/ [çí] 'god' and /qîpu/ [qэípù] 'cuckoo' respectively. As can be seen, the F2 formant in the initial syllable of /qîpu/ is clearly lowered compared to that of the other example words. I have no examples of a velar nasal followed by /i/.

Figure 2.3. Vowel retraction of /i/in /qîpu/


The retraction is especially clear with the alveolar affricates and fricatives, and seems to be influenced by tone as well: the retraction is the most apparent with a low or rising tone.
(36)

| /tsǐ/ [tssǐ] | 'to cut, chop' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{ts}{ }^{\text {hin }}$ / [ts ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ¢ǐ] $]$ | 'salt' |
| /dzǐ/ [dzsǐ] | 'to splash' |
| /sǐ/ [ssî] | 'Sichuan pepper' |
| /zǐ/ [zıǐ] | 'to brocade' |
| /tǐ/ [toř] | 'mule' |
| $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} /$ [ $\left.\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} 9 \hat{1}\right]$ | 'to tear' |
| /dǐ/ [dэǐ] | 'to sew' |
|  | 'drying rack' |
|  | 'to sweat' |
| /qípù/ [qэípù] | 'cuckoo' |

Matisoff also mentions something similar in his Dàyáng phonology: 'the vowel /i/ is pronounced further back after palatal fricative or affricate initials, almost like a fronted barred-i [ $\left.i^{<}\right]^{\prime}$ (1997:200). As examples he gives three words:

| /zíl | 'month' |
| :--- | :--- |
| /Sí/ | 'hundred' |
| /kó t tyí/ | 'speech' |

The examples he gives all have post-alveolar or retroflex fricatives and affricate initials (1997:200). Even though many of the examples have alveopalatal counterparts in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ (compare the examples in Table 2.7), it is still interesting that the sounds Matisoff mentions are the sounds considered as part of the 'non-palatal group' in Wǎdū, the group that shows the retraction.

Table 2.7 Dàyáng retroflexes and post-alveolars versus Wǎdū alveopalatals

| Dàyáng | Wǎdū | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /pt5hí/ | /tct ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wí/ | 'good' |
| /bd3ǐN/ | /dzwǐy/ | 'to become light' |
| /dzĭ/ | /dz̧ĭ/ | 'waist' |
| / t t ¹/ | /6î/ | 'village' |
| /Sí/ | /6í/ | 'hundred' |
| /Sísǐ̌/ | / ¢í¢ì ~ ¢ı̌/ | 'new' |
| /zí/ | /zí/ | 'month' |

Another detail that could possibly point to vowel retraction is Matisoff's transcription of a set of words with the dipthong [ei] (1997:200). This diphthong is not part of his vowel chart. Apart from one example, the words that he transcribes all start with a consonant of what I analyse as the 'non-palatal group' in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, and the corresponding words in Wǎdū have the retracted /i/. Compare the words in Table 2.8.

Table 2.8 Possible occurrences of vowel retraction in Dàyáng

| Dàyáng | Wǎdū | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /théi/ |  | 'to cut (e.g. meat)' |
| /děi/ | /dǐ/ [ḑı̌] | 'to sew' |
| /rěi/ | /\ı1/ [¢э¢1] | 'to be burned' |
| /ps ${ }^{\text {héei/ }}$ | $/ t^{\text {h }}$ wî/ [ $t^{\text {h }}$ w9î] | 'ale' |
| /pzéi wú/ | /twíwu/ [twaíwú] | 'Year of the Monkey' |

On the other hand, Matisoff transcribes several words with a fricative or affricate followed by /i/ without mentioning anything about a centralization of the vowel, like
 without mentioning anything about a vowel centralization (1997:200).

### 2.1.7.3 The third phenomenon: the palatalization of the labial-velar glide $/ w /$

The labial-velar glide /w/ shows allophonic variation with the rounded palatal glide [ $\Psi$ ]. In his phonology of Dàyáng Pǔmǐ, Matisoff notes in passing that /w/ is realized as [ Y ] 'in some words with a high front vowel' and he gives four example words (1997:174). Jacques, working on Shuîluò Pǔmǐ, is a little bit more precise in his formulation and states that 'the glide -w - is realized $[-\mathrm{\Psi}-]$ after a coronal consonant and before a front vowel' (2011:363). In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, the [ $૫$ ] realization does occur with front vowels and coronal sounds, but not with all front vowels and not with all coronal sounds. A better way to describe what is happening is to say that the glide $/ \mathrm{w} /$ is realized $[\Psi]$ after a 'palatal group' consonant and before a high front vowel. ${ }^{70}$ In combination with an alveopalatal or a palatalised consonant, the allophone occurs before all front vowels:

| /zwǐ/ [zчí] | 'rob:PFV:N.EGO' |
| :---: | :---: |
| /6wîl/ [¢पîi] | 'lunch' |
|  | 'pig' |
| /jwěj/ [jчčj] | 'bring:PFV:N.EGO' |
|  | 'to smell' |

The allophone [ $£]$ occurs after the alveolar series when followed by the front vowels /i/ and /i/, as in (39), but not with other front vowels, as in (40).

| /twǐ/ [tyǐ] | 'put down:PFV:N.EGO' |
| :---: | :---: |
| /dwĭl̃/ [dبĭi] | 'swallow:PFV:N.EGO' |
| /ņwî́/ [n¢uí] | 'sister (used by males)' |
| /lwî/ [lıî] | 'roll:PFV:N.EGO' |
| /twéj/ [twěj] | 'wind:PFV:N.EGO' |
| /lwěj/ [lwěj] | 'sow:PFV:N.EGO |

Of the 'palatal group' consonants, the velar stops follow one of two patterns: followed by the glide /w/ and the high vowels /i/ or / $\mathrm{I} /$, the glide can either become palatalised to $[\Psi]$ as the result of the following high vowel, or remain unchanged. In the latter case the vowel /i/ will be lowered to [si] under the influence of /w/. Followed by any other vowel the glide is unchanged.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { /gwǐ/ [guǐì] } \sim[g w s ̌ ̌ i] ~ & \text { 'horse' }  \tag{41}\\
/ \mathrm{kwǐ/} \mathrm{[kuǐ]} \sim[k w y ̌ i] & \text { 'give to drink:PFv:N.EGO' }
\end{array}
$$

[^28]| $/ k w a ̌ /[k w a ̌]$ | 'bit (of horse bridle)' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $/ k w \varepsilon ̂ j /[k w \hat{j}]$ | 'let:PFV:N.EGO' |

Sometimes [ 4 i ] is pronounced as a simple vowel [y], but upon careful repetition the onglide [ Y ] and a clear [i] are audible. This analysis is in contrast with that of Dīng (1998:21) who analyses /y/ as a phoneme and notes that it sometimes is pronounced with an offglide as $\left[y^{i}\right]$. In the majority of cases where [ Li ] appears, it is in the nonegophoric forms of verbs that have a vowel [i] in their basic stem. [ Y$]$ is an infix marking non-egophoricity and an allophone of [w] that phonologically appears in the environments specified above. In example (42) the first verb shows the allophonic form of the infix; the second verb shows the unchanged form.

```
/tǐ/ 'to put' > /twǐ/[tuǐ] 'put:PFv:N.EGO'
/tǎ/ 'to hammer' > /twǎ/ 'hammer:PFv:N.EGO'
```


### 2.1.7.4 The fourth phenomenon: the fronting of the directional prefix

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, classifying the consonants into two groups not only accounts for aspects of the phonology, but also the morphophonology.

The directional verb prefix 'outwards' has two forms that differ only in their first consonant: a default form / $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ว̌-/ and a fronted form $/ \mathrm{k}^{\text {hy }}$-/. The fronted form only cooccurs with verb roots that start with the consonants found in the 'palatal group'. If the verb starts with an alveopalatal, it always takes the fronted prefix, independent of the vowel of the verb.

$$
\begin{align*}
& / \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \text {-t } \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\text {ǎw }} \text { / 'to rub' }  \tag{43}\\
& \text { /k }{ }^{\text {h }} \text {-dzồ } \mathrm{y} / \text { 'to be pierced' } \\
& / k^{\mathrm{h}} \text { ว-cí/ 'to ooze' } \\
& \text { /k }{ }^{\text {h. }} \text {-jěj/ 'to get' }
\end{align*}
$$

When the prefix occurs with the bilabial and alveolar consonants of the 'palatal group', the fronted prefix only occurs if the initial consonant of the verb is palatalised or the vowel of the verb is $/ \mathrm{i} /$. This can be clearly seen from the near-minimal pairs in the two sets of verbs in (44) and from the two occurrences of the same verb found in a single line of text in (45): the basic form of the verb 'to flee' /phǐ/ with the vowel /i/ takes the prefix $/ \mathrm{k}^{\text {hy̌-/; }}$ the inflected form $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \check{\dddot{\dddot{ }}} /$ with the vowel $/ æ /$ takes the prefix /q²-/.

| /k' ${ }^{\text {h }}$-bî̀/ | 'to fly' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$--dǐ/ | 'to throw' |
| /khə-nǐ/ | 'to sprout' |
|  | 'to pour' |


| /q²-bú/ | 'to heap up' |
| :---: | :---: |
| /q ${ }^{\text {b }}$ - děj $^{\text {c }}$ | 'to stick' |
| /q ${ }^{\text {h }}$-næั巛/ | 'to press' |
|  | 'to become slow |


| /k $\mathrm{k}^{\text {b-jiocolí/ }}$ | e' |  | t' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /(k²-)minı $/$ | 'to be cooked, be ripe ${ }^{71}$ | /q²-mǎ/ | 'to blow' |

(45)

| ( $\mathrm{nǒy}$ | dàbǔ t¢̧̀má-kóy = wù | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hù-dzì }}$ | $k^{\text {há-phíp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| two:ClF:thing | then central.room-door $=$ in | out-location | out-flee |


| $q^{\text {hò-séj }}$ | $\left.\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=1 \mathrm{a}\right)$, | swǽy | dàbǔ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hù-dzì }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OUT-go:PFV:N.EGO | time $=$ also | father | then | out-location |

$q^{\text {hə́- }} \mathrm{p}^{\text {hǽ }} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ là
OUT-flee:PFV:N.EGO time=also
'When [two (of them) fled outwards to the door of the central room...] when the father fled outwards, (...)' (TC04.36)

The velars are again a special case. Verbs that start with a velar consonant always take $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ว$-/$ form of the prefix, no matter what the vowel of the verb is. This could be ascribed to place assimilation.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \text {-gwæ̌/ } & \text { 'to weed' }  \tag{46}\\
/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \partial-\mathrm{yu} / & \text { 'to reach' }
\end{array}
$$

For the cavity fricatives no minimal pairs have been attested in the corpus, but my main consultant indicated his preference for $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ว̌-/ for verbs starting with $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and with a high front vowel or palatalization, and /q $\mathrm{q}^{\text {hy }}$-/ for the other verbs.
(47) $/ \mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}$ ว-hǐ̌/ [ $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hว̀-çว̌] }}$ 'to hit with something'
/q²-fǒy/ 'to eat tsampa with the hand'
It is interesting that when a verb that would normally be prefixed with the form $q^{h} \partial$ is negated using the negation marker mí, the prefix often changes to $k^{h \check{\partial} \text {-. But it is not }}$ always the case as can be seen from example (48).
(48) gwén = gá $\quad$ cí $=$ là $\quad q^{h \grave{\partial}}-\mathrm{mí}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{t}}$
horse $=$ GEN $\quad$ saddle $=$ also OUT - NEG $=$ take.off
'(...) the horse's saddle had not even been taken off (...)' (YJ01.25)

### 2.1.7.5 Discussion of consonant grouping

As described above, a distinction can be made with the Pǔmǐ consonantal system depending on four different phenomena. The main motivation that can be given for

[^29]this distinction seems to be physiological: the position of the tongue body and assimilation effects.

Flemming, in his 2003 article on the relationship between coronal place and vowel backness, discusses vowel fronting and vowel retraction as a result of assimilation to the position of the tongue body and gives examples of the influence of vowels on consonants and vice versa from a number of different languages. He especially focuses on coronals. Dentals, alveolars and palato-alveolars are produced with a fronted tongue body, whereas retroflex sounds are produced with a retracted tongue body (2003:337). The movement of the tongue body facilitates the production of the coronal (2003:337).

Ladefoged (2007:163) talks about gestural targets that need to be reached. If the tongue shape is such that the transition from one gestural target to another takes extra effort, acoustic side effects are to be expected. A language can develop constraints on the cooccurrence of sounds through the implementation of harmonization rules.

Alveolar fricatives and affricates are formed with a grooved tongue shape; retroflex sounds are formed with an apical, rather than laminal, tongue shape and a retracted tongue body; and uvulars are formed with a retracted tongue body. This could then explain why their co-occurrence with the high front vowel /i/ or palatalization would prove difficult.

As in the case of the palatalised velars, where palatalization can be described as a transition effect (§2.1.7.1), vowel lowering with grooved or apical consonants might also be interpreted as a transition effect.

The basic division then is between laminal coronal consonants with fronted tongue body, and the apical and grooved consonants with retracted tongue body. This division plays out in other areas, for example the fronting of the directional prefix. Tonguebody movement during release of the consonant creates a transition effect that is perceived as palatalization of the non-retroflex coronal consonant.

The velars have been included in the palatal group, even though their behaviour is transitional, since they do not occur palatalised (apart from when they are followed by $/ æ /$ ), they show variation in the realization of the bilabial glide and its allophone $[\Psi]$, and they occur with the fronted prefix $k^{h} y^{2}$ - regardless of which vowel the verb has. This behaviour is different from most of the other palatal group consonants (except for the alveopalatals) and could also be explained in terms of place harmony: the uvular consonant of the prefix assimilating to the velar initial of the verb. But Dīng (1998:13) includes them in his J-group (my palatalizing group) and since this seems to be a feature of Pǔmǐ in general I have also included them here.

### 2.2 Vowels

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has six single oral vowels, four nasal vowels and three diphthongs. Since I am treating the glides $/ \mathrm{j} /$ and $/ \mathrm{w} /$ as palatalization and labialization features of the initial and syllable respectively (§2.1.6), the number of finals is substantially lower than that given for other speech varieties (cf. Dīng 1998:20 who treats the glides as part of the rhyme and lists 31 monophthongs, diphthongs and triphthongs). Below is the composite chart of the oral and nasal vowels and diphthongs.

Table 2.9 Composite chart of vowels

|  |  | Front |  | Central |  | Back |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -round | + round | -round | + round | -round | + round |
| High | Oral | i |  |  | H |  | u |
|  | Nasal | Ĩ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid | Oral | عj [e] / (ej) |  | ə |  |  | aw [o] |
|  | Nasal | ẽ |  |  |  |  | õ |
| Low | Oral | æ |  | E |  | a |  |
|  | Nasal | $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

(Near)-mininal pairs with the different vowels and diphthongs are given in (49). Oral vowels are discussed §2.2.1 and nasal vowels in §2.2.2.
(49)

```
/pǐ/ 'belly'
/pĩ̃/ 'grove'
/p\check{j/ 'older sibling'}
/tá/ 'this'
/phêj/ 'Ugh!' (interjection of disgust)
/bễ/ 'pile.up:IMP:PL'
/pæ̌/ 'brightly coloured'
/p\dddot{x%/ 'to pass through'}
/pě/ 'flour'
/pǎ/ 'leaf'
/pú/ 'wild dog'
/pâw/ 'do:IMP:SG'
/pố/ 'official, king'(<Tibetan dpon 'minister, official')
```


### 2.2.1 Oral vowels

The oral vowels and diphthongs are shown in Table 2.10. In connected speech, the diphthongs $/ \mathrm{kj} /$ and /aw/ are often realized as single vowels [e] and [o] respectively, but when they are repeated slowly a clear diphthong is audible.

Table 2.10 Oral vowels

|  | Front |  | Central |  | Back |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -round | + round | -round | + round | -round | + round |
| High | i |  |  | H |  | u |
| Mid | $\varepsilon j[e] /(e j)$ |  |  | ə |  |  |
| Low | æ |  |  | e | $\mathrm{a}^{72}$ |  |

When comparing the Wǎdū speech variety with other Pǔmǐ speech varieties, it seems that a split occurred in the vowel system that involved the vowels *e and *o: the *e and *o of proto-Wǎdū Pǔmǐ split, merged with $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$ in some words and changed to diphthongs $/ \mathrm{\varepsilon j} /$ and /aw/ in other words. Many words with /o/ or /e/ in other speech varieties have a corresponding /u/ or /i/ in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. Some comparisons with Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (data from Dīng 1998) are shown in Table 2.11. ${ }^{73}$

Table 2.11 Vowels /o, e/ versus /u, i/

| Niúwōzǐ | Wǎdū | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /to/ | /tû/ | 'top, on' (1998:113,156) |
| /bo/ | /bu/ | 'Top' (1998:158ea) |
| /po/ | /pu/ | 'below' (1998:110) |
| /bô/ | /bû/ | 'trough' (1998:23) |
| /rô/ | /̌û/ | 'chicken' (1998:158) |
| /pále/ | /páli/ | 'clothes' (1998:158) |
| /be/ | /bi/ | 'side, on' (1998:149ea) |

[^30]| Niúwōzǐ | Wǎdū | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} /$ | $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} /$ | 'time' (1998:155ea) |
| $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ě/ | $/ \mathrm{t} 6^{\mathrm{h} ̌ 1} /^{74}$ | 'food' (1998:235) |
| /dze/ | $/ \mathrm{dzi} /$ | 'vicinity' (1998:99) |
| $/$ /3ê/ | $/ \mathbf{z i ̂ l}$ | 'to exist' (1998:239) |

Additionally, diphthongization of *e and *o seems to have taken place. The Tuōqī speech variety, a very similar variety spoken in the same valley as the Wǎdū speech variety, is reported to have an /e/ and an /o/ phoneme (Lù 2001:65). ${ }^{75}$ My main consultant confirms that speakers of the other Pǔmǐ villages in the same valley often use the single vowels /o/ and /e/ where Wǎdū uses the diphthongs /aw/ and /ej/. ${ }^{76}$ Some comparisons with Tuōqī Pǔmǐ (data from Lù 2001) are given in Table 2.12.

Table 2.12 Vowels /o, e/ versus /aw, cj /

| Tuōqī | Wǎdū | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ $\mathrm{kio}^{13}$ ] | /k $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hǎw/ }}$ | 'smoke' (2001:367) |
| [ $\mathrm{ko}^{13} \mathrm{kru}^{53}$ ] | /kawkǎw/ | 'father(-in-law), uncle (FB,LA)' (2001:393) |
| [ $\mathrm{be}^{13} \mathrm{~A}_{4}{ }^{53}$ ] | /bejló̀/ | 'chaff' (2001:399) |
| [be ${ }^{53}$ ] | /bêj/ | 'food, fodder' (2001:399) |

It might be possible that diphthongization occurred under influence of Chinese. In Wǎdǔ Pǔmǐ, as well as in Tuōqī (Lù 2001:67) both /aw/ and $/ \varepsilon j /$ are often used in Chinese loanwords. However, because of the presence of the Hàn Chinese villages Upper and Lower Wǎdū, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has been influenced by Chinese to a greater extent than the other three Purmir villages in the valley.

Both $/ \mathrm{\varepsilon j} /$ and /aw/ occur in numerous native words as well as in loanwords from Chinese. Some examples of native words:
(50) /zǎw/ 'handle (of axe, knife)'
/kǎw/ 'smoke'

[^31]| ／mêhaw／ | ＇wind＇ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ／péj／ | ＇to bubble，simmer＇ |
| ／zéj／ | ＇loose＇ |
| ／téj／ | ＇to be big＇ |

The diphthong／ej／only appears in a handful of Chinese loans and three interjections．

```
/féjtçi/ 'Ch:airplane' (<飞机)
/pejlála/ 'Ch:without rhyme or reason' (<白拉拉)
/têj/ 'Wow!' (interjection of surprise and admiration)
/phêj/ 'Ugh!' (interjection of disgust)
/êj/ 'Ai!' (interjection of shock)
```

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has three central vowels $/ \mathfrak{u} /, / \partial /$ and $/ \mathrm{e} /$ that differ from each other in height．The latter two can be the target vowel of vowel reduction，with the most common reduction to［e］，especially in the first syllable of nominal compounds and verb reduplications（§5．1．1，§7．4．1）．According to my main consultant，the vowel I analyse as the central vowel／e／is actually pronounced further back，and he transcribes it as $/ \Lambda /$ ．There are instances of a back pronunciation of the vowel，especially when preceded by a uvular，but often it is clearly a central vowel that is slightly lower and a little further back than $/ \partial /$ ．Analysing $/ \mathrm{e} /$ as a central vowel［ e ］instead of a back vowel［ $\Lambda$ ］makes more sense for the numerous cases of vowel reduction where a vowel becomes centralized．It also provides an explanation for transcription mistakes by my main consultant where he interchanged［е］and［ə］．If the vowels were further apart， one would not expect this to happen so frequently．（Near－）minimal pairs for the three central vowels are given in（52）．

| ／də̌－／ | ＇TO．SP．＇ | ／dé／ | ＇leprosy＇ | ／dú／ | ＇goblin＇77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ／kə́／ | ＇garlic＇ | ／ké／ | ＇melody＇ | ／kǔ／ | ＇penis＇ |
| $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{\partial}} /$ | ＇to stand＇ | $/ \mathrm{t} \underline{6}^{\mathrm{h}} \dot{\mathrm{e}} /$ | ＇to be cut off＇ | $/ t^{\text {h }} \hat{\mathbf{t}} /$ | ＇to fit in container＇ |
| ／tsó／ | ＇to syphon，milk＇ | ／tsé／ | ＇deer＇ | ／tsǔ／ | ＇son＇ |
| ／thž／ | ＇wife＇ | ／t＇hě／ | ＇to push＇ | ／t ${ }^{\text {hy }}$／ | ＇to cough＇ |
| ／sž／ | ＇to die＇ | ／sě／ | ＇to beat，kill＇ | ／sǔ／ | ＇fruit＇ |

The mid central vowel／$\partial /$ has several allophones：it is realized as a syllabic fricative ［1］after alveolar fricatives and affricates，as a syllabic fricative［2］after retroflex consonants，and as a high central vowel［i］after alveopalatals，as in（53）．／ə／occurs elsewhere，as in（54）．

| $/ \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{a}} /\left[\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{\imath}\right]$ | ＇goat＇ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ／zâ／［ẑ̂］ | ＇to suppress（anger）＇ |
| ／dô／［dî］ | ＇to divide＇ |

[^32]```
/ts`ž/[tse`̌] 'dog'
/\\hat{\partial}/[{\hat{\imath1]}] 'skin'
/tçá/ [tḉ] 'water'
/łǎ/ [द̌̌]] 'trousers'
(54)
/mô/ 'sky'
/dž-/ 'towards speaker' (directional verb prefix)
/kź/ 'garlic'
/gâ/ 'nine'
/q}\mp@subsup{\textrm{q}}{}{\mathrm{ ȟ-// 'outwards' (directional verb prefix)}
/fəวž/ 'I don't want it' (interjection)
```

No minimal pairs between /ə/ and [i] have been found in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, ${ }^{78}$ but in combination with alveolar consonants, whether palatalised, as in (55), or not palatalised, as in (56), / $/$ / is often in free variation with the unrounded high central vowel [i].

```(55)
```




```
    /ttn`̌̌/ [tèniž] ~ [tènи̌] 'other'
    /diâpà/ [d`ápà] ~ [dípà] 'sin'
```



```
(56) /dəbǔ/ [dàbǔ] ~ [dìbǔ] 'then'
    /t\hat{g/ [t\hat{]}~ ~ [t\hat{\imath}] 'this'}
    /tәदǽ/ [t̀ेс\check{x] ~ [t̀̀č́x] 'now'}
    /tó-/ [tó-] ~ [tíl-] 'upwards' (directional verb prefix)
    /nogố/ [nògoó] ~ [nò̀gố] 'nose'
```

The rounded high central vowel $/ \mathfrak{z} /$ is often realized with a lot of friction, as a rounded syllabic fricative $[\tau]$ after alveolar fricatives and affricates, as a rounded syllabic fricative [ $૫]$ after retroflex consonants, and as a high rounded central vowel [ H$]$ after

[^33]other consonants, as in (57). ${ }^{79}$ It must also be noted that $/ \mathbb{t} /$ does not always have a great deal of roundedness and can be pronounced more spread. Ding (1998:22) also mentions the low degree of roundedness that sometimes occurs with the vowel $/ \mathfrak{u} /$ in Niuwozi Pumi.

|  | 'lung' |
| :---: | :---: |
| /dǔ/ [dǔ] | 'to write' |
| /ş̌u/ [ธư] | 'to be warm' |
| /dzŭŭ/[dzŭ] | 'soul' |
| $/ \mathrm{n}^{\text {¢ }}$ / $/ \mathrm{n}^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ $]$ | 'to tan leather' |
| $/ \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{H} /\left[\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}\right.$ ] | 'head' |

Sometimes [ u$]$ is the result of a rounding of [i], [7] or [ 7 ], as in the non-egophoric form of verbs (which is marked by the rounded $<\mathrm{w}>$ infix, see §8.1.1) that contain a vowel /ə/:

```
/dzwź/ [dzч́] 'eat:PFv:N.EGO' (<dzó 'to eat')
/tcwž/ [tč̌u] 'say:PFV:N.EGO' (< tç̌ 'to say')
/h'wž/ [çǔ] 'beat: PFV:N.EGO' (< h'j\check{ 'to beat')}
```


### 2.2.2 Nasal vowels

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has four nasal vowels: /ī/, /ẽ/, / $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}} /$ and /õ/. ${ }^{80}$ These seem to have developed from final nasal consonants and in connected speech one occasionally hears a homorganic nasal. In Table 2.13 a few examples are given of Proto-Tibeto-Burman forms and their corresponding Wǎdū Pǔmǐ reflexes.

[^34]Table 2.13 PTB final nasals and Wǎdū Pǔmǐ nasalised vowels

| PTB form | Wǎdū Pǔmǐ | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *byam | /bĭ/ | 'to fly' |
| *syam | /¢î́/ | 'iron' |
| *na-y | /nǐ/ | '2SG' |
| *sip | /sě// | 'firewood' |
| *g-sum | /soั̃/ | 'three' |

The nasal vowels are shown in Table 2.14; minimal pairs are given in (59).
Table 2.14 Nasal vowels

|  | Front | Back |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | $\tilde{1}$ |  |
| Mid | $\tilde{\text { e }}$ | $\tilde{o}$ |
| Low | $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ |  |


| (59) | /bî/ | 'urine' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /bễ/ | 'pile.up:IMP:PL' |
|  | /bồ/ | 'thick' |
|  | /b $\mathrm{\tilde{x}}^{\text {/ }}$ | 'fly:PFV:N.EGO' |

The distinction between / $/$ / and / $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} /$ or between / $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} /$ and /õ/ that exists in some other Pǔmǐ speech varieties seems to be lost in the Wǎdū speech variety. ${ }^{81}$ There is only one back nasal vowel, analysed as /õ/. But sometimes words transcribed with /õ/ are pronounced closer to / $\tilde{\mathrm{a}} / .^{82}$ Additionally, /õ/ in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ corresponds to /i/, /ə/, $/ \tilde{\mathbf{1} /}$ or / $\tilde{\text { a }} /$ in other speech varieties. A few examples are shown in Table 2.15. That there is a change going on can be seen even in the Wǎdū speech variety itself, where the words /tethǐ/ and /teth ${ }^{\text {h }}$ と̌y/ 'after a while' are in free variation.

[^35]Table 2．15 Wǎdū Pǔmǐ／õ／versus other varieties

| Wǎdū | Other varieties | Reference | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ／nồ／ | ［ñí，nób，nồ］ | Lù 2001：370－371 | ＇day＇ |
| ／n⿺辶̂ol／ | ［nı̂̀］ | Sānjiè（Gerong Pincuo，MS） | ＇hemp＇ |
| ／ţớ／ | ［ f 勺ิ́］／［tç̂̀］ | Lù 2001：394－395／Gélǔdiàn， Kāngwū（Gerong Pincuo，MS） | ＇house＇ |
| ／dô／ | ［dî］ | Bājiā（personal fieldnotes） | ＇to link hands，follow leader＇ |
| ／dố／ | ［dí］ | Bājiā（personal fieldnotes） | ＇common bracken <br> （Pteridium aquilinum）＇ |
| ／tố／ | ［stî́，tî́，tî］ | Lù 2001：452－453 | ＇thousand＇ |
| ／thố／ | ［phzí］ | Lù 2001：444－445 | ＇white＇ |

The distinction between nasal $/ \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} /$ and oral $/ æ /$ is not well maintained in some cases． It is very minimal in the pair in（60），even to such extent that in my initial phonological chart I actually recorded＇fingernail＇as both［zǎ］and［zăx］．
（60）／ză／＇saliva＇
／zæّ̆ $/$＇fingernail＇
Following a nasal initial the contrast between nasal／$\tilde{\not} /$ and oral／æ／is neutralized （see also §2．4．6），and my main consultant showed considerable variation in his transcription．The contrast between oral／i／and nasal／i／is maintained following nasal initials，as can be seen from the（near－）minimal pairs in（61）．
（61）／mǐ／＇edible fungus＇
$/ \mathrm{mî} / \quad$＇what＇
／mǐ／＇to beg＇
／mǐ／＇to be cooked＇
The contrast can be seen in the vowel quality：the nasal vowel／i／often sounds like a nasalised diphthong［iã］，as in（62）．

```
/mî/[miẑ̀] 'what'
／nĩpá／［niồpá］＇flat bamboo basket＇
```

The vowels／ĩ／and／ẽ／almost always appear in complementary distribution．The vowel／ẽ／occurs with consonants of the＇non－palatal group＇，and the vowel／î／occurs with consonants of the＇palatal group＇．Examples with＇palatal group＇consonants are given in（63）and examples with＇non－palatal group＇consonants are given in（64）．

```
(63) /mîl/ 'medicine'
    /tî̀/ 'to take care of'
    /lí/ 'sturdy'
    /nĩ/ '2sG'
    /qí/ 'iron'
    /jǐ/ 'land'
(64) /dzễ/ 'eat:IMP:PL'
/sễ/ 'firewood'
/têe/ 'miss (people)'
/tsếeŕ/ 'slaughter:IMP:PL`
/\̊ê̌/ 'to bake'
/wê/ 'be able'
```

But there is only one minimal pair and one near-minimal pair, as listed in (65).
(65) /bǐ/ 'to fly, lurch forward'
/bě̃/ 'steam:IMP:PL’
/bâ/ 'pile.up:IMP:PL'
Speakers are able to differentiate between the two vowels. It might be that diachronically, due to nasalization which lowers the second formant of front vowels, /ẽ/ developed as a lowered, centralized allophone of $/ \overline{1} /$. This development would be parallel to the lowering and centralization of /i/ after 'non-palatal group' consonants described in §2.1.7.2, and would have resulted in two synchronically contrastive vowels. The formants of /ẽ/ often display a glide from a lower, more central vowel to a higher, more front vowel (from [ə̃] to [э̃] or from [э̃] to [î]).

Even though there is the appearance of allophony, there is a clear contrast, so I analyse /ĩ/ and /ẽ/ as different phonemes.

### 2.3 Syllable structure

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ syllable structure can be represented as in Table 2.16.
Table 2.16 Wadu Pumi syllable structure

+ /- tone
$+/$ - palatalization $\quad+/$ - nasalization

| $\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$ | (G) | V | (G) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all consonants | $/ \mathrm{w} /$ | all vowels | $/ \mathrm{w} /$ or $/ \mathrm{j} /$ |

This is much simpler than the syllable structure in Southern Pǔmǐ, which still retains many consonant clusters. The initial consonant $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ can be any of the 42 consonants,
some of which can be palatalised (Table 2.5, §2.1.7.1). Palatalization is considered a feature associated with the initial. The relatively unrestricted /w/ can function as a medial consonant (G) (§2.1.6). Vowels (V) are the only obligatory part of a syllable and can be oral or nasalised. Nasalization is considered a feature associated with the vowel. The two glides $/ \mathrm{j} /$ and $/ \mathrm{w} /$ can function as independent consonants $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ and off-glides in diphthongs (G) (§2.1.6). Pǔmǐ does not have any final consonants.

Tone is associated with the sonorant peak of the syllable, the vowel, and can be present or absent. This will be discussed in Chapter 3.

There are several vowel-only syllables. Acoustically they are preceded by a glottal stop, but this is not phonemic. Note, however, that Lù (2001:42,61,70,78) gives glottal phonemes for all of the northern speech varieties and remarks that they are very frequent and very clear. The glottal stop only appears in word-initial position, as in example (66), but does not often appear in connected speech, as in in (67), where the glottal stop only appears with the first inclusive pronoun ǐn-, but not with $\check{\text { д̌- 'this' and }}$ the question marker $\hat{\varepsilon}=$.

|  | 'Q' |
| :---: | :---: |
| /ĭn-/ [^ı̌n] | '1INCL' |
| /ว̆-/ [ఇว้] | 'here' |
|  | 'self' |
| /ùdiúwâ/ [?ùdiúwâ] | 'self' |

(67) [飞èmíbù そìjdzáņ̀̀dzí nècǎ:gì]

| zemî $=$ bu | ǐy $=$ dzæy | ž-dzi | ně-दæ̌ | $\hat{\mathbf{e}}=\mathrm{gi}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tonight = TOP | 1:INCL= DU | this-location | DOWN-spend.night | $\mathrm{Q}=$ VOL:INCL |

'(...) shall we sleep here tonight? (...)’ (KZ03.31)
The majority of syllables have the form CV. In Table 2.17 an example of every combination is given.

Table 2.17 Examples Wǎdū Pǔmǐ syllable structure

| Form | Meaning | Structure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /ê/ | '1sG' | V |
| /q̌̌/ | 'strength' | CV |
| /qwé/ | 'cow' | CGV |
| /aw/ | 'Oh!' (interjection) ${ }^{83}$ | VG |
| /wěj/ | 'to curse' | GVG |

[^36]| Form | Meaning | Structure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /̧áw/ | 'ritual' | CVG |
| /kwéj/ | 'let:PFV:N.EGO' | CGVG |

### 2.4 Phonological processes

Several phonological processes have already been discussed above. These include the palatalization of velar stops followed by the vowel /æ/ in §2.1.7.1, and the lowering and centralization of the high front vowel /i/ in §2.1.7.2. The morphophonological process of the fronting of the uvular stop in the directional verb prefix was discussed in §2.1.7.4.

In this section I will discuss a number of other phonological processes: vowel harmony (§2.4.1), consonant lenition (§2.4.2), voicing and aspiration change (§2.4.3), vowel reduction (§2.4.4), alternation between retroflexes and alveopalatals (§2.4.5), nasalization (§2.4.6) and glide alternation (§2.4.7). Unlike in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:44) and Xiǎngshuǐhé Pǔmǐ (personal notes), no bilabial trill substitution can be observed in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. This is probably due to the fact that the Pǔmǐ in Wǎdū are less influenced by the Nuòsū language.

### 2.4.1 Vowel harmony

Like Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:35), Japhug rGyalrong (Jacques 2004:350) and Xùmǐ (Chirkova 2009:14), Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows regressive vowel harmony. The main instances of harmonization I have observed are given in (68). Examples that show variation either with or without vowel harmony are given in (69). These examples all show regressive harmonization. Rounding and place harmony are the most common patterns observed.

| /temǎ/ [tàmǎ] | 'thumb' |
| :---: | :---: |
| /pelǎ/ [pàlǎ] | 'goiter' |
| /t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wîl-bu/ [ ${ }^{\text {htúbù }}$ ] | 'ale jar ${ }^{\text {84 }}$ |


| /wewǎ/ | [wèwǎ] ~ [wàwă] | 'to discuss ${ }^{85}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /ñəbulá/ | [n̊̀̀bùlá] ~ [ñùbùlá] | 'lips' |
| /sátu/ | [sśtû] ~ [sútû] | 'wooden paneling' |

[^37]| /tes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ lú/ | [tş ${ }^{\text {hàlú }}$ ] ~ [tes ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ı̀lú] | g tray |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /qîpu/ | [qípù]~ [qúpù] | 'cuckoo' |

There are a few cases of progressive harmonization:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { /busž/ [bùsž] ~ [bùsǔ] 'peach' } \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

Harmonization happens within morphemes as well as across morpheme borders, as in the case of the compound /t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wî-bu/ above. Another example is /qæ-mǽy/ 'lower down' which consists of the morphemes /qǎ-/ 'downwards' and /mæ̂y/ 'tail-end'. Sometimes this can lead to confusing situations as in (71) where [ $n^{\mathfrak{j}} æ$ ] seems to be the contraction of the personal pronoun /nǐy/ and the genitive marker $/=æ /$. Since this is followed by another genitive marker, the result might be interpreted as a double genitive, whereas, in fact, it is just regressive harmonization. The same is true for $/ \mathrm{k} \partial \mathrm{d} \not{ }^{2} æ=\mathrm{g} æ /$, but in this case the expected $/ \mathrm{k} \hat{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{zi}=\mathrm{g} æ /$ is not normally used.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ' } 2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN} \text { ' }  \tag{71}\\
& / \mathrm{k} \hat{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{\not} \mathrm{\supseteq æ}=\mathrm{g} æ / \quad \text { 'which. } \mathrm{one}=\text { GEN' }
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4.2 Consonant lenition

There is often free variation between an affricate and its fricative counterpart. This can be considered a form of consonant lenition. Matisoff (1997b:189,192) also notes variation between prefixed palatal affricates and fricatives ([ ft 5$] \sim[5]$, [ $[\mathrm{t} \mathrm{fh} \mathrm{h}] \sim\left[\mathrm{s} \int\right]$, $\left.\left[\int 5 \int h w\right] \sim\left[s \int w\right],[5 t f] \sim[\epsilon],\left[3 \mathrm{~d}_{3}\right] \sim[z]\right)$ in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ. Examples for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are given in (72).

$$
\begin{align*}
& / t \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{E}} / \sim / \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{E}} / \quad \text { 'to be big' } \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /t } \epsilon \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} / \sim / \epsilon \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} / \quad \text { 'to cut (with axe)' } \\
& / t \mathfrak{s} \hat{z} / \sim / \mathrm{s} \hat{z} / \quad \text { 'to jump' } \\
& \text { /tetsž/ ~/tesž/ ‘still’ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sometimes the difference is between different villages, for example 'to pinch' is
 varieties, for example 'to build, make' is dzǔ in Wǎdū, Wéixī, Lánpíng, Nínglàng, and Kāngwū and Xiàmàidì in Mùlǐ, but zǔ in Dōngzi, Bókē and Gùzēng in Mùlǐ (Gerong Pincuo, MS).

There are a few examples of consonant lenition between a palatalised consonant and a plain palatal glide, as in (73).

[^38]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { /diǎw/~/jǎw/ ‘again’ }  \tag{73}\\
& \text { /díǎ/ ~ /jæ̌ / 'let me' }
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Another instance of consonant lenition is that the voiced retroflex stop [d] is often reduced to a tap [r] or retroflex flap [r] in fast speech. Interestingly, this does not seem to happen with every occurrence of the voiced retroflex stop, but only in the frequently used $=d a w$ current evidential marker. ${ }^{87}$ This marker is often used in combination with the quotative tco (<the verb 'to say') and in many instances it fuses with the quotative to form a hearsay particle tcaw. In that case the initial is totally lost.

One example of strengthening instead of weakening is an example from a story told by a $50+$ male from Wǎdū. He used [ţ̦ǔ] instead of žǔ 'very'. My main consultant noted that this is a variant people are starting to use, especially young females from Tuōqī village.

### 2.4.3 Voicing and aspiration change

Voiceless nasals and rhotics sometimes show a voicing alternation.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [दáw.f.wâ] ~ [दáw.̧wâ] 'to pinch' }  \tag{74}\\
& \text { [dzèmǐi] ~ [dzèmǐ] 'beggar' (< mǐ̌ 'beg') }{ }^{88}
\end{align*}
$$

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is interesting in that it also sporadically devoices nasals. The examples in (75) all have a voiced nasal in other speech varieties. ${ }^{89}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [mâ] ~ [mô] 'person' }  \tag{75}\\
& \text { [wèmə̂] ~ [wèmô] 'guest' }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [màní] ~ [mə̀nín }]^{90} \text { 'child' }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^39]In my data there is one example of an alternation between aspiration and nonaspiration.
(76) [çùp ${ }^{\text {hǔ }] ~ ~ ~[c u ̀ p u ́] ~ ' m o s q u i t o ' ~}$

### 2.4.4 Vowel reduction

In nominal compounds and reduplicated verbs the vowel of the first syllable is often, but not always, centralized to [ e ] or [ə]. ${ }^{91}$ Nasalization of the original vowel is lost.
(77) [n'énî] ~ [njənî] 'drive.animals:CNT’ ( < níg 'to drive animals')

The direction of centralization is from full vowel > [ e$]$ > [ə]. Most centralizations have [ e ] as target, but when the original vowel is [ e ], this vowel might be centralized to [ə].
(78) /gagwě/ 'be drunk:RECP' ( $<$ gwě 'to be drunk')

Some centralizations are to [ə], without [ e ] as an alternative.
(79) /t̂̂tõ/ 'stay at home:RECP’ (<tón'to stay at home')
/kâtsu/ ‘door latch’ ( < kǒn 'door’ + tsû̀ 'thorn’)
Some examples of nominal compounds with and without vowel centralization are shown in (80):

```
/seqwžj/ 'stick' (< sěg 'wood' + qwěj` 'peg')
/sentwă/ 'tree branch' (< sěy`wood' + twă`branch')
```




### 2.4.5 Retroflex - alveopalatal alternation

There are a few examples that show alternation between retroflex and alveopalatal consonants. This could be a reflection of the fact that some of these forms are loanwords from Tibetan.

```
[téş̂`] ~ [téç̂̀] '(person's name)' (Tibetan < bKra.shis)
[kúdzû] ~ [kúdzû] 'reincarnation' (Tibetan < sku.sprul or sku.zhabs/gzhogs)
[dz\grave{̀tîn] ~ [dzòtîn] 'world' (Tibetan < 'jig.rten) 92}
```

[^40]In general, in Pǔmǐ, there is a tendency to palatalise post-alveolar and retroflex consonants which is most visible in the northern speech varieties. Some of the alternations between these sounds in the Wǎdū speech variety might point to the intermediate position that Wǎdū has between speech varieties spoken further south and speech varieties spoken further north, with the southern varieties showing more retroflex or post-alveolar reflexes and the northern varieties showing more alveopalatal reflexes. Compare for example the following words: ${ }^{93}$
(82) t $\int$ á 'water' (southern varieties; Lù 2001:362)
$t \epsilon \hat{f} \quad$ 'water' (northern varieties; Lù 2001:363)
tcá 'water' (Wǎdū)
(83) t ${ }^{\text {át }}$ tsá 'dirt' (southern varieties; Lù 2001:364)
tçâ 'dirt' (northern varieties; Lù 2001:365)
$t s \hat{\mathcal{x}} \quad$ 'dirt' (Wǎdū)

### 2.4.6 Nasalization

Nasal consonants can cause nasalization of vowels, especially front vowels, as in (84). This is in line with what Dīng noted for the Niúwōzǐ speech variety (1998:40) and it has recently been discussed for the neigbouring Naish languages and Japhug rGyalrong in Michaud, Jaqcues and Rankin (2012).
(84) /míni/ ~ [mî́nî] 'grass shoes'

$/ \mathrm{m} \hat{\dddot{x}} / \sim$ [mîê $] \quad$ 'hair' (Japhug rGyalrong tr-rme)
/mæ̌/ ~ [mæั̌] 'ten thousand'
Some words that are synchronically attested with a nasalised vowel, can be shown diachronically to have resulted from nasal spreading: ${ }^{94}$
(85) /niŏ̃/ 'breast' (Tibetan nu.ma, Japhug rGyalrong tul-mul)
$/ n \mathrm{i}$ ồ-t $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wi}$ / 'west' (Tibetan nub.phyogs)
/mễe 'tail-end' (Japhug rGyalrong $t$ t-jime)
/tanæั̃/ 'crossbow' (Naish tanc) ${ }^{95}$
/nŏ̃⿸厂 'snot' (Tibetan snabs, Japhug rGyalrong tul-cnaß)

[^41]As stated in §2.2.2, alternation of nasalization is common with the front vowel /æ/, even when there is no nasal present.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [z } \hat{x}] \text { ~ [z } \underset{\tilde{x}}{ }] \text { 'far' } \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

Nasalization can also result from harmonization to a nasalised vowel. Note that both consonants are sonorants, but it is not clear whether this is crucial.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [qæ̀m災] ~ [qæั̀mæ̃́] 'lower down' } \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4.7 Glide alternation

There are a few cases of glide alternation, both of $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{j} /$. The latter is actually an alternation of palatalisation, since the palatal is a secondary feature of the consonant, rather than an independent segment. The insertion of $/ \mathrm{w} /$ sometimes involves a difference in vowel colouring. Note that /w/ alternation seems to occur mainly with 'non-palatal group' consonants and palatalisation alternation only occurs with 'palatal group' consonants.

| [tsềtố] ~ [tswètố] | 'chest' |
| :---: | :---: |
| [tswềdǎ] ~ [tswè̀dwæัّ] | 'tissue' (inside pig) |
| [qùjì] ~ [qwèjí] | 'crow' |
| [néliâw] ~ [n'élijâw] | 'eye' |
| [làwlǎw] ~ [liàwliǎw] | 'move' |
| [mə ta] ~ [mə tia] | 'NMLZ.ALERT' (\$8.6.5) |

### 2.5 Conclusion

This chapter described the segmental phonology of the Wǎdū speech variety of Pǔmǐ. The overall consonant and vowel inventory is largely similar to that of other Pǔmǐ speech varieties (Matisoff 1997, Fù 1998, Dīng 1998, Lù 2001, Jacques 2011a,c), but the existence of a uvular stop phoneme series that was not previously attested for the northern speech varieties of the language is worth mentioning.

The current study analyses glides as part of the initial rather than the rhyme, which results in multiple consonant clusters and palatalised consonants instead of multiple complex rhymes. All the older consonant clusters attested for the southern and some of the northern speech varieties have been lost in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The stop-plus-fricative clusters changed to retroflex stops, and the fricative-stop or fricative-affricate clusters lost the fricative part without fricativizing the stop of affricate (as happens in some northern speech varieties).

Palatalisation plays an important role in Pǔmǐ. Based on co-occurrence with palatalisation, consonants can be divided into two groups: a palatal group and a non-
palatal group. Dīng (1998:13) proposed a similar division in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ. This division is relevant to several phonological and morphophonological phenomena, such as vowel retraction of the high front vowel /i/, palatalization of the labial-velar glide, and fronting of the directional prefix. To what extent other Pǔmǐ speech varieties show this (non)-palatal division and how that influences their phonology is a topic for further research.

## Chapter 3. <br> Tone and intonation

This chapter is concerned with the tonal system of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. This variety of Pǔmǐ displays a tonal system similar to that described for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998, 2001). Monosyllables show high, falling and rising tones. Tones can spread rightwards as the tonal domain is extended by toneless clitics or when two tonal domains merge, and tonal spreading is relatively straightforward. Tonal behaviour in compounding is often based on the tone of the first element, but is not always predictable. Rising tone verbs form two groups based on their tonal spreading behaviour: one of the groups shows interesting tonal alternations and tonal spreading patterns. The concept of tone groups is introduced as an important means for organising discourse, especially in relation to focus.

The current chapter is organised as follows. Some definitions of concepts used in this chapter will be given in §3.1. An initial illustration of tone groups will be given in §3.2. Their implication for discourse structure and relation to focus will be discussed in §3.5. Sandwiched in between these two sections are two other sections. The first, §3.3, discusses the basic tonal patterns that can be observed in words in isolation as well as in connected speech, and analyses their underlying tones by comparing their surface tone patterns in both environments. It will also deal with tonal spread that can be observed from tone-bearing lexical elements to toneless grammatical elements. The second section, §3.4, deals with several major combinations of tone-bearing elements that can be found in tone groups, and will illustrate their resulting tonal patterns. Alternating verbs are treated in §3.4.5. The chapter ends with a few notes on intonation (§3.6).

### 3.1 Intro and definitions

Pǔmǐ tone has been described to an extent for several Pǔmǐ speech varieties by various linguists. Most of the descriptions show that the Pǔmǐ tonal system is intricate and difficult to describe. Chinese linguists note that a massive amount of tone sandhi takes place: forms appear with different surface tones depending on the environment (Lù 1983, 2001; Fù 1998).

Most research on Pǔmǐ tone done so far (Lù 1983, 2001; Fù 1998; Matisoff 1997, 1998; Dīng 1998, 2001, 2006, 2007; Jacques 2011c) has focused on tonal behaviour of the smaller units of language, such as tone in monosyllabic and polysyllabic words, compounds, and reduplicated forms, and the interaction between directional prefixes
and verbs, lexical forms and clitics, and in phrases (noun + adjective; noun + verb). An exception is Greif (2010) who conducted a first survey on the interaction between tone and information structure in Pǔmǐ, based on Dīng's Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ data.

The large conversational and narrative corpus obtained during my fieldwork allows for a slightly different approach to tone: instead of focusing on the smaller building blocks, this thesis will also look at the way discourse constituents are ordered through tone. However, due to the scope of the thesis, it will only touch briefly on some issues. For the purpose of the present study, the current chapter will not discuss the work of the above-mentioned linguists in-depth, but while building on their work, rather focus on describing tone and intonation in the Wǎdū Pǔmǐ speech variety. In doing so, it will refer to certain aspects of their work where relevant.

Before moving on to the data, let me first introduce some terminology I will be using in the subsequent analysis.
'Intonation unit' is an observable stretch of speech that is continuous and preceded and followed by a pause. It is generally marked by downdrift. ${ }^{96}$ The pitch will be reset at the start of a new intonation unit.
'Surface tone' denotes the observable pitch (phonetic realization of tone) of the various syllables in a stretch of speech.
'Underlying tone' (or 'lexical tone') is a conceptual term that denotes the various analysed phonemic tones in the language. In my analysis I take most lexical items to be carriers of phonemic tone ('tone-bearing elements') and most grammatical items to be underlyingly toneless ('toneless elements') (see §3.3.1).
'Tone group' is a conceptual term which is based on the analysis of tonal behaviour in larger stretches of text. The term denotes a group of morphemes within an intonation unit that shares a single underlying tone. ${ }^{97}$ A tone group is observed to have at least one contour tone or high surface tone and not more than one contour tone or two (adjacent) high surface tones. Tone spreads (mostly) rightwards within a tone group.

[^42]'Tone group boundary' refers to the boundary between two tone groups, and is marked in some of the examples by '\#'.

In the Pǔmǐ data, tone is represented by diacritics written over the vowels; in prose, tones are referred to in abbreviated form with capital letters, as shown in Table 3.1. The relative pitch of the different tones is given in the final column. ${ }^{98}$ There is also a group of morphemes that is analysed to be toneless. These will be written without any tone marks in their underlying representation, and with tone marks to represent surface tone in their phonetic representation. When forms are presented phonemically (in slashes //), the underlying tone will be marked; when forms are presented phonetically (in square brackets [ ]), the surface tone will be marked (see also §1.8.1 on the orthography used in this grammar).

Table 3.1 Representation of tone

| Description of tone | Diacritics | In prose | Relative pitch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High level | á | H(igh) | 44 |
| High falling | $\hat{\mathrm{a}}$ | HL/F(alling) | 54 |
| Low level | à | L(ow) | 22 |
| Low rising | ă | LH/R(ising) | 24 |

### 3.2 Tone groups

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, tone plays an important role in the structuring of discourse. There are various examples in the corpus where a particular combination of morphemes appears with a particular surface tone pattern, but where a different surface tone pattern is possible as well. The various surface tone patterns express various types of focus (narrow/argument-focus versus broad/sentence-focus), and are caused by the different underlyings grouping of constituents into tone groups. Tone groups are the most important conceptual unit used by speakers to structure discourse. Within a tone group, the underlying tone of one lexical element (usually the left-most element) spreads (usually rightwards) to the adjacent morphemes in the same tone group (tone spreading patterns are discussed in §3.3). The remaining toneless or tone-bearing elements in a tone group are assigned default low surface tone. Tone does not spread across tone group boundaries. It can be generally observed that within a tone group at least one surface high tone is present, that is, there are no tone groups with only low

[^43]surface tone（as will be discussed in relation to underlying low－toned monosyllables， §3．3．2）；additionally，not more than two adjacent high surface tones are present．

Example（89）is shown to indicate what I analyse as tone groups in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ．This example illustrates how constituents are combined into tone groups and how these affect the surface tone．In the examples in this chapter，the initial line shows the surface tone and the interlinear line the underlying tone．＇ $\mid$＇represents an intonation unit boundary：the speaker pauses briefly；‘\＃＇represents a tone group boundary．

```
孔ènǎ\# 孔ènà khí = bú,\# jǎw | té-qè = bù\#
zenǎ zenǎ \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}\) jǎw tě－q \(\hat{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{bu}\)
    in.the.past in.the.past time \(=\) TOP again one-clf:household \(=T O P\)
    má = nòn\# má = tì zì = sì tcàw.
    mâ=nō mô=ti zî=si tc̣aw.
    mother \(=\) COORD \(\quad\) daughter \(=I N D F \quad\) EXIST.AN \(=I N F \quad\) HSY
```

＇Long，long ago there was a household that consisted of a mother and a daughter．＇（TC08．1）

The utterance in（89）consists of two intonation units：both the first and the second intonation unit consist of three tone groups．Intonation units can be the same size as or bigger than tone groups，and their boundaries normally coincide with a tone group boundary．

No tonal spreading occurs in the first tone group：the group consists of only one word， zeň＇in the past＇，that keeps its underlying tone．${ }^{99}$ In the second tone group，the underlying rising tone of $\not \approx$ हnəॅ spreads to the toneless $k^{h} i$ and $=b u$ ，which，as a result， are pronounced with high surface tones；ъen⿳̌ appears with low surface tone on both syllables．The third tone group is similar to the first：it consists of only one word，jǎw ＇again＇，which，like some other clause linkers（see below）never forms a tone group with any other element．Thus it keeps its underlying rising tone．The fourth tone group is interesting in that it consists of a numeral－classifier compound［té－qè］combined with a toneless topic marker $=b u$ ．As shown in §3．4．2，numeral－classifier compounds involving the number＇one＇show leftward tonal spread，where the numeral takes on the tone of the classifier．The resulting high－low tone of the compound then spreads rightwards onto the clitic．The fifth tone group shows the noun moth＇mother＇with a falling tone spreading to the toneless clitic $=$ noly．As a result，mâ is pronounced with

[^44]a high level surface tone and $=n o \eta$ with a low surface tone. The sixth tone group is similar to the fifth in that the noun mô 'daughter' has a falling underlying tone that spreads to the toneless clitic $=t i$. The rest of the tone group receives default low surface tone, even though $z \hat{\imath}$ 'to exist' has an underlying falling tone. ${ }^{100}$

Looking at the corpus, some elements always combine with others into a single tone group, some elements always form a tone group by themselves, and for some elements, speakers can decided to combine or not combine them into tone groups. The latter elements are the most interesting, in that they allow the speaker to express pragmatic differences through the choice of combining them or not. How this ties in with focus in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ will be discussed in §3.5.

There are only a few elements that do not usually combine into tone groups with other elements. These are the clause linkers $\hbar a$ 'and then' (§10.2), jǎw 'again', nǒy 'so, in that case' (§4.8) and dəbǔ 'then' (§10.9.3) ${ }^{101}$ that have an important function in structuring discourse. Interestingly, similar markers were also mentioned for Yǒngníng Na (Michaud 2013).

The nominal demonstrative tó (§4.6.1) never forms a single tone group with the following noun. However, when it is followed by a clitic, its tone spreads to the clitic. Numeral-classifier compounds never form a single tone group with the preceding noun. Speakers have the choice to combine or not combine nouns and their modifying adjectives; adjacent objects and verbs; verbs and auxiliaries. Illustrations of speaker choice will be given in $\S 3.5$.

Toneless elements usually combine with others into tone groups (there seem to be some cases of clitic groups that form a tone group, in which case there is no tone present in the tone group; this needs further research).

### 3.3 Basic tonal patterns and tonal spreading in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ

This section describes the basic tonal patterns found on tone-bearing lexical elements and their patterns of spread in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. It starts with a subsection on toneless morphemes (§3.3.1) and then moves on to tonal patterns on monosyllables (§3.3.2), disyllables (§3.3.3), and polysyllables (§3.3.4). Through comparing the tonal surface patterns found in isolation with the tonal patterns found in connected speech, the

[^45]underlying tones will be established. Some exceptional tone patterns are discussed in (§3.3.5), tone in expressives is treated in (§3.3.6), tone and tonal spread with pronouns is dealt with in (§3.3.7), and the section ends in a note on alternating tone pairs (§3.3.8). The tonal patterns found correspond to what Dīng described for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ: a foursyllable tonal template to which tones are attached and spread mostly rightwards.

### 3.3.1 Toneless morphemes

There is a group of grammatical words and clitics in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ that I have analysed as underlyingly toneless. The reason for that is that they never appear independently, and so their tone in isolation cannot be established. Additionally, a study by Greif based on Dīng's Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ data shows that unlike lexical morphemes that show high or low tonal targets, discourse particles have no clear tonal target and should be considered toneless (2010:233). Their behaviour in connected speech also warrants the analysis that they are toneless: most grammatical words and clitics form one tone group with a lexical tone-bearing element and are subject to straightforward tonal spreading rules (as described in §3.3.2-§3.3.4).

In Table 3.2 a partial list is given of clitics, affixes and grammatical words that have been analysed as toneless. These toneless morphemes will be written without tone marks in their underlying representation (but with surface tone marks in the example sentences in the rest of the grammar).

Table 3.2 Some toneless particles and clitics

| Marker | Function | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cigi | 'a little bit' | §4.7 |
| madzæ | 'every' | §4.4.1 |
| $k^{h}{ }_{j}$ | 'time' (temporal subordinator) | §4.6.5 |
| -li | 'diminutive' | §5.1.3.4 |
| -ton | 'instrument/location nominalizer' | §5.2.1 |
| $=\mathrm{mo}$ | 'agentive/general nominalizer' | §5.2.3 |
| $=(g) \mathfrak{X}$ | 'genitive' | §5.3.1 |
| $=\downarrow$ | 'plural' | §5.4 |
| $=g o$ | 'definite ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | §5.5 |
| = non | 'coordinator' | §5.7;10.1 |
| $=(g) o \eta(n i)$ | 'instrumental/agentive' | §6.2.1; 6.2.4 |
| $=1 a$ | 'also ' | §6.5.1 |


| Marker | Function | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=b u$ | 'general topic' | $\S 6.5 .6$ |
| $=s i$ | 'inferential evidential' | $\S 8.3 .1$ |

Some examples of this are the customary markers wen and $q^{h} u$ that derive from tonebearing verbs/modal auxiliaries (§8.5), the hearsay evidential marker tcaw (§8.3.5) that derives from the lexical tone-bearing verb tçz' 'to say' and the toneless nonegophoric imperfective marker $=d a w$ (§8.3.2).

A partial exception are the postpositions that derive from originally tone-bearing nouns and that are on different parts of the grammaticalisation cline, which is also reflected in their tonal behaviour: they sometimes take on the tone of the preceding noun, but sometimes block tonal spread and surface in a low tone. Their behaviour will be described in §3.4.4.

Some grammatical markers are exceptions in that they display lexical tone. Directional verb prefixes (§7.1) are grammatical morphemes with certain lexical meaning. They are formally and semantically related to bound demonstratives (\$4.6.2), and their underlying rising tone only shows up in conditional clauses (§10.4.1) and in combination with low-tone verbs. This will be described in §3.4.5. The general and perfective negation clitics and the interrogative clitic all seem to have lexical tone. Their tonal behavior will be described in §3.4.6.

### 3.3.2 Tone on monosyllables

Tone found on monosyllables is schematized in Table 3.3. Examples are given with both nouns and verbs. Columns \#1 and \#2 of the table show the surface tonal pattern in isolation, Columns \#4 and \#5 the surface tonal pattern in connected speech (followed by the disyllabic toneless instrumental/agentive clitic = goŋni (§6.2.1, §6.2.4) in the case of nouns; followed by the toneless particle $k^{h}{ }_{i}$ 'time' ( $\$ 10.4 .2$ ) and toneless general topic marker $=b u$ (§6.5.6) in the case of verbs, which renders the meaning 'when V-ing'), and Column \#6 shows the analysed underlying tone.

Table 3.3 Tone on monosyllabic words

| \#1 | \#2 | \#3 | \#4 | \#5 | \#6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isolation | Pattern | Meaning | Running speech | Pattern | Tone |
| [pî] | F | '(type of plant) ${ }^{102}$ | [pí = gòynì] | H=L.L | Falling |
| [kî] |  | 'to cut' | [ $\mathrm{kí} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}$ ] | $\mathrm{HL}=\mathrm{L}$ |  |
| [pí]/[pî] | H/F | 'truth' | [pí = góynì] | $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{L}$ | High |
| [kí]/[kî] |  | 'to chase' | [ $\mathrm{kí}$ k ${ }^{\text {híí }}$ bù ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H H $=$ L |  |
| [pǐ] | R | 'belly' | [pì = góyní] | L= H. H | Rising |
| [kǐ] |  | 'to put in' | [kì khí = bú] | L H $=\mathrm{H}$ |  |
| [wǔ] |  | 'tiger' | [wù = gònní] | L=L.H | Low |
|  |  |  | [wù = gónní] | L= H. H |  |
| [dzěj] |  | 'to ride' | [dzèj k ${ }^{\text {hì }}=$ bú] | $\mathrm{LL}=\mathrm{H}$ |  |
|  |  |  | [dzèj k ${ }^{\text {hí }}=$ bú] | L H $=\mathrm{H}$ |  |

Similar to the Niúwōzǐ, Táobā and Shuǐluò varieties of Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:48; Lù 2001:59; Jacques 2011c:364), Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows a three-way distinction in surface tone on monosyllables in isolation (Columns \#1 and \#2). The high level and high falling tones, however, are not always easily distinguishable in isolation or at the end of an intonation unit: in those environments the high level tone is often realized with a fall at the end. ${ }^{103}$ Dīng (1998:52) noted this for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ as well, but he showed that there is a slight difference in their tonal contour (1998:53; 2001:65-67). Their dissimilar tonal behaviour in running speech (Columns \#4 and \#5) also indicates clearly that they are two different underlying tones.

Words that show rising surface tone in isolation can be divided into two groups based on their tonal behaviour in running speech. The dissimilar tonal behaviour of the two groups (Columns \#4 and \#5) suggests that at least one extra tonal category should be recognised. I have analysed the group that includes the words 'tiger' and 'to ride' as having an underlying Low tone. (The reasons for this will be discussed shortly).

The spreading rules for underlying Falling, High and Rising tone on monosyllables are fairly straightforward. When toneless markers or clitics are attached, this extends the tone group and the underlying tone of the tone-bearing element spreads rightwards, as

[^46]is shown in Column \#4 of Table 3.3. This can be conceptualised as follows (using the nouns from Table 3.3):

Figure 3.1. Tonal spread in monosyllables



The black line shows to which syllable the tone is attached. The dotted lines show the way the tone spreads. The falling (HL) underlying tone on the word /pî/ '(type of plant)' splits into H and L ; the H stays on the original tone-bearing syllable and the L spreads rightwards to the adjacent syllable, resulting in a high surface tone on the original tone-bearing syllable and a low surface tone on the syllable to the right. The other syllable receives a default low surface tone. (Note that it is also possible to analyse it as the L spreading rightwards to all the syllables of the tone group. For consistency I chose the former and not the latter analysis). The high (H) underlying tone on the word /pí/ 'truth' spreads rightwards to the adjacent syllable, resulting in high surface tones on the original tone-bearing syllable and on the syllable next to it. All the other syllables receive default low surface tones. The rising (LH) underlying tone on the word /pǐ/ 'belly' splits into L and H; the L stays on the original tone-bearing syllable and the H spreads rightwards to up to two adjacent syllables, ${ }^{104}$ resulting in a low surface tone on the original tone-bearing syllable and high surface tones on the two adjacent syllables to the right.

The tonal patterns for monosyllabic nouns and verbs that have an underlying low tone are not so straightforward. There are only a few forms that show a $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{H}$ as well as a $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}$ spreading pattern, as shown in Table 3.3 for [wǔ] 'tiger' and examples (90) and (91). Apart from 'tiger', only the numeral sòn 'three' has been attested with L=L.H and $L=H . H$ spread, as in (92) and (93).

[^47](90) ((wù = gònní)) \#qà-b ${ }^{j} æ$ ® $^{\#}$ sèŋbóy = bì\# də̀bǔ\#

| wù = goŋni | qǎ-b ${ }^{\text {j} æ ~}$ | seŋbóy=bi | dəbǔ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tiger $=$ AGT | DOWN-side:GEN | tree= DAT | then |

tè-cú thé-pù\# fià tçàbù
tǐ-cú thě-pú fia tcəbbu
one-CLF:armful FR.SP-do LINK because
'((Tiger)) grabbing an armful of tree down there, (said,) (...)' (KZ03.36)
(91)
(92)
wù = góyní\#
dàbǔ, \# wù = góyní\# də̀bǔ
wù = goŋni
dəbǔ
tiger $=$ AGT
'The tiger (said,) (...)' (KZ03.9)

zêp ${ }^{j} æ \quad$ sòy $=\mathrm{g} ə=\mathrm{bu} \quad$ nǒy $\quad$ elǰ̌̌ti
'But last year's three.... a little....' (CV21.152)
sò $=$ gón\# toóy tà\# tçá = dwéท mə̀ dzə̀ mà.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { sò } y=\text { gon } & \text { tiô } & \text { ta } & \text { tcǽ }=\text { dwey } & \text { mə dzə } & \text { ma } \\ \text { three }=\text { AGT } & \text { one:CLF:thing } & \text { only } & \text { calculate= IPFV:EGO:N.SG } & \text { GNOMIC } & \text { INFO }\end{array}$
'(...) (we) consider the three to be just one thing.' (CV21.594.3)
The $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{H}$ tonal patterns seem to be able to be used interchangeably with wù and sòn, and there seem to be pragmatic reasons that trigger one versus the other. ${ }^{105}$ More research is needed. Since it concerns only two nominal forms, it might be an option to treat them as an exception. However, there is a whole group of verbs that shows a similar tonal spread.

Monosyllabic surface rising tone verbs can be divided into two groups as seen from their tonal pattern in Table 3.3. The first pattern, $\mathrm{LH}=\mathrm{H}$ is shared by both groups (and as seen above by all surface rising tone nouns as well). In addition to the $\mathrm{L} H=\mathrm{H}$ pattern, one group of verbs also shows a $L \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{H}$ tonal spreading pattern. This group of verbs is special in that their tonal pattern is different in their basic form and in their prefixed form as shown in Table 3.4, i.e. when prefixed, these verb act like falling tone verbs (further discussion will follow in §3.4.5). In this grammar I refer to the verbs in Table 3.4 as alternating verbs, and to verbs that show a normal $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}$ spreading pattern when prefixes are attached, as non-alternating verbs.

[^48]Table 3.4 Tonal spread of alternating verbs

| Basic form | L H = H pattern | L L $=\mathrm{H}$ pattern | L-H L = L pattern | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [bǐn] | [bìn $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bú] | [bìy $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bú}$ ] | [ $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò-bíp }} \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù $]$ | 'to fly' |
| [ză] | [zà k ${ }^{\text {híi }}=\mathrm{bú}$ ] | [zà k ${ }^{\text {hì }}=\mathrm{bú}$ ] | [dò-zá kì $=$ bù] | 'to carry' |

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, the group of alternating verbs displays two tonal patterns in their basic (non-prefixed) forms, ${ }^{106}$ either $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}$ as shown in Table 3.4. These patterns seem to be used interchangeably and it is not clear yet what triggers each pattern. It possibly ties in with the pragmatics of the utterance or with stress, but further research is needed. In their prefixed forms, however, these verbs show a $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H} \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}$ tonal pattern, and behave in that way exactly like falling tone verbs.

For the present purposes, I analyse the group of alternating verbs as having a low tone underlyingly. Since all tone groups need to have at least one H tone attached to them (see §3.2), one could analyse the presence of a H tone that is added post-lexically, which results in a rising surface tone in isolation (see the first image in Figure 3.2). This also happens when toneless markers follow in the same tone group. However, there are two tonal surface patterns that appear ( $L=H$ and $L H=H$ ), which could be analysed as the H post-lexical tone attaching to the final syllable of the tone group (the second or third image in Figure 3.2), or to both the final and the penultimate syllable (the fourth image in Figure 3.2). Image two and three differ in the origin of the low surface tone on $k^{h}$. One could either analyse it as a default low tone that is assigned to the syllable, or as the spreading of the low underlying tone of the verb.

Figure 3.2. Low tone (alternating) verbs


| L |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | H |
|  |  |  |
| bing | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ | $=\mathrm{bu}$ |


| $\left.\right\|_{\text {bin } \ell \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\mathrm{bu}} ^{\mathrm{L}}$ |
| :---: |


| L |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| bin | H |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{bu}$ |  |

The tonal pattern that can be observed in the prefixed form can be conceptualised as in Figure 3.3. This tonal pattern will be discussed in relation to the underlying tone of directional prefixes in §3.4.5.

[^49]Figure 3.3. Tonal alternating verbs
$\left.\right|_{\text {bin }} ^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{H}$


In (94) both tonal patterns can be seen with the alternating verb $f_{i}$ 'to burn', and in

(94) ไì = bǔ,\# pépù\# , tì = dáw má dzà.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { £ì = bu } & \text { pêpu } & \text { £ì = daw } & \text { mə dzə } \\ \text { burn= TOP } & \text { bottom } & \text { burn= IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { GNOMIC }\end{array}$
'(Cypress incense) is also burned at the bottom.' (CV21.573)
(95) tènǎ\# nǐy\# tsétsàw = nòy\# tá = łá\# ná pú\# nǒy\#



horse $=$ COORD this $=$ PL thus do run-NMLZ $=I N D F$

$m \hat{\partial}=q^{\text {h }} u \quad$ dæ̀ $\eta=n o \eta \quad b i ̀ y-m ə=t i=b u \quad$ k̂̀ $\quad \zeta \check{\imath}=q \varepsilon j$
sky $=$ on run $=$ COORD fly $=$ NMLZ $=\mathrm{INDF}=$ TOP where EXIST.AB $=$ EXPT
'(...) how could there be ones running like this on horses and such, ones running and flying (on horses) in the sky?' (CV13.95.2)

Thus, although the evidence to establish a separate tone group for nominals is rather sparse, and wù and sòy could be treated as exceptions, there is a whole group of verbs that warrant the analysis of a separate tone. In §3.4.5 it will be seen that this group of verbs is also present in other Pǔmǐ speech varieties.

In summary, Table 3.5 illustrates the tonal patterns that have been described in this section for the different monosyllabic verbs ( $=s e \eta$ is the toneless egophoric perfective clitic described in §8.3.1). The high tone verb 'to chase' shows a straightforward spread of the high tone to the adjacent syllable in both prefixed and non-prefixed forms. The falling tone verb 'to cut' shows a straightforward split of the tone into H and L in both prefixed and non-prefixed forms, with the high tone remaining on the verb stem and the low tone spreading to the clitic $=s e \eta$. The alternating tone verb 'to sell' displays the tonal alternation pattern described above for alternating verbs, namely, the $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{LH}$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{HH}$ patterns for its non-prefixed form and the $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{L}$ pattern that is similar to that of falling tone verbs for its prefixed form. The rising tone verb 'to give drink' shows
a straightforward split of the rising tone into L and H in both prefixed and non-prefixed forms, with the low tone remaining on the verb stem and the high tone spreading to the clitic $=s e \eta$.

Table 3.5 Tonal spread in monosyllabic verbs

| Meaning | Verb stem | Tonal spre | Tonal spread with prefix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'chase' | /kí/ | [ $\mathrm{kí}=$ sén] | [ $\mathrm{t}^{\text {ºe }}$-kí $=$ sén] |
| 'cut' | /kî/ | [ $\mathrm{kí}=$ sè̀] | [t'è-kí=sèy] |
| 'sell' | /kì/ | [kì $=$ sén] |  |
| 'give drink' | /kǐ/ |  | [ $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hè }}$-kì $=$ sén] |

### 3.3.3 Tones on disyllables

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows five possible tonal patterns on disyllables. These are shown in Table 3.6. Examples are given with both nouns and verbs.

Table 3.6 Tone on disyllabic words

|  | Isolation | Pattern | Meaning | Running speech | Pattern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [bútsì] | H.L | 'cremation site' | [bútsò = gònnì] | H.L = L.L |
|  | [dádwè] |  | 'to ask' | [dádwè kî̀ = bù] | H.L L = L |
| 2 | [píháw] | H.H | 'bamboo basket' | [píháw = gònnì] | H. $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{L}$ |
|  | [¢̣áw.̧̣wá] |  | 'to wring' | [दáw.f̧wá | H. H L $=\mathrm{L}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù $]$ |  |
| 3 | [ q $^{\text {hòngdzî] }}$ | L.F | 'spoon' | [ h $^{\text {hò̀ndzí }}$ = gòynì $]$ | L. $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{L}$ |
|  | [t¢æ̀. $\hat{x}^{\text {en }}$ |  | 'to prepare' |  | L. $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}$ |
| 4 | [bùtéj] | L.H | 'snake' | [bù ¢́̌j $_{\text {= }}=$ gónnì] | L. $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{L}$ |
|  | [kàwtá] |  | 'to collect' | [kàwtá $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ í $=$ bù] | L. $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{L}$ |
| 5 | [dè.ě̌j] | L.R | 'speech' |  | L.L $=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}$ |
|  | [biæl ${ }^{\text {jǒnn }}$ ] |  | 'to roll (tr.)' |  | L.L H=H |

The patterns can be analysed as the placement of three different underlying tones (Falling, High and Rising) on either the first or the second syllable of the word. Pattern 1 has a Falling tone placed on the first syllable, thus in underlying representation /bûtsə/ and /dôdwe/. Pattern 2 has a High tone placed on the first syllable, thus in underlying representation /píhaw/ and /cáw.ţwa/. Pattern 3 has a Falling tone placed on the second syllable, thus in underlying representation /qhondzî/ and /tsæ£ $\hat{x} /$. Pattern 4 has a High tone placed on the second syllable, thus in underlying
representation /butéj/ and /kawtá/. Pattern 5 has a Rising tone placed on the second syllable, thus in underlying representation /defě̌j/ and /biæjiǒy/.

Note that patterns 3 and 4 are often not distinguishable in isolation: both seem to end in a falling tone in isolation. Only when clitics are added, the distinction becomes clear: this is also noted for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Ding 2006:14) and Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ (Jacques 2011c:364) and is very similar to Japanese (McCawley 1978, Dīng 2006).

The spreading rules for tone on disyllables are fairly straightforward. When toneless markers or clitics are attached, this extends the tone group and the underlying tone of the tone-bearing lexical element spreads rightwards, as is shown in the 'Running speech' column of the table. This can be conceptualised as follows (using the nouns from Table 3.6):

Figure 3.4. Tonal spread in disyllables




The black line shows to which syllable of the word the tone is attached. The dotted lines show the way it spreads. The falling (HL) underlying tone splits into H and L ; the H stays on the original tone-bearing syllable and the L spreads rightwards to the adjacent syllable, resulting in a high surface tone on the original tone-bearing syllable and a low surface tone on the syllable to the right. All other syllables receive default low surface tones. The high (H) underlying tone spreads rightwards to the adjacent syllable, resulting in high surface tones on the original tone-bearing syllable and on the syllable next to it. All the other syllables receive default low surface tones. The rising ( LH ) underlying tone splits into L and H ; the L stays on the original tone-bearing syllable and the H spreads rightwards to up to two adjacent syllables, resulting in a low surface tone on the original tone-bearing syllable and high surface tones on the two adjacent syllables to the right. All other syllables receive default low surface tones.

### 3.3.4 Tones on polysyllables

Polysyllabic words are often generated through compounding (tonal patterns in compounding are discussed in §3.4.1). But simplex polysyllabic words do occur. They are often geographical names or names of flora and fauna. Trisyllabic words show seven tonal surface patterns. Examples of tones on trisyllables are shown in Table 3.7. ' - ' indicates a morpheme break.

Table 3.7 Tones on trisyllabic words

|  | Isolation | Pattern | Meaning | Running speech | Pattern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [níntswàdì] | H.L.L | 'rainbow' | [níntswàdì = gòynì] | H.L.L= L.L |
| 2 | [lóts ${ }^{\text {h }}$ úts ${ }^{\text {hù }}$ ] | H.H.L | '(person's |  | H.H.L $=$ L.L |
|  |  |  | name)' |  |  |
| 3 | [tç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Wæ̀-t ch $^{\text {hékù }}$ ] | L.H.L | 'pig present' | [ $t ¢^{\text {h }}$ wæ̀̀-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ 'ékù $=$ gònnì] | L-H.L $=$ L.L |
| 4 | [t¢ ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{w}$ æ̀s${ }^{\text {ápút }}$ | L.H.H | 'hawthorn?' |  | L.H.H $=$ L.L |
| 5 | [tse ${ }^{\text {hèmà }}$ cisîj] | L.L.F | '(place name)' |  | L.L. $\mathrm{H}=$ L.L |
| 6 | [tç ${ }^{\text {hàcìdz}}$ dz | L.L.H | 'festival of firstfruits’ | [tç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ¢ $¢$ cìdzá $=$ gónnì] | L.L. $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{L}$ |
| 7 |  | L.L.R | 'Cordyceps' |  | L.L.L $=$ H. H |

The patterns can be analysed as the placement of three different underlying tones (Falling, High and Rising) on the first, the second, or the third syllable of the word. Pattern 1 has a Falling tone placed on the first syllable, thus in underlying representation /nîntswadi/. Pattern 2 has a High tone placed on the first syllable, thus in underlying representation /lóats ${ }^{\text {h}} u t s^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ /. Pattern 3 has a Falling tone placed on the second syllable, thus in underlying representation /t $6^{\mathrm{h}} w æ-t \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{e} k u} /$. Pattern 4 has a High tone placed on the second syllable, thus in underlying representation $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ wæsópu/. Pattern 5 has a Falling tone placed on the third syllable, thus in underlying representation $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{emaqwêj} /$. Pattern 6 has a High tone placed on the third syllable, thus in underlying representation $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ucidzá/. Pattern 7 has a Rising tone placed on the third syllable, thus in underlying representation $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { h }}$ lǐ/.

Note that patterns 5 and 6 are often not distinguishable in isolation: both seem to end in a falling tone. Only when clitics are added, the distinction becomes clear. All tones spread regularly in the manner described for disyllables (§3.3.3 and Figure 3.4), as can be seen in the column 'Running speech' in the table.

Quadrisyllabic and quinquesyllabic words show six possible tonal surface patterns. Examples are shown in Table 3.8. For reasons of space, ' X ' in the 'In speech' (= Running speech) column stands for the citation form as listed in the 'Citation' column.

Table 3.8 Tones on quadrisyllabic and quinquesyllabic words

|  | Citation | Pattern | Meaning | In speech | Pattern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | [qúpù-dzèj-gwèn] | H.L-L-L | 'common <br> hoopoe' | [ $\mathrm{X}=$ gò̀nnì] | H.L-L-L = L.L |
| 2 | [ ${ }^{\text {jónjf̧́bà }}$ là] | H.H.L.L | 'bat' | [ $\mathrm{X}=$ gò̀nnì] | H.H.L.L $=$ L.L |
| 3 | [〔wèsź-bùlị̀ | L.H-L.L | '(type of fungus)' | [ $\mathrm{X}=$ gò̀nnì] | L.H-L.L = L.L |
|  |  | L.H-L.L.L | 'deceased old woman' | [ $\mathrm{X}=$ gò̀nnì $]$ | L.H-L.L.L = L.L |
| 4 | [dàmá-swá-mò] [bùuçj-ł̧́-næ̀ŋhàw] | L.H-H-L <br> L.H-H-L.L | '(type of bird)' <br> 'snake skin bag' | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{X}=\text { gòynì }]} \\ & {[\mathrm{X}=\text { gòynì }]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L.H-H-L = L.L } \\ & \text { L.H-H-L.L }=\text { L.L } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | [ h $^{\text {hùgæ̀-wúdù] }}$ | L.L-H.L | 'hazelnut' | [ $\mathrm{X}=$ gò̀nnì] | L.L-H.L = L.L |
| 6 | [tòntç̀páwliáw] | L.L.H.H | ‘wild <br> buckwheat' | [ $\mathrm{X}=$ gò̀nnì] | L.L.H.H = L.L |
|  | [pùdìmá-q ${ }^{\text {húnij}}{ }^{\text {² }}$ ] | L.L.H-H.L | 'deceased old woman' | [ $\mathrm{X}=$ gò̀nnì] | L.L.H-H.L = L.L |

The patterns can be analysed as the placement of two different underlying tones (Falling and High) on either the first, the second, the third, or the fourth syllable of the word. Pattern 1 has a Falling tone placed on the first syllable, thus in underlying representation /qûpu-dzcj-gwen/. Pattern 2 has a High tone placed on the first syllable,
 the second syllable, thus in underlying representation / $\mathfrak{\chi w e s \hat { \jmath } - \mathrm { but }}{ }^{j} æ /$ and $/ \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{tn}^{\mathrm{j}} \hat{\mathfrak{x}}-$ pudima/. Pattern 4 has a High tone placed on the second syllable, thus in underlying representation /dəmá-swa-mə/ and /butéj-əə-næŋhaw/. Pattern 5 has a Falling tone placed on the third syllable, thus in underlying representation /q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ugæ-wûdu/. Pattern 6 has a High tone placed on the third syllable, thus in underlying representation /tōtçəpáwlijaw/ and /pudimá-q ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} æ /$.

Note that again patterns 5 and 6 are often not distinguishable in isolation: both seem to end in a falling tone. Only when clitics are added, the distinction becomes clear. All tones spread regularly in the manner described for disyllables (§3.3.3) as can be seen in the column 'Running speech' in the table.

### 3.3.5 Exceptional tone patterns

The tonal patterns described in §3.3.2-§3.3.4 can be said to be regular tonal patterns: they only show (rising or falling) contour tones on their final syllable. In general,
contour tones in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are restricted to the final syllable of a tone group (cf. Jacques 2011c:364 for a similar observation in Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ).

Apart from the regular tonal patterns, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows several words with diverging tonal patterns. The most well attested pattern has a rising contour tone in its first syllable: R.L. The R.L pattern is also marginally attested in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 2001:68). ${ }^{107}$ Examples of the pattern are given in (96).

```
(96) [tsǐjà] '(name of household)'108
[zæ̌zæ̀] '(name of household)'
[mǎn'æ̀] 'every'
[n'æ̈{̀̀>] 'very early'
[nǒnjæ̀] 'later'
[nǒynòy] 'and only then'
[pǎzì] 'carpet'109
[kǒypù] 'doorway, gate' (< kǒn 'door' and pu 'under')
```



```
[fǎwkhì 'that time' (< Ћăw- 'that' and -k'i 'time')
```

Note that there are no verbs with a R.L pattern. Diachronically, the examples in (96) might have arisen from the combination of multiple morphemes, but synchronically they all function as a single word. This can be most clearly seen in the word kǒypù 'doorway, gate', which arose from the noun kǒy 'door' and the postposition pu 'under'. In Niúwōzǐ, most of the R.L patterns occur in words with the morpheme pǒ 'lower (part)', like pǐpò 'abdomen' (Dīng 2001:68). It might be that the original nounpostposition construction became fused in certain words, but the tone of the construction was kept intact. Compare §3.4.4, where is shown that tone from nominals does not usually spread to postpositions. Thus the noun-postposition construction kǒn pù 'under the door' seems to have fused to a single noun kǒnpù 'doorway, gate'. A similar noun-postposition fusion seems to have occurred in [pépù] 'bottom', from pé 'bottom' and $p u$ 'under'. The two other examples in my data with R.L tone are clearly contractions.

| [dǎwmà] | $<$ [dàwzí dàmà] | 'rDorje Drema (Dauma)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [ž̌̌̆là] | $<$ [zǎzæ̀] and [lıàmá] | 'Lhame of the Zjaezjae household' |

[^50]The examples in Table 3.9 also do not fit the regular tonal patterns described in §3.3.2§3.3.4. As stated above, a tone group (and thus by default, a single lexical item) has only one underlying tone attached to it, either Falling, High, or Rising (and Low in monosyllables) which results in up to two high surface tones in adjacent syllables. The examples in Table 3.9 are exceptional in that they either show a rising surface tone on a non-final syllable (1-7) and/or show more than two high surface tones for which multiple lexical tones need to be posited in the underlying tonal template (2-20). The examples might be mergers of earlier independent morphemes that kept their original tonal templates ${ }^{110}$ or loanwords from other languages. One could argue that some of these forms (especially the 'elaborate expressions'four-syllabic, compound-like structures with a repeated syllable and ABAC or ABCB patterns, 15-19; and maybe also 7 and 20) are non-compounded independent words that form a set phrase. The problem is that none of their parts can be used independently. However, one supporting argument for this is that there is a whole set of forms, listed in Table 3.14 that are foursyllabic set phrases that do not form one phonological unit. In those words, the two parts can still be used as independent words. Since the exceptions in Table 3.9 and examples (96) and (97) form a relatively small group of words, I will treat them here as exceptions, rather than try to fit them into the overall tonal system.

Table 3.9 Exceptional tone patterns

|  | Tonal pattern | Example word | Meaning | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | L. $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{L}^{111}$ | [ $\mathrm{el}^{\mathrm{j} \text { ¢̌tì }}$ ] | 'a little' | The form [èj ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ æ̈] 'a little', and [tí] 'one' can both appear independently, but not [tì] with low tone. |
| 2 | L. $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{R}$ | [\æjĭ¢̌̆] | 'to know' | This verb is split up by negation and might consist of a nominal part plus the existential verb [či]. |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{R}$ | [lǎlǎ] | 'certainly’ | Probably reduplication ${ }^{112}$ |

[^51]|  | Tonal pattern | Example word | Meaning | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{F}$ | [¢ǒndồ] | '(person's <br> name)' | A contraction from [¢ǒy jóydôy], with the Chinese surname [çǒn] (熊 Xiōng) and the Pǔmǐ name [jóndôy] < Tibetan gyung.drung. |
| 5 | R + R + L | [liěmǎtà] | 'useless' | [ 1 j 厄̌] does not occur by itself, but probably means 'use'; [mǎ] is the general negator; the origin of [tà] is not clear. |
| 6 | $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}(?)$ | [jǒņmázá] ~ <br> [jǒnmátá] | 'totally the same' | Opaque origin |
| 7 | R + L.H.H (?) | [tcǔpù tçádón] | '(archaic name)' | Only used in genealogies. |
| 8 | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{R}$ | [ұə́ç̌] | 'can' | This auxiliary is split up by negation and might consist of a nominal part plus the existential verb ç̌. |
| 9 |  | [qétç ${ }^{\text {hǎ] }}$ | 'put in effort' | From $q e \check{e}$ ‘strength' and $t c^{h} \check{y}$ 'to put in'? |
| 10 | L. $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{R}$ (?) | [næ̀np ${ }^{\text {húq }}{ }^{\text {hǎ }}$ ] | 'emperor' | A Yǒngníng Na loanword? |
| 11 | H.H + F (?) | [lónmázî] | '(person's name)' | A Nuòsū name |
|  |  | [mót ${ }^{\text {rácîi] }}$ | 'every' |  |
| 12 | H.L + H (?) | [Gónkò̀Jí] | '(name of place)' | Only used in libations, is said to be located in Tibet. |
| 13 |  | [mádìdzá] | 'every’ |  |
| 14 |  | [sédàsó] ${ }^{113}$ | 'water spirit' | Ritual term |

[^52]|  | Tonal pattern | Example word | Meaning | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | H.L + H.L |  | 'from beginning to end of road' | From [ $\downarrow \mathrm{W} \hat{\mathrm{e}}]$ 'road', [q${ }^{\text {hü }}$ ] 'head' and [mæ̂y] 'tail-end'. Interestingly [孔wéq ${ }^{\text {h }}$ tu] and [ұwémæ̀ŋ] are never used by themselves. |
| 16 | H.L + L.F | [mátè̀j sı̀têj] | 'moon tree' | A mythical tree in the Deluge story |
| 17 | H.L + H. ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | [p ${ }^{\text {héçè }} \mathrm{p}^{\text {hérfú] }}$ | 'waste' | From [ $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$ ] 'to throw out'? |
| 18 | H.H + L.F | [níwá nìsôy] | 'hell' | Ritual term |
| 19 | H.H + L.H | [phálá dèlá] | 'god of war' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ritual term (< Tibetan } \\ & \text { ? dgra.lha) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | L. $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{H}$ | [thæ̀jź dz̧ònts ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ú] | 'great ocean' | Ritual term, ( $<$ Tibetan ? rgya.mtsho) |

### 3.3.6 Tone in expressives

Expressives (described in §9.2) show a special tonal template that is not found in other lexical words. The characteristic feature of the (trisyllabic) expressives is two falling tones in the (ideophonic) reduplicated second and third syllable. This tonal template may accentuate the special nature of expressives as words that are extremely colourful and intense (such as /tç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wǎswâswâ/ 'dirty as a pig sty'), and help them stand out in discourse.

There is some amount of interplay between the tones of the first syllable (usually the semantically meaningful part) and the reduplicated second and third syllable (usually the ideophone part) of an expressive.

When the first syllable has an underlying falling tone, it tends to downstep the falling tone of the second syllable, resulting in a lower starting pitch of the second syllable, as
 the ideophonic second syllable causes a downstep for the third syllable, resulting in an even lower starting pitch of the third syllable. In rapid speech the effect is often high-mid-low (or low-high-mid for the other two expressives described below). This is illustrated in Figure 3.5: first the original tone of the first syllable is shown, then the tone of the expressive when pronounced slowly, and finally the tone of the expressive when pronounced quickly.

Figure 3.5. Falling tone in expressives


When the first syllable has an underlying high tone, it tends to upstep the falling tone of the second syllable, resulting in an even higher starting level of the falling tone of
 ( </t'hóy/ 'white'). When pronounced quickly, the auditory result is high-high-low or mid-high-low. This is illustrated in Figure 3.6 in the same way as Figure 3.5.

Figure 3.6. High tone in expressives


When the first syllable has an underlying rising tone, it also tends to upstep the falling tone of the second syllable, resulting in an even higher starting level of the falling tone
 When pronounced quickly, the auditory result is low-high-low or low-high-mid. This is illustrated in Figure 3.7 in the same way as Figure 3.5.

Figure 3.7. Rising tone in expressives


### 3.3.7 Tone in pronouns

Tonal spread with pronouns is not totally straightforward. Table 3.10 presents the tonal patterns of pronouns in combination with agentive, genitive, dual and plural clitics and with collective plural marker. Although the clitics are taken to be underlyingly toneless, not all tonal patterns shown in Table 3.10 can be explained by simple rightward spreading of the tone of the pronoun. Apart from the logophoric pronoun, whose tonal spread is totally straightforward, the other patterns all show irregularities. The patterns of first inclusive and second person (which are completely similar to each other) show a few irregularities: a surface high tone appears sometimes on one and sometimes on two syllables.

The tonal patterns of first exclusive and third person (which apart from the forms in bold font are completely similar to each other) show the most interesting surface tones, especially a rising contour on a non-final syllable followed by a low surface tone on the final syllable in several forms. At present, these patterns cannot be explained. Further (cross-dialectal) research might shed some light on this puzzle.

Table 3.10 Tonal spread with pronouns

|  | SG | DU | PL | COLL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.EXCL | [é] | [è = dž̌̌) $]$ |  | [é-bù] |
| 1:EXCL (AGT) | [ $\mathrm{e}=$ níl n î) $]$ | [ e = dzæ̀̀ = gón(nî)] |  | [è-bǒ(nì)] |
| 1:EXCL (GEN) | [ $\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{g} \hat{\mathbf{x}}]$ | [ $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{dz}$ 㐫り $=$ gǽ $]$ |  | [è-bǎ] |
| 1:EXCL (PART) |  |  |  | [è-bù-sěy] |
| 1.INCL | - | [ìy = dzên] | [ìy = ¢ ¢́ $^{\text {] }}$ | [ìn-bû] |
| 1:INCL (AGT) |  | [ìy = dzáy = gòy (nì)] | [ìy = ¢ón(nî) ] | [ìn-bó(nî)] |
|  |  | [ìy = dzáy = gæ̀ ] | [ì̀ = ¢ $\mathfrak{\text { á }}$ ] |  |


|  | SG | DU | PL | COLL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:INCL (GEN) |  |  |  | [ìn-bâ] |
| 1:INCL (PART) |  |  |  | [ìg-bú-sèn] |
| 2 | [nı̌n] | [nìy $=$ dzậy] |  | [nì̀-bû] |
| 2 (AGT) | [nìy = gón(nî)] | [nìy = dzáy = gòn(nì)] | [ $\mathrm{nìy}=$ ¢ón( $\mathrm{nî})$ ] | [nìn-bón(nî)] |
| 2 (GEN) | [nìy = gx́] | [nìn $=$ dzǽg $=$ gà $]$ | [nìn = ұx́] | [nìg-bâ] |
| 2 (PART) |  |  |  | [nìn-bú-sèn] |
| 3 | [tı́] | [tı̀ = dzǎn] |  | [tó-bù] |
| 3 (AGT) | [ṫ̀ = gǒy ${ }^{\text {(nì) }}$ ] | [tı̀ $=$ dzàn $=$ gón( $\mathrm{nî}$ ) $]$ | [tı̀ = ¢ǒn(nì)] | [ṫ̀-bǒy(nì)] |
| 3 (GEN) | [tı̀ = gă] | [tı̀ $=$ dzæ̀ $=$ gá $]$ | [tı̀ = ¢ ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ ] | [ṫ̀-bǎ] |
| 3 (PART) |  |  |  | [tı̀-bù-sěn] |
| LOG | [nî] | [ní = dzæ̀̀ $]$ | [ $\mathrm{ní}=$ ¢ ̀ $^{\text {] }}$ | [ní-bù] |
| LOG (AGT) | [ní = gòn(nì)] | [ní = dzæ̀y = gòn(nì)] | [ní = ¢òn(nì) ] | [ní-bòn(nì)] |
| LOG (GEN) | [ní=gæ̀] | [ní= dzæ̀ $=\mathrm{g}$ g $]$ | [ní= ¢æ̀ ] | [ní-bà] |
| LOG (PART) |  |  |  | [ní-bù-sèn] |

### 3.3.8 Alternating tone pairs

There are certain semantically related pairs of nouns and verbs that are distinguished by tone, as in examples (98-103). There does not seem to be a consistent pattern. They do not usually appear together in the noun and verb slots of a single clause.

| (98) | /tçựá/ <br> /tcúúwa/ | [tcùùwá] <br> [tcúqwá] | 'to make a circle (of people)' 'earthen wall encircling the yard' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (99) | /lǔ/ | [lŭ] | 'to hang' |
|  | /lû/ | [lû] | 'pole for hanging meat' |
| (100) | /kwì/ | [kwǐ] | 'to cover' |
|  | /kwî/ | [kwî] | 'cover' |
| (101) | /thǒy/ | [thǒn] | 'to block' |
|  | /thón/ | [ h $^{\text {ón }}$ ] $]$ | 'hedge' |
| (102) | $/ p^{\text {h }}$ a/ | [ ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ ] | 'to cut in half; CLF:single' |
|  | $/ \mathrm{p}^{\text {hǎ }}$ / | [ $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hǎ] }}$ | 'half' |
| (103) | /sâwd ${ }^{\text {j}} \mathrm{aw}$ / | [sáwdiàm] | 'to think' |
|  | /sawdiǎw/ | [sàwdiǎw] | 'worrying thought' |

One nominal pair that shows similar meanings is only distinguished by tone:
(104) /tôyloy/ [tóylòy] 'roundish' (name of a small round cow without horns) /toŋlǒy/ [tònlǒn] 'knot'

In examples (105) and (106) it almost looks like the L.R tonal pattern is a construction.

| (105) | /ъênə/ <br> /ъセnǎ/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [zéǹ̀] } \\ & \text { [zènǎ] } \end{aligned}$ | 'yesterday' <br> 'in the past' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (106) | /zêpu/ | [ұе́рѝ | 'last year' |
|  | /zepǔ/ | [zèpǔ] | 'in the pas |

The word [tcínmíy] ~ [tç̀ymín] 'home' shows alternating tone patterns. There is no difference in meaning, but further research needs to be done in order to see whether there is any difference in use.

### 3.4 Tone sandhi

As noted in §3.2, tone only spreads within tone groups. A few important lexical tonebearing elements that often combine in tone groups were mentioned there. When lexical tone-bearing elements combine, the resulting tone sandhi patterns are not always straightforward, but show a certain amount of idiosyncrasy. This is different from the more straightforward tonal spread described in §3.3. This section will discuss the most important tone-bearing elements that appear in tone groups: nominal compounds, noun-verb constituents and noun-attribute (adjective) constituents (§3.4.1), numeral-classifier compounds (§3.4.2), verb-modifier constituents (§3.4.3), noun-postposition constituents ( $\S 3.4 .4$ ), and directional verbal prefixes and verbs (§3.4.5). The section will end with tone patterns in verbal reduplication (§3.4.7).

### 3.4.1 Nominal compounds, noun-adjective and noun-verb constituents

This sections lists the tonal patterns found in nominal compounds, noun-adjective constituents, and noun-verb constituents. The examples listed in this section are all drawn from the natural corpus.

It can be roughly stated that when the first lexical element of a nominal compound or a noun-verb constituent is polysyllabic, the resulting tone of the constituent will be a regular spread of the tone of the first item, independent of the tone of the second item (when the first element is monosyllabic, things are less straightforward). ${ }^{114} \mathrm{I}$ do not have any data on polysyllabic noun-adjective or verb-modifier constituents in my dataset. Examples of nominal compounds and noun-verb constituents are given in Table 3.11.

[^53]Table 3．11 Regular tone patterns

| 1st | 2nd | Resulting pattern | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［tònt¢̧̆］ | ［ре̌］ | ［tònt¢̧̀ ${ }^{\text {ép }}$ | ＇buckwheat flour＇ |
| ／toytçž／ | ／per／ | ／tontçă－pě／ | （＇buckwheat＇＋＇flour＇） |
| ［dz̧àdž⿺𠃑］ | ［dǔ］ | ［dzàdzı̀ dú］ | ＇to write＇ |
| ／dz̧adž̌／ | ／ď̆／ | ／dzədzı̆ dư／ | （＇letter＇＋＇to write＇） |
| ［tç ${ }^{\text {hèd }}{ }^{\text {dǎa }}$ ］ | ［łèdzǒ］ |  | ＇libation liquor＇ |
| ／tç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ didiǎw／ | ／\̧dză／ |  | （＇libation＇＋＇liquor＇） |
| ［gùdón］ | ［tsón］ | ［gùdóntsôn］ | ＇stone house＇ |
| ／gudón／ | ／tsón／ | ／gudóy－tsón／ | （＇stone＇＋＇house＇） |
| ［¢̧̇qqá］ |  | ［łèqá ¢ ¢ ${ }_{\text {ex }}$ | ＇to cut bones＇ |
| ／æeqá／ | ／¢ $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\text {／}}$ | ／£セqá ¢ ¢ ${ }_{\text {® }}$ | （＇bone＇＋＇to cut＇） |
| ［tèsú］ | ［qèlá］ | ［tèsúqélà］ | ＇load of pine needles＇ |
| ／tesú／ | ／qelá／ | ／tesú－qelá／ | （＇pine needle＇＋＇load＇） |
| ［pùdìmá］ | ［ $q^{\text {hitu }}{ }^{\mathrm{j}} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ ］ |  | ＇deceased old woman＇ |
| ／pudimá／ | $/ \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{un}^{\mathrm{j}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak { x }}}$／ | ／pudimá－q ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{un}^{\mathrm{j}} \hat{\mathfrak{x}}$／ | （＇old woman＇＋＇deceased＇） |
|  | ［ฮูทิ］ | ［łว̧tsòjùù］ | ＇blade of knife＇ |
| ／\̂̂tsə／ | ／ $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}$／ |  | （＇knife＇＋＇tooth＇） |
| ［⿺𠃊ícwèn］ | ［qû］ | ［lícwèn qù］ | ＇to cook shepherd＇s lunch＇ |
| ／lị̂wen／ | ／qû／ | ／ôîcwey qû／ | （＇shepherd＇s lunch＇＋＇to cook＇） |
| ［dzéqê］ | ［b̂̂］ | ［dzéqébù］ | ＇honey＇ |
| ／dzéq8／ | ／bû／ | ／dzéqr－bû／ | （＇bee＇＋＇sugar＇） |
| ［púqâ］ | ［tcwil］ | ［púqá tçwì］ | ＇to wear shoes＇ |
| ／púqa／ | $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wǐ/}$ | ／púqa t ch $^{\text {h }}$ wǐ／ | （＇shoe＇＋＇to wear＇） |
| ［mínî］ | ［púqâ］ | ［mínípùqà］ | ＇straw sandal＇ |
| ／míni／ | ／púqa／ | ／míni－púqa／ | （＇straw sandal＇＋＇shoe＇） |
| ［ $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{j}} \hat{\mathfrak{x}}$ ］ | ［pùdìmá］ | ［ ${ }^{\text {hì̀n }}{ }^{\text {j}}{ }^{\text {ápù̀dìmà }}$ ］ | ＇deceased old woman＇ |
| ／q ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \hat{\mathfrak{æ}}$／ | ／pudimá／ |  | （＇deceased＇＋＇old woman＇） |
| ［mèqû］ | ［kǐ］ | ［mèqú kì］ | ＇to feed oil＇ |
| ／meqû／ | ／kǐ／ | ／meqû kǐ／ | （＇oil＋＇to feed＇） |

Some exceptions may be noted．Examples are given in Table 3．12．

Table 3．12 Exceptions

| 1st | 2nd | Resulting pattern | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［¢¢̀nǎ］ | ［lı̌j］ | ［¢ènàlềj］ | ＇oat seeds＇ |
| ／Genǎ／ | ／lěj／ | ／GEnǎ－ľ̌j／ | （＇oats＇＋＇seed＇） |
| ［łèdž̆］ | ［ $q^{\text {h }}$ wă］ | ［łèdzà $\mathrm{q}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{wâ}$ ］ | ＇liquor bowl＇ |
| ／ł̧̀dzǎ／ | ／q ${ }^{\text {b }}$ wǎ／ | ／æやdž̌－q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wǎ／ | （＇liquor＇＋＇bowl＇） |
| ［ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ùlĭ ${ }^{\text {l }}$ ］ | ［pèjkwêp］ | ［thùlìpéjkwèn］ | ＇hare brothers＇ |
| ／thulǐ／ | ／prjkwên／ | ／thulǐ－prjkwêy／ | （＇hare＇＋＇brothers＇） |
| ［ hà̀ǧ̌̌］$^{\text {l }}$ | ［wùdú］ | ［ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ùgæ̀wúdù］ | ＇hazelnut＇ |
| ／q ${ }^{\text {hugere} /}$ | ／wudú／ | ／q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ggǎ－wudú／ | （＇hazel＇＋＇walnut＇） |
|  | ［bǔ］ | ［łèdzà bû］ | ＇to distill liquor＇ |
| ／æ¢dză／ | ／bǔ／ | ／¢セdž̌ bû／ | （＇liquor＇＋＇to distill＇） |
| ［twèjî̀］ | ［bón］ | ［twèjínbôy］ | ＇（type of plant）＇ |
| ／twejîn／ | ／bón／ | ／twejíp－bóy／ | （＇［type of plant］＇＋＇tree＇） |
| ［tæ̀pá］ | ［dzæ̀̀ts ${ }^{\text {hénj］}}$ | ［tæ̀pá dzæ̀セts ${ }^{\text {hè̀n］}}$ | ＇（person＇s name）＇ |
| ／tæpá／ | ／dzæts ${ }^{\text {hén }}$／ | ／†æpâ－dzætshéy／ |  |

For some exceptions the resulting tone seems to be based on the underlying tone of the second element instead of the first，assigning default L tones to the first part，as can be seen in Table 3．13．

Table 3．13 Exceptions（tone based on second part）

| 1st | 2nd | Resulting pattern | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［tòntç̌］ | ［dẑ̂］ | ［tòntç̀－dzî］ | ＇buckwheat porridge＇ |
| ／toytçz／ | ／dzı̂̂／ | ／tontç－dzî／ | （＇buckwheat＇＋＇boiled substance＇） |
| ［łèmâ］ | ［ $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{n}$ ］ | ［¢̣̀mà－njǎ］ | ＇black hen＇115 |
| ／æmâ／ | $/ \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ²／ |  | （＇chicken＇＋＇black＇） |
| ［zégì］ | ［nồ］］ | ［zègò－nıôn］ | ＇the day after＇ |
| ／zêgi／ | ／n̊ôy／ | ／zegə－ņôy／ | （＇after＇＋＇day＇） |
| ［zénə̀］ | ［sên］ | ［zènつ̀－sêf］ | ＇yesterday morning＇ |
| ／Zênə／ | ／sêy／ | ／zenə－sêy／ | （＇yesterday＇＋＇morning＇） |

[^54]The constituents in Table 3.14 do not show any tone sandhi, but keep their respective underlying tones. Most of them are set phrases and should be regarded as separate tone groups. They differ from the quadrisyllabic words with exceptional tone patterns listed in Table 3.9 in that the two parts of the set phrases in Table 3.14 can also be used independently. The words in Table 3.9 only occur in their quadrisyllabic form.

Table 3.14 Set phrases

| 1st | 2nd | Resulting pattern | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [t'hóymá] | [ ${ }_{0}^{\text {jóxd }}$ dú] | [t ${ }^{\text {hóngmá }}{ }_{\text {jo }}{ }^{\text {éx }}$ dú] | 'Pǔmǐ friend' |
| /thónmə/ | /1̊ ${ }_{\text {jódu }}$ | /thómə\# 1ị́du/ | ('Pumi' + 'friend') |
| [dwìlón] | [ ${ }^{\text {j}}$ æ̀kǽ] | [dwìlóy li ${ }^{\text {® }}$ kźx | 'customs' |
| /dwilón/ | /1®kǽ/ | /dwilóy\# liækǽ/ | ('custom' + 'things') |
| [ $q^{\text {hóng }}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | [q'ónmá tç ${ }^{\text {háwtçà }}$ | 'relatives' |
| /q ${ }^{\text {hóymə/ }}$ |  | /q ${ }^{\text {hóm}}$ \# t ç $^{\mathrm{h}}$ âwtçæ/ | ('relative' + 'relative') |
| [tsákî] | [ş́dz̧ì] | [tsákí ş́dzì] | 'pork back slice and limbs' |
| /tsáki/ | /ş̂dzi/ | /tsáki\# sâdzi/ | ('meat cut' + 'limbs') |
| [tsézì] | [d ${ }^{\text {ju }} \mathrm{d}^{\text {j }}$ ú] | [tsézì diúd ${ }^{\text {dú }}$ ] | 'monkey' |
| /tsêzi/ | /d $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{u}$ / | /tsêzi \# d ${ }^{\text {j }}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {j }} \mathbf{u} /$ | ('monkey' + 'monkey') |
| [sétç ${ }^{\text {h}}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | [dìnbá] | [sétç ${ }^{\text {º }}$ dì dìbá] | 'place' |
| /sêtç ${ }^{\text {h}}$ / | /dipbá/ | /sêtç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ \# diybá/ | ('place' + 'place') |

When the first lexical item of a compound is monosyllabic, things are not so straightforward. ${ }^{116}$ In Table 3.15 the tonal patterns for nominal compounds ( $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$ ), noun-verb constituents ( $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V}$ ) and noun-adjective constituents ( $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A}$ ) with two monosyllabic parts are given (Column \#1 shows their structure). Columns \#2 and \#3 display the original tone of the first and second part respectively; Column \#4 lists the resulting tone of the two parts combined in one phonological unit; and Column \#5 lists examples for each pattern.

As can be seen, most identical combinations result in multiple tonal patterns. The tonal patterns of nominal compounds, noun-verb constituents and noun-adjective constituents roughly correspond, and it is plausible that additional data will bring more corresponding patterns to light.

[^55]Table 3.15 Tonal sandhi patterns


| \#1 | $\begin{aligned} & \# 2 \\ & 1^{\text {st }} \end{aligned}$ |  | \#4 <br> Result | \#5 <br> Example | \#6 <br> Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$ | H | HL | H-H | /¢ú/ + / dẑ̧̀/ > [¢údzí] | 'cooked rice' <br> ('paddy rice'+'boiled <br> substance') |
|  |  |  | H-L L-LH L-H |  | 'river' <br> ('water' + 'gully') <br> 'mist' <br> ('water' + 'air') <br> 'yak wool' <br> ('yak' + 'wool') |
| $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{V}$ | H | HL | H-H H-L |  | 'to wash intestines' <br> ('intestine' + 'to wash') <br> 'to divert water' <br> ('water' + 'to divert') |
| N+A | H | HL | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$ | HL | LH | L-LH |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'goat head' } \\ & \text { ('goat'+ 'head') } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | H-H |  | 'pine torch trunk' <br> ('pine torch' + 'trunk') |
|  |  |  | H-L | $/ 6 \hat{\mathrm{e}} /+/ \mathrm{p}$ ě/ $>$ [¢е́pè $]$ | 'wheat flour' <br> ('wheat' + 'flour') |
|  |  |  | L-H |  | 'phlegm' <br> ('neck'+ 'snot') |
| $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{V}$ | HL | LH | L-LH |  | 'to sow crops' <br> ('crops' + 'to sow') |
|  |  |  | H-L | $/ \mathrm{z} \hat{\mathrm{a}} /+/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \check{\mathrm{C}} /$ > [zág $\left.\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \grave{\mathrm{e}}\right]$ | 'to shoot a bow' <br> ('bow' + 'to shoot') |
|  |  |  | L-H | $/ 6 \hat{1} /+/ \mathrm{dzǔ} />$ [¢ı̀dzú] | 'to saddle a horse' <br> ('saddle'+ 'to make') |
| $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A}$ | HL | LH | L-LH | /p̂̂t+/ď̌/ > [pèdě $]$ | 'broken axe' <br> ('axe' + 'bad') |
|  |  |  | H-H |  | 'big eyed' <br> ('eye'+ 'wide') |


| \#1 | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | Result | Example | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$ | HL H |  | H-L |  | 'goat horn' <br> ('goat' + 'horn') |
|  |  |  | L-H | /lê/ + /tóy/ > [lètón] | 'wolf lair' ('wolf' + 'pen') |
| $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{V}$ | HL | H | H-L |  | 'to dig gullies' <br> ('gully' + 'to dig') |
|  |  |  | L-H |  | 'to harvest crops' <br> ('crops'+'to harvest') |
| N+A | HL | H | H-L | /t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wî/ $+/$ scón $/>\left[t^{\text {h }}\right.$ wísòy $]$ | 'clean ale’ <br> ('ale'+ 'clean') |
|  |  |  | L-H | $/ \mathrm{u} /+/ \mathrm{t}$ ¢́j/ > [pètéj] | 'big axe' ('axe’+ 'big') |
| $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$ | HL | HL | H-L |  | 'goat skin' <br> ('goat'+ 'skin') |
|  |  |  | L-H | /\xû/ / dôy/ > [̧ùdóy] | 'chicken wing’ <br> (chicken' + 'wing') |
|  |  |  | L-HL | /^û/ $+/ \mathrm{ma} /$ > [¢æ̀mâ] | 'hen' <br> ('chicken' + 'mother') |
| $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{V}$ | HL | HL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H-L } \\ & \text { L-H } \end{aligned}$ | /\̂û/ + /gî/ > [qùgí] | 'to collect pine torches' <br> ('pine torch' + 'to <br> collect') <br> 'to slaughter chickens' <br> ('chicken' + 'to <br> slaughter') |
| N+A | HL | HL | H-L |  | 'hot ashes' ('ash'+ 'hot') |
| $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$ | LH | LH | L-LH |  | 'pig fodder' (pig' + 'food') |
|  |  |  | L-H | /sěy/+/pǎ/ > [sèypá] | 'tree leaf' ('wood' + 'leaf') |
|  |  |  | L-HL | /džǐ/ + /qhwǎ/ > [dz̧ìqhwâ] | 'tea bowl' <br> ('tea'+ 'bowl') |
|  |  |  | H-L | /sěn/+/\ŭ/ > [séfù ] | 'tree trunk' <br> ('tree' + 'stalk') |


| \#1 | $\begin{array}{ll} \# 2 & \# 3 \\ 1^{\text {st }} & 2^{\text {nd }} \end{array}$ | \#4 <br> Result | \#5 <br> Example | \#6 <br> Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{V}$ | LH LH | L-LH L-H L-HL |  | 'to herd livestock' <br> ('livestock' + 'to herd') <br> 'to ride a horse' <br> ('horse' + 'to ride') <br> 'to eat roasted grain' <br> ('roasted grain'+ 'to eat') |
| N+A | LH LH | L-LH H-L | /sěy/+/dzwǐn/ > [sèdzwǐy] | 'rotten firewood' <br> ('wood'+ 'rotten') <br> 'bitter tea' <br> ('tea' + 'bitter') |
| $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$ | LH H | L-H <br> L-HL <br> H-L <br> L-LH <br> H-HL |  | 'horse feed' <br> ('horse'+ 'grain feed') <br> 'tsampa container' <br> ('flour' + 'container') <br> 'pillow' <br> ('head'+'house') <br> 'pork leg' <br> ('pig' + 'leg') <br> 'side room stove' <br> ('side room' + 'fire place, <br> stove') |
| $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{V}$ | LH H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L-H } \\ & \text { L-HL } \end{aligned}$ |  | 'to buy firewood' ('firewood'+ 'to buy') 'to steal mules' ('mule' + 'to steal') |
| $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A}$ | LH H | L-H <br> L-HL |  | 'expensive' <br> ('price'+ 'big') <br> 'clean tea' <br> ('tea' + 'clean') |



There is even a minimal pair where the tone of the compound of two identical constituents seems to be lexically determined, as in (107). Both forms are compounded from /sěy/ 'wood' and /qhy̆ 'head'. Note that vowel reduction takes place in the second, but not in the first instance. At this point it is not totally clear when vowel reduction happens in compounds. It might be lexically determined. More research is needed. Another example is (108), deriving from /kǒy/ 'door' and /tâ/ 'obstruction', but in this case both have the same meaning.
(107) /senq $q^{\mathrm{h}} \dot{\boldsymbol{u}} /$ [sèpq ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{\mathrm { u }}$ ] 'shoot of tree (in spring)' /sêq ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \boldsymbol{\sharp}$ / [séq${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}$ ] 'tree top (after tree has been cut and trunk is taken)'
(108) /kontâ/ [kòntâ] 'threshold'
/kâta/ [kźtà] 'threshold'
When a monosyllabic lexical item compounds with a disyllabic lexical item, multiple resulting tone patterns may be noted. When the initial monosyllable has a high lexical tone, the high tone spreads one syllable. This is a regular pattern.
(109) /pú/ + /lò̀tçǎ/ >/pú-letçə/ [púlétç̀̀] 'frost falcon'

When the initial monosyllable has a rising tone, most of my examples show a split with a low surface tone on the first syllable and a high surface tone on the second syllable, as in (110). But for some compounds the second item keeps its own lexical tone and
the tone of the first item becomes low, as in (111). One compound displays a sort of tone flip, as shown in (112).

| (110) | /ts ${ }^{\text {hir }}$ / | $+$ | /ililí/ | > /ts ${ }^{\text {hiol-ililiol }}$ | [ts ${ }^{\text {hililílìi }}$ ] | 'salt bag' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (111) | /q ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{we}$ / | + | /petsá/ | > /q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ we-petsź/ | [ $q^{\mathrm{h}}$ wèpètsź] | 'rhododendron' |
|  | $/ \mathrm{p}^{\text {hǐ }}$ / | $+$ | /páli/ | $>/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$-páli/ | [phìpálî] | 'hemp jacket' |
|  | /tchiri/ | + | /buliǒy/ | $>/ t^{\text {hi }} \mathrm{i}$-buliǒn $/$ |  | 'food lump' |
| (112) | /žั/ | + | /qwejlí/ | > /ұé-qwejli/ | [zéqwéjlì | 'armpit' |

When the initial monosyllable has a falling tone, the tone of the initial element splits: the high tone stays on the initial word and the low tone spreads, as in (113). There are three exceptions: the second constituent keeps its original tone and the tone of the first constituent becomes low, as in (114); the initial constituent spreads as if it had a lexical high tone, as in (115); the tones are flipped, as in (116).

| (113) | /nîp/ | + | /t ${ }^{\text {w }}$ wadí/ | > /nîn-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wadi/ | [nínt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wàdì] | 'rainbow' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (114) | /wû/ | + | /séttçi/ | > /wu-séntci/ | [wùséntcî] | 'wild animal' |
| (115) | /şo/ | + | /pútu/ | > /ş́-puıu/ | [ş́pútù ] | 'roast meat' |
| (116) | /£̂̀ | + | /púqa/ | > /ұə-р廿qá/ | [¢ə̀pù̀qá] | 'leather shoe' |

In complex compounds that have multiple constituents, the tones show a left-to-right combination pattern, as in (117).



### 3.4.2 Numeral-classifier compounds

Numeral-classifier compounds form their own tone group (unless followed by toneless markers). No tonal perturbation can be observed between noun and numeral-classifier when a numeral-classifier compound modifies a noun. Instead, both noun and numeralclassifier compound keep their own tone. This is illustrated in (118) (the nouns and numeral-classifier compounds are presented in square brackets; the first line of the interlinear shows the surface tone; the second line shows the underlying tone; '\#' shows the tone group boundaries):
(118)
$t \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}}$ wæ̀-t $\epsilon^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{k}$ ù $=$ bù\# ş́\# té-tòy\#, tòytç̀̀-tçwí\# tè-qóy, \#
 pig-gift $=$ TOP lean.meat one-CLF:piece buckwheat.sausage one-cLF:ring cú-tçwí\# tè-qóy, \# tsȟ̌ \# té-tòり, \# tswéŋ\# té-tòり, \#
[दú-tçwí] [tǐ-qóy], [ts ${ }^{h}$ ǔ] [tǐ-tôy], [tswéy] [tǐ-tôy], rice.sausage one-CLF:ring lung one-CLF:piece liver one-CLF:piece łèdzə̌\# tè-qùbǔ,\# t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wí\# tè-qùbǔ, \# t ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ áwtçæ̀\#

liquor one-CLF:bottle ale one-CLF:bottle relatives
té-qè = bì mə̀dzæ̀\# nə́ = tí\# zà̀ qứ wéy.
[tǐ-q̂e] = bi mədzæ\# nə́=ti\# zǎ̌ qǔu wey.
one-CLF:household = DAT every thus = INDF carry need CUST.EXCL
'As for the pig gift, one needs to carry a share like this to every household of relatives: one piece of lean meat, one ring of buckwheat sausages, one ring of rice sausages, one piece of lung, one piece of liver, one bottle of liquor, and one bottle of barley alcohol.' (CL01ed.25)

Based on his research of Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ, Jacques states that most numeral classifier constituents in that speech variety are not regular in the sense that they do not follow the tonal rules observed in nouns (Michaud 2010:18). ${ }^{117}$ In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, however, numeral-classifier compounds behave like normal nominal compounds in that the resulting tonal patterns are also attested for nominal compounds (as can be seen when comparing Table 3.15 and Table 3.16).

The difference between numeral-classifier compounds and nominal compounds is that nominal compounds show more variation in their resulting tonal patterns. Numeralclassifier compounds also behave like normal nominal compounds in their tonal spread: when followed by toneless clitics or particles, they act exactly like other disyllabic nouns (§3.3.3).

The numbers /tǐ/ ' 1 ' and /nǒy/ '2' undergo phonological reduction to [te] and [nə] respectively. The only instance of any other numeral undergoing phonological reduction is in the case of the number /sǒy/ '3' when it is followed by /-זcj/ ${ }^{118}$ 'hundred’: instead of the expected form /sóy $\uparrow \varepsilon j /$, the form is actually /sź $\underset{£ j}{ } /$. Vowel

[^56]reduction is an additional argument to analyse numeral-classifier constituents as compounds.

The tonal structure of the numeral-classifier compounds is listed in Table 3.16.
Table 3.16 Numeral-classifier compounds

| \#1 <br> Numeral | $\# 2$ <br> Tone numeral | $\# 3$ <br> Tone classifier | \#4 <br> Resulting tone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | HL | H-L |
| 1,2 | LH | H | L-H |
| $4,5,9$ | HL | LH | L-LH |
|  |  | L.H | L-L.H |
| $6,7,8$ | LH | N/A | L-L.LH |
|  |  | HL | L-HL / L-H.L |
|  |  | H | L-HL |
| 3 |  | LH | L-H |
|  |  | L.LH | L-LH |
| 10 | H.L | N/A | L-L.H |

The numbers $1,2,4,5$ and 9 behave in a parallel tonal fashion: they show a set of patterns that changes according to the underlying tone of the classifier. Thus, this seems to be a case of leftward tonal spreading. Even though the numbers 1 and 2 have a lexical rising tone and the numbers 4,5 and 9 have a lexical falling tone, the patterns are the same for all the numerals in this group. Table 3.16 shows the underlying tone of the classifier in the third column and the resulting tonal pattern in the fourth column. If the classifier has a surface rising or high level tone, the tone of the numeral is suppressed and realized as low, and the classifier keeps its original tone, as symbolised in Figure 3.8. Examples are given in (119).

Figure 3.8. Numeral-classifier compounds 1,2,4,5,9

(119)

```
[tè-pǎ] 'one sheet' (< /pǎ/ 'sheet')
[tè-bón] 'one tree'(</bón/ 'tree')
```

If the classifier has a lexical falling tone, the tone splits: the H attaches to the numeral and the L stays on the classifier, as shown in Figure 3.9. An example is given in (120).

Figure 3.9. Numeral-classifier compounds 1,2,4,5,9

(120) [té--£̀j] 'one potful' (</^êj/ 'cooking pot')

A combination of the numbers 6, 7 and 8 (all surface rising tone) and a classifier shows an invariable pattern of L-F (or L-H.L with a disyllabic classifier). This can be analysed as the numeral imposing its surface rising tone on the whole constituent: the tone splits, H attaches to the classifier and the tone of the classifier is neutralized, as shown in Figure 3.10 and examplified in (121).

Figure 3.10. Numeral-classifier compounds 6,7,8


```
(121) [thù-cî] 'six villages' ( \(</\) /̧î/ 'village')
[ñ̊̀- \(t s \hat{\partial}\) ] 'seven pounds' ( \(</\) /tš/ 'pound')
[दwè-jîn] ‘eight fathoms' (</jín/ 'fathom’) \({ }^{119}\)
[thù-qúbù] ‘six bottles’ (</qùbŭ/ 'bottle')
```

The numeral 3 usually patterns with the numerals 1, 2, 4, 5 and 9 apart from one exception: when a classifier has a lexical falling tone, the number 3 patterns with the numbers 6,7 , and 8 , resulting in a L-F pattern and not as expected H -L, as shown in example (122).

[^57](122)

| [sòn-tsǔ] | 'three rooms' $(</$ tsǔ/ 'side room') |
| :--- | :--- |
| [sòn-bú] | 'three heaps' $(</ \mathrm{bu} /$ 'heap' $)$ |
| [sòn-kû] | 'three years' $(</ \mathrm{kû} /$ 'year') |

The number 10 (with a H.L pattern) shows a different invariable pattern when combined with classifiers: H.L-L (or H.L-L.L with a disyllabic classifier). ${ }^{120}$ Similar to the numbers 6,7 , and 8 , the number 10 imposes its original tone on the whole numeralclassifier constituent.
(123) [qétìy-kù] 'ten years' ( < /kû/ 'year')

Some exceptions to the patterns in Table 3.16 can be noted. The classifier /- $\_$j $/$ 'hundred' shows an exceptional H-F tonal pattern when following the numbers 1-5 and 9. As can be seen in Table 3.16, a H-F tonal pattern is not attested with these numbers.
(124) [zé--fêj] 'four hundred'

Verbal classifiers combined with the numeral 'one' show an invariably H-L tone pattern, independent of the tone of the classifier.

| /bǐ/ | $>$ [té-bì] | 'a pummel' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{t}^{\text {h}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}} /$ | $>$ [té- $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hèj }}$ ] | a punch |
| /tǽ/ | $>$ [té-¢æ̀ $]$ | 'a grab' |
| /dzón/ | $>$ [té-dzòn] | 'a sit' |
| /qwérềj/ |  | 'a shout' |

The numbers 11-19 (all with a H-F tone) show an invariable pattern of H.H-L or H.HL.L when they combine with classifiers.

| [qútí-pà] | 'eleven sheets' ( $</$ pǎ/ 'sheet') |
| :---: | :---: |
| [qésón-¢ì] | 'thirteen villages' ( $</$ ¢ $\mathbf{l}^{\text {/ ' }}$ village') |
| [qéçwé-̧òy] | ‘eighteen drying racks' ( </̧óy/ 'drying rack') |
| [qégź-lìlì ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 'nineteen bags' ( / /lîli $/$ 'bag') |

In the decimals, two tonal patterns emerge, with $20,30,40,50$ and 90 (all L.H tone) patterning together, and 60, 70 and 80 (all L.F tone) forming another group. The tonal pattern of the second group does not depend on the classifier, but is invariably L.H-L or L.H-L.L. This is similar to the tonal patterns of the numbers $1,2,3,4,5,9$, and the numbers 6,7 and 8 that pattern in two different ways (see above).

[^58](127) [thùqé-tsù] 'sixty rooms' ( < /tsǔ/ 'side room')

The tonal pattern of the first group shows a L.L-F pattern in combination with a surface rising or lexical falling tone classifier and a L.L-H pattern in combination with a lexical high tone classifier. The only exception in my data is the lexical high tone /tǽ/ 'to grab' which patterns as a falling tone.
(128) [sə̀qè-tsû] 'forty rooms' (</tsǔ/ 'side room')
[sə̀qè-〔óy] 'forty drying racks' (</\{óy/ 'drying rack')
[sàqè-t̂̂] 'forty handfuls' (</tǽ/ 'grab')
With disyllabic classifiers the tonal pattern is L.L-H.F, but in combination with the number 20 the pattern is L.L-H.L instead.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { [sə̀qè--lílî̀i 'forty bags' (</lìilí/ 'bag') }  \tag{129}\\
& \text { [nə̀wù-lílìi] 'twenty bags' (</ìilíli/ 'bag') }
\end{align*}
$$

The number / 6 í/ ' 100 ' behaves like a numeral and renders an invariable H-F or H-H.L pattern, as in (130). The other form for 'hundred' /-ז $\varepsilon j /$ is a classifier and is always preceded by a numeral, as in (130).
[cí-tsû] 'a hundred rooms' (</tsǔ/ 'side room')
[ $\mathrm{ywé-féj]} \mathrm{'five} \mathrm{hundred'}$
The numbers in between the decimals ( $20+1,20+2$ etc.) all behave like the singular numbers (1, 2 etc.):
(131) [sə̀qú nón tè-pă] 'forty-one sheets' (</pǎ/ 'sheet')

Combined with the interrogative /t $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ว̌/ 'how many, how much', the tonal pattern of the numeral-classifier combination is invariably L-HL, as in [tç h̀̀-jôy] 'how many items?' and [tç h̀̀-tsə̂] 'how many people?'.

### 3.4.3 Verb-modifier constituents

When a verb is modified by an auxiliary, the verb and the auxiliary often form one tone group, and in that case the tone of the verb spreads to the auxiliary. This spreading is straighforward (and similar to the tonal spreading patterns described in §3.3): a lexical high-tone verb will spread its high tone to the auxiliary, as in (132); a lexical rising-tone (LH) verb will spread its H tone to the auxiliary, as in (133), and a lexical falling-tone (HL) verb will spread its L tone to the auxiliary, as in (134). All examples are given with the rising-tone auxiliary / $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{y}$ y/ 'can, be able'.


| mô |  | dzú | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hǒn }}$ | mǎ = daw |  |  | aw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| person | one:CLF:thing $=$ only $=$ TOP | grind | can | NEG $=$ IPFV |  |  |  |

'(...) one person alone cannot grind it.' (CV21.244.2)
(133) zù thóy\# mǎ = \#wèn
zǔ thǒn mǎ=wen
lift can NEG = CUST.EXCL
'(...) not be able to lift (...)' (CL01ed.18)
(134)
$t^{\text {h }}$-̀ $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h}} \mathbf{w e ́ ~} \mathrm{t}^{\text {hò̀ }} \#$ mà = dáw.
$t^{\text {hh}}-\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} w \hat{e}$ thǒn\# mǎ = daw
wife-find can NEG $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) (he) is not able to find a wife.' (YJ02.6:EL)
When a verb and an auxiliary do not form one tone group, the tone of the verb will not spread to the auxiliary, as in (135). There the tone of the verb /hwǎ/ 'to paint' does not spread. The underlying rising tone of / $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ǒy/ can be seen: it spreads to the toneless $/=\mathrm{q} \varepsilon \mathrm{j} /$.
(135) hwǎ\# thòy=qćj khì.
hwǎ $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{č}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{q} \varepsilon \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$
Ch:paint can = EXPT TRAIL
'(They) will be able to paint.' (CV14.2.1)
The pragmatics of one versus two tone groups will be discussed in §3.5.1.

### 3.4.4 Tone of relator nouns

Relator nouns (discussed in §4.6.3) are forms that function both as nouns (as heads of genitive constructions) and as postpositions, and that have lost some of their nominal properties (DeLancey 1997, 2003:264). Some postpositions have grammaticalised further to markers of grammatical relations (§6.2). For the sake of clarity, a list of relator nouns is given in (136).
(136) /nû/ 'exterior, outside'
/tû/ 'top, on'
/pú/ 'bottom, under'
$/ \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ / 'top, on top'
/wu/ 'interior, inside'
/bi/ 'side, on'
/dzi/ 'location, at'
$/ t \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wi}$ 'direction, in the direction of
Relator nouns show different stages of grammaticalisation, which can also be seen in their tonal behaviour: they range from full lexical nouns with their own lexical tone, to postpositions that do not take on the tone of the preceding noun, but also do not display their own tone (and thus surface with low tone), to grammatical markers that take on the tone of the preceding lexical element. In Table 3.17 tone of the relator
nouns in different constructions is given. The different symbols indicate: ' $x$ ' possible; '' not possible; ' $T$ ' lexical tone; 'L' low tonal target; ' 0 ' takes on the tone of a preceding constituent.

Table 3.17 Tone of relator nouns

|  | /nû/ | /tû/ | /pú/ | /q ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ / | /wu/ | /bi/ | /dzi/ | $/{ }^{\text {ch }}{ }^{\text {w }}$ wi/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occurs as noun with a lexical tone | x | x | x | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tone when head of genitive construction | T | T | T/0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Tone in compound with demonstrative | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tone when functioning as postposition | - | L | L | L | L/0 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} / 0 \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Tone when functioning as semantic role marker | - | 0 | $?^{122}$ | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |

It can be seen that only three of the relator nouns have retained their own lexical tone. /nû/ 'outside' and /tû/ 'top' only retain their tones when functioning as an independent noun or as the head of a genitive construction, as in (137) and (138). pú only sporadically retains its tone in the genitive construction, as in (139), ${ }^{123}$ and occurs as an independent noun only in riddles (see (313) in §4.6.3). The other relator nouns all take on the tone of the preceding lexical constituent in the genitive construction.

[^59](137) nú cà $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{bù}$
nû cá $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$
outside go time $=$ TOP
'(...) when going outside, (...)' (CV15.34)
dǽy $=$ gæ̀\# tú
d̂̂y = gæ tú
raised.platform $=$ GEN top
'On top of the raised platform (...)' (PC03.8)
(139) tş́x = gæ̈\# pú
tstê= gæ pú
dirt $=$ GEN bottom
'(...) under the ground (...)' (PC06w.3)
When relator nouns occur with one of the demonstratives described in §4.6.2, they will form a compound with them, and the tone of the demonstrative will spread to the relator noun. A few examples are given in (140).

| (140) | /thǐ-nû/ | [thìnú] | 'at the other side of the mountain' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /ว̌-pú/ | [ə̀pú] | 'under here' |
|  | /hǒn-q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ / | [hòjq háu $^{\text {u }}$ | 'up there (up the valley)' |
|  | /tí-wu/ | [tíwú] | 'over there (mountain-wards and inwards)' |
|  | /qǎ-dz̧i/ | [qàdzı́] | 'there (valley-wards)' |

Dīng (1998:83) mentions that in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ the tone of a noun does not normally spread to a following postposition. Instead, postpositions block the tone of the preceding noun from spreading and are realized with a low tone. This is also the case in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, as is shown in (141). There are exceptions to the rule. Some exceptions for the postposition /wu/ 'inside' are given in (142). Usually, after a numeral-classifier compound, $/ \mathrm{wu}$ / takes on the tone of the compound.
(141) /tín tû/ [tín\# tù] 'on the mat'
/thǒy pu/ [thǒy\# pù] 'below the water reservoir'
/ž̆ wu/ [ž̌\# wù] 'in the hand'
$/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{wu} / \quad\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{q} \# \mathrm{wù}\right] \quad$ 'in the horn'
(142) /gəzú wu/ [gàzú wú] 'in the middle'
/te-tsěj wu/ [tè̀-tsčj wú] 'in one section'
The case of /bi/ is not totally straightforward. /bi/ is a noun meaning 'side', which functions as a postposition 'on', and has grammaticalised to a dative marker (§6.2.3). As a postposition it shows either a low tonal target, as in (142), or takes on the tone of the preceding constituent, as in (144).
(143) /tçí bi/ [tçí\# bì] 'in summer'
(144) /gets ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{kk}^{\text {hí }}$ bi/ [gèts ${ }^{h} \mathrm{ùk}{ }^{\text {hí }}$ bí] 'on an overhanging cliff'

As a case marker, /bi/ often takes on the tone of the preceding constituent, as in (145), but there are also instances where the tone of the noun does not spread onto the case marker /bi/, as in (146). Dīng notes that after pronouns postpositions behave like clitics (taking on the tone of the pronoun), whereas following nouns their tone is more usually low in the data (1998:84).
(145) púqá\# nìy-bá\# èpú = bí\# té-th̀̀\#
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { púqa } & \text { nǐy-ba } & \text { epú=bi } & \text { tǐ-thy } \\ \text { shoe } & \text { 2SG-household:GEN } & \text { grandfather= DAT } & \text { one-clF:pair }\end{array}$
qh̀̀-tòn kéj = gî\#
qhǎ-tǒy $\quad$ k $\varepsilon ́ j=g i$
out-weave let=vol:INCL
'Let's have your grandfather weave (you) a pair (of straw shoes), (...)'
(CV01.24)
(146) púnà zènà = sà\# t ch $^{\mathrm{h}}$ wæ̌\# = bì = fià\# mə́ = gæ̀ tù\#
pûnə zênə=sə t $\epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w} \check{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{bi}=\mathrm{fia} \quad$ mə́ $=\mathrm{g} \grave{\mathrm{æ}}$ tû
today yesterday $=$ CONTR.TOP $\mathrm{pig}=\mathrm{DAT}=$ even person $=$ GEN top
há\# pú\# tçììjí dò = dàw, \# ásèn?
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { hâ } & \text { pú } & \text { tçhǐ-ji } & \text { dǒy= daw, } & \text { âsen? } \\ \text { be.excessive } & \text { do } & \text { feed-NMLZ } & \text { become= IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { AGR }\end{array}$
'(...) in recent days, however, one needs to feed the pigs more than people (were fed in the past), right?' (CV03.10.3)

### 3.4.5 Directional prefixes and alternating verbs

Directional verb prefixes are shown in Table 3.18.
Table 3.18 Directional verb prefixes

| Demonstrative | Verb prefix | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t i ́-$ | tó- | 'up' |
| $q$ ă- $n \grave{\text { İ- }}$ | ně- | 'down' |
| $k^{h} \breve{u}^{-}$ | $q^{h \check{\partial}-/ k^{h}{ }^{\text {¢ }} \text { - }}$ | 'out' |
| hǒn- | (h) $\check{\text { br- }}$ | 'in' |
| $k \check{c}$ - | $d \check{\text { ă- }}$ | 'towards speaker' |
| $t^{\text {h }}$ - - | $t^{\text {h }}$ ¢ - | 'from speaker' |

Most directional verb prefixes (§7.1) are formally and semantically related to bound demonstratives (§4.6.2) and have a rising underlying tone; only the prefix /tź-/ and the bound demonstrative /tí-/ have a high underlying tone. In other descriptions of Pǔmǐ (Lù 1983:45, 2001:157; Fù 1998:27,28; Dīng 1998:68,118; Jacques 2011c:369) the high tone of /tá-/ has been recognised as a lexical tone; all other directional prefixes are analysed to be toneless (or low tone). ${ }^{124}$ This is understandable, since only the hightoned /tó-/ clearly influences the verb it attaches to; the other prefixes usually appear as low tone on the surface. But, based on their clear relationship with the rising-tone bound demonstratives, based on the tonal behaviour of directional prefixes in negative conditional clauses, and based on the clear tonal interaction with low-toned verbs, as will be shown below, I argue for an analysis of underlying lexical rising tone on directional prefixes in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ.

First I will illustrate the tonal influence of the lexical high tone of /tó-/ on the verb stem. This influence has been described for several Pǔmǐ speech varieties (Fù 1998:28,29; Jacques 2011c:369). In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, the tone of this prefix normally spreads to the first syllable of the verb stem and the original tone of the verb stem is disassociated. If a verb stem is disyllabic, the remaining syllable is assigned default low tone. Examples are given in (147).

| (147) | /zà/ | [ză] | [tó-zá] | 'to carry' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /qá/ | [qá] | [tá-qá] | 'to split open' |
|  | / $\hat{1}_{\text {i }} /$ | [ 1 ¢î] | [tó-¢̣́i] | 'to sweat' |
|  | /əənǽท/ | [łว̀nǽy] | [tá-¢ว́næ̀n] | 'to become smelly' |

Some exceptions have been found with high and falling tone verbs, where the tone of the verb stem actually becomes low, as in (148). It is not clear what triggers this.
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { (148) } & \text { /cáw/ } & {[\text { çáw] }} & \text { [tá-càw] }\end{array}\right]$ 'to raise (children)'

As mentioned above, other directional verb prefixes mostly show up in low surface tone. But there are a few constructions, like negative conditional clauses and other constructions involving a negator (§7.2, §10.4.1), in which the directional prefixes clearly show their original lexical tone, as in (149), (150) and (151).

[^60](149)
nǒy\# pùdìmá\# ně-\#mǎ = \#dzò $=$ bù\#
nǒy pudimá ně-mǎ=dzón=bu
so old.woman DOWN-NEG $=$ sit $=$ TOP
'But if an old woman (like me) does not sit down, (...)' (CV09.94.2)
(150)

'Why would you not release the one you caught?' (CV16.17)


a.little $\quad$ DOWN-NEG.PFV $=$ pour $=I N F \quad$ who $=A G T \quad$ drink can CUST.EXCL
ə́-ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{è}=\mathrm{tì}$ ?
$\hat{\partial}-\mathrm{ts}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ti}$ ?
that-much $=$ INDF
'You did not pour me just a little bit; who could drink that much?' (CV21.199)
The negator comes between the directional prefix and the verb and blocks the tonal spread of the prefix: except for tó which appears in its original high tone, the directional prefixes all appear in a rising surface tone. These constructions are the only constructions in which a directional prefix has a rising surface tone. Since the constructions with the three different negators are completely different in their semantics, and the negators all have a different lexical tone, ${ }^{125}$ the only way to explain the rising surface tone on the directional verb prefix is to analyse it as the original tone of the prefix that only appears in these environments.

An additional argument for analysing the directional prefixes as underlyingly rising tone can be seen from the predicate-focus construction (§10.8) in which a topic marker is inserted between the directional prefix and the verb. As can be seen in (152), the toneless topic marker $=b u$ receives a high surface pitch, the result of tonal spread from the rising-tone directional prefix ner-.

[^61](152) nè-bú\# mí= sá sì dàw\#
ně-bu mí=sž si daw
DOWN-TOP NEG = die EPIST:probably
'(...) (he) probably has not died yet. (...)’ (CV09.67)
This analysis could possibly address a problem that was raised in Jacques' 2011 paper on tone in Shuřluò alternating verbs, a group of verbs that show a rising surface tone in their basic form, but a falling tone when prefixed by a directional prefix; their tonal spread is that of a falling lexical tone verb, as shown in Table 3.19. This alternation happens in isolation as well as in running speech, which implies that it is not a pragmatic effect (this group of verbs was described in §3.3.2, Table 3.3, as having two tonal spreading patterns in their basic (non-prefixed form) ( $\mathrm{L} H=\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{L} L=H$ ).

Table 3.19 Tonal spread of prefixed alternating verbs

| Basic form | Meaning | Prefixed form | In running speech |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [bǐn] | 'to fly' | [ ${ }^{\text {hà-bî̀ }}$ ] | [ $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò-bíy }} \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù $]$ |
| [ză] | 'to carry' | [nè-zıâ] | [nè-zá kì = bù] |

Having analysed the directional verb prefixes as underlyingly rising tone morphemes, I propose that the tonal alternation found in alternating verbs is caused by the influence of the lexical tone of the directional prefix on the verb. ${ }^{126}$ This is shown conceptually in Figure 3.11 with the verb /bìy/ 'to fly'. The first image shows the verb stem with its low lexical tone attached and a post-lexical H which causes the rising surface tone. The second image shows the tone when a rising-tone directional prefix is attached. The H of the prefix spreads to the verb stem.

Figure 3.11. Tonal alternating verbs


Why this is the case with this particular group of verbs and not with other verbs is a question for further research. Sun (2008:265) shows that the placement of accent in rGyalrong is sensitive to the syllable structure of the input morphemes, in particular whether a root is checked or smooth. A similar distinction cannot be made in Wǎdū

[^62]Pǔmǐ, since there are no checked syllables. One occasionally hears a final glottal stop when rising tone syllables are pronounced abruptly, but this does not seem to relate to the group of alternating verbs: non-alternating verbs and rising tone nouns also display this. And the presence or absence of a syllable-final glottal can be found with the same form.

Interestingly, this tonal alternation applies to roughly the same verbs in Shuǐluò and Mùdǐqīng (Jacques 2011c:375), Dàyáng (Matisoff 1997:204,209; Fù 1998:29) and Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The Wǎdū Pǔmǐ forms that have been attested in other speech varieties are given in Table 3.20. A few verbs that were listed in other speech varieties with a falling tone instead of a rising tone, are presented in the table in bold font.

Table 3.20 Comparison of alternating verbs in different speech varieties

| Meaning | Wǎdū | Mùdǐqīng | Shuǐluò | Dàyáng | Dàyáng |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'to fly' | bìn | biě̌ |  |  |  |
| 'to ride' | $d z \grave{j}$ | $d z \varepsilon ̌ i$ | $d z e ̌ i$ |  |  |
| 'to do' | dzù | $d z u ̌$ |  |  |  |
| 'to rot' | $d_{\text {dzwì }}$ |  |  | $b d z \check{1}$ | $b z \check{\text { li }}$ |
| 'to run' |  | $d z \check{\varepsilon}$ |  |  | bzin |
| 'to drop' | dò |  |  | $d \check{F}$ |  |
| 'to wear (clothes)' | gù | $g u ̌$ | $g I ̇$ | $g W I ̇$ |  |
| 'to change' | $g$ t̀ |  |  |  | $3{ }^{3}$ 3й |
| 'to fall out' | hà |  |  |  | $g a ̆$ |
| 'to sell' | kì | kǐ | $\epsilon \hat{1}$ |  | Stfİ |
| 'to carry on back' | kù | kǔ |  | $t \check{t}{ }^{127}$ |  |
| 'to plant' | $1 \varepsilon ̇ j$ | $1 \varepsilon ̌ i$ | lěi |  |  |
| 'to mislay, lose' | mì |  |  | mǐ |  |

[^63]| Meaning | Wǎdū | Mùdǐqīng | Shuîluò | Dàyáng | Dàyáng |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＇to make a mistake ${ }^{128}$ | nòn | nỡ |  | $n \check{\sim} N$ | nǒy |
| ＇to flee＇ | $p^{\text {hin }}$ | $p^{h \check{e r e n}}$ | $t s{ }^{\text {hǐ129 }}$ |  |  |
| ＇to pick＇ | $q^{h} \grave{\alpha}$ |  |  |  | $q h ⿳ \check{c}$ |
| ＇to obtain＇ | $t^{i}$ | $r \grave{ }$ |  |  |  |
| ＇to hang＇ | $!_{\text {！}}^{\text {\＃̀ }}$ |  |  | Sヒ̌ |  |
| ＇to hide＇ | sù | $t s{ }_{S}$ | s ${ }^{\text {u }}$ |  |  |
| ＇to wear（hat）＇ | $t \grave{j}$ | $t \varepsilon ̌ i$ | těi | twă |  |
| ＇to drink＇ | $t^{\text {hing }}$ | $t^{h}$ iě̌ | $t^{\text {hrin }}$ |  | thǐn ${ }^{130}$ |
| ＇to be fat＇ | $t s^{h}{ }_{\text {a }}$ | $t s^{h{ }^{\text {a }}}$ | $t s^{h}{ }_{W}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| ＇to return <br> （food，money）＇ | $t s^{h}$ Wì |  |  |  | tshuě |
| ＇to scoop＇ | $t 6^{h} \grave{O} \eta$ | $t s^{h a ̆}$ | $¢^{h}{ }_{\text {ara }}$ |  |  |
| ＇to pour＇ | tcti |  | $t c i ̌$ |  |  |
| ＇to remember， miss＇ | tèn |  | $t s \check{S}^{\check{I}}$ |  |  |
| ＇to extinguish＇ | wù |  |  |  | $g o ̌$ |
| ＇to be satiated， satisfied＇ | zòn |  |  | kwǐ |  |
| ＇to carry ${ }^{131}$ | zıà | $z{ }^{\text {ă }}$ | zozá | z30̌ | ．ひuă |
| ＇to leak＇ | zò | $d z \check{z}$ | zŏ |  |  |

[^64]Verbs that have been attested in other Pǔmǐ varieties as alternating verbs but have a different tone or are non－alternating verbs in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are compared in Table 3．21． Non－alternating verbs and verbs with a different tone are given in bold font．

Table 3．21 Non－correspondences of alternating verbs

| Meaning | Wǎdū | Mùdǐqīng | Shuîluò | Dàyáng | Dàyáng |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＇to break＇ | dén ${ }^{132}$ | $d z \hat{e}$ | $d z \check{l}$ |  | dŏn |
| ＇to collapse＇ | $b \hat{1}$ |  |  | phyě | biě |
| ＇to cause to collapse＇ | $p^{h \hat{h}}$ |  |  |  | $p^{h_{\text {ie }}}$ |
| ＇to be excessive＇ | $h \hat{a}$ |  |  |  | $x$ ă |
| ＇to tear＇（intr．） | dî |  |  | $d \check{ }$ |  |
| ＇to tear＇ | $t^{\text {hi }}$ |  |  | $t^{\text {ha }}$ | thě |
| ＇to throw＇ | $b \hat{a}$ |  |  |  | $v b a ̆$ |
| ＇to be light （weight）＇ | zin | $d z e{ }_{\text {Ex }}$ | ¢Ĭ |  |  |
| ＇to give＇ | $k^{\text {hin }}$ | tcyẽ̃ |  |  | ftfy̌n |
| ＇to dry＇ | wû |  |  |  |  |
| ＇to sew＇ | $d i$ |  | $d \check{e} i$ | děi |  |
| ＇to be dislocated of a joint＇ |  |  |  |  | \＆ǐ 脱臼 |

[^65]| Meaning | Wǎdū | Mùdǐqīng | Shuǐluò | Dàyáng |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ＇to link＇ |  | Dàyáng |  |  |
|  |  |  | Stfhuă |  |
| ＇to be overcast＇ |  |  | 连接 |  |

The remaining Wǎdū Pǔmǐ alternating verbs this research has identified have not been attested in any of the other speech varieties．They are listed in（153）：
（153）cwìn＇to send＇，cwìn＇to take out some＇，dà＇to move＇，dzàw＇to have authority＇， dzì＇to splash＇，dòn＇to become well＇，dzù＇to bear fruit＇，jìn＇to plow＇，$k^{\text {hì }}$＇to
 out；lòn＇to pull out，uproot＇，${ }^{134}$ lù＇to disappear behind ridge，set（of sun）＇，lì ＇to relieve oneself＇，li＇to succeed in escaping＇，m̀̀＇to hear，forget＇，$n$＇òn＇to nurse＇，$p^{h}{ }_{u}$＇to divide the household＇，$p^{h} \grave{\dot{t}}$＇to search and confiscate＇，$p^{h} \grave{t}$＇to
 animals＇，$\downarrow$ èn＇to leave behind＇，fì＇to burn＇，fỳ̀＇to sweep＇，ş̀＇to uncover，make
 wear（shoes）＇，$t^{h j} \partial{ }^{\prime} \eta$＇to set up weaving＇，tòn＇to burn incense，conduct ritual＇， $t s^{h} \grave{\alpha}$＇to strain＇，$t s^{h} \grave{\partial}$＇to collect（water，rice）＇，$t s i ̀$＇to erect，take＇，$t^{h \grave{\partial}}$＇to make sth fall down＇，t̀＇to wear（belt，shawl）＇，zì＇to receive，rob＇，zì＇to give birth＇，zwìn ＇to block＇，zù＇to lift＇．

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ a few disyllabic verbs seem to behave like alternating verbs（Jacques ［2011c：370］mentions this also for Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ）．${ }^{135}$ To date，three verbs have been attested：

Table 3．22 Disyllabic＇fourth tone＇verbs

| Basic form | Prefixed form | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［ $\chi^{\text {h }}$ ètsêj］ | ［ ne －q ${ }^{\text {hétsêju］}}$ | ＇to be small＇ |
| ［dòdǽn刀］ |  | ＇to walk＇ |
| ［qòņón pû］ | ［è－qóņóy pù］ | ＇to crawl，stoop＇ |

[^66]Interestingly, the stative verb/q ${ }^{h}$ tstêj/ 'to be small' has an alternative form / $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{tts}{ }^{\text {ěj }}$ / shown in examples (154) and (155).
(154) $q^{\text {hètsséj-mà\# pú_ú\# lúhwá\# zù }=\text { dáw }}$
$q^{\mathrm{h}}$ ttsêj-mə púłu lúhwa zǔ̌=daw
small-NMLZ roast difficult very $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'The small ones are really hard to roast (...)' (CV18.13)
(155) é-bù = bù,\# dàbǔ\# qhètsèj-má\# jèhǎ\# lázà thè-dzù\# fià
$\hat{\mathrm{e}}$-bu $=\mathrm{bu}, \quad$ dəbǔ $q^{\mathrm{h}}$ ttsěj-mə jehǎ lâzu $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {hě-dzù }}$ fia
1-household = TOP then small-NMLZ all Ch:cured.meat FR.SP-make LINK
'Our household made cured meat of all the small ones, and (...)' (CV21.146)
The verbs 'to come' and 'to go' also show tonal alternation when a directional prefix is attached, but this is not related to the phenomenon of alternating verbs described above. The two verbs are irregular and show suppletive inflected forms (88.1.1), so rather than trying to explain what happens tonally, I take their tone as another indication of their irregularity. Two examples with the verb /दá/ 'to go' are shown in (156) and (157).

Table 3.23 'come' and 'go' tonal alternation

| Basic form | Prefixed form | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [दว̌] | [DIR-दá] | 'to come' |
| [दə́] | [DIR-că] | 'to go' |

(156) ćá $^{\prime} \quad$ mà $=q \hat{\varepsilon ̂ j}$.

द又́ $\quad \mathrm{mǎ}=\mathrm{q} \varepsilon j$.
go $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{EXPT}$
'(He) won't go (there).' (CV04.11)


DOWN-go DOWN-go time = TOP lowland-person=PL:GEN above
né-tà tçà = dàw.
ně-tà tçǎ = daw.
DOWN-arrive say=IPFV:N.EGO
'When (he) went down for a long time he arrived at (a place) above (a village of) lowland people [he himself told me].' (PC07w.8)

### 3.4.6 Negation and interrogative clitics and tone

A case for further research are the general and perfective negation clitics $m \check{a}=(\$ 7.2 .1)$ and $m i ́=$ (§7.2.2), and the interrogative clitic $\hat{\mathcal{B}}=$ (§7.3), which all seem to have lexical tone. Four situations can be observed with $m \check{a}=.1$. $m \check{a}=$ keeps its own rising tone and the following tone-bearing element has a low surface tone, as in (158). This happens especially with the copula $d z \hat{\hat{\theta}}$ 'to be' and the verb/auxiliary/customary marker wên 'to have learned/can'. 2. mă = has a low surface tone and the following tone-bearing element has its original tone (which can spread rightwards), as in (159). 3. The tone of $m \check{a}=$ spreads rightwards onto a toneless element. 4. Both $m \check{a}=$ and the following element keep their own tone. Situations 3 and 4 are shown in (160), with spreading of tone onto $=q \varepsilon j$ and the original tone on $z \check{z}$ 'to come'.
(158) tèn'̇̀ = bú\# mǎ = wèn bàw,\# há...!
tenǰ̌ = bu mǎ=wêy baw ha
other $=T O P \quad$ NEG $=$ have.learned CONTR INTJ
'(...) the other I have not learned, hahaha!' (CV13.102.5)
(159) tç ${ }^{\text {hì̀ }} \mathbf{w e ́ - j i ́ ~}=$ gæ̀\# tçá\# mà $=\boldsymbol{\varphi} \grave{1}$
tç $\mathrm{ǐ}-\mathrm{wč}-\mathrm{ji}=\mathrm{g} æ \quad$ tḉ $\quad \mathrm{mǎ}=$ č̌
food-prepare-NMLZ $=$ GEN water NEG $=$ EXIST.AB
'(...) there was no water to prepare food (...)' (CV05.02)
(160) mǎ = \# ž̌\# dǒy\# mà = qéj t ṭáw\# fà.

NEG = come be.okay NEG = EXPT say:IPFV:N.EGO LINK
'(She) said, "(...) It won't be good to not come." ' (CV14. 287.1)
The high tone of the perfective negation clitic $m i ́=$ usually spreads rightwards onto the following element, as in (161) where it precedes the rising tone verb $t \epsilon^{h}{ }_{i}^{\prime}$ 'to feed'. The other elements in the tone group appear in low surface tone. The only exception is when it appears in a conditional clause: then $m i ́=$ keeps its high tone and the following elements are low, as in (162).


pig-food = also NEG:PFV = feed GNOMIC
'(I) haven't even fed the pigs.' (CV03.1.2)
(162)



nə́-mə $\quad$ mí $=\underset{\substack{q \\ \text { qu }}}{=} \mathrm{si}=\mathrm{bu}$
thus-NMLZ $\quad$ NEG:PFV $=$ buy $=\mathrm{INF}=\mathrm{TOP}$
'(...) if (you had already) bought (a Tibetan outfit), but had not bought beads and similar things to cover the head with (...)' (CV15.17.2)

Three situations can be observed with the interrogative $\hat{\mathcal{E}}=.1$. When the tone of the following element is high, falling or toneless, the tone of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}=$ spreads rightwards, which results in a low surface tone on the following element, as in (163). 2. When the tone of the following element is rising, the tone change to high, as in (164). The only exception is conditional clauses: in that case $\hat{\mathcal{E}}=$ keeps its tone and the following elements have low surface tones, as in (165).
(163) "é\# zùtú\# $\mathfrak{e}=\mathbf{t}$ ç $^{\mathrm{h}}$ wì?" tçwò = sì tcàw.

| é | zutâ |  | t¢Wǎ = si |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1SG | features | $\mathrm{Q}=$ good | say:PFV:N.EGO = INF |  |

(The trader) said, "Are my features good-looking?" it is said. (KZ02.4)


salt $\mathrm{Q}=$ need really INTJ
'Do you need salt? (You said that correctly) Hahaha!' (CV14.197)


money seek $\mathrm{Q}=$ be.able:EGO:1 = CONTR.TOP
'If there is (meat) at grandfather's over there, (...)' (CV18.30.2)

### 3.4.7 Tone in reduplicated verbs

Verbs show different reduplication patterns. The morphology and semantics will be dealt with in §7.4.1. Here it suffices to mention the tonal patterns that occur. In random reduplication, the tone of the original verb splits and spreads leftwards onto the reduplicated syllable, as is shown in Table 3.24. The reduplicated form of lexical lowtone verbs is influenced by the preceding prefix, resulting in a L.H surface tone on the non-prefixed form and a H.L surface tone on the prefixed form.

Table 3.24 Random reduplication


In reciprocal reduplication, the tonal patterns are not so straightforward. When the verb has a high lexical tone, the most common reduplicated pattern shows a H.L tone. There are however some other patterns that have been attested. When the verb has a falling lexical tone, the tone splits in a similar way to the random reduplication pattern described above: the high surface tone moves to the reduplicated part and the low surface tone stays on the verb stem. When a non-alternating verb shows a surface rising tone, the original tone stays on the verb stem and the reduplicated part receives a low tone. An alternating verb with rising surface tone shows three different patterns. These patterns need more research.

Table 3.25 Reciprocal reduplication

| Tone |  | Tone of <br> reduplication | Example |  | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | /lú/ | H.L | /lêlu/ | [lélù] | 'to put arms around each <br> other' |
|  | /kí/ | L.H <br> (some H.H) | /kekí/ | [kèkí] | 'to chase each other' |

Some verbs show a derived meaning when they are reduplicated with a H.L pattern. It seems that the H.L tone pattern in this case is a derivational tonal pattern linked to this particular reduplication. Not all verbs show this H.L reduplication with derived
meaning, but the verbs that do have a different tonal pattern in their reciprocal reduplication, as is shown in the examples (166-169). ${ }^{136}$

| (166) | /kí/ | [kí] | 'to chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /kekí/ | [kèkí] | 'to chase each other' (reciprocal) |
|  | /kêki/ | [kékì] | 'to chase away' (derived) |
| (167) | /tǔ/ | [tǔ] | 'to pull' |
|  | /tetǔ/ | [tètǔ] | 'to pull back and forth' (reciprocal) |
|  | /têtu/ | [tétù] | 'to fight over' (derived) |
| (168) | /sě/ | [sě] | 'to hit, kill' |
|  | /sesč/ | [sèsě] | 'to hit each other' (reciprocal) |
|  | /sêse/ | [sésè] | 'to fight' (derived) |
| (169) | /tsǒn/ | [tsǒn] | 'to kick' |
|  | /tsetsǒn/ | [tsètsǒn] | 'to kick each other' (reciprocal) |
|  | /tsêtson/ | [tsétsòn] | 'to fight (using kicks)' (derived) |

### 3.5 Discourse function of tone

In this section I will show various examples that illustrate the organisation of discourse through alternate tone groupings, and discuss how this ties in with focus. In the examples, constituents in focus are marked in bold in the underlying representation.

For the present discussion I adopt Lambrecht's defintion of focus. Focus is 'the semantic component of a pragmatically structured proposition whereby the assertion differs from the presupposition.' (Lambrecht 1994:213). Pragmatic assertion is defined as 'The proposition expressed by a sentence which the hearer is expected to know or take for granted as a result of hearing the sentence uttered.' (Lambrecht 1994:52). Pragmatic presupposition is defined as 'The set of propositions lexico-grammatically evoked in a sentence which the speaker assumes the hearer already knows or is ready to take for granted at the time the sentence is uttered.' (Lambrecht 1994:52). Thus, focus is the relationship between already known (old) information and new information. It is what makes an utterance informative.

Lambrecht distinguishes between three different types of focus (1994:222): argumentfocus (narrow focus, i.e. when the focus is a single constituent), predicate-focus and sentence-focus (both broad focus, i.e. the focus includes more than one constituent). For sentence-focus the focus is the entire sentence; for predicate-focus the focus is the comment, and does not include the topic.

[^67]In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the unmarked focus type is predicate-focus, where a sentence has a topic-comment structure. Topics can be marked or unmarked ( $\$ 6.5$ ) and the focus is the comment.

Argument-focus and sentence-focus are expressed by the organisation of constituents into tone groups. Argument-focus relates to an identificational pragmatic structure, where there is a focus-presupposition relation between the argument and the proposition. With argument-focus in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, the constituent that is in focus forms its own tone group. However, if the focus does not include the predicate, but the predicate is part of the presupposition rather than the focus, it is defocalised and incorporated into the tone group of the preceding constituent. This happens when the information expressed by the predicate is already known, and the focus of the utterance is on the constituent preceding the predicate.

Sentence-focus relates to a presentational or event-reporting pragmatic structure. With sentence-focus in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, the constituents that express the event that is presented form one single tone group. Lambrecht (2000) shows that with sentence-focus, arguments that would be interpreted as topics are detopicalized and treated as part of the focus. This happens in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ through the incorporation of the argument into the tone group of the predicate. I will refer to argument-focus and sentence-focus as 'narrow focus' and 'broad focus', especially since the term 'sentence-focus' is a bit misleading in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. Even though it is possible for a whole sentence to form a single tone group (for example in [170]), the distinction in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is really made between a single-constituent tone group (with identificational pragmatic structure) and a multiple-constituent tone group (with presentational or event-reporting pragmatic structure). This will be discussed in §3.5.1.

### 3.5.1 Tone groups and broad versus narrow focus

The way constituents group together allows or blocks tonal spread. This is especially visible with predicates and their adjacent (usually O ) arguments, henceforth 'nounverb constituents'. Not all object-verb constituents form a tone group in discourse. When an object-verb constituent is presented as a single situation, i.e. 'broad focus', object and verb form a tone group and tone sandhi happens. When the focus is on one of the constituents, i.e. 'narrow focus', both parts keep their own tone. Something similar is also noted by Chirkova (2006:5) on tone in the neighbouring language Xùmǐ. Example (170) exhibits broad focus; a general situation is presented and the whole sentence is in focus. There is no topic present in this sentence. The verb and its preceding object form one constituent and the tone of /gwi/spreads rightwards onto the low-toned verb. This sentence is uttered as an isolated statement, not in answer to a question.

```
(170) gwí t \(\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{o ́ n}=\) sì.
    gwí \(t^{h}\) hò \(=\) si
    rain appear \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
    'It rained.' (EL)
```

Example (171) is an instance of narrow focus, said in answer to the question whether or not it rained. Rain is the topic of the conversation, and the fact that it did is the focus. Therefore, object and verb do not form a single tone group, but both surface in their underlying tones.
(171) gwí\# tç ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o ̀}=$ sǐ.
gwí t ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{y}$ = $=\mathrm{si}$
rain appear $=I N F$
'(Yes,) it rained.' (EL)
Tone sandhi between a verb and its object is especially clear when the verb has a (rising-tone) directional prefix, and the preceding object has a rising tone: the tone of the object spreads to the verb and the prefix (as well as the verb) receives a high surface tone. This can be seen in example (173).

Compare the constituents /de.ečj/ 'speech' and /qhy̌-tóy/ 'to narrate' in examples (172) and (173). In (172) verb and object form one tone group and what is in focus here is the fact that the toad, who was mentioned before, spoke. The whole fact that it spoke is unexpected, since toads do not normally speak. In (173) where object and verb form separate tone groups, the assumption is that god can speak, but today he actually said something.
(172) dòbǔ\# tá\# pédí = gòn\# dèdèj q qúz-tóg $^{\text {h }}$

then this toad=AGT speech out-speak
'Then this toad spoke (...)' (TC09.16)
(173) púnì̀ = bù\# hí = gón\# dè_č̌j\# q qł̀̀-tón
pûn ${ }^{j} \partial=$ bu hí=goŋ de.č̌j q$\quad q^{\text {hว̌-tó }}$
today $=$ TOP god $=$ AGT speech out-speak
'(...) Today god spoke.' (TC08.15)
The pair in (174-175) are further examples in which the different structuring of tone groups clearly influences the meaning. (174) is presented as a general situation indicating that the referents will have the ability to speak Nuòsū without without having made a conscious effort to learn it. It is structured as a single tone group, and thus the tone of the object /gonn $n^{j} æ l_{i} /$ / 'Nuòsū language' spreads to the verb /̌̌-wên/ 'to have learned'. In (175) the focus is on /̌̌-wêy/, which implies that the referents made
 wên/ 'to have learned' do not form a single tone group.

gonnælí $\quad$ ě-wêy $=q \varepsilon j$
Nuòsū.language in-have.learned = EXPT
'(...) (everybody) will have learned the Nuòsū language (...)' (CV04.2)
(175) gònnỉæ̀lí\# è-wéy = qèj
gonnºlí $\quad$ ě-wêy $=q \varepsilon j$
Nuòsū.language in-have.learned = EXPT
'(Everybody) will have learned the Nuòsū language.' (CV04.2EL)
Another example is given in (176) and (177). (176) is presented as a general situation with the connotation that the referent, the implied topic, did not really want to drink liquor. The comment is presented as a single tone group, i.e. predicate focus or broad focus, and thus the tone of the noun-verb constituent /£edzž thín/ 'to drink liquor' in (176) spreads to the verb /̌̌-wêy/ 'to have learned'. In (177) the focus is on /̌̌-wên/, i.e. narrow focus, which implies that the referent had a purpose for learning to drink liquor. Since the focus of (177) is on the learning, the verb /̌̌-wêy/ forms a separate tone group, and thus does not receive the tone of the preceding element.
(176) \{èdzà thíg é-wèy = qèj

Łedž̌ thǐy ě-wên = qعj
liquor drink IN -have.learned $=$ EXPT
'He will have learned to drink liquor.' (CV04.2EL)
łèdz̧ə̀ thín\# è-wén = qè̀
Łedzǎ thǐy ě-wêy=qعj
liquor drink IN -have.learned $=$ EXPT
'He will have learned to drink liquor.' (CV04.2EL)
The undesirability of the situations in (174) and (176) is partly the result of the lack of control expressed by not focusing the verb /wây/ 'to have learned', and thus downplaying the volition and control of the referents; the referents did not make an effort to learn the language or drink liquor, but it just happened because of the sociolinguistic or socio-cultural situation they found themselves in.

The above examples have shown narrow focus on the predicate, but it is also possible for the object to be in focus and the predicate to be part of the presupposition. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ this kind of narrow focus is often contrastive. It is expressed through defocalising the predicate, by incorporating it into the same tone group as the object. In (178) the two constituents /zət $\epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wîb}^{\mathrm{j}} æ /$ 'right' and /wejtc ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wîb}^{\mathrm{j}} æ /$ 'left' are in contrastive focus.

The predicate (which is clearly known information from the context of the story) appears in low surface tone.




left-direction-side:GEN = DEF OUT-dig N.CONTR = INF
'(...) Oh...I should have dug out the right one, but I unfortunately dug out the left one (...)' (TC06.28)

In example (179) the expected tone of /zǎ/ 'to come' would be high, ${ }^{137}$ but it is low instead. It is not possible in the discourse context to have a high tone. In this example the speaker is aware of the fact that the two addressees have arrived, since she is talking to them, but she is making a guess as to in which manner they arrived: /muthur $q^{h} u$ / 'on a motor cycle'. Since that piece of information, and not the predicate, is in focus, the predicate is incorporated into the same tone group as the constituent in focus, and thus pronounced with low surface tones.
(179) nìg = dzǽy\# cwíp $^{\text {há }}=$ bù\# dàbǔ\# mùthǔ $=$ qhù è-̧à mà dzà qèj

$2=$ DU $\quad$ evening $=$ TOP then motorcycle $=$ on IN-come EPIST
bàw?
baw
CONTR
'So you probably came by motorcycle (yesterday) evening, right?' (CV02.33)
If (179) was said out of context, as in (180) and (181), either the underlying tone can be used on /孔ž/, as in (180), or the low surface tone, as in (181). Example (180) implies that the people on the motorcycle have not arrived yet and the speaker is making a guess as to whether or not they have arrived. This is a normal predicate-focus utterance. In (181) the speaker knows the people have arrived, but is making a guess as to whether they will have arrived by motorcycle (or some other means of transport). Since the predicate is within the presupposition, it cannot be in focus, and thus has to be defocalised by incorporating it into the tone group of the preceding element /muthur $q^{h} u /$ which is in focus. Since in (179) the context makes it clear that the people have

[^68]arrived（the speaker is addressing them），defocalising the predicate is the only option， and therefore it is not possible for the verb／孔亏̆／to appear with its lexical tone．
（180）dàbǔ\＃mùthǔ＝qhù\＃è－zá má dzà qèj bàw？
dəbǔ muthǔ $=q^{\text {h }} u$ ě－zǎ mə dzə q $\varepsilon j$ baw
then motorcycle $=$ on IN－come EPIST CONTR
＇（They）probably came by motorcycle，right？＇（CV02．33EL）
（181）dàbǔ\＃mùthǔ＝ $\mathbf{q}^{\text {hù } ̀ \text { è－zà mà dzà qèj bàw？}}$
dəbǔ\＃mutȟ̌ $=q^{h} \mathbf{u}$ ě－孔ǎ mə dzə qعj baw
then motorcycle $=$ on IN－come EPIST CONTR
＇（They）probably came by motorcycle，right？＇（CV02．33EL）
Another example of narrow focus on an argument is given in（182）．The speaker，who is inside a house，utters this remark out of the blue in the middle of a conversation． Some time before that utterance，Tshering Lhame had received a phone call and had left the room to talk in the courtyard．The utterance is presented as the answer to the speaker＇s own non－expressed question＇Who is Tshering Lhame talking to？＇．Since the predicate is within the presupposition，the topic，Tshering Lhame，is implied，and everything else（except for the clause－final attitude particle）falls under one tone group and receives the tone of the initial element／mâ／＇mother＇or default low tone．

> má = pù dèrèjj tò = dàw mò dzà qèj\# bǎ
> mâ =pu dey̌̌j tón=daw mə dzə qعj bǎ
> mother $=$ COM $\quad$ speech $\quad$ speak $=$ IPFV:N.EGO $\quad$ EPIST SPEC
＇（Tshering Lhame）will be talking with（her）mother，（．．．）＇（CV13．60）
Apart from broad and narrow focus in the sense used by Lambrecht，tone grouping can also be used for emphasis，as in（183）and（184）．When／pǎzù／＇carpet＇，／tsí／＇to get＇ and／Gîn／＇go：IMP：PL＇form one tone group，the imperative force is weakened，as in（183）． But when they form separate tone groups，as in（184），the imperative force is stronger．
（183）＂（．．．）pǎzù tsì cìn，＂tçwà＝sì tçàw．

| ＂（．．．）pǎzù | tsí | दîy，＂ | tçwǎ $=$ si | tçaw． |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| carpet | take | go：IMP：PL | say：PFV：N．EGO $=$ INF | HSY |

＇（．．．）（the father）said，＂（You all go）get the carpets（today，that your fiancees （that you found）wove），it is said．＂＇（TC09．20）
（184）＂pǎzù\＃tsí cín，＂t ṭwò＝sì tçàw．
＂pǎzù̀ tsí cîy，＂ţwǎ＝si tçaw．
carpet take go：IMP：PL say：PFV：N．EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ HSY
＇（He）said，＂Go get the carpets！＂，it is said．＇（TC09．21）

### 3.6 Intonation

How does intonation influence lexical pitch? Hari (1971:47) notes that in Thakali intonation appears most clearly on the last syllable of a phonological constituent; if this syllable is neutral in respect to tone, the intonational pitch is realized most clearly. This agrees with what Greif (2010:246) states about the Niúwōž̌ Pǔmǐ discourse clitics that appear as the last syllable of a phonological constituent. Because of their toneless nature discourse clitics seem to have a bigger capacity for expressing intonation. Greif shows that discourse clitics that follow a focused constituent (especially an initial NP) show an upstepped H tone, which makes them more prominent than the preceding noun, and he suspects that this is due to the influence of intonation. This section will only make a few general remarks on intonation in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ and show two emphatic intonation phenomena: the extra high pitch intonation (§3.6.1) and the narrative rising pitch intonation (§3.6.2). I have not looked into normal intonation patterns (e.g. questions versus statements).

As is common in languages across the world, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ intonation displays a general declination phonemenon: the overall pitch tends to be lower towards the end of an intonation unit. Downdrift also happens, where low pitch in between high pitch lowers the general pitch of the utterance. ${ }^{138}$ The presence of a boundary tone can be seen in a falling tone on the end of a clause or phrase, usually just before a pause (as mentioned in §3.3.2). This is also mentioned by Greif (2010) for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ.

### 3.6.1 Extra high pitch intonation

Words bearing lexical tone can be influenced by intonation. Hari (1971:47) states that in Thakali, if a syllable has a distinctive pitch (lexical tone?), then the pitch interacts with the intonation, but the underlying contrasts are not neutralized (1971:47). This can be seen in (185) and (186) where an extra high contour combined with lengthening of the syllable renders an intensive meaning. ${ }^{139}$

'(She) made one thing exactly the same as herself from ashes, (...)' (TC02.76)
Example (185) is shown in Figure 3.12. As can be clearly seen, the pitch of the first $q \hat{x}$ starts extremely high and its syllable length is 1.06 s as compared to 0.21 s for the second $q \hat{x}$.

[^69]Figure 3.12 Extra high pitch


In (186) the speaker indicates that the household was extremely far away. The reduplication of $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ 'outwards (down the valley)' also involves extensive lengthening of the syllable: the first mention has a duration of 0.52 s , the second has a duration of only 0.17 s .


| wéjz̧aw <br> Qiansuo | $k^{h u ̌}-k^{h u ̌}-p u ́$, out-out-under | honliæ̌ <br> Ch:goldtread.root | p ¢́j-mə <br> cook-NMLZ | tǐ-qê one-CLF:household |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zí wèn\# |  |  |  |  |
| zî | wên |  |  |  |
| EXIST.AN | CUST.EXCL |  |  |  |

'(...) way, way outwards down the valley in Qiansuo there was a family who processed goldthread root(...)' (SN02.9)

### 3.6.2 Narrative rising pitch intonation

A special narrative intonation is used by storytellers to make a story more expressive. It consists of a sharp rise in pitch and lengthening of the syllable just before the end of an intonation break. The pitch drops sharply and a few morphemes might follow in a low pitch. When a quotation is involved, the narrative intonation will appear on the last syllable of the quotation and the pitch will drop again sharply on the quotation marker and stay low on whatever follows the quotation marker. The same phenomenon appears in Yǔchū Pǔmǐ as well (personal fieldnotes).

Figure 3.13 shows the the pitch contour of example (187). The narrative intonation can be seen in the length of the syllable [दìn] and its sharp rise in pitch.

Figure 3.13 Narrative rising pitch intonation

(187)

'(... the father said, "You all) get the carpet today, that your fiancees that you found (wove), it is said." ' (TC09.20)

### 3.7 Conclusion

This chapter described the tonal system of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ and found that its tonal inventory and tonal spreading patterns are similar to that described for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ. However, four tones should be recognised in monosyllables, based on different tonal spreading patterns in rising tone alternating verbs. Alternating verbs were mentioned in several descriptions of Pǔmǐ (Matisoff 1997, Fù 1998) and Jacques (2011c) devoted an article to the topic. The present study clearly shows that tonal alternation happens with a substantial group of verbs, and corroborates Jacques' study in showing that the group is roughly similar across various Pǔmǐ speech varieties. Further research needs to show what diachronic reason, such as the presence of checked syllables (which have been reported in other languages in the area [Matisoff 1970, Sūn 2008]), can be given.

In this chapter it was also argued that all directional prefixes have lexical tone. This differs from earlier descriptions of Pǔmǐ, in which most directional prefixes were described as toneless morphemes. The recognition of lexical tone is based on their relationship with bound demonstratives, their behaviour in conditional clauses and their tonal spreading patterns in predicate-focus constructions. The presence of lexical tone might also explain the tonal alternation in alternating verbs.

Finally, this study noted the importance of tone groups as building blocks for discourse in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The organization of constituents into tone groups is used by speakers of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ to express broad versus narrow focus. Tonal incorporation happens by integrating a constituent into an adjacent tone group. This is used for detopicalization of an argument or defocalisation of a predicate. Tone groups and their function in discourse have been described for the neighbouring languages Xùmǐ (Chirkova 2006) and Na (Michaud 2013). Due to the scope of this thesis, only initial observations were made and further corpus-based research will certainly bring more to light.

## Chapter 4.

## Form classes

This chapter discusses the major form classes in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The chapter starts with a short discussion on the open form classes: noun, verbs and adjectives (§4.1). Adjectives are for the most part a subset of verbs and will be dealt with under the verbs (§8.2). In the rest of the chapter several closed form classes will be described in more detail: pronouns (§4.2); numerals (§4.3); numeral classifiers (§4.4); quantifiers (§4.5); demonstratives and locationals (§4.6). (Section §4.6 on demonstratives and locationals strictly speaking does not deal with a structural form class, but is rather grouped around the semantics of time and location. Treating them together allows for a clearer presentation of the data.) Semantic role markers are briefly mentioned in §4.6, but will be treated more fully in Chapter 6 together with discourse markers. Adverbs are described in $\S 4.7$ and clause linkers in $\S 4.8$. The function of clause linkers is described more fully in Chapter 10. The chapter ends with a section on grammaticalisation (§Error! Reference source not found.). Some other form classes will not be dealt with in this chapter, but are described in other chapters. Auxilaries are described in §7.8.5. Attitude markers are described in §8.8. Onomatopoeic ideophones, ideophones proper, expressives, interjections and expletives are dealt with in Chapter 9.

### 4.1 Open form classes

As Dīng (1998) clearly showed in his work on Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ, there is a lot of overlap in form classes and many words can be used as noun, verb, adjective or classifier. One example sentence from Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is a clear example how a single form can be used in multiple ways. In this example, the form $\not \subset \bar{\eta}$ is used as a noun 'drying rack', a verb 'to dry on a drying rack', and a classifier 'a drying-rackful':

| ¡óy = bì | tè-¢óy | tè-¢óy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| drying.rack $=$ on | one-ClF:drying.rack | one-CLF:drying.rack |
| è-¢ôy |  |  |
| IN-dry.on.drying |  |  |

'(...) and put to dry on a drying rack one drying-rackful by one drying-rackful
(...)' (CL03ed.22)

I therefore do not want to spend much time in defining nouns versus verbs versus adjectives, but will rather give the reader a table with several language-specific
constructions that indicate when a certain morpheme is used as a noun, when as a verb, and when as an adjective.

Table 4.1 Wǎdū Pǔmǐ constructions

|  | Noun | Verb | Stative verb | Adjective |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Head of noun phrase | x |  |  |  |
| Modified by demonstrative | x |  |  |  |
| Marked for number and definiteness | x |  |  |  |
| Complement of copular clause | x |  |  |  |
| Can take semantic role marking | x |  |  |  |
| Can be modified by other nouns and | x |  |  |  |
| numeral-classifier compounds |  |  |  |  |
| Can be the head of a genitive phrase | x |  |  |  |
| Can take directional prefixes |  | x | x |  |
| Can be modified by preceding adverbial |  |  |  |  |
| expressions |  |  |  |  |
| Can be modified by following auxiliaries |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2 Pronouns

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ personal pronouns are free forms that are not cliticized to the verb. The forms are shown in Table 4.2. The pronouns distinguish person and number: first, second and third person, and singular, dual and plural number. In the first person pronoun form, a distinction is made between inclusive and exclusive. Number is expressed by the dual and plural clitics $=d z a \eta$ and $=. \imath$, and the collective plural suffix
-bt (§5.4). In reality, only speech act participants have a distinct pronoun form. The form that is used to refer to the third person is the proximal demonstrative to 'this'. ${ }^{140}$ However, Pǔmǐ is a zero anaphora language and often nominal arguments are not overtly expressed and can be understood from the discourse context.

Table 4.2 Personal pronouns

|  | SG | DU | PL | COL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.EXCL | $\underline{\text { é }}$ | vdzǎ̌ | $\hat{e} \cdot \underline{\partial}$ | $\hat{e} b{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1.INCL |  | $i \eta d z \hat{x} \eta$ | in. ¢́ $^{\text {a }}$ | igbú |
| 2 | nǐn | $n i y d z \hat{\mathfrak{x}} \eta$ | nin.ta | nigbít |
| 3 | ts | tədzžn | tó.to | $t \hat{\partial}$ b $\#$ |

The first inclusive pronoun $\check{i n}$ - has another form ǒy-, that only appears once in the data and according to my main consultant seems to be used more by young people: ${ }^{141}$


Pronouns are often not present in discourse when they are retrievable from the context. When referring to self, speakers might also use a term of reference, usually a kin term, instead of a pronoun:

[^70]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { èmá = là } \quad \text { ¢ə́= séy }  \tag{190}\\
& \text { aunt = also } \quad \text { go = PFV:EGO } \\
& \text { '(...) aunt (=I) went too.' (YJ01.36) }
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Sometimes a full noun phrase and an ordinary pronoun are combined into an inclusory construction. In this construction the noun is always followed by the pronoun:

| dàbǔ | [pèjpéj | tédí = nò | kízú] | [ní= dzæ̀n] tè-dǐn, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | older.sibling | Tadi = COORD | T:sKal.bzang | LOG = DU | one-CLF:place

A similar construction includes the coordinator =non'and', as in (192). It is interesting that $X=n o \eta$ todzǎg does not mean ' X and the two of them', but ' X and $\ldots$, the two of them' (see also §5.7.1 on associative constructions).

| [pèjpéj | píymá = nòn | Ø] | [tı̀ = dzæ̌)] | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | nè-dzéj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| older.sibling | Pingma $=$ coord | $\emptyset$ | $3=\mathrm{DU}$ | one:CLF:thing | DOWN-ride |
| è-sćj $=$ sì | fià |  |  |  |  |
| IN-go:PFV:N.EG | $=\mathrm{INF} \quad$ LINK |  |  |  |  |

'(...) older brother Pingma, the two of them, went riding one motorcycle (...)' (CV02.34)

Some other forms that can be used like pronouns are given in (193).

| $m \hat{\imath}=d z æ \eta / \downarrow \imath$ | [person $=\mathrm{DU} / \mathrm{PL}$ ] | 'other (two) people'142 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t i ̌(=d z æ \eta)$ | [one( = DU) ] | 'other(s)' |
| tenǒ ( $=\downarrow$ ¢ | [other $=$ PL] | 'other(s)' |
| $t e-d z \dot{v}$ | [one-CLF:several] | 'several' |

### 4.2.1 Reflexive and logophoric pronoun

The logophoric pronoun $n \hat{\imath}^{\prime}(\mathrm{s})$ he himself/herself' is used for third person reflexive or emphatic reference. When used as a reflexive, as in (194), the pronoun is used twice, unlike in some other languages where the normal pronoun can be used with the reflexive (e.g. He hit himself). Emphatic reference is shown in (195).

[^71]\[

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (194) } & \text { ní }=\text { gòy } & \text { ní } & \text { tsàw }=\text { dàw. } \\
\text { LOG }=\text { AGT } & \text { LOG } & \text { beat }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
$$
\]

'He is hitting himself.' (EL)

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { (195) } & \text { ní = gòn } & \text { è-séj } & \text { tì } & \text { tç̀̀ = dàw } & \text { â? } \\
\text { LOG = AGT } & \text { IN-go:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { say:IMP:SG } & \text { say = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { CONF }
\end{array}
$$

'He himself told (you) to tell (Pali Tshering) that (he) went (to the new house)?' (CV04.23)

Peterson (2011:88) notes a relationship between the reflexive morpheme and agentive/foregrounding marker in Khumi, where the reflexive morpheme grammaticalised from the foregrounding marker. Something similar might have happened in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ: the reflexive nî is almost homophonous with the agentive $=n i$ which also has an emphatic use (§6.2.1). However, the reflexive has a falling tone, whereas the agentive is toneless. If there is a relationship between the two, the empathic sense probably preceded the agentive function. LaPolla (p.c.) also reports a Rawang particle $n i$ which emphasizes the agent and has a reflexive use.
$n \hat{\imath}$ can refer to the agent of a clause, as in (194) and (195), to the goal, as in (196), or to the possessor in a possessive relation, as in (197). The dual and plural clitics can cliticize to this pronoun, as in (198).
(196) ní $=$ bì è èdádwè tì sà pú $=$ gî

LOG $=$ DAT IN-ask one first do = VOL:INCL
'(...) let's first ask him a bit.' (TC02.12)
(197) jèmá $=$ bù dàbǔ ní = gæ̀ tçòngú... gwǽgù $=$ łว̀ ź-wà
monk $=$ TOP then LOG $=$ GEN clothes change.of.clothes $=$ PL that-in:GEN

water $=$ in $\quad$ FR.SP-wash
'(...) the monk washed his own clothes ... change of clothes in the water there
(...)' (TC07.4)
(198) ní = øə̀ = bù nè-dzôy

LOG $=$ PL $=$ TOP $\quad$ DOWN-sit
'(...) they themselves would sit down (...)' (TC10.15)
$n \hat{1}$ is used to indicate co-reference in reported indirect speech, as in (199) (this will be discussed further in §8.1.1 and §8.3.5). The existence of a logophoric pronoun and its use to indicate co-reference has also been reported for Nuòsū (Gerner 2013).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (199) ní pùsén } & \text { nòn zǎzæ̀-bà } & \text { wù } \\
\text { LOG } & \text { today.morning } & \text { only } & \text { Zjaezjae-household:GEN } \\
\text { interior }
\end{array}
$$

' $\left(\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ said that he $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ himself had come from the Zjaezjae household only that morning.' (CV07.73.4)

Preliminary research has shown that the logophoric pronoun nî is used extensively for referent tracking in discourse and often marks the referent in current discourse focus. (200) is from the last line of a trickster story. No pronominal reference to the main protagonist has been used in the previous five lines, and in this concluding line, the logophoric pronoun is used.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (200) ní té-ţ̌̀̀= cì kǒy = wù qhò-séj = sì tçàw } \\
& \text { LOG one-CLF:jump = LIM.TOP door }=\text { in } \quad \text { OUT-go:N.EGO PFV }=I N F \quad \text { HSY } \\
& \text { '(...) and he himself went in just one jump through the door, it is said. } \\
& \text { (TC04.37) }
\end{aligned}
$$

There is one reflexive pronoun $p \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}$ 'self', which only seldomly occurs by itself, as in (201), but is usually followed by the agentive $=(g) o \eta(n i)$, as in (202), the definite $=g$, as in (203), or the genitive $=(g) \not{x}$, as in (204). Of the 42 times attested in the corpus, $p t=g \check{a}$ occurs 31 times, as opposed to $p \hat{t}, p \hat{t}=g o \eta(n i)$ and $p \hat{t}=g ə$ that all occur twice. $p \hat{t}-d z a w-p \hat{t}-l u$ occurs five times. It also occurs in the phrase $p \hat{t}-d z a w-p \hat{t}-l u$ 'total freedom' ( $<d z a ̆ w ~ ' a u t h o r i t y ' ~ a n d ~ l u ́ ~ ' w o r k ') . ~ T h e ~ f o r m ~ p t=g \check{x}$, which is by far the most frequent, seems to have lost its genitive meaning in most cases and come to denote 'self'.
(201) tòcǽ $=$ bú də̀bǔ, pú thòn sátc̣̀
now $=$ TOP then self can if
'Nowadays, if one can (do things) oneself, (...)' (TC10.56)

now self=AGT do can if
'Nowadays, if one can do (things) oneself, (...)' (TC01ed.10)
 again self=DEF FR.SP-be.slow say angry do CUST.INCL
'Again when we thought that we ourselves were slow, we would be angry (...)' (CV21.309.2)


```
    self \(=\) GEN \(\quad\) village \(=\) in:GEN relative \(=P L=D A T=\) also meal \(=I N D F\) feed
    qhù wèn
    need CUST.EXCL
```

'(...) one also needs to feed the relatives from one's own village a meal.' (CL01ed.23)

Whereas nî is only used for third person, pt̂t can be used for all persons and can follow another pronoun, as in (205). Note that the genitive form of the reflexive pronoun is used. This form often appears in situations where there is no obvious genitive sense. In this example it is also possible to use $p t=g \check{x} p$ t̀ or nip $=g$ ǽ nǐn instead of $p t=g \check{x}$. The construction $p t=g \check{x} p \grave{t}$ or $p t=g \check{x}$ is possible with all pronouns. Constructions that can be used with other persons in combination with the respective pronouns are
 None of these constructions except for $p \#=g \check{x}$ have been attested in the corpus, and further research is needed to clarify any semantic or pragmatic differences. It might be that $p t=g \check{x}$ derives from $p t=g \check{x} p$ t̀ and has kept the genitive. The use of nip=gǽ is not possible here without change in meaning: 'Did you hit your own (thing)?'

```
(205) nǐy pù =gæ̆ 文-bá=sì \hat{a}?
    2SG self=GEN IN-hit=INF CONF
'Did you hit it yourself?' (CV11.66)
```

There are two other words for 'self', onmô $d^{j} \mathfrak{x}$ and $u d^{j} \hat{u} w a$, that function similarly to $p \hat{\sharp} \hat{t}$, but are used less frequently and could be replaced by $p t=g \check{x}$ or $p \neq g o \check{g} \eta$ in either example. It is possible that these are loanwords from Yǒngníng Na.

'It is only how we do it ourselves (...)' (CV21.568)
(207) ùdíúwá mín tçà tà mǎ=dzà â?
self:GEN what say only NEG = be CONF
'Is it not only what one oneself says (that is right)? (CV23.18.2)

### 4.2.2 Abstract use of pronouns

A few pronouns are used more abstractly. The second person pronoun nĭy can be used in the sense of 'mind you!', not referring to a particular person, but addressing the listener. The speaker wants to draw attention to what they are saying. The implication is that the addressee does not know the piece of information the speaker is sharing, and thus this abstract use of nĭg can sometimes be slightly condescending. When used
in the abstract sense, nǐy functions similarly to an interjection in that it can occur in any position in the clause and not just clause-initially or clause-finally. In (208) an example of nǐg inside a genitive construction.

| (208) èmá | cé $=$ gæ̀ | nǐy | tsá | Cé | zǔ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aunt | big $=$ GEN | INTJ | meat | be.big | very |

'First aunt's -mind you- meat is very big (...)' (CV21.168)
Another pronoun that has acquired a more abstract use is the dual inclusive first person pronoun in = dzáy. When it is used in its abstract sense, it can address multiple people, and indicates that neither the speaker nor the addressee(s) have any idea of the situation, let alone a solution to change the situation: 'What do we know about the situation?' Since it includes the speaker in the group of addressees, it is slightly more polite than nǐy. In example (209) from a conversation between several people, multiple people are addressed by the speaker who is talking about his son who does not have many skills.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (209) jàjíg-má tà dò =qèj má dzî, } \\
& \text { land.plow-NMLZ only become = EXPT NMLZ.CON } \\
& \text { míy } \quad \text { dò }=q \text { qèj, } \quad \text { ì }=d z \hat{x} \eta \\
& \text { what become }=\text { EXPT } 1: I N C L=D U
\end{aligned}
$$

'(He) will only be a land-plower! What else will (he) be?! We (have no solution) (...)' (CV11.12)

Example (210) is an illustration of both pronouns used abstractly in the same utterance. The speaker, who is addressing her sister, redresses the condescending tone of nǐg by following it with in $=d z$ ź $\eta$. In the corpus $i \eta=d z \not \approx \eta$ has only been attested utterancefinally, but like nĭg it can also occur non-utterance-finally.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { people }=\text { pL how.many-far }=\text { in EXIST.AN GNOMIC WARN INTJ 1:INCL=DU }
\end{aligned}
$$

‘How far those people live, mind you, what do we know about it?!!' (CV14.11)

### 4.2.3 Interrogative and indefinite pronouns

A list of interrogative pronouns is given in (211).

| (211) | hîp | 'who' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mîn | 'what' |
|  | mî).ton (tca) | 'how, why' |
|  | kî/ kôdzi | 'where' |
|  | $t t^{\text {ha }}$ ¢ | 'how much' |
|  | $t s^{h}$ ots ${ }^{h} \check{E}$ | 'how much' ( |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t 6^{h} \partial n i ́ \sim t \epsilon^{h} \partial n \partial ́ n i & \text { 'how' } \\
t \epsilon^{h} \partial k^{h} i ́ & \text { 'when' }\left(<k^{h} i\right. \text { 'time') }
\end{array}
$$

The interrogative pronoun mîn. $\downarrow$ on consists of mîך 'what', dǒ $\eta$ 'to become' and optionally tç̌'to say'. The form mîn.fon is more general 'how, why, what's the matter'; mîn.ton tco is more specific 'why' and asks for a more specific answer, as in (212).

'Why am (I) saying that (such a) society is good?' (TC01ed.9)
When followed by a postposition the vowel $k \hat{\imath}$ 'where' is reduced to [ə]. The form $d \not \subset i$ is a spatial noun/postposition denoting location (§4.6.3).

The general interrogative morpheme $t \sigma^{h} \partial$ 'how much' is used as basis for a few other interrogative forms. Note the initial consonant in the form $t s^{h} \partial t s^{h} \check{e}$ 'how much'. This
 derived from the agentive marker $=n i(\S 6.2 .1)$ or the additional focus marker $=n i$ (§6.5.9). $t 6^{h}{ }^{h}$ is not a bound form and can appear by itself, as in (213). It can also be followed by classifiers ( $t \epsilon^{h} \partial$ - $t s i{ }^{\text {' }}$ how many people') and (mainly dimensional) stative verbs ( $t \epsilon^{h} \partial$-t $\varepsilon j^{\prime}$ 'how big'). In combination with stative verbs it has an exclamatory rather than an interrogative meaning, as in (210) and (216).

| kèdzí | t $^{\text {h}}$ 文 | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ é-dzù $=$ sè $?$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this.period.of.time | how.many | FR.SP-make $=$ PFV:EGO |

'How many did (you [pl]) make this time?' (CV14.40)
Both mîn 'what' and hîn 'who' can be reduplicated to indicate multiple referents, as in (214) and (215). In using the reduplication, the speaker wants to know the identity of each member of the selected set. Normal plurality can be expressed by the addition of the plural clitic $=. \nprec$.
(214) híy hîy?
who who
'Who and who?' (CV11.52)
(215)

'(...) (she) gave (me) lean pork from the slaughtered pig (to eat), and what all (she) made; (...)' (CV21.105)

All interrogatives except for mîn.lon (tco) 'how, why' also may appear in contexts that are not real questions. They rather have a rhetorical and exclamatory function, as in (216) and (217), and in (210) above. In this context a reduplication of the stative verb can be used as a free alternation which seems to be more emphatic, as in (218), (see also §4.6.2). In (216) $t \epsilon^{h} \partial t ə t \hat{\varepsilon} j$ could also have been used. Similarly in (218) $t \epsilon^{h} \partial l \hat{\varepsilon} j$ could have been used.

| $t ¢^{\text {h }}$ ¢̀-téj | nè-dò̀ = sì | fiǎw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| how.many-be.big | DOWN-become $=$ INF |  |

'How big (you) have grown!!' (CV04.5.2)

'(...) for such a long time there was a small piece in the bowl.' (CV18.101)

'Ojoma, it's that heavy!' (CV21.386)
Cross-linguistically, indefinite pronouns are often similar to or derived from question words. This is the case in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The interrogative pronouns kí 'where', mîn 'what' and hîn 'who' can be used in combination with a bound morpheme -t $6^{h}$ optc ${ }^{h}$ ǒn to form the indefinite pronouns 'wherever', 'whatever' and 'whoever' respectively. The origin of -t6 ${ }^{h}$ ont $\epsilon^{h}{ }^{h} \eta$ is not clear at this point.
(219) kít $6^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ònt}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ǒy}$ mə́ = ұə̀ $\quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$ = dáw mə̀ dzò.
wherever person $=P L \quad$ pour $=I P F V:$ N.EGO $\quad$ GNOMIC
'(...) people wherever/everywhere (in all the Pǔmǐ areas) pour out libation (to the mountain god).' (CV23.10)

whatever $=$ also $\quad$ EXIST.POSS $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'(He) has whatever/everything.' (CV23.9EL)

whoever $=$ with $=$ also $\quad$ sleep $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'(He) sleeps with whoever/everyone.' (CV23.9EL)
Interrogative pronouns can be used as a pair in a correlative construction (Keenan 1985) with the structure interrogative- $X=(g \partial)$, interrogative- $X$ and an indefinite sense. Some examples are given in (222) and (225), (see also §5.2.4).

'(...) however many people a household had, that amount of land (they) would get.' (TC10.50)
(223)

| t $\underline{6}^{\text {h }}$ - $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hí }}$ | dò-jí | t¢̧̀ = gə̀ | t $6^{\text {h }}$ ̇̀-k ${ }^{\text {hí }}$ | jǎw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| how.many-time | TO.sp-come | say $=$ DEF | how.many-time | again |
| dò-jí | mò dzò | mà. |  |  |
| TO.SP-come n | GNOMIC | INFO |  |  |

'(...) whenever (he) told (you) to come back, (you) needed to come back then.' (TC10.40)
(224) nǐy míy pù=gə̀ é=lá míy pú = sû

2 SG what do $=\mathrm{DEF} \quad 1 \mathrm{SG}=$ also what $\mathrm{do}=\mathrm{VOL}: \mathrm{SG}$
'Whatever you do, I will do as well.' (CV09.94EL)

how.much FR.SP-do how.much do TO.SP-gain=IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC
'(...) the amount that (one) puts in, (one) will obtain the same amount.'
(TC01ed.10)
A correlative structure with only one interrogative is also possible, as in (226-228).
よ = dzæŋŋ hî $t \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wí}=\mathrm{q}$ èj $=\mathrm{g} \grave{\partial} \quad$ t $=\mathrm{dz}$ žŋ
tión
tíy
$3=$ DU $\quad$ who good $=$ EXPT $=$ DEF $3=$ DU $\quad$ one:CLF:thing take.care.of
$k e ̀ j=g i ̀$
let $=$ VOL:INCL
'(...) whoever of the two will take good care, let's let that one baby-sit (...)' (TC04.3)

'(...) whenever the two of you go, let's go together.' (CV02.92)
(228) híp-bù
who-household
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ón $=\mathrm{gá} \quad$ tíydwí=bù t c $^{\mathrm{h}}$ wí zù FR.SP-be.fast $=$ DEF luck $=$ TOP good very tçá wêy.
consider CUST.EXCL
' $(. .$.$) the household that is the fastest, (their) luck is considered to be very$ good.' (CLO2ed.16)

A separate indefinite pronoun tǔ'anything' only occurs in negative clauses, as in (229). $t u ̌$ is always followed by $=l a$ 'also, even'. It might be related to the verb tǔ 'to be of any use', as in (230).

| tù $=$ lá | dzá | mà $=$ sû |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| anything $=$ also | eat | NEG $=$ voL:SG |

'(...) (I) don't want to eat anything (...)' (CV14.163)
(230)
nìn-bú tcóy tú $=$ bù lú mǎ $=$ tù
2-household uncultivated.land $\operatorname{dig}=T O P$ work $\mathrm{NEG}=$ be.of.use
'As for your household digging uncultivated land, that is of no use (...)'
(TC02.13)
$q^{h}$ etí 'something, a certain thing' is used as indefinite pronoun in both positive and negative clauses.
(231) $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} t i ́ ~ t ̣ \grave{~}=$ tín $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$.
something say $=$ AUD TRAIL
'I heard (somebody) say something...' (CV19.74.2)
(232)

| é | q$^{\text {hètí }}=$ là | mǎ $=$ bòy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | something =also | NEG $=$ EXIST.POSS |

'I don't have anything.' (EL)
 no singular form.

```
(233) tçírò nú gù łèndí ç̂̀, tçírò tçígmíy tç hà lěj
    some.people outside money seek go some.people home crops sow
    '(...) some (people) go out to seek work, some (people) grow crops at home
    (...)' (TC01ed.12)
```


### 4.3 Numerals

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ numerals show a strictly decimal system ${ }^{143}$ and native terms can be used for all numerals into the ten thousands. But in daily life, Chinese numerals are used often, especially when referring to time (hours, historical years), grades in school, shoe size, and amounts of money used in trade (especially in combination with Chinese monetary units). Native numerals are used more often to refer to people and daily objects, even when the numeral classifier is a Chinese loanword. Some numerals are listed in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3 Numerals

| Form | Meaning | Form | Meaning | Form | Meaning | Form | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tǐ | 'one ${ }^{144}$ | qúti | '11' |  |  |  |  |
| nǒn | 'two' |  | '12' | nəwú | '20' | nowú tǐ | '21 |
| sǒn | 'three' | qéson | '13' | saqú | '30' | saqú nǒn | '32' |
| $z \hat{B}$ | 'four' | qéz̧e | '14' | zeqú | '40' | żeqú sǒn | '43' |
| 1]W | 'five' | qénWe | '15' | pweqú | ‘50’ | pweqú <br> $z \hat{B}$ | ‘54’ |
| $t^{h}$ ǔ | 'six' | $q E \hat{e} t^{h} u$ | '16' | $t^{h} u q \hat{u}$ | '60' | $t^{h} u q \hat{u}$ $\eta W \hat{e}$ | '65' |
|  | 'seven' ${ }^{145}$ |  | '17' | ${ }_{0}{ }^{j} \partial q \hat{u}$ | ‘70' | ${ }_{0}^{n}{ }^{j} \partial q u \hat{u} t^{\text {hu }}$ | '76’ |
| cwě | 'eight' | qécwe | '18' | cweqû | '80' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¢Weqû } \\ & \eta_{0}^{j} \check{\partial} \end{aligned}$ | '87' |
| $g \hat{\imath}$ | 'nine' | qégo | '19' | geqú | '90' | gəqú g จ̂ | '99' |
| $q$ êtin | 'ten' |  |  | cí | '100' |  |  |

[^72]The numerals 1-9 are all monosyllabic. 'two' is pronounced nǒ口, which seems to be a contraction of *nǐ, the original cardinal numeral 'two', and -jôn, the most generally used nominal classifier, that became re-analysed as the normal word for 'two'. It is not possible to say * nòn-jǒn 'two things' and when the numeral 'two' is used with any other classifier other than -jôt, the form used is [nə] or [ne], as in no-tš̌ 'two pound'. In some other speech varieties ${ }^{146}$ the more regular form $n \check{\check{c}}$ or nǒ is used.
'Ten' is a disyllabic word, but its composition is opaque. ${ }^{147}$ The first syllable seems to derive from $q u$ - with a vowel reduction to $q p$-. This $q u$ - functions as the decimal marker '-teen' and '-ty': in 11-19 as the first part of the numeral; in decades (other than ' 20 ') as the second part.

Numerals eleven and onward are composite. The numerals 'eleven' and 'twelve' are interesting in that the syllable 'ten' is not [q巴] as would be expected but rather [qu], the morpheme used for forming decades. This helps distinguishing them from 'ten' and 'seventeen' respectively.

Numerals 'twenty' to 'twenty-nine' are built on a morpheme -wu whereas the decades from twenty upwards are formed by the morpheme $-q u$ for 'ten': ${ }^{148}$ they are probably derived from separate etymons.

The word səqû'thirty' has a reduced vowel in the intial syllable. There are two alternate forms $\eta W \hat{e} . t W e$ and $\eta W e q e ̌$ for 'fifty' and one alternate form $t^{h} u q \hat{e}$ for 'sixty'.

The numbers between decades are formed by adding the respective numeral to the decade. These are not compounds. Both parts keep their original tones and the coordinator $=$ nol can be inserted in between the two parts. This only happens when a numeral classifier follows.

Like Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:64), Wǎdū Pǔmǐ does not have ordinal numbers. Periphrastic constructions, such as tonpâ tiôn=gə 'first' (beginning:GEN

[^73]one:CLF:thing = DEF) or togæ̌ $Z \hat{\varepsilon} g æ=g ə$ 'the second' (this:GEN after:GEN $=\mathrm{DEF}$ ) are used instead.

The word for 'hundred' has two forms, a numeral $61^{149}$ and a classifier (té)- $\left\{\hat{\varepsilon} j .{ }^{150}{ }^{61}\right.$ seems to be the original word for 'hundred'. Multiples of hundred are only formed with $--\quad \varepsilon j$. The words tô $\eta^{151}$ 'thousand' and mæ̌ $\eta$ 'ten thousand' are used as numerals as well as classifiers.

Table 4.4 Hundred, thousand, ten thousand

|  | 'hundred' | 'thousand' | 'ten thousand' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'one’ | tér.ej | tetón | temǎ! |
| 'two' | nóı̨j | notón | nəmăy |
| 'three' | งว́q¢j | sontón | soŋmæ̌̌ |
| 'four' |  | zetón | z̧emǎ! |
| 'five' | 1]Wé. $¢$ ¢ | ๆwetón | ๆWemæ̌! |
| 'six' | $t^{h} u_{l} \hat{\varepsilon} j$ | $t^{h} u t o ̂ \eta$ | $t^{h}$ um $\hat{\mathfrak{x}} \boldsymbol{y}$ |
| 'seven' | ${ }_{0}{ }^{j} \cdot 2 \hat{\varepsilon} \hat{j} j$ | $n_{0}{ }^{\text {² }}$ tôn |  |
| 'eight' | cwelêj | cwetôy | ¢Wemây |
| 'nine' | góą ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | gətón | gəmǎ! |

Numbers between hundreds, thousands and ten thousands are formed with the coordinator $=n o \eta$ 'and', starting from the highest round number.

```
gə̀-mæ̀ŋ = nóy
gà-tóy = nóy
gə́-દ́̌j = nòn
nine-ten.thousand \(=\) COORD nine-thousand \(=\) COORD nine-hundred \(=\) COORD
gà-qú gâ
nine-ty nine
```

‘99,999’ (EL)

[^74]When the interrogative pronoun $t \epsilon^{h 2}$ 'how many' follows a decade, it has the sense 'more than':

```
(235) \partiaĺ-qhù n\partial̀wú-tç`\grave{-cá dzòy = sêy.}
    that-on twenty-more.than-ClF:night sit=PFV:EGO
    '(We) spent more than twenty nights up there.' (YJ01.12)
```


### 4.4 Numeral classifiers

Pǔmǐ classifiers only occur in counting expressions. This is different from some other classifier systems in the area in which classifiers also occur in non-counting expressions (like Chinese zhè běn shū 'this CLF book') or languages that allow a classifier and a noun alone, usually with an indefinite or specific sense (like Cantonese $\mathrm{ka}^{33} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{Ch}} \mathrm{\varepsilon}^{55}$ 'the car'). In Pǔmǐ, demonstrative pronouns do not require a classifier and a classifier and a noun do not occur alone. Dīng (1998:103) therefore refers to classifiers as 'counters'. I will refer to them as numeral classifiers (Aikhenvald 2003[2000]:2).

Numeral classifiers form a compound with a numeral. The numerals $t \check{\text { č }}$ 'one' and nǒn 'two' show vowel reduction to $t e$ - and nə-respectively, which is an indication that they are compounds, not phrases. Other numerals do not show any reduction. Additionally, tonal behaviour points to their status as compounds: they show the same tonal compounding patterns that nominal compounds display (§3.4.2).

Numeral classifiers are also used as second part in a nominal compound. This is illustrated in (236) for the numeral classifiers - $l^{j} \hat{a} W^{\prime}$ 'grain' and -buliǒy 'ball' and in (237) for $-t^{h} \hat{t}$ 'pair' and $-q \hat{b}$ 'household' (see also §5.1.1).

'Our household's older siblings, who can make nine lumps of food from one kernel of grain, you would not grab, but me, who can only make three lumps of food from one kernel of grain, you blocked!!' (TC02.65)
(237) p t́qa tê-t $t^{h} \# \quad$ 'one pair of shoes'
p t́qa-th 't 'shoe pair'

| $t \hat{e}-q e$ | 'one household' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $m e ́ q e$ | 'family' ( $<m \hat{\imath}$ 'person') |

In terms of structure, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has two main types of numeral classifiers: nominal classifiers and verbal action classifiers. Nominal classifiers modify a noun postnominally ( $N$ [Num-CLF) whereas verbal action classifiers precede the verb they modify ([Num-CLF] V). Semantically, nominal classifiers count or measure objects, verbal classifiers count actions.

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ nominal classifiers can be divided semantically into two classes: mensural classifier and sortal classifiers. There is no morphosyntactic difference between the two. Sortal classifiers categorize nouns in terms of their inherent properties (animacy, shape, arrangement). These are often bound morphemes that are uniquely used as classifiers. Mensural classifiers categorize nouns in terms of their temporal properties (measure). These classifiers often derive from free forms. A partial list of sortal classifiers is given in (238).

| (238) | $\begin{aligned} & t \hat{e}-j o \eta \sim t^{\prime} \hat{o} \eta \\ & t e-t^{h} \check{a} \end{aligned}$ | 'one item' (most generally used measure word, (in)animates) 'one thing' (for inanimates, often for cooking utensils) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | tê-tso | 'one person' (for people) |
|  | te-bón | 'one stem' (for trees, bushes) |
|  | te-wé | 'one plant' (for single stalks) |
|  | te-qwếi | 'one pole' (for bamboo poles) |
|  | $t e-k u ́$ | 'one stick' (for pipes, cigarettes) |
|  | te-tǐ | 'one strip' (for pegs, wooden poles, tree trunks, shawls, ropes) |
|  | te-tǐn | 'one layer' (for skin) (<tǐn'to build by piling up, layering') |
|  | $t \hat{e}-t^{h} i$ | 'one piece' (for fat meat) ( $<t^{h}{ }_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ 'to cut') |
|  | t $\hat{\text { ent }}$ - $o n$ | 'one piece' (for small pieces of meat, bread, sugar, salt, butter) |
|  | $t e-p^{h} \check{\mathscr{z}}$ | 'one piece' (for big pieces of paper, cloth, land) |
|  | $t e-p a ̆$ | 'one sheet' (for skins, leaves of tree) ( $<$ pǎ 'leaf') |
|  | te-tsǔ | 'one room' (for rooms) ( $<t$ tsǔ 'side room') |
|  | $t \hat{t}-g u$ | 'one sentence' (for speech) |
|  | $t \mathrm{t}$-tš̌j | 'one section, joint' (for time, roads, stories, wrists, fingers) |
|  | tê-tsa | 'one section, chunk' (for bamboo) ${ }^{152}$ |
|  | $t \hat{e}-Y^{j} a{ }_{W}$ | 'one grain' |

Mensural classifiers can be divided into group classifiers, that refer to collectives, as in (239), and measure classifiers, that quantify mass entities, as in (240).

[^75]| (239) | $t e-b t y$ | 'one pile' (for inanimates) ( $<b$ tú 'pile, to pile up') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t v$-xěj | 'one group' (for people) |
|  | $t \hat{e}-g u$ | 'one heap' (for people) |
|  | $t \hat{e}-q e^{\text {er }}$ | 'one household' |
|  | $t e-k u ́$ | 'one clan' |
|  | $t e-p \check{e}$ | 'one birth, brood' (for piglets, chicks) ( $<$ pré 'to hatch') |
|  | $t e-d z a ̌$ | 'one set' (for clothes) |
|  | $t \hat{k}-t^{h}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 'one pair' (for shoes, eyes) |
|  | $t \hat{e}-p^{h} a$ | 'one single one' (one of a pair) ( $<p^{h} \hat{a}$ 'to cut in half') |
|  | $t \hat{e}-q \nsupseteq \square$ | 'one handful' |
|  | te-cú | 'one armful' |
|  | $t e-s \check{c}$ | 'one sheave' (for grains) ( < Š̌ 'sheave, to bind sheaves') |
|  | t仑-¢æ | 'one scratch' (for mushrooms) ( <ta 'to scratch') |
| (240) | $t \hat{\text { enfon }}$ | 'one mouthful, sip' (for liquids) ( < hôn'to take a sip') |
|  | $t \hat{e}-k u$ | 'one mouthful' (for solids) ( $<k \hat{u}$ 'mouth cavity') |
|  | $t e-q^{h} W a ̆$ | 'one bowlful' ( $<q^{h}$ Wă 'bowl') |
|  | $t \hat{e}-l z j$ | 'one potful' ( $<. t \hat{\varepsilon} j^{\prime}$ 'cooking pot') |
|  | te-tsæpú | 'one claypotful' ( <tsæpú 'claypot') |
|  | $t e-t w i$ | 'one capful' (for gunpowder) ( $<t$ Wî'cap of gunpowder bottle') |
|  | $t \mathrm{t}-d_{\text {cěn }}$ | 'one cup' (for grain) ( < dwěg 'dry measure') |
|  | $t e-c i$ | 'one cup' (for grain) ( $<6 i{ }^{\text {c }}$ 'dry measure') |
|  | $t \mathrm{t}$-tš̌ | 'one pound' (<tš̌ 'scales') |
|  | te-jú | 'one ounce, tael' |
|  | $t e-s a ̆$ | 'one tenth of a tael' |
|  | $t$-dwă | 'one stadium/step' ( $<$ dwă 'step') |
|  | tê-zaw | 'one cubit ${ }^{153}$ ( $<. \downarrow$ âW 'to measure with the forearm') |
|  | te-jíl | 'one fathom ${ }^{154}$ ( $<$ jíl 'to spread the arms') |
|  | $t e-t c^{h}{ }^{\prime}$ | 'one hand-span ${ }^{155}$ ( $<t t^{\dagger}{ }^{h}$ ' 'to measure with outstretched hand') |

Autoclassifiers, forms that are both nouns and numeral classifiers at the same time, are often locational or temporal words, as in (241). Some can be used as repeaters (Aikhenvald 2003[2000]:361-362), as in (242) or (188) above.

```
t \(\hat{\mathrm{e}}\)-non 'one day' ( < nôn 'daytime, day')
    tê-sen 'one morning' ( < sề 'morning')
```

[^76]```
    tv-míol` 'one night' ( < mř``night')
    te-दí 'one month' (<<<í 'month')
    t\hat{v}-ku 'one year' (<k\hat{l} 'year')
```



```
    t\hat{\varepsilon}-¢i\quad 'one village' (< }<\hat{1}\mathrm{ 'village')
    te-dǐ\eta 'one place'(<dǐ\eta 'place')
(242) \partiaĺ-mì tè̀-mì
that-night one-clF:night
'(...) that one night (...)' (YJ01.67)
```

Autoclassifiers are more often than not used anaphorically, without an overt head. Other numeral-classifier compounds can also be used anaphorically, as in (243).

```
(243) zé-ts\grave{ = g\grave{,}},\underline{\prime}
four-CLF:person = DEF child three-CLF:person = DEF mother
zé-ts\grave{ = gò <<ĉj}
four-CLF:person = DEF go:PFV:N.EGO
```

'The four of them, the three children, mother, the four of them went.'
(CV02.78.1)
In counting, numerals are obligatorily followed by a numeral classifier, but there seem to be a few exceptions: animal terms can be modified by a bare numeral, as in (244). ${ }^{156}$ The numeral 'one' is not always followed by a numeral classifier, since it is also used as an indefinite marker, as in (245), where both readings are possible. The particular reading depends on the discourse context.

```
(244) nǐy fú= tì, mètsə́ = tî, ts \({ }^{\text {hè̀l̀̀líl }=\text { tí sǒy dò-zû }}\)
    2SG chicken \(=\) one cat \(=\) one little. \(\mathrm{dog}=\) one three To.SP-carry:IMP:SG
```

    ' (...) You should take one chicken, one cat and one little dog, three in all (...)'
    (TC02.18)
    | $n^{j}$ ú-lèj | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\mathrm{bù}$ | jì̀-jín = mó = tì | lèj-dí = mó = tì |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOW | time $=$ TOP | land -plow $=$ NMLZ $=$ one | se |

tà $q^{\text {hà }} \quad$ wěn
only need CUST.EXCL
'(...) when the beans are planted, we need only one/a (person) plowing the land and one/a sowing the seeds' (CL03ed.11)

[^77]Some numeral classifiers are borrowed from Chinese. Usually the Pǔmǐ numeral is used, but the head noun can be either native Pǔmǐ or Chinese, as in (246). A Chinese noun can also be used with a native numeral-classifier compound, as in (247).

| dzà̀dž̌ | tè-péy | tà | khěy, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| letter | one-CLF:Ch:volume | only | give |

sǔcù tè-pén = gá = bù swén kéj wèn
Ch:math one-cLF:Ch:volume $=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{TOP}$ study let CUST.EXCL
'(They) only gave (us) one book, (we) were made to study the one math book, (...)' (TC10.8)

| jæ̀jǔ | tè-qwàliáw | è-pútú = sèy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ch:potato | one-ClF:fireplace | IN-roast = PFV:EGO |
| '(I) roasted a fireplaceful of potatoes.' (CL02ed.17EL) |  |  |

When both the numeral and classifier (and sometimes also the noun) are borrowed from Chinese, this is more like code-switching: even the order of Chinese is borrowed, as in (248). This happens especially when talking about money, time, weights and grades in school.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ch:some-Ch:ClF:dollar Ch:some-Ch:clf:cent Ch:money only be } \\
& \text { '(...) it was only (a few kuai), a few cents (...)' (CV12.67.2) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Verbal action classifiers (or event classifiers) indicate how many times an action is conducted. Example (249) gives a partial list of verbal action classifiers. ${ }^{157}$ They are generally derived from verbs and precede the verb they modify, as in (250).

```
\(t \hat{\varepsilon}-p^{h} \varepsilon j \quad\) 'one shot, hit, punch' ( \(<p^{h} \varepsilon{ }_{\varepsilon}\) 'to punch')
\(t \hat{t}-t s \rho \quad\) 'one jump’ ( < tsŝ 'to jump')
\(t \hat{e}-t^{h} \varepsilon j \quad\) 'one punch' (horizontal movement) ( \(<t^{h} \varepsilon \check{\varepsilon} j\) 'to punch')
\(t \hat{\mathrm{c}}\)-bi 'one pummel' (vertical movement) ( \(<b r ̌\) 'to pummel')
t \(\hat{\text { b }}\)-la 'one slap' (cf. Í
\(t \hat{E}-\notin E \quad\) 'one cut' (<. \(\downarrow\) ě 'to saw, cut')
\(t \hat{t}-c ̧ u \quad\) 'one suck, kiss' ( \(<\varphi \hat{u}\) 'to suck, kiss')
```

[^78]```
(250) tsáw = sá tsàw zìn wèn \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\) bù,
pound \(=\) CONTR. \(\operatorname{TOP}\) pound can CUST.EXCL time \(=\) TOP
sòn-bí = nò \(=\) bù sòn-lá tçà qù mà dzà
three-CLF:pummel \(=\) COORD \(=\) TOP three-CLF:slap do need GNOMIC
```

'(...) You can pound, but you will have to thump three times and slap three times (...)' (TC06.10)

The structure of the adverbs têgu 'together (in the same place)' and tê. $\varepsilon \varepsilon j$ 'together (going on the same road)', and the quantifier $t e d \not \subset \mathcal{E}^{\text {' }}$ 'some, several' points to an original numeral-classifier compound.

### 4.4.1 Modifiers of classifier constructions

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a few modifying words and particles that follow a numeral-classifier compound. The limiting topic marker $=\epsilon i$ that often follows a verbal action classifier is discussed in §6.5.11.

When the modifier $s \sigma^{\prime}$ 'about' follows a numeral-classifier compound, it renders the meaning 'about':

| (251) | té-nıòy | nó-t ${ }^{\text {h}}$ ¢̀ | sà | tçwì = dàw | má dzá |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | one-Clf:day | two-ClF:pair | about | wear $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | GNOMIC |

'(...) on one day (one) wears about two pairs.' (CV01.4)
Another modifier $t^{h j} \check{x}$ 'about' that usually modifies stative verbs, can also modify numeral-classifier compounds. It precedes the compound:

| (252) | é | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hj }}$ ̌̌ | nàwú | tè-nò-kú | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ | tò $=\downarrow$ ¢ $=$ bù |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1sG | about | twenty | one-two-clf:year |  | this $=$ PL:GEN $=$ TOP |

The two modifiers can also co-occur, $t^{h j} \check{\mathfrak{c}}$ preceding and $s ə$ following the numeralclassifier compound:
(253) $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{hj}}$ そ̌ tè-nìngə̀ sá kwí =qèj
about one-clF:basketful about EXIST.IN = EXPT
'There will be about one basketful (...)' (CV13.39.4)
The bound morpheme -mǎn ${ }^{j} \boldsymbol{x}$ 'every’ can follow a temporal classifier. My main consultant's intuition is that it forms one word with the numeral-classifier compound, but judging from the tone, it forms two phonological units.
(254) tê-noŋ-mǎn'尹 ${ }^{j}$ ‘every day’
$t \hat{e}-k u-m a ̆ n^{j} \mathfrak{X} \quad$ 'every year'

A similar form mədzæ 'every' can follow other classifiers, but not temporal ones:
té-qè
màdzæ̀
one-clp:household
every
'(...) every household (...)' (PC02.4)

| té-tş̀ | màdzà | qèlá | nè-qǽ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| one-clF:jump | every | bundle | Down-scatter |

'(...) with every jump the things (he) carried on his back scattered (...)' (KZ01.7)
modzæ can also function as a noun, as in (257) where it is the head of a genitive construction.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (257) tè-dìy }=\text { wá } & \text { màdzæ̀ } \\
\text { one-cľ:land = in:GEN } & \text { every } \\
\text { '(...) every area (...)' (PC03.1) }
\end{array}
$$

The construction ma tí 'at least' (possibly deriving from the general negator mǎ and the numeral $t \check{\text { č }}$ 'one') can also follow a numeral-classifier compound:
(258) é tç ǐi tè-q ${ }^{\text {h }} w a ̌$ mà tí dzá = dòy

1sG food one-ClF:bowl at.least eat=IPFV:EGO:1SG
'I eat at least one bowl of rice (if not more).' (CV17.4EL)

### 4.4.2 Reduplication of numeral classifiers

There are sporadic examples of numeral classifiers that function as a verb in their reduplicated form. That is, the non-reduplicated form of the verb is only used as a classifier:
(259) lâ 'ClF:hit'
!ềla 'to hit each other'
tsô 'CLF:section'
tsâtsa 'to cut in sections'
Numeral-classifier compounds can be reduplicated as a whole. When a nominal classifier compound is reduplicated and is used as a referential phrase, the meaning is 'some', as in (260).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (260) qà-pú tà = łæ̀ má= łə̀ tè-dǐy tè-dǐn } \\
& \text { down-under this = PL:GEN person = PL one-ClF:place one-clF:place }
\end{aligned}
$$

＇Down there，there will be people in some places．＇（CV07．57）
When a nominal classifier compound is reduplicated and is used in an adverbial construction modifying a verb，the meaning is＇one by one，one in turn＇，as in（261）or （188）．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (261) gì-lǐ mà= } \quad \text { ć }-\mathrm{m} \text { = }=\text { ø }=\text { bù } \quad \text { cí }=\text { wù té-qè } \\
& \text { livestock-herd } \quad \text { NEG }=\text { go-NMLZ }=\text { PL }=\text { TOP } \quad \text { village }=\text { in } \quad \text { one-CLF:household } \\
& \text { té-qè pù féj } \quad \text { q⿳亠口子丸-dzâ } \\
& \text { one-clF:household do invite.guest out-eat } \\
& \text { '(...) the ones who do not herd animals will eat as guests in the village one } \\
& \text { house at a time (...)' (CL02ed.22) }
\end{aligned}
$$

When a verbal action classifier compound is reduplicated and used in a referential sense，the resulting meaning＇some＇is the same as a reduplicated nominal classifier compound that is used in a referential sense（cf．（260）above）．When a verbal action classifier compound is reduplicated and used in a construction with the argument focus marker $=c i$（§6．5．11），the meaning expresses＇continuous action that results in something＇．Both meanings are illustrated in（262）with the verb.$⿰ \check{e}$＇to cut＇，and an example of the latter meaning is also given in（263）．
（262）t $\hat{\bar{e}}-\boldsymbol{z e} t \hat{e}-\notin=b u \quad$＇some cuts＇（are not good）
$t \hat{E}-\notin t \quad t \hat{e}-\notin=\epsilon i \quad$＇continuously cutting＇（makes one very tired）
（263）té－swèn té－swèy＝¢i sóy－kù tà
one－ClF：study one－ClF：study＝LIM．TOP three－clf：year arrive
＇（．．．）（we）continuously studied until third grade（．．．）＇（TC10．9）
There is one occurrence in the corpus where the construction with the focus marker does not involve reduplication，but is a simple $N u m-C L F=6 i$ construction with the same continuous meaning：
（264）
dàbǔ，ná pú té－dzòn＝ç̀＝bù qénwé＝Łæ̀ mì
then thus do one－CLF：Sit $=$ LIM．TOP $=$ TOP fifteen $=$ PL：GEN night
kì̀－tì wèy．$_{\text {hin }}$
OUT－put CUST．EXCL
＇In this manner（one）will hang out all the way to the night of the fifteenth
（．．．）＇（CL02ed．23）．

### 4.5 Quantifiers

Quantifiers can follow a noun and also occur by themselves in an NP. Some quantifiers are listed in (265).

| eliă(ti) | 'a little' |
| :---: | :---: |
| eloônlix(ti) | '(not just) a little' (only in negative clauses) |
| tek ${ }^{\text {hǎ }}$ | '(not even) a little' (only in negative clauses) |
| tedzé | 'some, several' |
| jehă | 'all' |
| sûşu ~ cûcu | 'all ${ }^{158}$ |
| bulá | 'many, a lot' |
| lonlór | 'whole, total' |
| mâdidzô | 'every ${ }^{159}$ |
| môt ${ }^{\dagger} \mathfrak{z}$ | 'each person' |
| mót ${ }^{\dagger} \mathfrak{c}$ ¢ ${ }_{1}$ | 'everything, every kind' |

${ }_{\text {el }}{ }^{j} \check{x}$ can be used in the sense 'a little' (quantity), but is more frequently used as an adverb, modifying stative verbs, as in 'a little heavy'. eliǎti on the other hand, is sometimes used as an adverb, but more often in the sense of 'a little' (quantity). A
 modifies, but usually appears on its own.
(266) èl ${ }^{\text {æ̌čtì }}$ dzâw!
a.little eat:IMP:SG
‘Eat a little bit!' (CV21.87)
Both elióplize $^{j} \mathfrak{x}(t i)$ and $t e k k^{h}$ ǎonly appear in combination with negation, and la 'also' often appears in combination with the latter:

| (267) |  <br> a.little DOWN-NEG:PFV = pour $=\mathrm{INF}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { híy = gòn } & \text { thìy } \\ \text { who = AGT } & \text { drink } \end{array}$ |  | wén <br> CUST.EXCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ə́-ts ${ }^{\text {he }}=$ tì? |  |  |  |
|  | that-much $=$ INDF |  |  |  |
|  | '(You) did not pour (me) just a little bit; who could drink that much?' (CV21.199) |  |  |  |

[^79]$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (268) } & \text { littsó-lì }=\text { là } & \text { líú } & \text { tèkhž } & \text { dzá } & \text { mà }=\text { dâw. } \\ & \text { mule-DIM = also } & \text { grain.feed } & \text { a.little } & \text { eat } & \text { NEG }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO }\end{array}$
'The small mule is not even eating a little bit of grain feed.' (CV14.249)
tedzé 'several' looks structurally like a numeral-classifier compound and appears in the same slot:
(269) bư-bjæ̀łjì̀ tèdz̧é dò-zá
sweet-round several TO.SP-carry
'(...) carrying several sweets (...)' (TC06.21)
$j e h a ́ ~ a n d ~ s u ̂ s u ~ ~ ~ c u ̂ c u ~ b o t h ~ m e a n ~ ' a l l ' . ~ T h e ~ l a t t e r ~ m i g h t ~ b e ~ a ~ l o a n w o r d ~ f r o m ~ Y o ̌ n g n i ́ n g ~$ Na . They are occasionally used together:
(270) tá $=$ qá $^{=}$bù jèhǎ hí cúcù dzà mà dzà fià.
$3=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{TOP}$ all god all be NMLZ.CONSTR
'These (stories) are all talking about gods.' (CV13.110.2)
btlá 'a lot, many' can also mean 'too much':
(271) bùlá tç̌ mé =hà
a.lot say NEG.EMPH = ought
'You shouldn’t say too much.' (CV21.537.2)
The group of quantifiers môdidzô'every(thing)', mót $t^{\mathfrak{x}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{\imath}$ 'everything, every kind', môt ${ }^{\dagger} \mathfrak{x}$ 'every person', have a similar meaning. The first two are used for inanimates; the last one for animates:
(272) mádìdzá bóy=mà=tì dzà
everything EXIST.POSS $=$ NMLZ $=$ one be
'(...) (he) is one who has everything (...)' (TC08.14)
(273) thónmá = gæ̀ mə́tíǽcí $q^{\text {h }}$ ù $=$ dǎw.
pumi $=$ GEN $\quad$ everything $\quad$ need $=I P F V:$ N.EGO
'Everything of Pǔmǐ is needed.' (CV21.457)
(274) nìy-bú-sèy mátiæ̀ tióy qhà-dzá tà.

2-household-PART every.person one:CLF:thing out-eat can
'(...) the several of you, every person can eat one.' (CV17.27)

### 4.6 Demonstratives and locationals: time and place

Cross-linguistically, time and place are often treated in similar ways. This is true for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ as well, so in this section I will discuss the ways Pǔmǐ expresses temporal and locational concepts. The nominal demonstrative is discussed in §4.6.1, bound
demonstratives and their corresponding verbal prefixes in §4.6.2, spatial nouns and postpositions in §4.6.3, a morpheme -no that is used in locational and temporal combinations in §4.6.4, and temporal nominals in §4.6.5. Discourse functions of demonstratives and locationals will be discussed in §10.9.8.

### 4.6.1 Nominal demonstrative and manner demonstrative

As opposed to some other Pǔmǐ speech varieties, the Wǎdū Pǔmǐ variety has only one nominal demonstrative, the proximal tó 'this'. It can be preceded by the emphatic bound demonstrative $Ћ$ hăw- (§4.6.2) which seems to function as a sort of distal, as in (275). When a proximal-distal distinction needs to be made, tó 'this' is modified by a genitive construction with the bound demonstratives, such as $\partial d z \dot{x}$ tó 'this over here' in (276) or âdzæ tá 'this over there' in (277).
(275) fiǎw-tá dàbǔ nè-kú è-jžj
that-this then DOWN-carry.on.back IN-get
'(...) and carried that on (her) back (...)' (CV14.268)
(276) dàbǔ ò-dzá tá=gá lị̀?
then this-location:GEN this = DEF RHET
'So what about this one here?' (CV16.44)
(277)

'After having packed that one (...)' (CV16.64)
The demonstrative tó directly precedes the noun in an NP, as in (278). It is also used as a third person pronoun (§4.2). When the head noun is not overtly expressed, definiteness and plurality can be marked on the demonstrative itself, as in (279) and (280). The demonstrative can make up an NP by itself, as in (281).
(278) dàbǔ tá mògã́ $=$ bù t ǐ $=\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ nì-dzéj fià
then this old.man $=$ TOP mule $=$ on DOWN-ride LINK
'This old man came riding on a mule, (...)' (CV06.8)
(279) tá = gá = là ní= gæ̀ tsú dzà = dàw nòn?
this $=\mathrm{DEF}=$ also LOG $=$ GEN son be $=$ IPFV:N.EGO QUEST
'This one is his son as well?' (CV07.27)
(280) tá = đる́ jèhǎ nè-má $\quad$ sc̀j = sì kì̀.
this $=P L$ all DOWN-forget go:PFV:N.EGO $=I N F$ TRAIL
'(...) but (I) forgot these all.' (CV08.13.2)

'When (you) eat this, (you'll) get well. (...)' (CV09.106)
In addition to the nominal demonstrative, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a manner demonstrative nó 'like this, thus'. It appears as a nominal constituent as in (282) and (283), but in (284) it is nominalized. Both are possible, but the addition of the nominalizer is more natural according to my main consultant.

really person $=\mathrm{PL}$ thus $=\mathrm{INDF}$ EXIST. AB CUST.EXCL HSY
'Really, it is said that there is something like this.' (CV14.123.2)
(283) ə́jù nə́ = gǽ zàtsə̀ nə̀ kù mə́ dzâ

INTJ thus $=$ GEN Ch:days thus Ch:live.through GNOMIC
'(...) ojo! that (we) lived through days like this (...)' (CV03.12.4)
(284) nə́ = mə́ = gæ̀ zòtsə́ mí= kú mò dzə̀ qèj
thus $=$ NMLZ $=$ GEN Ch:days $\mathrm{NEG}=$ Ch:live.through EPIST
'(They) will probably not have lived through days like that (...)' (CV03.16.1)
nó is related to nóni 'like this’ or $X$ nóni 'like X ' and which can be negated $X$ $n \partial=m \check{a}=n i$ 'not like $X$ ’. nó also occurs in an associative construction (§5.7.1). It also has adverbial functions in that it directly modifies the verbs tç̌'to say', pút to do', $d z u ̌$ 'to make' and dǒy 'to become', as in (285), and stative verbs (§8.2), as in (286). When modifying other verbs (than the ones mentioned here), a pre-verbal adverbial construction (§7.10.1) with the light verb $p$ t́'to do' is used, as in (287).
(285) ná tḉ = dàw
thus say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) he said that (...)' (CV15.37)
(286)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { púpù-zèpù }=\text { bù } & \text { tú } & \text { nó } & t^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wí } \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{1} \\ \text { this.year-last.year }=\text { TOP } & \text { lard } & \text { thus } & \text { good time }\end{array}$
'In recent years when the lard was this good, (...)' (CV20.119)
(287) n
pú $\quad q^{\text {h }}$ ò-dzə́ $=$ sén
thus do oUT-eat $=$ PFV:EGO
'(...) (we) ate like this (...)' (CV03.15)

### 4.6.2 Bound demonstratives and directional prefixes

Pǔmǐ has an elaborate system of bound demonstratives. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ there are two general demonstratives that only differ in tone: the proximal $\check{\partial}$ - 'this' and a distal $\hat{\jmath}$ 'that', and an emphatic $\overparen{a}$ 呆- 'that'. These demonstratives are deictic primitives: bound roots that cannot occur in isolation, but have to be specified by spatial or temporal nouns, as in (288). The proximal $\check{\partial}$ - is only used in combination with locational nouns or postpositions, as in (289). The distal $\hat{\jmath}$ - modifies temporal nouns as well as locational nouns and postpositions, as in (290).

```
(288) ə-dzí 'here (this location)'
    \hat{0}-dzi 'there (that location)'
    \hat{\sigma}-se\eta 'that morning'
    hăw-k}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}\boldsymbol{i}\mathrm{ 'then (that time)'
```

(289) nǐy ̀̀-dzí mín lù Gì?
2SG this-location what work EXIST.AB
'(...) What are you doing here?' (TC02.38)
(290) á-mì wùcə̀ = qá mí = bù
that-night New.Year = PL:GEN night = TOP
'That night, the night of New Year, (...)' (CL02ed.11)

The distal $\hat{\jmath}$ - can also co-occur with stative verbs, and expresses more abstract deixis: the spatial or temporal extent of the concept expressed by the verb, as in (291). It is often accompanied by hand movements to illustrate the extent, as is illustrated in (292). In this example, Tiger, who is holding on to a tree to prevent himself from falling off a cliff, is tricked by Hare into illustrating the size of the piece of meat that he will give Hare for rescuing him. In order to illustrate the size, he releases his grip and dies. In instances like these, a more emphatic form with a reduplication of the stative verb can be used, as in (293), (see also §4.2.3). Forms as in (291-293) are often used in equative constructions (§10.6).
(291) $\hat{\partial}-p u \quad$ 'that wide' ( $<$ pú 'to be wide')



```
    then hand all FR.SP-release LINK that-be.big=INDF feed=VOL:SG
    tçà kì̀ = bù, thútù gǎ = pù nè-jónjín
    say time \(=\) TOP immediately cliff=under DOWN-roll
    nè-sćj fià
    DOWN-go:PFV:N.EGO LINK
```

'Then when (Tiger) opened (his) hands and said, "I will feed (you) one that big," (he) immediately rolled down the cliff and (...)' (KZ03.39)

now small.bell that-be.big = NMLZ eight eight EXIST.AT CUST.EXCL
mà dzà mà
GNOMIC INFO
'Again there are eight and eight bells (attached to each side) (...) that are as big as the 'small bell' (that we use); (...)' (CV13.9)

The emphatic $\kappa a ̆ w-$ 'that' occurs with locational and temporal nouns and postpositions, and has a distal function similar to $\hat{\jmath}$ - 'that', as in (294). But unlike $\check{\jmath}$ - or $\hat{\jmath}$-, it also occurs with the nominal demonstrative tó 'this' and the manner demonstrative nó 'thus' (§4.6.1), as in (295). In those cases it seems to add more emphasis to the uttterance. More research is needed.
(294) fiǎu-dz̧ì dzá = qčj.
that-location $\quad \mathrm{be}=$ EXPT
'It will be over there.' (CV12.60)
(295) fiǎu-ní má dzá qèj.
that-thus EPIST
'It would have been like this.' (CV12.50)
Apart from the general bound demonstratives, there are six other bound demonstratives that can be grouped into three pairs. Two pairs specify location and direction-deixis, and one pair specifies speaker-deixis. Directional verb prefixes are formally and semantically related to these bound demonstratives, as can be seen in Table 4.5. The basic forms in the first column are deictic primitives: bound forms that need to be followed by postpositions. The forms are given to allow easy comparison with the verb prefixes in column four. The bound demonstratives appear in two sets that are mostly distinguished by tone: for demonstratives denoting location, the underlying lexical tone of the demonstrative spreads to a following noun/postposition;
directional demonstratives show a fixed L.R tone pattern. ${ }^{160}$ In Table 4.5, forms with the locational noun/postposition $d \not \subset i$ are given. Bound demonstratives also occur with the other locational postpositions (§4.6.3).

Table 4.5 Bound demonstratives and directional prefixes

| Basic form | Location | Direction | Verb prefix | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tí- | tídzi | tidz̧i | tó- | 'mountain-wards, upwards' |
| $q$ ă- $n n \grave{-}$ | qadži | nidzǐ | $n \check{E}-$ | 'valley-wards, downwards' |
| $k^{h}{ }^{\text {un }}$ - | $k^{h} u d z \hat{\imath}$ | $k^{h} u d z \check{l}$ |  | 'out from center, down the valley' |
| hǒn- | hondzî | hondž̌ | (h) $\check{\underline{E}-}$ | 'in to center, up the valley' |
|  | kedzı̂ | didzǐ | $d \check{\text { ŏ- }}$ | 'towards speaker, across boundary' |
| $t^{\text {hi- }}$ | $t^{h} i d z \hat{\imath}$ | $t^{h}$ idž̌ | $t^{h} \check{\bullet}$ - | 'from speaker, across boundary' |

Formwise, the directional prefixes correspond to the demonstratives indicating direction. This is especially clear in the cases of $n \check{\varepsilon}-$ and $d \check{y}$-. Tonewise, the prefixes correspond to the demonstratives denoting location. This is clear in the case of the prefix $t \boldsymbol{z}$ that has the same tone as the corresponding high-toned bound demonstrative $t i^{\prime}$-. The prefix $t \boldsymbol{z}$ - is the only form that has widespread cognate forms in many Qiangic languages (Evans 2004:207). Evans notes the PTB *I-tak 'ascend, above' as source (from Benedict 1972:52,110,123). The prefix is found with high tone in all attested Pǔmǐ speech varieties (Lù 1983:45; 2000:157; Matisoff 1997:209; Dīng 1998:68; Fù 1998:28; Jacques 2011c:369). It is argued in §3.4.5 that tonal correspondence to the bound demonstratives is also seen in the other prefixes.

Directional prefixes show vowel reduction compared to bound demonstratives, with some prefixes being reduced to [ə] and some to [ e ]. It is not clear what conditions the respective vowels. Note that the prefixes in Shuiluò (from Jacques' data shown in Table 4.6) also display similar vowel alternation. ${ }^{161}$

The most common form of the inwards prefix in Wǎdū is $\check{e}$ - [?e], but it is clear that there used to be an initial [h] that has been lost. Three pieces of evidence can be given. Firstly, the form of the corresponding demonstrative is hǒn- (occasionally ȟ̌g $)$-) (Table 4.5) with an initial consonant. Secondly, inter-dialectal comparison as shown in Table 4.6 points to an initial consonant. The forms from Mùlĭ (Xiàmàidì, Bókē, Gùzēng,

[^80]Kāngwū and Dōngzi [Gerong Pincuo, MS]) all have [h]/[x]. Consonant lenition is noted in other Pǔmǐ varieties as well. Fù (1998:32) notes an alternation between $x a$ - and $a$ in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ, and explains it as a difference in tone of voice: $x a$ - is more emphatic. It could be that the initial consonant is about to be lost, but when pronounced carefully ('emphatic use'), it is still audible. Dīng (1998:119) notes lenition of the consonant in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ from $[k]>[x]>\emptyset$. Thirdly, in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the forms used in the interrogative and negation show an initial consonant, as in (296) and (297):

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (296) } \text { tènə̀ = bú } & \text { dzàdzì = nóy } & \text { ná = tí } & \text { hì̀ }_{\text {jo -swéy }=\text { bú }} \\
\text { otherwise = TOP } & \text { letter = COORD } & \text { thus = INDF } & \text { IN:Q-study = TOP }
\end{array}
$$

' (...) otherwise, if (she) had gone to school a bit (...)' (CV12.48)

| (297) èmá-lì | hě-mí= zá | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| aunt-DIM | IN-NEG.PFV = come | time |

'(...) when young aunt has not returned (yet) (...)' (CV13.137.2)
Table 4.6 Directional prefixes in other Pǔmǐ speech varieties ${ }^{162}$

| Qìnghuā | Dàyáng | Niúwōzǐ | Shuîluò | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tş | tos- | tś- | tó- | 'up(ward), upstream' |
| nวั- | nıे- | п3- | пз- | 'down(ward), downstream' |
| $k^{h}{ }^{\text {g }}$ - | $k^{h}{ }^{\text {j }}$ - | go-/ $k^{\text {h }}$ O- | $k^{h}{ }^{2}$ | 'out(ward); left to right, from center' |
| хəั- | $x \grave{a}-/ \frac{a}{-}$ | 3-/ $\mathbf{h 3}^{\text {- }}$ | h3- | 'in(ward); right to left, to center' |
| $d \grave{-}$ | d̀̀- | $d 3-/ d x-$ | $d>-$ | 'to(ward) speaker, to center, cis-locative' |
| $t^{h}{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ - | $t^{\text {h }}$ - | $t^{h_{3}}$ | $t^{h_{3}}$ | 'from speaker, from center, trans-locative' |

The semantics of the different demonstratives reflect to a certain extent the geography of the area, with the traditional Purmǐ house as the focal point: tí- is the direction towards the mountain, which implies higher altitude, and $q \check{a}-$ is the direction towards the valley, which implies lower altitude; ${ }^{163} t i ́-$ and $q \check{a}-$ thus also denote vertically upwards and downwards. At the same time there is a cultural value attached to the

[^81]two directions. Pǔmǐ houses are generally built in relation to the mountain of the local mountain deity and the main altar of the house is located at the mountain side ('the head') of the house. Thus seats of honour (closer to the altar) are located 'higher up'. $t i$ - and $n i ̌$ - are also used in a metaphorical sense of going up and down a hierarchical structure, like a genealogy or levels of leadership.
hǒy- and $k^{h} \check{u}$ - can be analysed as 'inward to center' and 'outward from center' respectively. The focal point is the main room of the house with the central pillar that is referred to as tcəmá $g æ k^{h} W E ́$ 'the heart of the main room'. This is the center of Purmǐ cosmology. Wellens (2010:124) writes that the central pillar of the house connects the house with the earth, heaven and the deities and is a representation of the center of the universe. The scope then extends to the valley in which the house is located: the direction into the house and up the valley can be analysed as 'inwards' and the direction out of the house and down the valley can be analysed as 'outwards'. My main consultant, however, views the hǒy- / $k^{h} \check{u}-$ axis as a solar axis, and it is possible that this is a more current interpretation that is influenced by the use of Chinese. Since in Wǎdū the door of the main house faces east, the extension of the 'outward' meaning to denote 'east' and the 'inward' meaning to denote 'west' is relatively easy to envision. But none of the previous descriptions of Pǔmǐ mention anything
 about a solar system. ${ }^{164}$ And there is a complication to the solar axis analysis. In Tuōqī, a Pǔmǐ village in the far northern end of the valley, hǒn- and $k^{h} \check{u}$ - are flipped compared to the other three villages in the valley. As can be seen in in the illustration, Tuōqī is actually located in a different valley which runs in roughly the opposite direction. The inward/outward analysis takes care of the problem, whereas the solar axis analysis does not explain why the two are flipped.

An alternative analysis for hǒg- and $k^{h}{ }^{h} u_{-}$as 'riverwards' and 'away from river' respectively would tie in with the central role of rivers in the directional system of many Qiangic languages (see Shirai 2009:9 for nDrapa and Evans 2004:207 for Qiāng). ${ }^{165}$ But this river option is confusing for the Wǎdū situation, since there is

[^82]another river running through the valley as well. It might work better in steeper valleys, where houses do tend to face the rivers.

The use of hǒy- and $k^{h} \check{u}$ - also extends to holes and caves that have a horizontal layout: the inward movement is marked with hǒg- and the outward movement with $k^{h}{ }_{u}$-, no matter the direction the hole faces.

The directional prefix corresponding to $k^{h} \check{u}-$ shows two variants: $q^{h \check{\partial}-}$ and $k^{h} \check{\partial}$-. Even though on phonological grounds I have taken the form $q^{h 久}$ - to be the default form and $k^{h}{ }^{2}$ - the fronted form (§2.1.7.4), on comparative grounds it seems that in general in Pǔmǐ the form $k^{h \check{\partial}-}$ should be taken as the default form synchronically: the corresponding bound demonstrative in Table 4.5 has a velar consonant and the corresponding Dàyáng Pǔmǐ variant also shows a velar consonant (Fù 1998:41), even though Dàyáng Pǔmǐ has uvular stop phonemes. The form of the prefix shows consonant alternation in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ as well ( $g$ д- versus $k^{h} \partial$-), but Dīng does not specify any environments (Dīng 1998:119).
$t^{h \check{1}-}$ and $k \check{p}$ - relate to natural boundaries, usually rivers or mountains: $k \check{p}$ - denotes this side of a boundary, and $t^{h i}$ - denotes the far side of a boundary. The corresponding directional prefixes show a slight extension of the meaning: dž- denotes 'towards speaker/across a boundary' and $t^{h \check{b} \text { - denotes 'from speaker/across a boundary'. } t^{h} \check{\eta} \text { - is }}$ often used in combination with n $\hat{u}$ 'outside' to denote the back side of a mountain, as in (298).

'(...) (one) needs to cross one mountain on this side like this, and go carrying lunch on the other side.' (YJ02.11)

There are two other bound demonstratives that are often used: $\overbrace{o} E$ - 'down the slope' and Č̌g-' 'up the slope'.

In addition there is a set of four cardinal points, shown in (299). As in many languages in the area, the terms are borrowed from Tibetan.
(299) $\epsilon \hat{\mathcal{E}}$-t ${ }_{6}{ }^{h}$ wi(bi) 'east' (<Tibetan shar.phyogs)
hû-tct ${ }^{h}$ Wi(bi) 'south' ( $<$ Tibetan Iho.phyogs)
$n$ 'ôn-tc ${ }^{h}$ Wi(bi) 'west' ( $<$ Tibetan nub.phyogs)
tc ${ }^{h}$ ôn-tc ${ }^{h}$ wi(bi) 'north' ( $<$ Tibetan byang.phyogs)

The set is mainly used for religious purposes: in libation ${ }^{166}$ and for calculating auspicious dates. ${ }^{167}$

### 4.6.3 Locational postpositions

Like in many Tibeto-Burman languages, most if not all postpositions derive from nouns. They often appear in so-called 'relator noun constructions' (DeLancey 1997; 2003:264) as head of a genitive construction, while at the same time appearing as postpositions. Some postpositions have grammaticalised even further into markers of grammatical relations (§6.2). In (300) the relator noun pú 'under' is shown in a compound with a demonstrative and as a nominal head of a genitive construction. In (301) pú is shown as a postposition. Postpositions function as clitics in many ways: as postpositions they do not have their own tone and they merge with the genetic clitic $=(g) \notin$, as in (302). But they still keep some of their independence by not taking on the tone of the preceding noun, by appearing in a low surface tone, as in (301) and (302).

ว́-pà
tç̀̀̀̀̀ $=$ gá
pû
that-in:GEN spring $=$ GEN bottom
'Below the spring under there (...)' (CV04.60)
(301) hŏク pù 'under the animal pen'
(302) ză = qhà tá = gâ?
hand $=$ on:GEN this = DEF
'The one in (your) hand?' (CV19.94.2)
A list of relator nouns/postpositions is given in (303). ${ }^{168}$
(303) nû 'exterior, outside’
tû 'top, on'
pú 'lower part, under'
$q^{h}{ }_{U} \quad$ 'top, on top'
wu 'interior, inside, in'
bi 'side, on’

[^83]\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d z i & \text { 'location, at' } \\
t c^{h} W i & \text { 'direction, in the direction of }
\end{array}
$$
\]

Relator nouns can all form a compound with the bound demonstratives described in §4.6.2. A few examples are given in (304).
(304) qa-pú 'under there (valley-wards)' $t i-q^{h} u \quad$ 'up there (mountain-wards)' xon-wú 'in there (up the valley)' $k^{h} u$-nú $\quad$ 'out there (down the valley)' $\hat{\partial}$-tu 'on there'

Relator nouns are different from other nouns in certain aspects. The first is that they cannot be preceded by the demonstrative $t \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. Another aspect is that the genitive marker $=(g) \not \mathscr{x}$ can fuse with them. Normally when the genitive marker follows a noun, it has the form [gæ]; it only fuses when following other clitics (85.3.1).

Relator nouns show different stages of grammaticalisation. This can in particular be seen in their tonal behaviour, i.e. whether they still retain their individual tone, show a low tonal target, or take on the tone of the preceding constituent. Tonal behaviour of relator nouns is discussed in §3.4.4, and will not be discussed here.

Other indications of grammaticalisation include whether relator nouns can function as an independent noun outside a genitive construction, whether they can be the head of a genitive construction, whether they can form a compound with the bound demonstratives described in §4.6.2, whether they can function as a postposition, and whether they can function as a marker of grammatical relations.

Looking at Table 4.7 the cline of grammaticalisation can be clearly seen from the most noun-like nû, which has its own tone and mostly functions as a noun to the least nounlike $t_{6}{ }^{h}$ if, which does not have its own tone and only occurs in compounds with demonstratives. It can also be followed by $b i$, as in qatç ${ }^{h}$ Wîbi 'down there'.

Table 4.7 Grammaticalisation of relator nouns

|  | $n \hat{u}$ | $t \hat{u}$ | $p u ́$ | $q^{h} u$ | $w u$ | $b i$ | $d z i$ | $t_{6}{ }^{h} W i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Occurs outside of genitive <br> construction | x | x | $\mathrm{x}{ }^{169}$ | - | - | - | - | -170 |
| Occurs as head of genitive <br> construction | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | - |
| Forms compound with <br> demonstrative | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Functions as postposition | -171 | x | x | x | x | x | $-{ }^{172}$ | -173 |

Semantically, both $q^{h} u$ and tî̀ denote a location on top of something. The semantic difference between these two lies in their scope. The scope of tû is limited to the narrow top of an object, and is used with things such as horses, fireplaces, trees, hands, ladders, spikes, cliffs, and even the base of a lightbulb. The scope of $q^{h} u$ is bigger, including every horizontal area on top of an object, and also general areas of land (ground, hills, plains), the sky, and the upper area of smaller objects, such as horses, fireplaces, trees, hands, ladders, houses, bridges. Thus, $q^{h} u$ is more widely used in the dataset, and it refers mainly to location. tû, on the other hand, is used in all kinds of derived senses.

Both can occur in a genitival construction as a head noun or immediately after a noun as a postposition:
(305) $w \hat{u}=g æ q^{h} u \quad$ 'the top and sides of the mountain'
$w \hat{u}=q^{h} u \quad$ 'on the mountain'
$w \hat{u}=g æ$ th $\quad$ 'the top (peak) of the mountain'
$w \hat{u}=t u \quad$ 'on top of the mountain (the peak)'
It seems that even though $q^{h} u$ is still used as the head noun of a genitive construction, it behaves less independently than tû in that it has lost its tone and always appears with low tone. In addition, it cannot appear in some environments where tû can appear, as shown in (306) and (307).

[^84]$\begin{array}{llll}\text { (306) tú tèj / tú } & \text { diòn } \\ \text { on } & \text { EXIST.H } & \text { on } & \text { EXIST.AT }\end{array}$
'(It's) on top.' (EL)
(307) *qú tèj / *qhú diòn

In (308) a non-literal use of $q^{h} u$ is given.

'I still remember (her) mother and father, (they)'re continuously present in my mind's eye.' (CV21.38)

This is similar to the following two examples where tû is used:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (309) } & \text { n}^{j} \mathfrak{æ ㇒}=\text { tà } & \text { tçíy }=\text { má } \\
& \text { eye }=\text { on:GEN } & \text { see }=\text { NMLZ }
\end{array}
$$

'What our eyes have seen (lit. on our eyes be seen) (...)' (CV23.29)

study time $=$ TOP tongue $=0$ on $=$ rop can
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}$
time $=$ TOP
'When (I) was studying, (I) could read fluently, (... )' (lit. it was fluent on my tongue) (TC10.12)

The relator noun tî has several derived functions. One will be discussed here; some others are discussed elsewhere: tî marks the standard of comparison in a comparative construction (§10.6). The marker is also used for adversive situations (§6.2.7) where the argument marked by the locative postposition is negatively affected (similar to English 'he died on me'). And tû is used where the action expressed by the verb is not directed towards the NP, but is about 'concerning' the NP (§6.2.7). tû can also be used in a temporal sense, indicating 'right at that time, something happened':

```
(311) tà \(=\) ǧ̌ tçà \(=\) má \(=\) tù \(=\) bù tátá tçàdónmádòn
    \(3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN}\) say \(=\mathrm{NMLZ}=\) top \(=\) TOP just.then flood
    \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}\) - - ç \(^{\mathrm{h}}\) ôy
    out-appear:PFV:N.EGO
```

'Right then, at his saying (that), the flood arrived.' (TC02.19)
The relator noun $p u$ 'bottom, under' denotes a location under things.
(312) t $t \subset \cdot \cdot \iota^{i}=g æ p u ́ \quad$ 'under the spring'
$t^{h}$ ǒy $=p u \quad$ 'under the water reservoir'

It forms an antonym pair with tî̀ 'top, on'. This is shown in the following riddle:

'Guess this riddle, what is eating at the top and spitting out at the bottom? ${ }^{174}$ (PC05w.13.1)
 under exist). ${ }^{175}$

The relator noun wu 'interior, in' denotes a location inside something else. When it follows personal names or pronouns, it has to appear in a genitive construction, and generally denotes somebody's place; wu can also mark temporal constituents, as in (315). Additionally, wu can sometimes be used in an allative sense (§6.2.8).
(314) $p \check{1} \eta=g \not{x} w u \quad$ in the grove'
$p \check{\eta}=w u ̀ \quad$ 'in the grove'
$p^{h}$ élî̀= $=g æ$ æu $\quad$ 'at Phali's place'
(315) tó $\downarrow z \check{o}=$ wù 'during this era'

The relator noun dzi denotes a location on a horizontal plane that is the same altitude of the speaker; - $t{ }_{6}{ }^{h}{ }^{W}$ i denotes a general direction; bidenotes a location on a slope or a vertical plane. - $-c^{h}{ }^{h} w i$ is a bound form that can only occur with bound demonstratives
 be clearly illustrated with the interrogative pronoun $k \hat{\imath}$ 'where' that can be followed by all three:
(316) $k \hat{\partial} d z \underset{\neq}{ } \quad$ 'where (on the horizontal plane)'
$k \hat{b} b i \quad$ 'where (on the vertical plane)'
$k \hat{t} \epsilon^{h}{ }^{h} W i \quad$ 'where (which direction)'
$d z i$ can function as a noun as well as a postposition, as in (317) and (318).

[^85](317) nìy $=\mathrm{dz} \hat{\nexists y}=\mathrm{gx} \mathrm{d}$ z̧i
$2=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{GEN} \quad$ location
'at your location’ (CV02.52.1)

pig.pen.edge $=$ location
'at the pig pen edge' (CV14.268)
It can also be used in a temporal, rather than locational sense, as in (319).

next.year pig slaughter $=$ time $=$ also $=$ TOP
'Next year at the time of slaughtering pigs, (...)' (CV20.101)
The relator noun $b i$ has grammaticalised from a noun meaning 'side', to a locative postposition to a dative marker. Example (320) illustrates its nominal use; examples (321) and (322) illustrate its (locative and temporal) postpositional use. Its use as semantic role marker will be discussed in §6.2.3.
(320) wù = gá bí
tiger $=$ GEN side
'(...) the side of (those people's) Tiger (...)' (KZ03.34)
(321) pálí= bì tç ${ }^{\text {hà } w=s u ̀ ~} \hat{\mathrm{a}}, \quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{e}$, mê?
jacket $=$ on $\quad$ rub = voL:SG CONF all.the.time what
'Do you still want to rub it on (your uncle's) jacket?' (CV20.141)

winter $=$ on OUT-arrive time $=$ TOP pig slaughter prepare CUST.EXCL
'When winter arrives, pig slaughter is prepared.' (CLO1ed.8)
There are several other locational nouns that often co-occur with postpositions.

| (323) | $k^{h \check{i}}$ | 'edge' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $z \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ | 'side, corner' |
|  | $z \check{\partial}$ | 'right' |
|  | $w \check{j} j$ | 'left' |
|  | $m \hat{\mathcal{x}} \eta$ | 'tail-end' |

### 4.6.4 The morpheme -n'ว and shortening effect

Sometimes when bound demonstratives and spatial postpositions combine, a bound morpheme $-n^{j} \partial \sim-n \partial$ is inserted between the two parts. This morpheme expresses that a location is at a relatively short distance from the speaker. The form is possibly related
 difference in voicing of the nasal), and a reflex of PTB ${ }^{*}$ s-na:y $x{ }^{*}{ }^{*}$-ney.

An example of the morpheme is given in (324). The topic of the conversation is the location of teeth in the speaker's mouth and the morpheme -njる is used. If it was left out, one would get the impression that the teeth were really far apart.

| ṫón | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$-n'ż-dzı́ | tión | hò̀-ná-dzı́ | diòn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one:clf:thing | out-near-location | one:ClF:thing | in-near-location | XIST. |

'(...) there is one out here (and) one in here (...)' (CV02.57)
The morpheme also occurs at the end of temporal and locational words or clauses, as in (325-327). In (327) it seems to be the head noun of a genitive construction. It is not clear what its exact function is in these examples and whether it is the same morpheme.

> man $=$ PL $\quad$ OUT-go $=$ also $=$ TOP-near
> 'When the men go out, (...)' (CV21.51.1)

| dàbú-n'á | pèjpéj | tédí = cì |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then-near | older.sibling | Tadi = LIM.TOP |
| 'Then older brother Tadi (...)' (YJ02.6) |  |  |


'They told us grandmothers to dress up, (...)' (CV21.210)

### 4.6.5 Temporal nominals

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ temporal nominals (or time ordinals) are given in Table 4.8. It can be seen that the structure of previous years and days is parallel, but the addition of $z e k^{h} \hat{a} W$ 'next year' creates a disharmony between the otherwise parallel structure of future days and years. Like most Tibeto-Burman languages (Bradley 2013), Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has lexicalised forms for days and years, but not weeks and months. There are two terms that are used for 'year': the free form $p t$ for previous years and the bound form $-k^{h}{ }_{a W}$ for future years. The normal word for 'year' is $p \#$. The form $-k^{h} a w$ might be related to the older word for 'year' kû, that is now often used as a classifier for 'years of age', but one would have to find an explanation between the difference in aspiration. The morpheme for 'day' has in most cases been reduced to $n_{0}^{\prime}$ 2. ${ }^{176}$ Within a day, there is only

[^86]a two-way distinction between morning and night. Note that the voiceless nasal in nôn 'day' and miř̌'night' becomes voiced in the terms that are used most frequently. ${ }^{177}$ The word for 'tomorrow' seøbǔ is probably a combination of the morpheme sêŋ 'morning' and the topic marker $b u$, but synchronically seen as one word. ${ }^{178} \mathrm{~A}$ more periphrastic
 zegonồ (lit. 'the following day').

Table 4.8 Temporal nominals

|  | $p \psi^{\prime} \mathrm{year}{ }^{\prime}$ | nôo 'day' | sề 'morning' | m̌̌ 'night' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -4 | ! ${ }_{\text {titlap\# }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { atlan }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - |
| -3 | ! ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  | - | - |
| -2 | dొt̂p\# |  | ..$_{\text {HSSČy }}$ | [\|t̂mi |
| -1 | 弓êpt | Zên ${ }^{\text {jo }}$ | zen'osên | pîçi |
| 0 | p $\hat{\text { th }}$ | $p \hat{*} n^{j} \partial$ | p\#Sên | zemî |
| +1 | $z e k^{h} \hat{a} W$ | seŋbǔ | segbǔ nusên | sêpmi $\sim$ sêpmi |
| +2 | Sêjk ${ }^{\text {h }}$ WW | $q^{h}+\operatorname{sen} \eta_{0} \hat{\partial} / / \mathrm{o}$ ¢ $\eta$ | $q^{h}$ HSensêt | $q^{h}$ HSeymior |
| +3 | $q^{h}{ }^{\text {HSSegk }}{ }^{h} \hat{a} W$ | $q^{h}+d$ ¢iñ ${ }^{\text {jo/non }}$ | - | - |
| +4 | $q^{h}+d_{1 i k}{ }^{h} a W$ | $q^{h} \hat{\text { thlanjo}}{ }^{j}$ /non | - | - |

Temporal nominals are also used in a non-deictic sense. This is illustrated in (328) where 'today', 'tomorrow' and 'the day after tomorrow' refer to relative time in the story rather than specific time.

[^87]
today UP-dig wild.boar $=$ AGT again again DOWN-turn.over
sènbǔ jǎw tó-tú, qù̀sènná jǎw t t ${ }^{\text {h}}$ wèníy = gòn
tomorrow again UP-dig day.after.tomorrow again wild.boar=AGT
nè-púlỉ ${ }^{\hat{x}}$
DOWN-turn.over
'What they dug today ( = the first day), the wild boar would overturn again. What they dug tomorrow ( = the second day), the wild boar would overturn again the day after tomorrow ( $=$ the third day), (...)' (TC02.4,5)

Other temporal nominals that refer to specific time are:

|  | 'very early morning' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $c \hat{e t} t^{h} u$ | 'early morning' |
| nusên | 'morning' |
| menă! | 'afternoon' |
| cwíp $^{\text {h }}$ a | 'night' |
|  | 'in depths of night' |

Other temporal forms that express relative time, related to the time of speaking, are:

| (330) | $t a ̆$ | 'now' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 'now' (point in time or current time period) ${ }^{179}$ |
|  | tadzí | 'this period of time' |
|  | kedzí | 'this period of time, this time' (also locational use) |
|  | t̂o | 'before' (also locational use) |
|  | z $\hat{\text { efg }}$ i | 'after' (also locational use) |
|  | so | 'first' |
|  | по̌̆jæ | 'later' |
|  | tenón | 'just now' |
|  | tôtço | 'just then ${ }^{180}$ |
|  | $t^{\prime} \ddot{z}$ | 'recently' (is often followed by temporal words like nôn 'day') |
|  | $t^{\text {tu }}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {jox }}$ | 'so early ${ }^{181}$ |

[^88]| $t^{\text {hâtu }}$ | 'immediately, the whole time' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sim t^{h}$ Émin | 'all the time, always, often' |
|  | 'after a while' |
| teq ${ }^{h} \hat{\varepsilon} j$ teq ${ }^{h} \hat{\varepsilon} j$ | 'sometimes' ${ }^{182}$ ( $<q^{h} \mathcal{E}$ ) |

The corpus has a few examples of partial reduplication of temporal forms:

сwíco-сwílæ 'the whole night' (< $<w i ́$ 'evening')

$\epsilon \hat{E} m æ-\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} t^{h}{ }^{h} u \quad$ 'very early morning' ( $<\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} t^{t}{ }^{h} u$ 'early morning')
When the construction $X$ te-dǐy'one place, somewhere' is used with a temporal word, the implication is a general time. I have only one example in my database.

| (332) nǒy séymì | tè-dìy | qà-dzí | mə̀t ${ }^{\text {hí }}=$ tì |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | so | tomorrow.night | one-CLF:place | down-location |
| dinner $=$ INDF |  |  |  |  |

thè-dzú fià tá kéj=gì
FR.SP-make LINK this let=VoL:INCL
'So, tomorrow night (or sometime) let's have (them) cook some dinner downstairs and have them eat (...)' (CV02.76)

### 4.7 Adverbs

Adverbs are defined as words that modify a predicate. They include adverbs of manner, adverbs of intensity or degree, and epistemic adverbs. Adverbs show no morphology apart from some inherent reduplication.

Apart from adverbs that modify a predicate, there are several adverbial predicate modifying constructions. These will be discussed in §7.10.1, §7.10.2 and §7.10.3.

Other manner adverbs are given in (333) and (334). The manner adverbs in (333) immediately precede the verb, the ones in (334) need the verb $p$ t 'to do', as in an adverbial construction. An exception is tití, which usually appears in an adverbial construction, as in (335), but can immediately modify the verb in often-used collocations like tití côn 'go slowly' or tití dzôn 'sit slowly'.
(333) tun'る́ 'purposely'
$n^{j} æ p u ́ \quad$ 'secretly' (possibly from $n^{j}$ æ̌ 'eye' and $p u$ 'under')
Ћáməzə 'casually, randomly’
đæみǽ 'horizontally' (usually used with 'to put', 'to throw', 'to cut')
tc ${ }^{h}$ íp $x \quad$ 'very well, nicely'

[^89]```
    dǒy 'together (in action)'
    t\hat{g}u 'together (in the same place)'
t\hat{e.lgj 'together (on the same road)'}
    qaqǎ 'together, communal' (also occurs as noun 'group')
    tití 'slowly'
    dâda 'slowly' (loanword from Yǒngníng Na?)
    dú.li 'leisurely'
(335) tìtí pù thè-dz̀dǽ{ tź-tç ô\y.
slowly do FR.SP-walk UP-come:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) (the old man) came up walking slowly.' (YJ01.63)
```

There are a few adverbs of degree or intensity, listed in (336). According to my main consultant, two of them, mət ${ }^{h} \check{a}$ and mənǽ $\eta$, might be loanwords from Yǒngníng Na. $m \partial t^{h} \check{a}$ is only used in combination with negation, as in (337). mənǽク only appears in the corpus once in combination with $t^{h j} \check{\not x}$, as in (338).

```
qêtchi 'not very'
mothǎ 'not very'
tG '\partialdzú 'too much' (usually in combination with negation 'not much')
thj\check{x}}\quad\mathrm{ 'about, pretty much'
mənǽ\eta 'so-so, not very good or bad'
dzı́n'æ̈X 'really' (but also used as interjection)
tsȟǔ 'almost'
ta 'only'
jǎw 'again'
```


'He said, mind you, that this (story) was one that talked about god, and so one should not casually narrate it.' (CV13.114.2)
(338) dàbǔ, pěj tçé = gà tànæ̀y=góyní dàbǔ, t‘ón
then older.sibling big=DEF crossbow = INS then one:clf:thing

| $q^{\text {hò-t }}{ }^{\text {h}}{ }^{\text {ču }}$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò-¢ }}$ ( kwéj | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}, \quad \hat{\mathrm{i}}$, | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{hj}}$ ¢̌ $^{\text {c }}$ | mànǽy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| out-shoot | out-go let:PFV:N.EGO | time $=$ TOP INTJ | about | so.so |

té-qè-bà ky̌y $=$ pù ètsèn $=$ sì tçàw.
one-cle:household-household door-under IN-hit=INF HSY
'So when the big brother shot one (arrow) with his crossbow, it hit the door of a relatively good household, it is said.' (TC09.5)

There are two post-verbal adverbs, which is interesting, since most adverbs modify a verb pre-verbally: cici 'a little bit' usually follows stative verbs and expressives, as in (339), but can also modify other verbs pre-verbally in an adverbial construction (§7.10.1); zqǔ ‘very’ only modifies stative verbs post-verbally, as in (340), and might be related to the verb žŭ 'to be excessive, to be capable', as in (341), see also (§8.2).

| ((jòntcíy)) | kánpâpá | cị̀ì = tà, | dǎwmà |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T:dByangs.cin | Ch:very.thin | a.little.bit=SVM | T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma |

bádôydóy cìçì = tà, ásèn?
short.and.stocky a.little.bit $=$ SVM $\quad$ AGR
'((Yongjin)) is very thin, Dauma is short and stocky, right?' (CV01.54)
(340) fú द̣àw nìn-bá á-pù džwá zŭ. chicken raise 2-household:GEN that-under be.comfortable very 'Your household's (position) down there is very convenient for raising chickens.' (CV04.71)
(341) $q$ ćj $t^{h} \mathrm{è}-$-zù $=$ sî
grease FR.SP-be.excessive $=\mathrm{INF}$
'It's very greasy.' (EL:B903)

### 4.8 Clause linkers

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has two groups of clause linkers. Apart from dəbǔ, (§10.2, §10.9.3) the clause linkers in (342) appear only clause-initially, and I will not treat them further in this grammar. The clause linkers in (343) appear clause-finally and are subordinators, coordinators and clause linkers that have grammaticalised from nominals or noun phrase markers (the only exception is sotcæ which is only used as a clausal subordinator). They will be treated in $\S 10.1$ and $\S 10.4$.

| (342) | tenǎ | 'otherwise' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | nǒn | 'so, in that case' ${ }^{183}$ |
|  | nontcx́ | 'as a matter of fact' |
|  | nontcû | 'in that case' |
|  | по̌ynoп | 'only then' (at beginning of clause) |
|  | dəbǔ | 'then' |
|  | jăW | 'again' |
| (343) | sotcr | 'if' |
|  | $k^{h}{ }_{j}$ | 'while' |
|  | ( $\left.q^{h} u\right)$ gonni | 'since' |
|  | fa (fonni) | 'and, because' |
|  | fa (t¢əbu) | 'and, because' |
|  | nol | 'and, or' |

### 4.9 Grammaticalisation

Grammaticalisation is a diachronic process by which lexical forms take on grammatical functions. In languages like Pǔmǐ that have not been documented historically, it is sometimes difficult to show how grammaticalisation paths have developed and whether semantic bleaching or extension has taken place. Two factors that allow drawing some conclusions about grammaticalisation in Pǔmǐ are that different stages of the grammaticalisation path are still present synchronically: many forms in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are used lexically as well as performing grammatical functions. The other factor is taking into account cross-linguistic grammaticalisation tendencies. Many of the grammaticalisation paths in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are well-attested cross-linguistically (Heine and Kuteva 2002), such as the path from noun to postposition to semantic role marker. Some grammaticalisations are more interesting from a typological perspective: especially the development of inclusive and exclusive knowledge markers from verbs (88.5).

Grammaticalisation processes will be mentioned in several parts of the grammar, and are listed with their references in Table 4.9.

[^90]Table 4.9 Grammaticalisation processes in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ

|  | Grammaticalisation process | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b i$ | noun 'side' > postposition 'on' > semantic role marker (dative and source) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { §4.6.3; §6.2.3; } \\ & \text { §6.2.5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| tú | noun 'top' $>t u$ postposition 'on top' $>$ adversive $>$ comparative marker | $\begin{aligned} & \text { §4.6.3; §6.2.7; } \\ & \text { §10.6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| wu | noun 'interior' > postposition 'in' > allative | §4.6.3; §6.2.8 |
| mâ | noun 'mother' > -ma derogatory marking, augmentative marking | §5.1.3.3 |
| $t s \hat{t}$ | noun 'son' > -tso diminutive marking | §5.1.3.4 |
| $d i ̌$ | 'to be old' > geriatric suffix | §5.1.3.5 |
| tón | noun 'animal pen' > =tol locational, instrumental nominalizer | §5.2.1 |
| $m \hat{\imath} \sim m \hat{\partial}$ | 'person' > -mə agentive nominalizer > general nominalizer, relative clause marker | §5.2.3; §5.3.2 |
| sǒn | numeral 'three' > =sen partitive paucal marker | $\S 5.4$ |
| tǐ | 'one' > indefinite marker | §5.5 |
| = $60($ (̌oךni) | ablative $>$ discourse marker $\mid$ causal subordination marker \| general clause linker | $\begin{aligned} & \text { §6.2.9; §10.2; } \\ & \S 10.4 .3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $=g o \eta(n i)$ | agentive marker \| instrumental marker > clausal subordination marker | $\begin{aligned} & \text { §6.2.1; §6.2.4; } \\ & \text { §10.4.3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $q^{\text {hǔ }}$ | verb 'to need' $>q^{h} u$ auxiliary 'need to' $>$ politeness marker 'please' \| customary marker, inclusive information 'will' | §7.9.9; §8.5 |
| wên | verb 'to have learned' > wen auxiliary 'be able' > customary marker, exclusive information 'will' | §7.9.2; §8.5 |
| dzón | verb 'to sit' > durative verbal aspect | §7.8.2 |
| $k^{\text {hin }}$ | verb 'to give' > benefactive verbal aspect | §7.8.3 |
| tǐ | verb 'to put' > terminative verbal aspect | §7.8.4 |
| $t s^{h} a^{\prime}$ | verb 'to be exhausted, be finished' > completive verbal aspect | §7.8.5 |


|  | Grammaticalisation process | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pt́ | verb 'to do' > adverbial clause marker \| control adding particle | §7.10.1; §8.1.1 |
|  | verb 'to say' > tco quotative marker | §8.3.5 |
| $=s i$ | perfective $>=s i$ inferential evidential | §8.3.1 |

### 4.10 Conclusion

This chapter described various form classes in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The open form classes show considerable overlap and are better described in construction-specific terms. Pronouns distinguish dual and plural number; the first person inclusive and second person singular pronouns have taken on more abstract functions and are used as interjectives that express speaker attitude. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a logophoric pronoun which is used for co-reference. Numerals show a strictly decimal system; the numerals 'six', 'seven' and 'eight' show distinctive tonal behaviour. Numerals and numeral-classifiers occur together in compounds. Demonstratives are mostly bound roots that compound with locational and temporal nouns. Directional verb prefixes are semantically and formally related to the bound demonstratives and reflect the layout of the geographical landscape. Locational nouns are the source for the semantic role markers. Adverbs can be divided into two functional classes: those that directly modify a verb and those that need the verb $p$ t́'to do' in order to modify a verb. Several grammaticalisation processes can be observed synchronically through the co-existence of lexical and grammaticalised forms.

## Chapter 5.

## The noun phrase

This chapter discusses the internal structure of the noun phrase. It will start with the noun stem and discuss different nominal morphology in §5.1: the processes of compounding, reduplication, and affixation. Nominalization as a process of forming nominals will be discussed in §5.2; nominal modification constructions will be discussed in $\S 5.3$, and number and definiteness in $\S 5.4$ and $\S 5.5$. The structure of the noun phrase is discussed in $\S 5.6$ and a section on noun phrase coordination in $\S 5.7$ will end the chapter.

### 5.1 Nominal morphology

The noun stem shows several formation processes that will be dealt with in this section, namely compounding (§5.1.1), reduplication (§5.1.2) and affixation (§5.1.3). Disyllabic nouns form the majority of nouns in the corpus. ${ }^{184}$ Some examples of monosyllabic nouns are given in (344), and examples of disyllabic and polysyllabic unanalyzable nouns are given in (345).

| (344) | $z z^{\text {ze }}$ | 'hand' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | flû | 'chicken' |
|  | lí | 'moon' |
|  | $t 6^{h 2}$ | 'food' |
| (345) | butı́̇j | 'snake' |
|  | $q^{\text {h }}$ ondzí | 'spoon' |
|  | Ћа́тәз๐ | 'messy' |
|  | baqajú | 'pine cone' |
|  | n${ }^{\text {jón.l.əbala }}$ | 'bat' ${ }^{185}$ |

[^91]
### 5.1.1 Compounding

Compounding is a highly productive process in Pǔmǐ. The tonal pattern of compounds is often different from the combination of the tones of the different free lexical forms (§3.4.1). This forms an important criterion in distinguishing phrases from compounds.

There are several coordinate compounds, where both nouns have equal status. Coordinate compounding only occurs with two monosyllabic or disyllabic elements that show parallel semantics.
(346) p
swâyma
'parents' ( $<$ Sw $\hat{x} \eta$ 'father' $+m \hat{a}$ 'mother')
níptse $\quad$ 'sickness' (<níg 'disease' $+t s \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ ‘hot, fever')
hontón 'animal pen' (< Ћŏn 'enclosure’ + tón 'animal pen')

ts $s^{h}$ titswen $\quad$ 'lung-and-liver' $\left(<t^{\text {h }}\right.$ ť 'lung' + tswên 'liver')
 ${ }_{0}{ }^{\dot{b}}{ }^{\text {én }}$ 'tongue')

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has certain other coordinate compounds, of which the second (probably archaic) noun never appears independently, but only as part of the compound or in certain constructions: $N=n o \eta N$ with the coordinator $=n o \eta$, as in (347), or $N V_{1} N V_{2}$, as in (348) and (349).

$$
\begin{align*}
& d z \partial k^{h} \mathscr{x} \quad d z \check{o}=n o \eta k^{h} \hat{\mathcal{X}} \quad \text { 'society' }\left(<d z \check{o} \text { 'society, era' }+k^{h} \hat{\mathcal{X}} ?\right) \tag{347}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-- } \quad g \check{t}=n o \eta q \dot{t} \quad \text { 'money' }(<g \check{t} \text { 'money' }+q \dot{t} \text { ? }) \\
& \text {-- } \quad d \mathfrak{x}=n o \eta d \hat{t} \quad \text { 'evil' }(<q \mathfrak{x} \text { 'evil' }+d \hat{t} \text { ? })
\end{aligned}
$$

[^92]| (348) | zù | t $6^{\mathrm{h}}$ wí | hwà t $6^{\mathrm{h}}$ wì |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | facial.features | good | ? | good |

Pǔmǐ also has some set phrases that consist of two disyllabic nouns that are roughly similar in meaning. These are not really compounds, since they consist of two tone groups. '\#' in (350) indicates the tone group boundary.

```
(350) dwilón# l`ækǎ 'customs' (< dwilón 'customs' + liækkǎ 'things')
jílu# l`ækæ̌ 'behaviour' (<jílu 'behaviour' + l`æka\check{ 'things')}
```





```
tsáki# ŝodz̧i 'pork-back-slice-limbs' (< tsáki 'meat cut' + sâdzzi 'limbs')
```

Endocentric compounds are compounds where the whole denotes a subclass of one of the elements. When the second element is the head, the compound consists of two nouns, as in (351), or (stative) verb + noun, as in (352).



```
    xitsón 'shrine-room' (<xí 'god' + tsón 'house')
    tø̧sǔ 'mist' (<tç 'water' + sû 'gas')
(352) tsúlæ! 'buttermilk’ (<tsú 'to be sour' + fán ‘liquid’)
    téjmo 'leader' (<téj 'to be big' + mầ 'person')
    típbu 'small cockroach \({ }^{188}\) ( <tín'to be rich' + bû 'bug')
    \(d z æ \eta p \check{e} \quad\) 'uncooked flour' ( \(<d z \hat{x} \eta\) 'to be wet' \(+p \check{e}\) 'flour')
    cegwén 'pack horse' (< \(\subset\) é 'to pack a load' + gwěg 'horse')
    tətsú 'hungry ghost' (<tح' 'to die away from home’ + tsú ‘ghost’) \({ }^{189}\)
```

Endocentric compounds where the first element is the head usually consist of a noun followed by a stative verb. Examples are given in (353).

[^93]| tsêgt | 'aged pork back’ (<tsá 'meat' + gú 'to be old’) |
| :---: | :---: |
| nejsôn | 'clean milk' ( <něj 'milk' + són'to be clean') |
| $t_{6}{ }^{\text {b }}$ ekón ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| dzets ${ }^{\text {ho }}$ |  |
| $p e d \check{c}$ | 'broken axe' ( $<p \hat{t}$ 'axe' $+q \check{c}$ 'to be bad') |
| motéj | 'oldest daughter' ( $<m$ ầ 'daughter' $+t \bar{j} \mathrm{j}^{\prime}$ 'to be big |

Examples of exocentric compounds, where the compound denotes something different from the elements, are given in (354). Exocentric compounds are less frequent than endocentric compounds.

```
\(q^{h} \hat{H} t s o n \quad\) 'pillow' \(\left(<q^{h}\right.\) ȟy 'head' \(+t\) tsón 'house')
\(t^{h}\) ónmə 'Pǔmı' ( \(<t^{h}\) ón 'to be white' \(+m \hat{\imath}\) 'person')
```



Multimorphemic, multipsyllabic compounds are also attested. A few examples are given in (355). Compounds with up to five syllables can form a single tone group; when compounds are bigger than five syllables, they form multiple tone groups, as in (356).
(355) mípnipнqa 'straw sandal’ (<mípni `sandal’ + pt́qqa 'shoe')
 'bag')
$q^{h}{ }^{\text {tn }} \hat{i} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} p t d i m a$ 'old lady')
$t s^{h}{ }_{2}{ }_{i} i b a ́ l i \neq \#$ cípu
 porridge')

One culturally interesting compound is the word for 'common hoopoe'. This is a bird that arrives in spring and that is called qûpudzejgwen, which means 'cuckoo's riding horse' (from qûpu 'cuckoo', dzěj' to ride a horse' and gwěy 'horse'). The common hoopoe is said to announce the coming of the cuckoo, a very significant bird in Pǔmǐ culture.

Pǔmǐ shows a certain amount of taxonomic compounding, where either the first or the second constituent of the compound is used as a categorizing device, indicating the kind of noun class of the noun. This categorizing device can be called 'class term' (DeLancey 1998:109). Class terms that are the second constituent of a compound

[^94]cannot usually occur in isolation, but often occur as a numeral classifier in a numeralclassifier compound (§4.4). When they occur in nominal compounds the class term denotes the general category of which the whole compound is the subordinate category. Some examples are given in (357-359). Semantically these compounds often denote flora, fauna, food or body parts.

The class term -bon can be used to denote trees as well as plants. Nowadays -bon does not occur by itself; the general word for 'tree' segbón is made up of sěg 'wood' and bon 'tree'. -bon is also used as a classifier (§4.4).

```
(357) se\etabón 'tree'
    ló\etabon 'oak'
    q}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{h}}{\mathrm{ hgæbón 'hazelnut bush'}}{
    n'ûbon 'bean plant'
```

$-l i \hat{a} w$ is a bound form that expresses a small round object like a kernel of wheat. It can occur as a classifier, see §4.4. example (236), and also as a class term:

```
s\hat{e}l'jaw 'grain kernel' (sé 'grains')
n}\mp@subsup{n}{}{\prime
qwal'áw 'fireplace' (<qwá fireplace')
```

 but also as a class term. This renders a plural reading.
(359) séliawliaw 'grain kernels' (sé 'grains')
tontcəliâwl'jaw 'buckwheat kernels' (toŋtç̌ 'buckwheat')
$n^{i} \hat{u} l^{j}{ }_{a W} l^{j}{ }_{a W} \quad$ 'beans' ( $n$ ' $\hat{u}$ 'bean')
-tçin 'sort' is a bound form that only appears in compounds that denote generic types of animals. ${ }^{191}$ It cannot be used as a classifier and is probably a bound noun root.

```
    zætçî) 'claw-type animal' (bears, wolves, cats) (< žǎ ‘claw')
    dontçín 'winged animal' (<dôn 'wing')
    pátçip 'non-cloven-footed animal' (horses) ( \(<\) pá 'undivided hoof')
    \(q\) wátçin 'cloven-footed animal' (pigs, sheep, goats) ( \(<\) qwá ‘divided hoof')
    \(t^{j}\) útctin 'non-cud-chewing animal' (pigs, horses) ( \(<t^{j} \hat{u}\) 'stomach')
    gwetçin \(\quad\) 'cud-chewing animal' (sheep, cows) ( \(<g w e ̌\) 'third stomach')
```

There is one instance where the bound root can also derive a non-animal term.This is in the compound cíp $q^{h} t t c ̧ i \eta$ 'iron head sort, blockhead' (< cíg 'iron' and $q^{h} \check{t}$ 'head'),

[^95]denoting the sort of people who never listen to others, but only do what they think is best.

Class terms that are the first syllable of a compound can usually, but not always, occur as free nouns. But vowel reduction occurs when they appear in taxonomic compounds. ${ }^{192}$ Compounds with class terms as the first part often show a whole-part relationship. Some examples are given in (361-363).

```
(361) n'\hat{\mathcal{X}}}\quad\mathrm{ 'eye'
    n'eqwěj 'tears' (<qwěj 'tears')
    n'és# 'eyeball' (<Sü 'fruit')
    n'\hat{e}ts\partialmæ\eta 'eyebrow' (<ts\hat{t}'son'? + mô\ 'hair')
(362) ъæ̌ 'hand, arm'
    zセqó\eta 'elbow' (<qôn`gully')
    zéqwejli 'armpit' (<qwejli'hollow')
    ze.qǔ 'forearm' (<.qǔ 'bone')
    zetsěj 'wrist' (<-tsěj joint')
(363) sěy 'wood'
    S\hat{.flu 'trunk' (<.,ŭ`bone')}
    SE.\imathॅ` 'bark'(< f\hat{\partial}`\mathrm{ 'skin')}
    SEtWă 'branch' (<twă 'branch')
    seqwěj 'stick' (<qwěj 'peg')
    SEtǎ 'cutting block' (<tă'cutting board')
```

The numeral classifier -q̂ 'household' is a bound morpheme. It can function as a numeral classifier, but also form the first or second part of a compound. This might point to a nominal origin.
(364) méqv 'family' ( $<m$ mô 'person'?)
qetéj 'big household' (<téj 'to be big')
Many nouns that denote people have the word for $m_{0} \hat{\imath} \sim m \hat{\jmath}$ 'person' as their first syllable, but the second syllable is not analyzable:

| môdæm | 'mortal' |
| :---: | :---: |
| moďa | 'female' |
| motc ${ }^{\text {hór }}$ | 'male' |
| məgín | 'old man' |

[^96]| mənín | 'child' |
| :--- | :--- |
| məzî | 'young woman' |

### 5.1.2 Reduplication

Nouns may show several kinds of reduplication. Examples of the most straightforward reduplication pattern are given in (366). This usually occurs with kinship terms used in address.
(366) kawkăw 'father(-in-law), uncle (FB,LA)' (<kâw'uncle (MB)') pejpêj 'older sibling' (<pěj 'older sibling')

Other reduplication patterns are partial reduplications with several semantic implications. The first partial reduplication occurs with monosyllabic nouns and indicates a generic term. The structure is $C_{l} æ C_{2} V_{1}{ }^{193}$

```
(367) tçxtç̌ 'alcoholic beverages' (<tç̌` wwater')
```



```
    b\hat{x}bu\quad 'all kinds of insects'(<bu\hat{u}'insect')
    SWæst́t 'all kinds of fruit' (<SȞ4'fruit')'194
    dz\tilde{z}dzi 'all four limbs of animals' (s\hat{\partial}|z}\boldsymbol{z}i\mathrm{ is one of the four limbs)
```

A nominal reduplication process with a 'random' meaning has the structure $C_{l} o \eta C_{2} o \eta C_{1} V C_{2} V$. This looks exactly like the 'random' verbal reduplication process described in §7.4.1.3. Only one example is attested in the corpus.

Other 'random' reduplication constructions include a construction with a morpheme $-n^{j} \mathcal{X}$-, ${ }^{195}$ which replaces part of the root, $C_{1} V_{1} C_{2} V_{2}-n^{j} \mathfrak{x}-C_{2} V_{2}$, as in (369), reduplication where the whole word is reduplicated and the first syllable is changed to [oy], $C_{1}$ on $C_{2} V_{2} C_{1} V_{1} C_{2} V_{2}$, as in (370), and reduplication where the whole word is reduplicated and the second syllable is changed to [on], $C_{1} V_{l} C_{2} \circ \eta C_{1} V_{l} C_{2} V_{2}$, as in (371). ${ }^{196}$ Note that in these three constructions the original noun is a compound.

[^97](369) tsát $t^{h}{ }^{i n}{ }^{j} \mathfrak{x} t^{h} \hat{1} \quad$ 'random meat cuts' $\left(<t s a ́ t t^{h} i\right.$ 'cut of meat')
tç ${ }^{h}$ ekónn'ækoŋ 'random left-over rice’ ( $<t^{\dagger}{ }^{h}{ }^{h}$ ekón 'left-over rice')

(371) dъеqóndzвqе 'random tea dregs' (<dzeqă 'tea dregs')
sedzoŋSśdzæŋ 'random wet firewood' (<SEdzǎク 'wet firewood')
Although in the above examples the different ways of reduplication all render a 'random' meaning, for some nouns the meaning changes slightly depending on the reduplication construction used. An example is given in (372) with $t \epsilon^{h} \hat{e} d \varepsilon j$ 'rice residue' where both the $C_{1} V_{l} C_{2}$ og $C_{1} V_{l} C_{2} V_{2}$ construction and the $C_{1} V_{l} C_{2} V_{2}-n^{j} \mathfrak{X}-C_{2} V_{2}$ construction are used. ${ }^{197}$ Further research needs to be done into the semantics of these different reduplication patterns.

```
(372) tç 'h êdo\etatç 'edzj 'random rice residue'
    tg}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}\hat{e}d\varepsilonjnj`\mp@code{F
```


### 5.1.3 Affixation

There is a certain amount of nominal affixation in Pǔmǐ, which is all derivational. This section will discuss two prefixes and four suffixes which mark kinship terms (§5.1.3.1), disability (§5.1.3.2), female gender and derogatory terms (§5.1.3.3), diminutives (§5.1.3.4), and geriatric animal terms (§5.1.3.5).

### 5.1.3.1 Kinship prefix

Both in vocative and sometimes in referential usage of kinship terms, a prefix $v$ - is attached to the noun. This prefix occurs throughout Tibeto-Burman languages in combination with kinship terms (Matisoff 2003:105). Examples in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are:
(373) eswây 'father'
emâ 'mother, aunt' (women of mother's generation)
ekâw 'uncle (MB)'
êpoŋ 'father(-in-law), uncle (FB)'
enón 'aunt (FZ)'
ери́ 'grandfather'
$\hat{E} d^{j} \mathfrak{x} \quad$ 'grandmother'
The prefix is not totally fossilized and several terms can still be used in their nonprefixed forms.

[^98](374) má= nòク swán 'father and mother' (CV07.1.2)
èmá = nò ̀̀swáy 'father and mother' (CV02.5.2)
è $k \hat{a} W \sim$ KâW $\quad$ 'uncle (MB)'
Sometimes it is difficult to distinguish whether [ e ] is the kinship prefix or the first singular pronoun $\tilde{E}$, as in (375). However, Dīng (1998:116) notes that in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ the use of the kinship prefix is not possible with sibling terms. Thus example (375) should be analysed as a genitive apposition relation (\$5.3.1) instead.

| (375) | è | pčj, | è | pčj | nǐg | kí tà? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1SG | older.sibling | 1SG | older.sibling | 2SG | where arrive |  |

'My brother, my brother, where has (the water) come up to?' (TC02.20)
Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a few other nouns that show an initial syllable [ p ], but these do not seem to be related to the kinship prefix. Dīng (1998:116) states that the kinship prefix is not used with other types of nouns and does not occur with polysyllabic nouns.

| (376) | ejæqá | 'dumb person' (a senile person) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ets ${ }^{h}$ Wæทts ${ }^{h}$ Wæ̌n | 'magpie ${ }^{198}$ |
|  | eliú | 'squirrel' |

### 5.1.3.2 Disability prefix

The words for people with a physical disability all involve the prefix $d p$-. The origin of $d x$ - is not clear, but it has a negative connotation. ${ }^{199}$
(377) debǒn 'deaf person' (debǒy'to be deaf')
$d e p \check{j} j \quad$ 'lame person' (depěj 'to be lame')
deqǔ 'blind person' (<qǔ 'to be blind') $)^{200}$
degŏŋ 'mute person; dumb, stupid person' (<gǒn 'to be mute, dumb') ${ }^{201}$

[^99]
### 5.1.3.3 Female gender and derogatory suffix

There is some marking of natural gender in animal terms. The suffix -mi ${ }^{202}$ is used to mark female gender in big animals. No corresponding male gender suffix has been attested. ${ }^{203}$
(378) zónmi ‘ewe’ (< zón 'sheep’)

$\tau^{\text {Wemí }} \quad$ 'female yak' (< $\tau^{W}$ ' 'yak')

tsemí 'female deer' ( < tś́ 'red deer')
A different suffix $-m a$, derived from $m \hat{a}$ 'mother', is used to mark female gender in small animals, as in (379).
(379) temâ 'hen' (< fû 'chicken')
mêma 'female cat' (<metsá 'cat')
$k^{\text {hettima }} \quad$ 'bitch' $(<?)^{206}$
It is possible that the suffix is used as an augmentative in the words in (380), but this is difficult to ascertain, since the other syllables are non-analyzable. It could also just be a case of homophony.

| poŋmá | 'Tibetan mastiff' |
| :--- | :--- |
| sójbonma | 'goblin' |

[^100]tçamá 'central room of the house' (not clearly related to tsón 'house')
temă 'thumb' (not related to zæ̌ 'hand')
The suffix -ma is also used to mark derogatory terms, often used for scolding or cursing people or animals: púma 'cursed sow' (possibly derived from pú 'wild dog') is used to scold a fierce sow who does not let her piglets drink, but keeps running back and forth; cipáma 'witch' (derived from the word cipă 'a female witch that eats people') is used for scolding kids, when they do something that frightens you.
-ma also derives agentive nouns from stative verbs: tsáma ‘glutton’ (derived from tsá 'to be glutonous') is used in fights to curse people, not only women but also men; detçáma 'self-important person' is derived from detçæ 'to be proud'; pitêjma denotes a person with a big belly (from př 'belly' and téj 'to be big'); qónma 'crazy person' is derived from qón 'to be crazy'.

### 5.1.3.4 Diminutive suffix

There are two diminutive suffixes. The first is -tss, based on the word $t s \hat{t}$ 'son' (also used in the sense of small, as in $t s t l^{j} \check{x}$ 'small', and the expressive $t s t l^{j} \hat{x} l^{\prime} \hat{x}$ 'small'). ${ }^{207}$

```
(381) .futs⿱́ 'chick'(<.lû 'chicken')
    zots\check{ 'lamb' (<zól sheep) 208}
    qûtts ~b\hat{ttse 'piglet'209}
    pits\hat{0}\quad 'tummy'(<př`belly')
    &\hat{\varepsilon}jts\grave{ ( 'frying pan'(<.l\hat{\varepsilon}j 'cooking pot')}
```



Sometimes the original meaning of 'son' is still present, as in the implement for threshing grain which consists of two parts that are referred to as mother and son: dzəkúma 'flail-head' versus dzəkútso 'pole of flail', and as in the word for 'grandson': jîtsə (versus jîlu 'granddaughter’).

Some other nouns consist of a syllable [tsə], but either the diminutive meaning is semantically bleached, or it does not bear a relationship with the diminutive suffix:
 'niece, nephew', tetsó 'sieve'. The initial syllable of these words is not analysable by itself, possibly except for $p$ と̌ 'to bloom' in petsó 'flower'.

[^101]The second diminutive suffix is a bound morpheme -li. It usually (but not always) takes on the tone of the preceding lexical word. -li is usually suffixed to nouns (even Chinese loanwords), as in (382), or proper names, as in (383).
(382) mə
emâli 'auntie' (<emâ 'aunt')
bt́tli 'small alcohol jar' ( $<b \hat{t}$ 'alcohol jar')
$\nprec \partial l \hat{1} \quad$ 'small sickle' (.əว̌ 'sickle')
pelí 'small axe' ( $<p \hat{t}$ 'axe')
jæŋdzəlí 'little courtyard’ (<jæŋdzǒ ‘Ch:courtyard 院子')
(383) jóndoŋli 'little Yongzhong' (<jóndoŋ ‘T:Gyung.drung’)
domáli 'little Drema' (< domá ‘T:Dre.ma')
-li can also be suffixed to other words, like stative verbs/adjectives, numeral classifiers, numeral-classifier compounds, or be part of expressives, as in (384).


```
    tònlǐ 'small piece' (<-ton 'clf:piece')
    tètsòlí 'a small section' ( \(<t\) tr`one' + -tsă 'ClF:section')
    qétôŋlî 'very short' ( \(\sim\) qétôntôn 'very short')
```

The diminutive suffix can also be reduplicated and suffixed to a reduplicated numeral classifier as an ideophone with AABB structure (§10.1).
(385) tontonlíli 'in crumbs' (<-ton 'CLF:piece')
tsatsalíli 'a lot of small sections' (<-tsǎ ‘CLF:section')
There are other words that end in the syllable [li], but it is difficult to establish whether they contain the diminutive suffix. None of them appears without [li] and the other syllables are non-analyzable: $t s^{h} u b u l i ̌ ‘ s h i e l d i n g ~ d e v i c e ', ~ w e q u l i ̂ ' h e i f e r ', ~ t h u l i ̌ ~ ' h a r e ', ~ g u l i ́ ~$ 'wooden floor', kælí‘alcohol jar', kwéli ‘thief', páli ‘jacket’, tculî'clay pot'. Occasionally, both diminutive suffixes are suffixed to the same stem only in the order -tso-lit:
(386) titsəlí 'small mule' (<tǐ'mule' < Tibetan drel)

### 5.1.3.5 Geriatric suffix

The suffix $d \check{y}$ (presumably derived from the verb $d \check{y}$ 'to become old') marks old age for animal terms: ${ }^{211}$

[^102]```
(387) k'hedìmǔ 'old dog'(<?)}\mp@subsup{}{}{212
    f\grave{mà̀dǐ 'old hen'(< fèmâ 'hen' < flû 'chicken')}
    f\grave{p}p\grave{t̀dí 'old rooster'(<.l\grave{e}p\hat{t}}\mathrm{ 'rooster' < flù 'chicken')}
    fwèdǐ 'old horse' (<.fw\hat{E}\mathrm{ 'horse')}
    tshìmìdǐ 'old female goat' (<tshámì 'female goat')
    wèmìdǐ 'old female cow' (<w\hat{b}-~ ~ w\hat{u}-`}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}bovine'
```


### 5.2 Nominalization

As discussed in §4.1, many morphemes can be used as nouns as well as verbs, without any derivational marking. Sometimes tone is used as a derivational tool (see §3.3.8), but this is not very common. There are, however, a few derivational morphemes that are used for nominalization. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ these are the nominalizers $=m a,-t o \eta$ and $-j i$. All nominalizers are used for lexical nominalization. In addition $=m ə$ is used in clausal nominalization ( $\$ 5.2 .3$ ) and in several other nominalization constructions (§8.6). $-j i$ is used in several constructions that mark deontic modality (§7.9.11).

Apart from the nominalizers dealt with in this section, the derivational suffix -ma (§5.1.3.3) and the definite marker can sometimes have a nominalizing function.

### 5.2.1 The location/instrumental nominalizer -top

The nominalizer suffix -ton ${ }^{213}$ derives instrumental or locational nouns from verbs:
dzóntoy 'seat' ( $<d z o ́ n ~ ' t o ~ s i t ’) ~$
zotôn 'sleeping place’ (< ž̌'to sleep')
hæputón 'toy' (<hæpй'to play')
seŋсǽtol 'firewood cutter' (<Seך-¢x́ 'to cut firewood')
The nominalizer -toly can be analysed as a secondary nominalization (Yap et al. 2011:11) of which the lexical source still co-exists and which has a more restricted use than older nominalizers. The lexical source of -ton is a bound noun meaning 'house, nest, lair, pen', which forms the second part of the compounds in (389), and which also functions as a numeral classifier in a numeral-classifier compounds, as in (390).
letón 'wolf lair' (<ľ̌ 'wolf')
qWêtol 'cow pen' ( $<q W$ é 'cow')
Goŋtól $\quad$ 'pen' ( < hŏg 'enclosure')
(390) fú te-tól 'a coop of chickens'
$q w e ́ ~ t e-t o ́ n ~ ' a ~ p e n ~ o f ~ c o w s ' ~$

[^103]The nominalizer -tol also acts as a clausal nominalizer for instruments and location:

| tá = gá | míy | pù-tòy | dzà? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this = DEF | what | do-NMLZ | be |

'What is this one used for?' (CV16.31.2)

| pèjláa $=$ nóy | ná | tí-tòy=là | tióy | dión | wèy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chaff $=$ COORD | thus | put-NMLZ=also | one:CLF:thing | EXIST.AT | CUST.EXCL |

'(...) there is also (...) a place to put chaff and other things (...)' (PC03.17)

### 5.2.2 The purposive nominalizer -ji

The nominalizer suffix $-j i$ is is a purposive nominalizer, that can derive nouns such as dzáji 'food' and $t^{h}$ injií 'drink, beverage' (literally 'things for eating, things for drinking) in (393), but also purposive clauses, as in (394).

| é = gá | dzó-jí | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hì̀ }}$-jǐ | nǐy | nè-dzéj |  | ín |  | pù $=$ dù |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN}$ | eat-NMLZ | drink | 2sG | DOWN-1 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{do}=\mathrm{IPF}$ | FV |

'(...) what are you doing riding my food and drink?' (TC03.19)
(394)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { líçwèn } & \text { qù-jì }=\text { gæ̀ } & \text { tçá } \\ \text { shepherd.lunch } & \text { cook-NMLZ }=\text { GEN } & \text { water }\end{array}$
'(...) water for cooking the shepherd's lunch (...)' (CL02ed.18)
Purposive nominalized constituents often occur in a copular clause with the abstract existential verb č̌ (§7.6):

| (395) | képá | tì | tóy-jí $\quad$ cì $=$ qéj | bàw nǐy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| long.time | one | speak-NMLZ EXIST.AB $=$ EXPT | CONTR | INTJ |

'It will be a very long time to narrate (this story), mind you, (...)' (CV13.109)
(396) dò-tswéy-má $=$ gà $=$ bù dàbǔ t tcíy-jí mà $=$ či

TO.SP-pull-NMLZ $=$ DEF $=$ TOP then see-NMLZ NEG $=$ EXIST.AB
'The one who pulled had not seen that (...)' (KZ03.10)
(Lit. There was no seeing (by) the one who pulled (...)
The nominalizer $-j i$ is used in two deontic modality constructions that involve a nominalized complement described in §7.9.11 and §10.3.1.3.

### 5.2.3 The agentive/general nominalizer -ma

The nominalizer -mo, grammaticalizated from the form for 'person' mô, is the most frequently used nominalizer. As a derivational suffix, it is used for agentive nominalization (Comrie and Thompson 2007).

| jà-jí́n-má | pù | é $=$ sù? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| land-plow-NMLZ | do | $Q=$ vol:SG |

'Do (you) want to be a land-plower?' (CV11.7)
(398) โطญè-lí-mâ
yak-herd-nMLz
'yak herder' (YJ01.13)
 and mǐ ně-ď̌-mo in (400) both denote events and not persons.

| (399) | thóymá-bà $^{\text {den }}$ | tsú | é $=$ dé | $\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{d} \check{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{bu}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pǔmǐ-household:GEN |  | $\mathrm{Q}=$ capable | NEG $=$ capable $=$ TOP |
|  | $t^{\text {ch }}$ w wæ̀-tú-mò = bì |  | tù wèn |  |
|  | pig-slaughter-NMLZ $=$ D |  | look be.a |  |

'Whether or not the sons of the Pǔmǐ are capable one can see from the pig slaughter.' (CL01ed.13)
(400) mì
né-dù-mà = gà mà = é $=$ dzà $=$ bù
edible.fungus $\quad$ DOWN-poison-NMLZ $=\mathrm{DEF} \quad \mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=\mathrm{TOP}$
'(...) if not for the mushroom poisoning, (...)' (CV09.147)
The general nominalizer is used for clausal nominalization and is the major means of forming relative clauses in Pǔmǐ, as is illustrated in (401) and (402). Relative clause constructions will be discussed in §5.3.2. As such, it functions as a clitic rather than a suffix, since it follows the predicate complex and not just the main verb, as in (401), and in (402) the coordinated constituents are only marked once on the second verb.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (401) } \text { nə́ } & \text { pú }=q \grave{j}=\text { mə̀ }=\text { gæ̀ } & \text { mə́ } & \text { mǎ = dzə̀ } \\
\text { thus } & \text { do }=\mathrm{EXPT}=\mathrm{NMLZ}=\mathrm{GEN} & \text { person } & \text { NEG }=\text { be }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) (he) is not a person who would do (things) like this.' (CV07.16.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sky }=\text { on } \text { run }=\text { COORD fly }=\text { NMLZ }=I N D F=T O P \text { where EXIST. } A B=\text { EXPT }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(...) how could there be (...) ones that ran and flew (on horses) in the sky?' (CV13.95.2)

The nominalizer $=m ə$ is also used for predicate nominalization and functions in different nominalization constructions. This will be discussed in §8.6. One example is given in (403).

‘Older brother Pingma went the day before yesterday, (...)' (CV02.35.2)
The three functions of nominalization (modifying a noun within a noun phrase, allowing a constituent to function as a noun phrase within a clause, and [nonembedded] clausal nominalization) have been noted for many other languages in the area and have been referred to as 'Standard Sino-Tibetan Nominalization' (Bickel 1999b).

### 5.2.4 Definite marker as nominalizer

The use of definite markers in nominalization constructions is attested for TibetoBurman languages (Yap et al. 2011:16). As mentioned in Yap et al. (2011:17), Genetti (2009) mentions an individuating morpheme that encodes definiteness and functions as a nominalizer in Dzala. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the definite marker $=g ə$ ( §5.5) appears as a nominalizer in two contexts: after adjectives/stative verbs, ${ }^{214}$ as in (404) (but note that in (405) a similar phrase occurs after the general nominalizer $=m ə$ ), and in correlative constructions (discussed in §4.2.3), as in (406).

| gú = gá | kí | diòn | mà dzà? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| be.old=DEF | where | EXIST.AT | GNOMIC |

'Where is the old (school)?' (CV12.53)

```
gú-má = gà èl \({ }^{\text {ª̀ }}\) tsón = bú tsóy = dáw
old \(=\) NMLZ \(=\) DEF \(\quad\) a.little \(\quad\) have.flavour \(=T O P \quad\) have.flavour \(=I P F V: N . E G O\)
sì dàw.
EPIST:probably
```

'In terms of flavour, old (meat) maybe has a bit more flavour.' (CV21.179)
(406) ìy = dzǽy híy thè-thòy=gà híy dzá= gí

1. $\mathrm{INCL}=\mathrm{DU}$ who FR.SP-be.fast $=$ DEF who eat = vOL:INCL
'Whoever of us two is the fastest, he can eat (the porridge).' (KZ01.3)

### 5.3 Nominal modificatory constructions

Genitive constructions, relativization and nominalization are interrelated in many Tibeto-Burman languages (Matisoff 1972; DeLancey 1999, 2002; LaPolla 2008a, 2008b; Genetti 2011). In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, nominalized clauses can modify nouns pre-nominally in a genitive construction and post-nominally in an appositive relationship. In this section

[^104]I will first discuss genitive constructions (§5.3.1) and then pre-nominal and postnominal relative clauses (§5.3.2).

### 5.3.1 Genitive constructions

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, there are two constructions that mark possession: the first construction marks the possessor noun phrase with a genitive clitic $=(g) \nsubseteq$, the second construction simply adjoins two nouns with the possessor preceding the possessed.

The genitive clitic $=(g) \not($ has three different realizations: [æ], [a] or [gæ]. The first two realizations happen when merged with the vowel of a preceding word or clitic: [æ] when the preceding form ends in the central vowel /a/ or the high front vowels /i/ and / $/$ / (with the latter two the consonant is palatalised as well); [a] when the preceding form ends in the high central and back vowels $/ \mathfrak{u} /$ and $/ \mathbf{u} /$ (the (non-labial) consonant of the preceding form is often labialized). Merger always happens with the locational postpositions (\$4.6.3), the plural clitic $=\nLeftarrow$ and the collective plural marker $-b *$ (§5.4), as in (407), and with the forms listed in Table 5.1 (note that most of the forms are locational and temporal nouns). In all other environments the genitive clitic is realized as [gæ], as in (408). With the second person pronoun nĭg and the indefinite clitic $=t i$, both [æ] and [gæ] are possible.
(407) $q^{h} W a \quad$ 'on top of ( $<q^{h} u$ 'top')

$t a$-bă 'their household's' ( $<-b *$ 'household')
Table 5.1. List of words with genitive clitic merger

| Basic form | Genitive form | Meaning and reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ tin ${ }^{\text {jo }}$ | $p \hat{\# 1 n^{j}} \mathfrak{X}$ | 'today' (CV21.162) |
| zên'o | zên' ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 'yesterday' (CV22.40) |
| zêpt | ${ }_{\text {¢ }} \hat{p}^{j} \mathfrak{x}$ | 'last year' (CV21.140) |
| nusên | nus $\hat{\mathcal{x}}$ | 'morning' (CV24.15.2) |
| zêgi | zêgæ | 'behind, later' (CV21.150.2) |
| .$_{\text {[ttg }}{ }^{\text {h }}$ | .$_{\text {fttc }}{ }^{\text {háa }}$ | 'front, earlier' (PC07w.5) |
| $k^{h}{ }_{i}$ | $k^{h} \mathscr{X}$ | 'time' |
|  | $t e-m_{0}^{\prime} \check{\sim}$ | 'one night' (CV18.89) |
| $t \hat{e}-k u$ | $t \hat{p}-k w a$ | 'one year' (CV14.49) |
| líywu | líywa | 'Yǒngníng' (CV19.10) |
| wétu | wéta | 'Wadu' (PC02.1) |


| Basic form | Genitive form | Meaning and reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tcínmin | t¢ínm ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 'home' (CV21.307) |
| goŋdîn | gond ${ }^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}}$ | 'highland, Mùdǐqīng' (SN02.19) |
| môl ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | $m \hat{\partial}{ }^{j} \mathfrak{F}$ | 'Muli' (PC07w.1) |
| $u d^{j} u ́ w u$ | $u d^{j} u ́ w a$ | 'self' (CV09.146) |


$g w e \eta=g$ ǽ $\eta_{0}^{j} \check{u} \quad$ 'the horse's mouth' (PC02.13)
Dīng (1998:171) analyses a similar clitic in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ as a contraction of the internal topic marker $=g e$ (corresponding to the Wǎdū Pǔmǐ definite marker $=g ə$ ) and the genitive clitic. This analysis is not adopted for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, since there are many instances where the use of the definite marker $=g ə$ (§5.5) is not possible, but where $=g æ$ can be used. For example, the use of $=g ə$ with a first person singular pronoun is not possible, but $\dot{E}=g æ{ }^{\prime}$ 'my' is possible, as in (408). Also, the indefinite clitic $=t i$ and the definite $=g ə$ do not co-occur, but there is an example $p$ édi $=t i=g æ$ $\tau^{2} t t^{h}{ }_{1}^{\prime}$ 'the toad's front' (TC09.19), where the indefinite clitic is followed by the genitive clitic.

The apposition construction is much less frequent; it implies a closer relationship between possessor and possessed and marks inalienable nouns. It mostly occurs with first person singular pronouns in combination with kinship terms or body parts. There is, however, no hard distinction in Pǔmǐ between alienable and inalienable possession and in most cases both constructions can be used, as shown in (409) to (412). The tendency is that apposition is used when addressing or referring to ${ }^{215}$ relatives or friends.
(409) é èmá tçə̀ = fià...

1sG aunt say=LINK
'He said, "My aunt..." ' (CV21.361.3)
(410) é mə́ = łə̀ jèhǎ ə́-qhù tə́-zə́ kèj=sù

1 SG daughter $=\mathrm{PL}$ all that-top uP-come let=VOL:SG
'(...) (I) will let all my daughters come up there.' (TC02.54)

[^105](411) é = gǽ $\quad q^{h}$ éniæ̀
$1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN}$ mouth
'(...) my mouth.' (CV18.93)
(412) ní = gæ̀ mà

LOG $=$ GEN daughter
'(...) her own daughter.' (CV02.91)
Apart from this rule of thumb, the presence or absence of the genitive clitic seems to be driven by pragmatic factors and it is sometimes left out when the relationship is clear from the context, as in (413) and (414). ${ }^{216}$
é $\quad \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wǎ = wù làtsò = tí $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ว́-t $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ òn
1SG bowl=in finger = INDF oUT-appear
'(...) a finger turned up in my bowl (...)' (TC04.15)

1SG T:Tshe.ring up-under:GEN water.place=under DOWN-fall.down
mà dzà lǐ̌j
GNOMIC DISS
'(...) my (brother) Tshering fell down under the water place up there.'
(CV21.304.2)
Appositive genitive constructions also occur in so-called "psycho-collocations" (Matisoff 1986), constructions with a body part as the head of the NP, and the argument that fills the semantic role of experiencer in the clause as its possessor.
(415) é nỉ́ tswà mà $=q$ q́j

1SG eye able.to.see NEG=EXPT
'(...) my eyes will not be able to see (...)' (KZ03.27)
(416) tá pǐ thè-bí = qèj bàw

3SG belly FR.SP-explode $=$ EXPT $\quad$ CONTR
'(If he eats six pieces,) unfortunately his belly will explode.' (CV17.17)
Both possessive and attributive genitives are expressed by the same construction:

[^106](417) gwèn = gæ̀ án $_{\text {á }}$
horse $=$ GEN tooth
'(...) the horse’s teeth (...)' (PC02.9)
(418)

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { tswáy = gǽ } & \text { ţóy } \\ \text { Ch:brick = GEN } & \text { house }\end{array}$
'(...) brick house (...)' (PC03.4) ${ }^{217}$
Multiple genitive constructions can appear in a row, ${ }^{218}$ as in (419), even modifying a single noun, as in (420). Note the zero anaphor. Multiple constructions seem to happen frequently when a speaker is explaining an unknown entity, like in (420), where the topic is a type of food that was eaten in the past and that the addressee would not necessarily know.

| hí = gá | gòynà | dzùbù = gá | nú | nè-dzôy. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| god=GEN | back:GEN | wall=GEN | outside | DOWN-sit |

'(He) sat down outside of the wall behind the god.' (TC08.9)

| ìg = ¢ǽ | ə́-dẓ̧ | hòn-b ${ }^{\text {jax }}$ | lè̀póy = gx́ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:INCL = PL:GEN | there-location:GEN | in-on:GEN | hazel.catkins $=$ GEN |


'The flowers of our hazel bush up the valley would be mixed in with this hazel flower steamed food, (...)' (CV03.12.2)

Headless genitive constructions are extremely common, especially when the head is obvious from the context. In (421) a headless genitive construction occurs as an afterthought; the head $z \check{x}$ 'hand' appears in the preceding clause. In (422), the head noun 'eye' is clear from the context of the story.

| zæ̀ $=$ lá | nè-cǽ | kwèj $=$ sì | tcàw | mà, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hand =also | DOWN-cut | let:PFV:N.EGO = INF | HSY | INFO |

$$
\text { má = } \downarrow \text { Łæ̀ } \quad \text { wù }=\text { gá }
$$

person = PL:GEN tiger $=$ GEN
'(Hare) caused (his) hand be cut off, it is said, those people's tiger's (hand)' (KZ03.17)

[^107]| é $=$ gá $=$ là | q hò-\ı̀ | kéj | dzà |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN}=$ also | OUT-dig | let | be |

'(I) will let (you) dig out mine as well, okay?' (KZ03.23)
It is possible for a genitive phrase to follow the head noun it refers to, as in (423), but the more basic way is for the head noun to follow the genitive phrase, as described above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1:EXCL }=\text { PL provision four-CLF:day five-CLF:day =GEN DOWN-carry UP-go }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(...) we went carrying provisions for four or five days (...)' (YJ01.2)
When a noun is modified by a proper name referring to an ethnic group, the two cannot simply appear in a genitive construction. Instead, the collective plural marker -bt (§5.4) is used to which the genitive clitic is attached, as in (424). If $=g æ$ is used, it implies that the modified noun is in the possession of a certain person of that ethnic group.
 context it has to mean 'the husband of the Hàn (lady, who is known from the context)'. $\epsilon \check{e}-b a$ mot $_{0}{ }^{h}$ ól $\eta$ would be a correct way to refer to a 'Hàn man'. ${ }^{219}$

| t'óymá-bà | wù̧ว̌ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pǔmǐ-household:GEN | New.Year |

'(...) Pǔmǐ New Year (...)' (CL02ed.1)

this Hàn $=$ GEN male $=\mathrm{DEF}=$ TOP
'(...) this Hàn Chinese (lady's) husband (...)' (CV07.16.1)
The collective plural marker $-b t$ is also used in a genitive construction with a kinship term. ${ }^{220}$
(426) t̀̀-bǎ swǽy = nòy mâ

3-household:GEN father = COORD mother
'(...) her parents (...)' (CV14.5)
A special genitive construction is found with the word mêə $\not x$ that precedes the noun it modifies, and which literally means 'those people's/other people's' (this is similar to

[^108]the Chinese 人家 rénjiā＇someone，other person＇）．It is the genitive form of the word mâ．$\downarrow$＇those people，other people＇（from mồ＇person＇plus the plural clitic $=\not, \supsetneq$ ），which is often used to emphasize the difference between self and others，as in（427）．The other people are referred to as m̂̂əə or m仑̂dzæ ‘other（two）people’（see §5．4）and m̂̂əæ when used as a modifier．
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { person }=P L=T O P \text { packload } \mathrm{IN} \text {-be.enough }=\text { EXPT person }=\text { PL:GEN } 3=\mathrm{DU} \tag{427}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cut let 1:INCL=DU pick }=\text { TOP person=DU DOwn-tired very }=\text { EXPT }
\end{aligned}
$$

＇The other people will have enough luggage；let the two of them cut（trees） and the two of us pick，those two people will be very tired．＇（YJ02．25）
mâłæ seems to function as a polite demonstrative，as in（428－430）．The expression môzx mô＇that person＇in（428）is a set expression，and even though at this point in the story it is actually referring to＇daughter＇（which is also pronounced m$m \hat{\text { }}$ ），in this clause it can only be interpreted as＇person＇．mê．æ often occurs as a headless relative clause， as in（429）．

＇Then that person had no solution（．．．）＇（TC02．74）

| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {j}}$ ¢́ $=$ pàw | mə́ $=$ æ安 | nỉ̀－－¢én．．． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| РROH－do：IMP：SG | person＝PL：GEN | Down：Q－break |

＇Don＇t do it，if that（＝other people＇s）（thing）breaks（．．．）＇（CV04．45）
In（430）the speaker is referring to her own thigh，but modifying it with mô．ræ makes it more objective and thus more polite．

| （430） | èjǎ， | má $=$ łæ̀ | twéḑ̧̀ $=$ wù | thá |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | è－tsǒy |  |  |  |
| INTJ | person＝PL：GEN | thigh $=$ in | foot | IN－kick |

＇Ow！Kicking other people＇s thigh，（．．．）＇（CV01．57．2）
When a head noun is temporal，the modifier is always linked by the form $={ }_{\ell} æ$ ，which looks like a fusion of the plural clitic with the genitive marker，as in（431）．${ }^{221}$

[^109]Interestingly, when the modifier is a verbal constituent, as in (432) and (433), $=. \chi^{x}$ directly follows the modifier without an intervening nominalizer. The use of a nominalizer is not possible in this construction. Normally, the nominalizer $=m ə$ is used with a verbal modifier, as in (434-435). Note that in (432) to (433) no clear plurality is involved, whereas in (434) and (435) a plural meaning is present.
wùcə̀ $=$ Łǽ mí
New.Year = PL:GEN night
'(...) the night of New Year (...)' (CL02ed.11)

'On the day of the pig slaughter (...)' (CL01ed.23)

| é-bù | nú | kh̀̀-thíy $=$ łæ̀ $^{\text {té-nón }=\text { bù }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-household | salt.water | out-drink $=$ PL:GEN | one-CLF: day $=$ TOP |

'(...) on the day that our household has drunk salt water (...)' (TC03.7)
Łwè-lí-mə = ұæ̀ Łwé
yak-herd-NMLZ $=$ PL:GEN yak
'(...) yak herders' yak (...)' (YJ01.13)
má = ¡æ̀ tú = mà = ¡æ̀ záw = bì
person $=$ PL:GEN $\quad$ look $=$ NMLZ $=$ PL:GEN $\quad$ face $=o n$
'(...) on the faces of those watching (...)' (TC09.57)
There are some examples in which the genitive marker directly follows a verbal modifier without a nominalizer, as in (436-439). (436) is an example of a stative verb as modifier. In constructions like this a nominalizer can be used or left out. In (437) no nominalizer can be used; it seems that with the abstract head noun dwilón 'custom' a nominalizer is never used. The same is true for the abstract head noun tíndwi 'luck, blessing' (<Tibetan rten. 'brel) in (438). This example comes from a ritual blessing. Example (439) was judged bad phrasing by my main consultant, and he rephrased it by inserting the nominalizer $=m ə$ after $=d a w$ and before the genitive marker. (438) and (439) are the only two examples where the genitive marker links a finite clause (i.e. a clause with post-verbal evidential marking) to a head noun. Note that both structures are possible in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998).

[^110](436)
dzwá = gá bì
comfortable $=$ GEN side
'(...) the comfortable side (...)' (KZ03.23)
(437)

'(...) there is a custom that the ones who slaughtered the pigs can eat roasted meat.' (CL01ed.21)

|  | tó-péj $=$ sì $=$ g ¢ | tíydwí | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hjo -tç }}{ }^{\text {h }}$ òy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T:ocean | UP-bubble $=$ INF $=$ GEN | T:luck | OUT-appear |

'May you be blessed with a blessing like the foaming ocean waves.' (TC12.9.1)
zèmí má dè̀èj tóy mà = dáw = gá ná "wû wû"
tonight person speech speak NEG=IPFV:N.EGO = GEN thus INTJ INTJ
tçà = dàw
say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) this person who does not speak tonight, but called out 'Wu!' (...)'
(YJ01.28)

### 5.3.2 Relative clause constructions

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ relative clauses are formed with a nominalized verb, and all or part of the relative clause can be placed either before or after the head noun. The part of the relative clause that is placed before the head noun is marked by the genitive $=g æ$. The resulting structures then are: all prenominal and marked by genitive, (440) and (441); all postnominal and apposed, (442), (443) and (444); split into prenominal and postnominal components with the prenominal part marked by genitive, (444), (445) and (446). ${ }^{223}$ Prenominal relative clauses seem to be restrictive; postnominal relative clauses can be either restrictive or non-restrictive. ${ }^{224}$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ná } & \text { pú }=\mathrm{q} \grave{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{m} ̀=\mathrm{g} \text { g̀ } & \text { mə́ }  \tag{440}\\
\text { thus } & \mathrm{do}=\mathrm{EXPT}=\mathrm{NMLZ}=\text { GEN } & \text { person }
\end{array}
$$

'(He is not) a person who would do (things) like this (...)' (CV07.16.2)

[^111]
Qiaresha $\quad$ say $=$ NMLZ $=$ GEN $\quad$ shack $=$ in
'(...) in a shack in a place called Qiaresha (...)' (YJ02.24)

(type.of.plant) mouth $=$ in $\quad$ oUT-left $=$ NMLZ $=\mathrm{DEF}=$ TOP
'The tontsipauljau that was left in our mouths (...)' (CV03.12.4)
(443) èmá [nǽn gù] = mò = tì = là khò-tç hón
aunt skirt wear $=$ NMLZ $=I N D F=$ also
'(...) an aunt wearing a skirt also came over, (...)' (CV09.54.1)
(444)

nc̀j-són = nòy $\quad\left[t^{\text {h}} \mathrm{e}\right.$-dzú $=$ mà $] \quad$ d $̀-z$ zâ,
milk-clean $=$ COORD $\quad$ FR.SP-make $=$ NMLZ $\quad$ TO.SP-carry
lícwèn
[è-qú = mà] dò-zâ.
shepherd.lunch $\quad$ IN-cook $=$ NMLZ $\quad$ TO.SP-carry
'(...) one carries the fragrant flour, the clean barley alcohol and the clean
milk, that were prepared the night before, and one carries the shepherd's
lunch that was cooked (...)' (CL02ed.21)
(445)

$\begin{array}{lll}{[\text { fǒy }=\text { pà }]} & \text { wúzò } & {[\text { [è-tæ̀ }=\text { má }]=\text { góy }} \\ \text { pen }=\text { under:GEN } & \text { ox } & \text { IN-imprison }=\text { NMLZ }=\text { AGT }\end{array}$
'(...) the ox for plowing that was shut up in the animal pen (...)' (PC04.1.2)
(446) [té-kù qúnò̀̀-zì = gæ̀ ] tç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ [è-lćj $=$ mə̀] tçínmín
one-CLF:year twelve-CLF:month = GEN crops $\operatorname{IN}$-sow = NMLZ home
dò-tà wêp
TO.SP-arrive CUST.EXCL
'(...) the crops, which have been sown during the twelve months of the year, will all be at home, (...)' (CL02ed.3)

Example (447) is slightly more complicated with the doubly modified head noun n $n \check{\varepsilon}$ 'milk ${ }^{2255}$ functioning as a modifier to the doubly modified head noun $m \hat{u}$ 'butter'.

[^112]$$
[d \grave{-t s} \text { - }=\text { mə́ }]=\text { gæ̀ }]] \quad \text { tá } \quad \text { mú } \quad\left[t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{è}-\mathrm{dzú}=\text { mə̀ }\right]
$$
$$
\text { TO.SP-syphon }=\text { NMLZ }=\text { GEN } \text { this butter } \quad \text { FR.SP-make }=\text { NMLZ }
$$
dàbǔ á-wù nè-dî
then that-in IN -throw
'Then put in butter that has been made from our highland yak's milk, that has been milked.' (PC01.5)

Headless relative clauses are very common, especially when they refer to animate referents, as in (448), or when the head noun is identifiable from the discourse context, as in (449) and (450).

pine.torch collect $\mathrm{go}=$ nMLZ EXIST.AB $\mathrm{Q}=$ EXPT
'Will there be people that go pine torch collecting?' (CV14.241)
(449)
nè-bí = mâ, nè-sə́ş̀ = mò.
DOWN-fall.down $=$ NMLZ DOWN-scatter $=$ NMLZ
'Ones that have fallen down, ones that have scattered.' (CV13.39.5)
bú = má = gə̀ kí thè-dì Ş̀j?
bright $=$ NMLZ $=$ DEF where FR.SP-throw go:PFV:N.EGO
'Where did you throw the bright one?' (CV11.82)
A functional equivalent of a relative clause is the correlative structure. This structure is shown in §4.2.3.

### 5.3.3 NP limiter

When an NP is modified by the morpheme $=n^{j} \nsupseteq n^{j} \not x$, it limits the scope to 'NP only'. Two examples are given in (451) and (452).

| (451) | mó |  | dzú | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hón }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | person | one:CLF:thing $=$ only $=$ TOP | grind | can:N.EGO |
|  | mà = dáw | bâw. |  |  |
|  | NEG $=$ IPFV | :N.EGO CONTR |  |  |

‘One person only cannot grind it.' (CV21.244.2)
correspondences (Gerong Pincuo, MS). Additionally, milk and butter play a central role in Pǔmǐ culture and therefore one would not expect that the term is borrowed from Chinese.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - PL.GEN this highland }=\text { on:GEN yak }=\text { GEN then milk }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
(452) má=nòy mó=g\grave{ = bù n thé ní= dzæ̀y= niæ̀nj`æ}
    mother = COORD daughter = DEF = TOP all.the.time LOG=DU =only
    tà dzâ.
    only be
    'All along, it was only the mother and daughter, the two of them.' (TC08.2)
```


### 5.4 Number

Number can be expressed by the dual and plural clitics $=d z æ \eta$ and $=. \downarrow$ that attach to the end of a noun phrase. Pronouns are obligatorily marked for number, both dual and plural (§4.2). Inanimate nouns are not always marked for number, as in (453), and when not marked, the natural reading depends on the semantics of the noun. The quantifier jèhă 'all', the question word mîp 'what', and tèň̌ 'other' can be marked for plural.

```
(453) gwèy= gǽ \{ú
    horse = GEN tooth
```

'(...) the horse's teeth (...)' (PC02.9)
The dual clitic $=d z æ \eta$ is much more limited in its occurrence than the plural clitic $=\imath^{\rho}$ and only occurs with animate referents, usually human referents, as in (454), but also other animates, as in (455). ${ }^{226}$ The dual clitic $=d z æ \eta$ follows a nominalized phrase in (456).
(454) tá tçìy = dzôn
this child = DU
'(...) these two children (...)’ (CV21.217)

INTJ unlucky pig=DU INTJ
'Oh shoo! Unlucky pigs! Oh shoo! (...)' (CV18.83)
(456) t̀̀-bǎ tì káw ఢə̀-mə̀=dzæ̀

3-household:GEN mule steal go-NMLZ=DU
'(...) the two who went to steal that household's mule (...)' (KZ03.7)

[^113]The plural clitic $=\ell^{227}$ has a much wider distribution．Apart from its occurrence with animate referents，as in（457），（458）and（459），it also occurs with inanimates．
（457）káw＝ґə̀ 方 nè－dzôy
uncle（MB）$=$ PL $\quad$ first $\quad$ DOWN－sit
＇The uncles sit down first（．．．）＇（CV21．43）
（458）tsàzà＝ґる́ fiǒy＝pù k ${ }^{\text {hà }}-h^{i} \hat{\varepsilon} j$
fattened．pig $=$ PL pen $=$ under out－release
＇（．．．）they release the fattened pigs from the pen（．．．）＇（CLO1ed．12）

host－household：AGT pig slaughter help come $=$ NMLZ $=$ PL $=$ DAT

ale $=$ COORD liquor $=$ COORD this $=\mathrm{PL}=$ TOP drink let CUST．EXCL
＇（．．．）the host family will let the people who come to help pig－slaughtering drink ale，liquor and such，（．．．）＇（CL01ed．11）

When marking inanimates，the plural clitic expresses the meaning that a lot of people are involved in conducting an action，as in（460）and（461），or that it is a whole range of things，as in（462）and（463）．

＇（．．．）the old men drank all the liquor together（．．．）＇（SN02．14）
dzàpú＝孔る́ dú＝wù nè－kû
bucket $=$ PL $\quad$ back $=$ in $\quad$ Down－carry
＇（．．．）（a lot of people）carried buckets on their backs（．．．）’（CV21．307．2）
（462）


```
pig slaughter-NMLZ \(=\) PL:AGT make-NMLZ \(=\) PL make be.finished
\(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{1}=\mathrm{bù}\)
time \(=\) TOP
```

＇When the pig－slaughters are finished with all the things that need doing，（．．．）＇ （CL01ed．24）

[^114](463)

'(...) everything that is delicious to eat will be taken out and eaten, (...)'
(CL02ed.10)
The plural clitic also appears in several constructions described in §10.7.
Pǔmǐ also has a collective plural marker: the bound noun or suffix - $b \neq$ 'household'. ${ }^{228}$ The status of this marker lies between that of a noun and a suffix. Its noun-like characteristics are seen in (464) and (465) where its original meaning 'household' is still present. In (464) it could be analysed as the second part of a nominal compound (of which the first part usually denotes households, villages or countries ${ }^{229}$ ). In (465) however, one could argue either for noun or suffix status. Pronouns do not normally form compounds with other nouns. As described in $\S 5.3 .1$, it is possible for a pronoun and a noun to appear in a appositive genitive construction without a genitive marker, especially with kinship and body terms, but usually the genitive marker can still be inserted (as examples (409) to (412) above show). This is not possible in the examples in (465).

| (464) | $t^{h}$ '́nmo-bt | 'the Pǔmǐ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ekâw-bu | 'uncle's household' |
|  | zăzx-bu | 'the Zjaezjae household' |
| (465) | $\hat{\mathcal{E}}-\mathrm{b} \#$ | 'our household' |
|  | niŋ-bt | 'your household' |
|  | $t \hat{\partial}$-bt | 'their household' |
|  | $h i ̂ l-b *$ | 'whose household?' |

Arguments for analysing $-b \not t$ as a suffix rather than a regular noun are that the morpheme is toneless and clitics like the genitive $=(g) \notin$ and the agentive $=(g) \circ \eta(n i)$ always fuse with it, as in (466) and (467); it occurs in the same slot as the plural marker $=\downarrow 2$, as in (468) $)^{230}$ and (469); the inclusive pronoun ip- which never occurs by itself, but is always followed by either the dual or the plural clitic, can be followed by -bt as well, as in (470).

[^115](466)
thónmá-bà
dwílóy
Pumi-household:GEN custom
'(...) Pǔmǐ household’s custom (...)' (CL03ed.19)
(467) dàpú-bóņì
host-household:AGT
'(...) the host family (...)' (CL01ed.11)
(468) thè-ñ́ŋク-mò-bù

FR.SP-slow-NMLZ-household
'(...) the household that was slow (...)' (SN02.22)
(469) tá gùdóy-tsón dzù-mə̀ = łə̀
this stone-house make-NMLZ $=$ PL
'(...) the people who build stone houses (...)' (PC03.18)
(470)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ìg-bú } & \text { thónmá-bù }_{\text {hour }} \\ \text { 1:INCL-household } & \text { Pumi-household }\end{array}$
'we Pǔmǐ' (TC04.16)
An interesting occurrence is given in example (471) where - $b t$ follows the numeralclassifier compound $t e-q \hat{e}$ 'one household'. If $-b \notin$ had been a regular noun, it would have preceded the numeral-classifier compound (as the word pón in the second phrase). -bt does not occur after any other numeral-classifier compound.

```
(471) té-qè-bù = bù, póy té-qè = bù,
    one-CLF:household-household=TOP T:official one-clF:household=TOP
    tsú sòn-pèjkwé\eta bòn.
    son three-ClF:brother EXIST.POSS
```

'(...) there was a household, there was an official's household who had three sons.' (TC09.1)

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ also has a partitive paucal suffix -sen that only occurs after the collective plural marker -bt. It expresses a smaller subgroup of the household. In (472) the absence of -sel means that the whole household went; the presence of -sen in (473) means that only several of the people belonging to the household went. The number of people included in the subset can be specified by adding a numeral-classifier compound in apposition, as in (474).
(472) é-bù nú kh̀̀- ¢à

1-household outside out-go
‘(...) our household went outside (...)’ (TC02.39)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (473) } & \text { è-bù-sěy } & k^{\text {hò-¢ə̀ }} \\ & \text { 1-household-PART } & \text { OUT-go }\end{array}$
'(...) several of our household went (...)' (YJ01.40)
(474)

| è-bù-sěg | ŋwé-tsò |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-household-PART | five-clf:people | OUT-go = PFV:EGO |

'Of our household five people went.' (YJ01.38)
It is possible that -sey derives from sǒy 'three'. In one occurrence in a conversation, a speaker (a woman in her fifties) actually uses the form nij-bt̂-Sol instead of nij-busěn. ${ }^{231}$

A morpheme that denotes a related idea of village group or clan, is the toneless bound noun - $p i$ 'clan'. It follows proper names (of clans or villages) and denotes a group of people from that village or clan, as in (475). ${ }^{232}$ The bound noun -pi can be followed by the plural marker, as in (476), but not by other number clitics.
(475) tá zé-qè = gà = bù, qwánjín-pì dzà
this four-CLF:household = DEF = TOP Qwaenjin-clan be
'These four households belong to the Qwaenjin clan.' (CV21.49.1)

Tuoqi-clan = PL:GEN girl
'(...) a girl from Tuōqī (...)' (PC04w.1.4)

### 5.5 Definiteness

Definiteness is marked by the definite clitic $=g ə$ and indefiniteness by the indefinite clitic $=t i$ which derives from the numeral $t i{ }^{\prime}$ one'. The markers cannot co-occur. $=g o$ and $=t i$ mark animate as well as inanimate referents and can mark multiple referents at the same time with scope over all.

[^116]The marker $=g ə$ marks the referent as an identifiable entity. In addition, it has a singulative meaning, and can be used with multiple referents, presenting them as a single identifiable unit, as in (477). In (478) the whole village is acting as one body.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (477) } & \text { cí }=\text { nò } & \text { l } \check{\imath} j=\text { gò } \\
& \text { louse }=\text { COORD } & \text { flea = DEF }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) the louse and the flea (...)' (KZ01.2)

'(...) the village goes to burn incense on the holy mountain (...)' (CL02ed.21)
The clitic $=t i$ marks a referent that is not identifiable. When $=t i$ follows a count noun it marks the noun as indefinite. It can mark multiple referents, as in (479). When it follows a mass noun, it has the meaning of 'some, a little bit', as in (480). Indefinite marking cannot co-occur with numeral-classifier constructions.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (479) } & \text { ¢étş'̧́tsèj = nòy } & \text { Cèbóy = nóy } & \text { pì̀̀kú = tí } \\
\text { Hàn.Chinese.plum = COORD } & \text { peach = COORD } & \text { Ch:apple = INDF }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) some Han Chinese plums, peaches and apples.' (CV09.106)

'(...) drink some hot water (...)' (PC04w.2.2)
The contrast between $=t i$ and $=g ə$ can be clearly seen from the following two examples:

| tç ${ }_{\text {hre }}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{\text {cte }} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wà}=$ tí | dz | kú | mà $=q$ q́j |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| food | four-CLF: bowl = INDF | eat | can:Ego:2sg | $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{EXPT}$ |
|  | 't be able to eat |  | rice.' | 11.27 |


'You won't be able to eat those four bowls of rice (listener knows which bowls are meant).' (CV11.27EL)

Because of its singulative meaning, $=g ə$ cannot co-occur with the plural marker $=\imath ə$. $=\ell^{\circ}$ however, is not specified for definiteness, but can occur with both definite and indefinite plural entities. As stated above, $=g ə$ and $=t i$ cannot co-occur. The marker $=t i$ marks something as indefinite, but is not specified for number. Thus $=\downarrow$ and $=t i$ can be used for the same referents, depending on whether the focus is on the number or on the indefiniteness of the referent. This can be schematized as in Figure 5.1.

Figure 5.1. Definite and indefinite

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Definite | $=g ə$ | $=\imath \partial$ |
| Indefinite | $=t i$ |  |
|  | $=t$ |  |

Semantic role markers and discourse markers follow definite marking, as in (483).
(483) màdæ̀ $l i ́=~ g a ́=b i ̀=b u ̀ ~$
girl $=$ DEF $=$ DAT $=$ TOP
'(...) to the little girl (...)' (YJ01.60)
The definite marker $=g ə$ can act as a nominalizer for stative verbs and in correlative constructions, as discussed in §5.2.4.

In discourse, the indefinite and definite markers have the following function: $=t i$ is used to introduce new referents; = $g ə$ is used when a referent is identifiable. Thus in many stories, the first time a referent is mentioned it will be marked with the indefinite $=t i$; after that it will be marked with the definite $=g$ 2. Example (484) shows the first and second line of the story of the louse and the flea.

'A long, long time ago, a louse and a flea became a family, it is said. And when (that happened) (...)' (KZ01.1)
dàbǔ, $[$ cí $=$ nòn $\quad$ lćj] $]=$ gà $=$ bù dàbǔ
then louse $=$ COORD flea $=\mathrm{DEF}=$ TOP then
'the louse and the flea (...)' (KZ01.2)
In the rest of the story, all occurrences of the louse and the flea are marked as identifiable.

### 5.6 Structure of the noun phrase

The structure of the noun phrase can be summarized as in Figure 5.2. A relative clause, a genitive phrase and a demonstrative can all precede the head noun; all other elements follow the head noun (SRM stands for semantic role marker; DCM stands for discourse marker).

Figure 5.2. The noun phrase structure


Apart from the clitics, each of the elements can constitute a noun phrase by itself, although an adjectivally-used stative verb needs to be nominalized by the definite marker before it can constitute a noun phrase (see §5.2.4).

All combinations are possible, provided they follow the order set out in Figure 5.2, apart from the following exceptions: the indefinite marker cannot co-occur with a demonstrative or a numeral-classifier construction, and the number and definite clitics do not co-occur.

It is very common for a head noun to be left out when it is clear from the context. In example (485), two nouns are left out.

| (485) | jǎw | zégæ̀ | $\emptyset=$ ¡æ | $\emptyset$ | tì-dž | tó-tct ${ }^{\text {hí }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | again | behind:GEN | $\emptyset=$ PL:GEN | $\emptyset$ | up-direction | UP-feed |
|  | nè-ts ${ }^{\text {há }}$ |  | í = nò = bù |  |  |  |
|  | DOWN-b | .finished | me $=$ only $=$ T |  |  |  |

'But only after (the libation) to the later (people) upwards is finished (...)' (CV24.39.5)

The following examples illustrate the different constituents in a noun phrase.
Relative clause + Noun:
(486) ná $\quad \mathrm{p} \dot{\text { ú }}=\mathrm{q}$ c̀j $=\mathrm{m} \grave{=}=\mathrm{g} \grave{\text { æ̀ }} \quad$ má
thus $d o=E X P T=N M L Z=G E N$ person
'(...) a person who would do (things) like this (...)' (CV07.16.2)
Demonstrative + Noun + Adjective + DEF:
(487) tá bùť́j $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathfrak{\text { æ̀ }}=$ gá
this snake black= DEF
'(...) this black snake (...)' (TC02.47)
Genitive phrase + Noun + NUM-CLF:

Tuōqī-clan = PL:GEN girl one-CLF:person
'(...) a girl from Tuōqī (...)' (PC04w.1.4)
Genitive phrase + Demonstrative + Noun:
(489)
é = gá tá dzǒy
$1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN}$ this bridge
'(...) this bridge of mine (...)' (TC06.18)
NUM-CLF + INDF:
(490) t tí-nò̀ = tì
one-CLF:day = INDF
'One day (...)' (CV02.46)
Genitive phrase + Noun + NUM-CLF + DEF:
(491) wútçí=gæ̀ tçúkwǽ-th̀̀ té-tæ̀=gə̀

Ch:Wujin = GEN melon-seed one-ClF:handful = DEF
'Wujin's handful of melon seeds (...)' (CV02.85)
Example (492) has two NPs: the first consisting of a [Demonstrative + Genitive] phrase + Noun + DEF, and the second consisting of an Adjective + NUM-CLF:

this Han = GEN male $=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{TOP}$ tough one:CLF:thing be HSY
'(...) this Han Chinese (lady's) husband is a handsome one, it is said.'
(CV07.16.1)
The demonstrative normally precedes the noun. Example (493) seems to show an exception with the demonstrative following the noun, but this should be analysed as an apposition, 'the little girl, this tiny one'. Apposition of different elements to a noun is quite common in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, and is also reported for Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:42) and Kham (Watters 2002:196). In (494) a numeral classifier phrase is in apposition with another noun phrase; in (495) a pronoun is in apposition with a coordinate NP.

girl-DIM this tiny $=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{TOP}$
'(...) this tiny little girl (...)' (YJ01.45)
(494) [tá tsæ̀nlù] [tá tè-bǽ=gá] tì = dàw
this Ch:Tibetan.stove this one-CLF:kind= DEF put=IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) (they all) put in (...) this kind of Tibetan stove.' (PC03.20)

| [ $\mathrm{ní}=\mathrm{dz}$ ¢ y ] $]$ | [pèj $=$ nón | kwèn = nóy] | tétèj pù |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOG $=$ DU | older.sibling $=$ COORD | younger.sibling = COORD | together do |
| è-tct ${ }^{\text {hón }}$ | má t ch $^{\text {hon }}$ fià |  |  |
| IN-come:PFV | EGO NMLZ.CONSTR |  |  |

'(...) the two of them, older and younger brother came back together.' (CV02.35.2)

The order of the demonstrative does show some variation. (496) shows the regular order of a demonstrative following a genitive phrase. But (497) in the next line of the story shows the demonstrative preceding the genitive phrase. It does not seem to make any difference in meaning, but my main consultant's intuition is that the order in (496) is more natural. See also examples (489) and (492) above.

'(...) this (man...) woman of those people, (...)' (TC02.70)
(497)

| tə | mə = Łæ | mədæ $=$ bu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this | person= PL:GEN | woman $=$ TOP |

'(...) this people’s woman, (...)’ (TC02.71)

### 5.7 Noun phrase coordination

Noun phrases in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are conjoined through the use of the coordinator =non, as in (498). This coordinator can also be used for conjoining verb phrases and clauses (§10.1). Conjunctive or disjunctive readings are obtained from the discourse. An example of disjunction is given in (499).

| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {há }}=$ nón | zǎ | tì-jí | mà $=$ द̌̌ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| foot $=$ COORD | hand | put-NMLZ | NEG $=$ EXIST.AB |

'(One's) foot and hand have no (time) to rest.' (CV21.285.2)
(499) nǐy $\epsilon \mathrm{Wè}=$ nóy gá=gà jǎw zóy mà = zón tcǎ

INTJ eight $=$ COORD nine $=$ DEF again delicious NEG $=$ delicious say
'Mind you, (having killed) eight or nine (fattened pigs) you still talk about whether or not it is tasty.' (CV21.273.1)

The coordinator $=n o \eta$ is an enclitic. This is very clear from the fact that the coordinator can follow an NP and forms a phonological unit with the preceding constituent. Speakers will often pause after $=n o \eta$. Thus, $A=n o \eta, B$ and not $A$, non $=B$. In addition, the slightly less frequent structure $A=$ non $B=$ non ' A and B ' which is also attested in the corpus, as in (495) above, has two coordinators following and not preceding both constituents. The structure $A B=n o \eta$ has not been attested.

Conjoined constituents usually function as one argument in that they only take one set of number, definiteness, semantic role or discourse clitics, as in (500), and (499) above.

| (500) | [púzàw = nòn | $\mathrm{q}^{\text {h }}$ ètí] = gò̀ | $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{æ}^{\text {-h }}{ }^{\text {j}}{ }^{\text {a }}=\mathrm{bú}$ | $t_{6}{ }^{\text {h }}$ wí |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | axe.handle $=$ COORD | certain.thing $=$ INS | DOWN:Q-beat $=$ TOP | be.good |
|  | zıù = dáw | mà. |  |  |
|  | very $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | INFO |  |  |

'If one beats (it) with an axe handle or something else, it is very good.'
(CV13.34.1)

### 5.7.1 Associative constructions

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has multiple associative constructions that link some unexpressed concepts or referents to an overtly expressed salient referent. The expressed and unexpressed referents form a conceptual group that is contextually or culturally linked. These associative strategies encode vagueness or non-specificity. By using these strategies, a speaker does not have to specify every individual referent. ${ }^{233}$

The construction $X=$ noŋ tó $=\nprec$ expresses the idea of 'X and company', 'X et al.' or 'X etcetera', and is formed by the coordinator =nog 'and', the proximal demonstrative tó 'this' and the plural clitic $=\neq \prec$. X is a (proper) noun denoting animate (501), inanimate (502) or even abstract (503) referents. The construction denotes a group of referents that is linked conceptually to a salient referent. ${ }^{234}$ What is linked depends on the context, and can often be a culturally defined conceptual space.

| (501) | káw $=$ nò |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | uncle $(\mathrm{MB})=$ COORD $=$ ł |
|  | this $=$ PL |

'(...) uncle and the others (...)' (YJ02.24)

'(...) (we collected) firewood, tree trunks etcetera (...)' (YJ01.49)

[^117] letter study $=$ COORD this $=P L=T O P$ then a.little.bit $=$ also one do
mí $=$ tsé $=$ sì
PFV:NEG $=$ N.CONTR $=\mathrm{INF}$
'(...) (we) didn't even (attach) a little bit (of importance to) studying knowledge.' (CV12.40.1)

The whole construction can also appear with the genitive clitic attached to it modifying a head, as in (504), where the horse has been bought by Bajin and some others to use for trade.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (504) tá } & \text { gwèn }=\text { gá } & \text { pàtçí }=\text { nóy } & \text { tà }=\text { Łæ̌ } & \text { dzà } \\ \text { this } & \text { horse }=\text { DEF } & \text { Ch:Bajin = COORD } & 3=\text { PL:GEN } & \text { be }\end{array}$
'This horse is Bajin and co's.' (EL:S11.8.19)
Another construction $X=$ noŋ nó, ' X and such', ' X and something similar' with $=$ noŋ 'and' and the manner demonstrative ná like this' (§4.6.1), denotes actions conceptually linked to a salient action, as in (505).
jæ̀jù $\quad$ tséj = nòn
Ch:potato $\quad$ kash $=$ COORD $=$ bù,
thus $\quad$ time $=$ TOP

It can also refer to entities, especially when followed by the indefinite marker $=t i$. The
 latter needs to include X and maybe some other similar things, but $X=n o \eta n \delta=t i$ does not need to include $X$; it can also be just something similar to $X$. Note in (506) that the agentive marker $=(g) o \eta$ cliticizes to the end of the construction.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (506) púzàw = nòy ná= tí= gòn niæ̀-hì̀ = bû } \\
& \text { axe.handle }=\text { COORD thus }=\text { INDF }=\text { INS DOWN: } \mathrm{Q} \text {-beat }=\text { TOP }
\end{aligned}
$$

'If you beat it with an axe handle or something similar, (...)' (CV13.36)
The construction can also be shortened to $X=n o \eta$, as in (507):

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { (507) tç ì } & \text { wé = nóy } & \text { qú } & q^{h} \hat{u}=\text { dàw } & \text { mà dzà } \\
\text { fià } \\
\text { food } & \text { prepare = COORD } & \text { help } & \text { need = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { GNOMIC }
\end{array} \text { LINK }
$$

With nouns or noun phrases denoting location or time (including locational postpositions), a construction $X$ to $={ }_{.}$' 'those places, those times' is used. Note that in this construction the plural-marked demonstrative tó is in the genitive form:
(508) dzédòy tà = ̧æ̀ = là káw=là ḉ mí= thóy = sì.

Zhongdian this = PL:GEN = also uncle $(\mathrm{MB})=$ also go $\mathrm{NEG}:$ PFV $=$ can: $\operatorname{N.EGO}=\mathrm{INF}$
'Uncle has not gone to Zhōngdiàn those places.' (CV13.23)
(509) ə́-khì tò = ̧æ̀
that-time this = PL:GEN
'In those times (...)' (PC03.13)

### 5.8 Conclusion

This chapter discussed the internal structure of the noun and the noun phrase. Nominal morphology in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ includes compounding, reduplication, and affixation. As in many languages in the area, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has several nominalizers, which are used for forming several types of nominals and play important functions in relative clauses, complementation and predicate nominalization constructions. Number and definiteness are expressed in the noun phrase, which has a relatively fixed order apart from the demonstrative that shows some positional variation. Associative constructions, by means of noun phrase coordination, are frequently used by speakers to avoid being too specific in talking about referents: these constructions link a group of unexpressed referents to a salient overtly-mentioned referent.

## Chapter 6.

## Noun phrase marking

This chapter discusses the marking of noun phrases by various semantic role markers, intensifiers and discourse markers. Their relative order is illustrated in §6.1. Semantic role markers are discussed in $\S 6.2$. The question of transitivity is dealt with in $\S 6.3$ and the marking of semantic role and causation is treated in §6.4. Discourse markers and intensifiers are discussed in §6.5.

### 6.1 Relative order of markers

Noun phrases are marked by different clitics. Three position classes can be established: the first is semantic role marking clitics (§6.2). These markers can generally be followed by the forms in the second and third position classes. The second position class consists mostly of intensifiers (§6.5.1-§6.5.5). These can generally be followed by the topic marker $=b u .^{235}$ Additionally, = la can be followed by $=t \varphi \partial m \rho$, and $=d p$ has been attested followed by the contrastive topic marker $=s 0$. The third position class consists of the topic and focus markers (§6.5.6-§6.5.11): they are generally not followed by anything. Exceptions are the limiting topic marker $=\epsilon i$ ( (§6.5.11) which can be followed by several topic markers, and the topic marker $=b u$ itself, which is occasionally followed by $=$ tcəmə in the idiolect of two speakers (§6.5.10).

Table 6.1 shows a list of the noun phrase markers, their positions and the functions they have. The genitive marker, and the locative uses of several postpositions will not be discussed in this chapter; they have been discussed in $\S 5.3 .1$ and $\S 4.6 .3$ respectively. Sequences of markers are fairly infrequent, and not all possible combinations are attested in the corpus. The most common occurrence is an agentive marker followed by an intensifier or a discourse marker. Sequences of up to three markers have been attested in the corpus. Two examples with markers from each position class are given in (510) and (511) to illustrate their order.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { dàbǔ } & \text { gàzú }=\text { gó }=\text { là }=\text { bù } & \text { thé } & \text { "nè-sè }=\text { gí." } \\ \text { then } & \text { middle }=\text { AGT }=\text { also }=\text { TOP } & \text { all.the.time } & \text { DOWN-hit }=\text { vOL:INCL }\end{array}$
'Then the middle (brother) also (supported him, saying): "Let's kill (it)." ' (TC02.11)

[^118]
'(She) would pull a bit (of firewood) here and a bit there (...) for the children.' (CV09.6.2)

Table 6.1 Semantic role and discourse markers

| $1^{\text {st }}$ position |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ position |  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ position |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & =n i \\ & =(g) o n(n i) \end{aligned}$ | instrumental; agentive | $=1 a$ | 'also' | $=b u$ | general <br> topic |
| $=b i$ | locative (spatial, temporal); dative (source, goal, recipient, beneficiary, causee); allative | $=n^{j} \mathfrak{X}$ | 'already, at once, right' | =tcoms | additional topic |
| $=(g) \mathfrak{X}$ | genitive; attributive | = non | 'only' | $=d i$ | disjunctive <br> topic |
| $=$ ¢a(togni $)$ | ablative | $=h a$ | 'even' | $=s \rho$ | contrastive topic |
| $=w u$ | locative (spatial, temporal); allative | $=d v$ | disdain | $=n i$ | additional focus |
|  | with regard to, concerning; beneficiary/goal?? |  |  | $=$ gədi | additional topic |
| $=t u$ | locative (spatial); adversive patient; 'concerning'; comparative |  |  | $=¢ i$ | limiting topic |
| $=p u$ | comitative |  |  |  |  |

Apart from what was mentioned above, the co-occurrence of first position clitics with second and third position clitics attested in the corpus is given in Table 6.2. The first position clitics are given in the horizontal row; the second and third position clitics are given in the vertical column.

Table 6.2 Co-occurrence of markers

|  | $=(g) o \eta(n i)$ | $=b i$ | $=w u$ | = tu | $=p u$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $=1 a$ | X | X | X | X | X |
| $=n^{j} \mathfrak{X}$ | X |  | X |  | X |
| = non | x | x |  |  |  |
| $=h a$ | x | x |  | x |  |
| $=d e$ |  | X |  |  |  |
| $=b u$ | X | X | X | X | X |
| = t60mo | X |  |  |  | X |
| $=d i$ | x |  |  |  |  |
| $=S 0$ | x | X | x |  |  |
| $=n i$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $=g ə d i$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $=6 i$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Several of the semantic role markers have grammaticalised from locational postpositions (§4.6.3). This is typical for Tibeto-Burman languages (LaPolla 1995a, Chelliah 2009). In the process, they have lost their lexical tones and when marking semantic roles they always assume the tone of the preceding lexical tone-bearing unit. All the other markers are analysed to be toneless.

The agentive and ablative markers and most of the second and third position markers are used as clausal subordinators, which will be discussed in $\S 10.4$. The use of nominal marking for clausal subordination was noted in Haiman 1987 and has been attested for various Tibeto-Burman languages (Genetti 1991, LaPolla 1995a).

### 6.2 Semantic role markers

Similar to many languages in the area, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ arguments of the verb are marked for their semantic roles, not for their grammatical relations (LaPolla 1995b; Coupe 2011a:494). Semantic role marking is often pragmatically motivated. Agentive marking is not obligatory and occurs for pragmatic reasons, especially to disambiguate possible agents, but also to encode deliberate activity and deviation from a social norm, mark switch in actor, and introduce speech quotations. Dative marking, on the other hand, is obligatory. Patients are unmarked.

Grammatical relations like 'subject' and 'object' are difficult to define in Pǔmǐ (LaPolla (2006) shows that these notions are language-specific and construction-specific). There are three ways that languages use to encode grammatical relations: constituent order, case marking and agreement (Andrews 1985:71). Pǔmǐ is a dependent-marking language (Nichols 1986), which means that it does not make use of agreement to signal grammatical relations. Constituent order in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ can also not be taken as an indication of grammatical relations. Pǔmǐ has a default SV/AOV word order, but since constituent order is determined by (the primarily topic-comment) information structure with the most topical argument appearing first, constituents also often show an OAV order. Even though Pǔmǐ is a verb-final language, speakers make frequent use of afterthoughts, either to repair or add information. Afterthoughts (§10.9.7) are usually, but not always, set off from the rest of the clause by an intonation break, and can be $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{E}$ or oblique arguments. In addition, ellipsis of arguments is very common in naturally occurring speech, especially when their referents are established as given information. In the corpus, ellipsis seems to be the norm rather than the exception. Since in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ all arguments of a verb (except afterthought NPs) occur pre-verbally, structural ambiguity of arguments may easily occur with non-basic word order or ellipsis.

Ellipsis of noun phrase arguments can also not be taken as pointing to subject, since all kinds of arguments can be left out, as the S, E, A and O argument ${ }^{236}$ in (512), and coreferentiality does not show a pivot. Elided arguments are not necessarily coreferential; even when none of the elided arguments are coreferential, they can be left out, as in (512). In (513) there is coreferentiality between the A argument (he $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) of the embedded speech clause and the A argument (he $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) of the main clause. In (514) however there is co-reference between the E argument ( $\mathrm{her}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) of the temporal subordinate clause and the A argument (she ${ }_{j}$ ) of the main clause. All three examples appear in conversations where the referents have already been established, and the arguments are recoverable from context. In (512) three women are talking about the husband of one of them who went to the market in order to buy their daughter clothes for her coming-of-age ritual. In (513) from the same conversation, the wife of the husband relates a phone conversation she had with him, in which he told that he asked people whether two specific people were at the market. In (514) the speaker is talking to her clan members about one of the children of their household who had just come

[^119]back from town with her friends. Her mother had given her a phone call earlier that day to ask how they would get home.
 then so FR.SP-buy if say=PFV:EGO
' $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ told $\left(\mathrm{him}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ that if $\left(\mathrm{you}_{\mathrm{k}}\right.$ had already) bought (a Tibetan outfit $\left.\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}\right),(\ldots)$ ) (CV15.17.2)
\[

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\emptyset_{\mathrm{Ai}}
\end{array}
$$\left[$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\emptyset_{\mathrm{Ai}} & {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\emptyset_{\mathrm{sj}} & \text { kí } & \text { zì }
\end{array}\right]} & \text { tcà } \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k} \text { ì }=\text { bù } \tag{513}
\end{array}
$$\right],
\]

[ $\emptyset_{\mathrm{Ak}}\left[\emptyset_{\mathrm{Sj}}\right.$ hòn-dží tè̀-dìn $\quad$ दí = qèj $]$ tçò = dàw] tçàw.
in-location one-CLF:place EXIST.AN = EXPT say = IPFV:N.EGO HSY
' $\left(\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ said that when $\left(\mathrm{he}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ asked where (they $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) were, $\left(\right.$ people $_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) said that (they ${ }_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) would be somewhere up the valley. (CV15.1)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IN-come }=\text { vOL:PL }=\text { also } \text { NEG }=\text { say:PFV:N.EGO EPIST:probably }
\end{aligned}
$$

mà tà.
NMLZ:ALERT
'When ( she $_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) asked (her $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) whether ( $\mathrm{they}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) would come back in a taxi, $\left(\right.$ she $_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) probably did not say that (they $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ) would come back.' (CV21.134.2)

Based on lack of agreement marking, on frequent use of alternative constituent order for pragmatic reasons, on optional agentive marking governed by semantic and pragmatic factors, and on lack of a pivot, it can be argued that Wǎdū Pǔmǐ lacks a grammatical 'subject' and 'object'. This is also the conclusion of Haller (2009:47) for Tibetan, and of Coupe (2007:169) for Ao.

Semantic role marking clitics can be divided functionally into optional and obligatory semantic role markers. Agents are optionally marked, patients are always unmarked, and datives and all other semantic role markers are obligatorily marked. The rest of this section will discuss agentive (§6.2.1), patient (§6.2.2), dative (§6.2.3), instrumental (§6.2.4), source (§6.2.5), comitative (§6.2.6), adversive (§6.2.7), allative (§6.2.8), and ablative (§6.2.9) semantic role marking, the question of transitivity (§6.3) and causation (§6.4).

### 6.2.1 Agentive

Similar to many Tibeto-Burman languages, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows a type of agentive marking that is controlled by semantic and pragmatic factors rather than syntactic factors. This type of agentive marking was first noted by LaPolla (1995) and has recently received a lot of attention in Tibeto-Burman studies (Chelliah 2009, several articles in LTBA 34.2 (2011) issue). Following LaPolla (1994) the term 'agentive' rather than 'ergative' will be used, since the latter points to more systematic grammatical systems that are based on syntactic factors. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ agentive marking will be shown to be mostly optional except for in certain constructions like the causative construction. The optionality of the agentive has led to its acquiring several other pragmatically motivated functions, such as a general foregrounding marker (see also Peterson (2011) on Khumi agentive marking). The agentive marker can also be used for clausal subordination (§10.5.2). Agentive and instrumental marking are accomplished by the same marker (see §6.2.4). This is a common pattern (LaPolla 1995a). The agentive marker might partly derive from an ablative (§6.2.1.1).

Agentive marking is not limited to arguments denoting people, as in (515), but also occurs with other animates, as in (516), and with inanimates, as in (517).
(515) má $=$ ł $\quad$ tç̀̀ $=$ gón $t^{\text {h }}$ è-ts ${ }^{\text {h } w i ́ ~ m a ̀ ~ d z a ̀ ~ q e ̀ j ~}$
person $=$ PL $\quad$ child $=$ AGT $\quad$ FR.SP-return $\quad$ EPIST
'The child has probably paid back (the loan) (...)' (CV07.64)

piglet $=$ COORD $\quad$ chick $=A G T \quad 1: I N C L=P L \quad$ sit let NEG $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) the piglets and chicks don't let us sit down (to rest).' (CV21.283)
(517) nǐy tá thèsú-qélà = gòn thè-pú mà dzà qèj.

2sG this pine.needle-load=AGT FR.SP-do EPIST
'It will be this pine needle load that did that to you.' (CV21.249)
Agentive marking appears with natural forces, as in (518). In this example it directly subordinates a noun phrase to a clause of which it is not an argument. The argument of $d \check{o} \eta m a=d a ́ w$ is meat that is stored and will not keep well in hot weather. The subordinating function of the agentive is discussed in §10.4.3.

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{6}{ }^{\text {h}}{ }^{j}{ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{u}=\text { gò } y=n^{\mathrm{j}} \text { æ̀ } \quad \text { dǒy } \quad \text { mà }=\text { dâw }  \tag{518}\\
& \text { Ch:weather }=\text { AGT }=\text { just okay } \text { NEG }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{align*}
$$

'Because of the weather, (it)'s not good (...)' (CV14.52.1)
Agentive marking can be followed by different topic and focus markers. Attested in the corpus are co-occurrence with the general topic marker $=b u$, the disjunctive topic
marker $=d i$, the alternative topic marker $=$ tcomə and the contrastive topic marker $=s \partial$. In (519) is an example with $=b u$.
(519) súgú-bònnì = bù

Shugu-household:AGT = TOP
‘The Shugu household (...)’ (CV20.109)

### 6.2.1.1 Form and diachronic development of the agentive

The agentive marker appears in three forms, $=(g) o \eta,=(g) o \eta n i$, and $=n i$. The latter, $=n i$, is only used with first person singular pronouns and Huáng Bùfán (Dài et al., 1991:350) suggests that this may be a loan from Tibetan (see also LaPolla 1995 for a discussion on ergative marking in Tibeto-Burman). The former two forms, $=(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{og}$ and $=(g)$ onni, are in free variation; ${ }^{237}=(g)$ onni is probably a combination of the first and third forms, which could point to a merger of multiple systems, but further research (especially comparative dialectal research) needs to be done.

The link between agentive and ablative that is common in Tibeto-Burman languages (LaPolla 1995) is not totally straightforward in Pǔmǐ. The Wǎdū Pǔmǐ ablative marker has the form $=$ fa or $=$ falonni (\$6.2.9). Like the agentive $=$ gonni, both forms are also used for clausal subordination (§10.4.3). The part [oyni] in the agentive and ablative form looks suspiciously similar, but further (cross-dialectal) research needs to be conducted in order to make any definite statement about the link between the two in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. ${ }^{238}$

The three agentive forms are enclitics that appear at the end of an NP and form a phonological constituent with the last tone-bearing morpheme in the NP, as in (520). When following the plural clitic $=\downarrow$ (§5.4), = goŋ(ni) fuses with it, as in (521), and the initial consonant is dropped.
(520)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { èmá }=\text { gò } \\
& \text { aunt }=\text { AGT } \\
& \text { èmá }=\text { 'the aunt' }  \tag{521}\\
& \text { aunt }=\text { PL:AGT }
\end{align*} \text { 'the aunts' }
$$

[^120]When following a second person singular pronoun, the agentive marker occasionally fuses with the pronoun, as in (522). Fusion is not frequent in the corpus.

| (522) | nìy = gónní | nè-tsón | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$, | n ${ }^{\text {jógní. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ | Down-pour | time | 2SG:AGT |

'It was poured by you, by you.' (CV09.140)
The form = gon was analysed by Dīng (1998:150) as a fusion of the definite clitic ${ }^{239}$ $=g ə$ and the agentive clitic $=o \eta$ in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ. There are a few arguments against adopting this analysis for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The first argument is that the second person singular pronoun nǐg cannot be followed by the definite $=g$, but it can be followed by the form $=\operatorname{go\eta }(n i)$, as in nĭク $=g o \eta(n i)$. In addition, the dual marker $=d z æ \not$ cannot be followed by the definite clitic $=g ə$, but can be followed by $=g o \eta(n i)$, as in mô $q \not a=d z æ \eta=$ gonni 'the two girls'.

The other argument against analysing $=g o \eta(n i)$ as a merger of the definite marker and the agentive marker is the instances where a head noun is followed by the indefinite marker $=t i$ and the agentive marker, as in (523). It is not possible for the definite marker $=g ə$ and the indefinite marker $=t i$ to co-occur, thus analysing $=g o \eta n i$ as consisting of a definite marker and an agentive marker is not consistent with the facts.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { swí }=\text { tì }=\text { góynì } & \text { 孔wé }=\text { wù } & \text { è-zwéy } & \text { sèj }  \tag{523}\\
\text { leopard }=\text { INDF =AGT } & \text { road }=\text { in } & \text { IN-block } & \text { go:PFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) (they were) blocked on the road by a leopard (...)' (TC03.6) ${ }^{240}$
The analysis adopted for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ agrees with the intuition of my main consultant in that $=g o \eta$ is the original form and $[\mathrm{g}]$ is dropped when it cliticizes to the plural marker $={ }_{\ell}$. Also, when it marks causal subordinate clauses ( $\S 10.4 .3$ ), the form is always [goy] or [goyni] and never [oŋ] or [oŋni].

The first person pronoun is normally marked with $=n i$, as in (596), but a few alternative agentive forms appear in the corpus as well: =nəni, as in (525), which looks like a reduplication of $=n i$. The difference in the use of $=n i$ versus $=n$ nni needs

[^121]further research. Additionally, the dialectal equivalent $=n^{j} o \eta(n i)$, as in (526) and (527), that appears four times in the corpus, is rarely used in Wǎdū. ${ }^{241}$
(524) è $=$ ní $\quad t 6^{h} w \check{x}=b i ̀ ~ t h e ̀-t c^{h} \grave{̀}=$ sù
$1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad \mathrm{pig}=\mathrm{DAT} \quad$ FR.SP-feed $=$ VOL:SG
'(...) I will feed (you) to the pigs (...)' (CV18.107)

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { è = nání } & \text { pètsə́ } & \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{g} \partial ́ & \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} \text {-há } & \text { kèj = sèn } \\ \text { 1SG=AGT } & \text { flower } & \text { half= DEF } & \text { FR.SP-be.excessive } & \text { let }=\text { PFV.EGO }\end{array}$
'(...) I kept half of the flowers (...)’ (TC07.26)
$\begin{array}{ll}\grave{e}=n^{j} \text { ón } & \text { lù }=\text { sû } \\ 1 S G=A G T & \text { Ch:record = voL:SG }\end{array}$
'(...) I want to record (...)' (CV21.512.3)

$1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad$ New.Year $=$ also arrive $\mathrm{NEG}=$ EXPT $=\mathrm{IPFV}: \mathrm{N} . E G O$ say

DOWN-scold $=$ PFV.EGO
'I scolded (him), "Even at New Year (you) won’t arrive (at home), (...)", (CV21.361.4)

The limiting topic marker $=c i$ (discussed in §6.5.11) can sometimes be used interchangeably with the agentive marker. An example is given in (528). There is no clear difference in meaning.

'Aunt Lhatshu said (to him), "Don't you have eyes? You should dig under there!" ' (CV22.33)

The agentive forms laid out in this section point to a merger of three different agentive sources: $=(g) o \eta,=n i$ and $=\epsilon i$, that are combined in several ways in different speech

[^122]varieties. According to my main consultant, $=\epsilon i$ is used as the main agentive marker in the Ladigu speech variety. In Jísū and Yǔchū speech varieties (and possibly some other parts of Mùlĭ county), $=n^{j} \mathcal{X}$ is the main agentive marker (personal notes). ${ }^{242}$ And in Xiǎngshuǐhé Pǔmǐ, $=n i$ is used for singular pronouns, $=\tilde{a}(n i)$ is used for plural pronouns and $=$ cini is used for dual pronoun forms (personal notes). Future crossdialectal research will hopefully shed a clearer light on the synchronic use and diachronic development of agentive marking systems in Pǔmǐ, and its connection with other Tibeto-Burman languages in the area.

### 6.2.1.2 Use of agentive

As mentioned above, agentive marking in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is semantically and pragmatically motivated. In this section, I will show its use for disambiguation, contrast and emphasis, agentivity and purpose of the actor, switch in actor, speech quotations, negative and other undefined use.

Agentive marking is often used for disambiguating possible agents. This occurs especially with cases of ellipsis and non-canonical argument order. Since Pǔmǐ is a verb-final language, all arguments usually appear before the verb. The normal order is for the agent to precede patient, and without any over marking the first constituent will be interpreted as the agent and the second as the patient, as in (529).

| (529) | èkáw-lì | tá | cì | sćj=sì | mà tà. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| uncle(MB)-DIM | 3SG | lead | go:PFV.N.EGO $=$ INF | NMLZ.ALERT |  |

'Young uncle went to pick her up.' (CV21.115)
Pǔmǐ is a zero anaphor language. The norm is for arguments to be left out when they are clear from the discourse. When ellipsis of either the agent or the patient occurs, word order does not provide any clues for interpreting arguments as agents or patients, so agentive marking is used to disambiguate a possible agent from a patient. Especially in highly agentive situations, it is critical to mark an agent: when other clues (for example constituent order) are absent, a lack of agentive marking on the argument automatically implies a patient reading. The argument in (530) is not marked, and can only be interpreted as the patient. In (531) the argument is marked and can only be interpreted as an agent. Agentive marking is thus used as a disambiguating device. In (532) there is an unmarked argument, but in this case the form of the auxiliary kǔ indicates that nǐg is the agent ${ }^{243}$ and not the patient, and thus agentive marking is not needed for disambiguation.

[^123]má = ¡æ̀ mó = gà dàbǔ nè-swè = sí
person = PL:GEN
person $=$ DEF then
DOWN-hit:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'(...) and killed those people's person ( $=$ the trader) (...)' (KZ02.9)

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Cè }=\text { ¡ó } \eta & \text { Sè }=q \hat{\varepsilon} j \\ \text { Hàn }=\text { PL:AGT } & \text { hit }=\text { EXPT }\end{array}$
'(He) will be beaten by the Chinese (...)' (CV14.223)
(532) nǐn sě kǔ sə̀tç̀

2SG hit can:EGO:2SG if
'If you are able to kill (it) (...)' (TC02.51)
Two other examples with disambiguation and elided arguments are given in (533) and (534). In (533), the agent of the first clause functions as the unmentioned undergoer of the second. If =gon was left out, it could mean that the girl saw somebody else.
(533) tá màdæ̀-lí = gə̀ té-sèy = bù tça tsí
this female-DIM = DEF one-CLF:morning = TOP water take


DOWN-come:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP that-3SG = AGT FR.SP-see
pâ.
do:pfv.n.ego
'(...) when this girl came to get water one morning, (she) was seen by him.' (TC07.18)

That the agentive marked argument in the second clause is not the girl mentioned in the first clause is clear from the immediate discourse context, where the active topic of the lines immediately preceding this example is the male referent.

In (534), the topic of the first clause, 'straw sandals', functions as the patient of the second clause, but is not overtly mentioned in the second clause. The agent of the second clause, 'a horse', is marked as agentive. Since the speaker has just commented on the fact that straw sandals are dangerous, one could expect them to be some kind of agent in the second clause. Even though sandals do not normally eat horses, the fact that the speaker just said that they are dangerous might leave the option that they are the actors in the second clause, and thus = gol is used to mark that the horse is the actor in the second clause.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (534) nìy }=\text { ұǽ } & \text { tá } & \text { ts }{ }^{\text {háwçì }} & \text { wèiḉn } & \text { má dzà, } & \text { tèt }{ }^{\text {hǒy }} \\ \text { 2 }=\text { PL:GEN } & \text { this } & \text { Ch:straw.sandal } & \text { Ch:be.dangerous } & \text { GNOMIC } & \text { after.a.while }\end{array}$
gwèy = gón $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{hj}}$ æ̀-dzwá $=$ bú púqá tcwì-jì दì
horse $=$ AGT OUT:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO $=$ TOP shoe wear-NMLZ EXIST.AB
$m a ̀=q \hat{q} j$.
$\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{EXPT}$
'Your straw sandals are dangerous, if they are eaten by a horse after a while, there won't be any shoes to wear.' (CV01.10)

With non-canonical word order, the agentive marker is also often used for disambiguation. This happens when the patient is the topic of the clause and occurs before the agent. This is illustrated in (535). An old man is planning to go out to the field to keep an eye on people who are trying to steal water. The speaker comments that he will be beaten by others. If there was no agentive marking, the word order would imply the reading 'the old man will beat the other people'. This is quite possible in this situation, since the particular old man is easily provoked. But the agentive marking on 'the other people' rules out this interpretation.


3SG person = PL:AGT hit = EXPT DISS really this old.man
$m \partial ́=\imath o ̀ \eta \quad s e ̀=q \hat{\varepsilon} j$.
person $=$ PL:AGT $\quad$ hit $=$ EXPT
'He will be hit by other people, really, this old man will be beaten by other people!' (CV14.221)

It should be noted that these constructions (with ellipsis of the patient and agentive marking, or with non-canonical word order) are the functional equivalent to a Chinese ‘被 bei' construction or an English passive construction. ${ }^{244}$

There are many cases where agentive marking is used when it is not needed for disambiguation. In (536) there is no ellipsis of arguments and no non-canonical word order. In addition, there is an obligatorily marked recipient argument (see §6.2.3) and thus agentive marking of the argument $n^{i} \mathfrak{Z}$ èmá is not needed for disambiguation.

[^124]

```
2SG:GEN mother=AGT 2=DU=DAT Ch:fruit=COORD thus=INDF
k`⿱亠乂-jwěj fiǒy
OUT-bring:PFV:N.EGO ATTENT
```

＇Your mother has brought some fruit and such for the two of you（．．．）＇ （CV02．50）

There are different pragmatic reasons for agentive marking，including contrast，switch in actor，speech quotations and parallel constructions．These will now be discussed．

Agentive marking does not only occur with controllable，transitive verbs，but also with controllable or non－controllable intransitive verbs．In those contexts the use of the agentive conveys additional pragmatic information．

In（538）and（537）agentive marking is used to highlight the referent and mark some kind of contrast with other known referents．$p^{h i n}$＇to flee＇is an intransitive verb that does not normally occur with agentive marking．Agentive marking might mark more emphatic volitionality in this case，as in Tibetan．In（538），məqælí＇girl＇is actually the undergoer of the poisoning and not the agent．Agentive marking is used for contrasting her with other possible referents．

| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}$－¢ $¢$ ¢ $=$ sén | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}$, | èmá＝gòn | è－p ${ }^{\text {hín }}=$ sèy | mà sèn fià． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OUT－go $=$ PFV．EGO | time $=$ TOP | aunt $=$ AGT | IN －flee $=$ PFV．EGO | NMLZ．CONSTR |

＇When（we）went outside to look，aunt fled inside（again）．＇（CV09．35）

| fǎwhǎw， | mò̀dæ̀－lí＝góynì | Łる́ | nè－dù | pá |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INTJ | female－DIM $=$ AGT | first | DOWN－be．poisoned | do：PFV．N．EGO |

＇It was the girl who was poisoned first．＇（CV09．160）
This contrastive use is dissimilar to the use of the contrastive topic marker $=s \boldsymbol{s}$（§6．5．8）， in that $=s \rho$ marks a specific referent that is contrasted with another specific referent： the two referents clearly show an opposition．The use of the agentive，however，marks a referent that is highlighted and thus set apart from a body of other possible referents that are not necessarily specifically mentioned．Thus in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ，the agentive marker does not have the particularizing function that has been reported for agentive markers in some other Tibeto－Burman languages（Coupe 2007，2011a；Chelliah 2009； Lidz 2011，Teo 2012）．The agentive marker and the contrastive topic marker can both mark the same argument，as in（539）：

```
(539) è-bǎ
técámà \(=\) gònnì \(=\) sà \(\quad t^{\text {hé }} \quad\) Gé
    1-household:GEN T:bKra.shis.ma=AGT=CONTR.TOP all.the.time be.big
```

    kèj \(q^{\text {hù }}\) ṭ̆̀ fià.
    let POL say LINK
    '(...) our Zhacima always says, "Let them be bigger please." ' (CV18.13)
    In (540) the agentive has an emphatic function, and three different referents are all marked with the agentive. Normally the agentive argument of the verb $k^{h} \varepsilon_{j}$ 'to drive' would not be marked, as in (541).

then 2:AGT = also Ch:car do Ch:drive uncle(MB)=PL:AGT=also

Ch:car Ch:drive three-Clf:person three-CLF:person=AGT INTJ INTJ
'When you also drive a car, and your uncles also drive cars, (then there are)
three people, wow, wow!' (CV11.25)

1sG Ch:car Ch:drive feel.like $=$ SVM say time
'(I) already said that I would like to drive a car.' (CV11.18)
The same emphatic or contrastive function is used in parallel constructions to highlight two different referents. There is no counter-supposition involved, as is the case with the contrastive topic marker $=S \rho$ (§6.5.8):

| nwá | gù-¢è̀dí-má = ¢òn | gù | dò-jềj, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outside:GEN | money-seek-NMLZ = PL:AGT | money | To.sp-bring |
| tcígm ${ }^{\text {j }}$ ¢́ |  | hú è-lı̀j |  |
| home:GEN | crop-sow-NMLZ $=$ PL:AGT | op IN-S |  |

'The people who go outside to work bring back money, the people who grow crops at home, grow crops.' (TC01ed.15)

Sometimes the agentive marks contrastive focus, as in (543).

| é = bú | lóymází-bà | tsú | dzà t tcà=sèn | mà. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP}$ | Longmeri-household:GEN | son | be | say= $=$ PFV:EGO | INFO

Y: But I said it was the son of the Longmeri household. (CV09.89)

| mǎ = dzà, níyzì-bà | tsú = gòy | è-tḉ | è-cì |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEG = be | Ninzi-household:GEN | son=AGT | IN-pack.load | IN-lead |
| Š́j= sí |  | mə́ sì hà |  |  |
| go:PFV:N.EGO = INF | NMLZ.CONSTR |  |  |  |

G: 'That's not so, it was the son of the Ninzi household who went to bring them over.' (CV09.90)

The agentive marker is also used when the volition of the actor is highlighted, for example when an actor instigates a deliberate and purposeful action. In (544) Zhacima, who is going to a local fair with her mother, is purposely trying to be faster. This is indicated by the use of the agentive marker.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (544) técámà= gòy tè-tsěj } \quad \text { thóy pú cá= dáw kié } \\
& \text { T:bKra.shis.ma = AGT one-CLF:section be.fast do go= IPFV:N.EGO TRAIL } \\
& \text { '(...) Zhacima was going one section faster.' (CV02.89) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Compare also the following two examples. (545) is the default sentence, but the implication in (546) is that the speaker went on purpose, possibly against the wishes of others. Thus agentive marking might sometimes indicate that the referent is displaying socially marked behaviour (for similar use of agentive marking in Mongsen Ao, see Coupe 2007:159).
é $\quad$ ć $=$ sê
1SG go = PFV:EGO
'I went.' (EL)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { è }=\text { ní } & \text { cá }=\text { sên }  \tag{546}\\
1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} & \mathrm{go}=\mathrm{PFV}: \mathrm{EGO}
\end{array}
$$

'I went (on purpose).' (EL)
In contexts implying a high degree of volitionality or purpose on the part of the agent, the use of the agentive marker is highly preferable. This includes several constructions with a high level of agentivity, like the causative construction (§6.4, §7.9.5), as in (547), the emphatic causative construction (§7.8.5), as in (548), and the controllative construction with $k^{h} \not \partial-t \check{\prime}$ (87.8.4), as in (549).
(547) èmá láts ${ }^{\text {hú }}=$ gònnì káw pàtçí $=$ bí $\quad$ séplìntse ${ }^{\text {hé }} \mathfrak{j}=$ bí
aunt T:lHa.mtsho=AGT uncle(MB) Ch:Bajin= DAT $\quad$ Ch:tricycle= DAT
tìghwá kh̀̀-dì kwéj=sí tçàw
Ch:phone out-throw let:PFV:n.EGO = INF hSY
'(...) Aunt Lhatshu let Uncle Bajin call the tricycle (driver).' (CV02.84.1)

hare $=\mathrm{AGT}=\mathrm{TOP}$ tiger $=$ GEN eye out-dig mouth $=\mathrm{in}$

OUT-throw OUT-go let:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP
'(...) when (...) Hare had dug out the Tiger's eye and dumped it into (his)
mouth, (...)' (KZ03.24)

| è = ní | pùná | té-p $\mathrm{p}^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ $=$ cì | nè-sè | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò-tǐ }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ | today | one-ClF:Shot = LIM.TOP | Down-hit | out-put |  |

'(...) so today I finished (him) off in just one shot and (...)' (TC04.16)
The agentive is not completely obligatory in such constructions, however, and (550) is an example where the agent of a causative construction is not marked with agentive: ${ }^{245}$

| pčj | tçé $=$ gà | tànæ̀ $=$ gónnì | də̀bǔ, t toóy |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| older.sibling | big $=$ DEF | arrow $=$ INS | then | one:ClF:thing |


| $q^{\text {hò- }}$ - ${ }^{\text {hem }}$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h} ̀-¢ \grave{~}}$ | kwéj | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{1}=\mathrm{bù}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OUT-shoot | OuT-go | let:PFV:N.EGO | time $=$ тор |

'So when the big brother shot one (arrow) with his crossbow, (...)' (TC09.5)
Agentive marking is also used to mark a switch of actor in stories. This usually takes place when an important actor is introduced and a new development in the story is initiated. Example (551) comes from the beginning of a story where a mother is praying to find a good husband for her daughter. In (551) the antagonist who will be in charge for most of the rest of the story appears on the scene. The verb 'to hear' is a noncontrollable verb, and one would normally not expect agentive marking. Note however that this is a case of ellipsis as well, and the presence of the agentive marker is possibly also used for disambiguation.

[^125](551) $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h} \text { é }}$ ná $\mathrm{pú}$ t ch $^{\mathrm{h}}$ wá pà $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù, dàbǔ tènǎ all.the.time thus do kowtow do:PFV:N.EGO time=TOP then other té-ç̀ tỉ wà mó = tì = gònnì thè-má one-ClF:village one:GEN interior:GEN person = INDF = AGT FR.SP-hear pà.
do:PFV:N.EGO
'As (the mother) was bowing like this all the time, (she) was heard by a person from another village.' (TC08.6)

Example (552) marks an unexpected switch in actors at the end of the same story. The main antagonist, who has been in charge most of the story, finally gets home with his wife in a chest. When he opens the chest, the roles are reversed, and a leopard cub and a tiger cub that have been put in the chest instead of his wife jump out and take over the scene. They are marked with the agentive to mark the switch in actor. The verb $t s \hat{s}$ 'to jump' is a controllable intransitive verb, and agent arguments of this verb are not usually marked with the agentive marker.

'When (he) opened the chest, the leopard cub and the tiger cub jumped out and randomly and continuously scratched this person.' (TC08.45)

In (553) the actor changes from a monk to a deer. The action of the deer (drinking water) is pivotal to the development of the whole story, and therefore she is marked with agentive, even though the verbs $p^{\text {hin }}$ 'to flee' and $t^{\text {hin }}$ 'to drink' do not normally need agentive marking.
(553) tsè-mí dàbǔ kékì $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ là $=$ bù, tsè-mí $=$ góy deer-female then chase time $=$ also $=$ TOP deer-female $=$ AGT


NEG:PFV = flee:PFV:N.EGO LINK water OUT-drink:PFV:N.EGO
'Even though (he) was chasing away the female deer, she did not flee, but drank the water.' (TC07.6)

The agentive marker is also used to introduce speech quotations in combination with the quotation marker. This has also been noted for Yǒngníng Na (Lidz 2012:62). The marker can introduce both direct and indirect speech. ${ }^{246}$ Agentive marking with indirect speech does not happen in Yǒngníng Na (Lidz 2012:62). An example with indirect speech is given in (554). The presence of the logophoric pronoun is the main indication that this is indirect speech (see §8.3.5). In direct speech $e=d z \check{y} \eta$ ( 1 = EXCL:DU) whould have been used instead.
(554) dàbǔ ६è-mádæ̀ = dzæ̀y= gòynì ní=dzæ̀y=là qémò dìy
then Hàn-female $=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad \mathrm{LOG}=\mathrm{DU}=$ also $\quad$ Tibetan be:EGO:1
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { t } ¢ \grave{\partial}=\text { sè̀ } & \text { t } \dagger \grave{y}=\text { dàw } \\ \text { say }=\text { PFV:EGO } & \text { say }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO }\end{array}$
'(...) so the two Chinese girls said that they were Tibetan as well (...)'
(PC08w.9)
Usually the quote is followed by the quotation marker t $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\rho}$ (derived from the verb $t \varsigma \check{ }$ 'to say'), but the quotation marker is sometimes left out when a quotation consists of several parts, as in (555) where it appears only after the third part of the quotation (in its non-egophoric form t $t w \partial$ ), or has parallel speech lines, as in (556) where the speech of three brothers forms a triplet and the speech complementizer tco only appears after the final speech of the three brothers in the triplet.
(555) dàbǔ tá hæ̀ngú = gònnì, "mésámæ̀n, mésámæ̀り,"
then this shaman=AGT wait.a.bit wait.a.bit

then shaman $=$ AGT 1 SG letter first letter $=I N D F$ first
nè-swà kéj."
Down-read: PFV:N.EGO let

then leopard-skin twelve tiger-skin twelve say:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY
'Then that shaman (said), "Wait a bit, wait a bit." Then the shaman (said),
"Let me first read a book." (He) said, "Twelve leopard skins, twelve tiger skins." ' (TC03.17-18)

[^126]\[

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { pèj }=\text { gónní = bù, } \\
\text { older.sibling = AGT = TOP }
\end{array} & \text { "púnə̀ = bù } & \text { dàbǔ } & \text { nè-sè = gî, }  \tag{556}\\
\text { today = TOP } & \text { then } & \text { DOWN-hit = vOL:INCL }
\end{array}
$$
\]

nè-pùlił̀."
DOWN-turn.over
'...the oldest brother (said), "Today, let's kill (it), (it) overturned all our household's dug up uncultivated land." ' (TC02.10)

'Then the middle (brother) also supported (him, saying): "Let's kill (it)." (TC02.11)
dàbǔ, tsú $q^{\text {hèttséj }=\text { gònnì }=\text { bù } \text { dàbǔ, kwěy } \quad q^{h} \text { èts } \varepsilon ́ j=\text { gònnì }=\text { bù }}$
then son small=AGT=TOP then younger.sibling small=AGT=TOP
dàbǔ, " $m a ̀=q$ hǔ $\quad m a ̀=q$ hǔ ní $=$ bì è-dádwè tì sà $p u ́=g i ̂ " ~$
then $N E G=$ need $N E G=$ need $L O G=D A T$ IN-ask one first do = vol:INCL
ţwà.
say:PFV:N.EGO
'Then...the youngest son..the youngest brother said, "Don't, don't, let's first ask him a bit." ' (TC02.12)

Because the quotation marker is sometimes left out in Yǒngníng Na, Lidz (2012:62) analyses the agentive marker as an "utterance predicate" that takes the speech as a complement. I will not propose such an analysis for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ for the following reasons. Apart from the two contexts laid out in (555) and (556), the quotation marker is present in most cases. In addition, speech clauses are not always introduced by the agentive marker, but can occur with other discourse particles, as in (557). Sometimes speech clauses appear without any overt marking, as in (558), and frequently they are introduced by the quotation marker alone, as in (559).
(557) tá màgéy = tç̀mà, "..." tçə̀ = dàw
this old.man $=$ TOP say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'This old man said, "..." ' (CV22.23)
 DOWN-discuss time $=$ TOP then this boar $=\mathrm{DEF}=$ TOP then trap put

go $=$ VOL:INCL trap NEG $=$ put 1:INCL-household:GEN uncultivated.land
tź-tú-mə̀ dàbǔ jèhǎ tź t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wèníy $=$ gòy
UP-dig-NMLZ then all this boar=AGT
nè-púlj́ ${ }^{j}=$ dàw."
DOWN-turn.over $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'When (they) discussed, (they said), "This wild boar, let's go put up a trap, (if we) do not put up a trap, our household's dug up cultivated land, this wild boar overturns it all." ' (TC02.7)


feed $=$ vOL:SG say:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ HSY
'When (Hare) asked what (he) would feed him, (Tiger) said, "I will give you meat," it is said.' (KZ03.37)

Interestingly, agentive marking also occurs with negation. Agents of negative clauses are technically not real agents, and this suggests that another analysis of the agentive marker might be needed in these situations. Whereas (560) implies control and purpose on the part of the agent, (561) implies that they looked, but did not control the outcome. In these examples the agentive might have a more general foregrounding function (for a similar analysis in Khumi, see Peterson 2011:74). My main consultant remarked, however, that in (561) the use of the agentive marker was not very appropriate.
(560)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { cí }=\text { wà } & \text { mó }=\text { Łòり } & \text { tú } & \text { tjæ̀-दə̀ } & \text { né-pá } \\ \text { village }=\text { in:GEN } & \text { person = PL:AGT } & \text { look } & \text { PROH-come } & \text { DOWN-do:PFV:N.EGO }\end{array}$
fià

LINK
'(...), the people in the village would not come to look.' (TC08.46)

| jǎw | è-bǎ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h úts }}{ }^{\text {hì-bà }}$ | láats ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ú $=$ nò̀ | tə = „ǒy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| again | 1-household:GEN | Tuōqī-hou | T:lHa.mtsho | $3=\mathrm{PL}:$ AGT |
| ż̀-tóy | mí $=\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ Wé | sò fià |  |  |
| sleep- | NMLZ $\mathrm{NEG}: \mathrm{PFV}=\mathrm{f}$ | nd becau |  |  |

'Our household's Lhatshu from Tuōqī village and companions did not find a sleeping place, so (...)' (CV21.311.2)

In (562), where no negation is present in the third clause, the agentive seems to have a more general foregrounding function.
(562) tshéjtsə́ = gòy tì-tóy दì mà = qêj, nìy-bá kǒŋpù
Ch:car = AGT put-NMLZ EXIST.AB NEG = EXPT 2-household:GEN gate

this $=$ PL:GEN $2=$ AGT $\quad$ again $\quad$ Ch:car $=\operatorname{INDF} \quad$ FR.SP:Q-buy $=$ TOP
'There won't be any place to put the car around your household's gate, if you buy a car.' (CV11.20)

### 6.2.2 Patient

Patient arguments, both animate and inanimate, are formally unmarked in Pǔmǐ. This is shown in (563) with a human argument.

| tá | màgé | má = ¡ò | sè $=q \hat{\varepsilon ̂ j . ~}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this | old.man | person = PL:AGT | hit $=$ EXPT |

'(...) this old man will be beaten by other people!' (CV14.221)

### 6.2.3 Dative (recipient, benefactive, goal)

Apart from optionally marked agents and unmarked patients, Pǔmǐ has a dative marker $=b i$ that obligatorily marks goals, recipients, or benefactives in ditransitive clauses. Even when an overt agent argument is present, the dative is marked, as in (564). The marker $=b i$ also marks causees in causative constructions (§6.4), and is generally a locative marker (§4.6.3).

| (564) | ${ }^{\text {j}}$ ǽ | èmá = gò ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nì | nìg $=$ dzǽn $=$ bì | Swíkú = nòy | nó $=$ tí |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2SG:GEN | mother $=$ AGT | $2=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{DAT}$ | Ch:fruit = COORD | thus $=$ INDF |

$k^{\text {hà }}$-jwěj fǒy
OUT-bring:PFV:N.EGO ATTENT
'Your mother has brought some fruit and such for the two of you (...)' (CV02.50)

The marker developed from a relator noun meaning ‘side’ to a locational or temporal postposition 'on' to a semantic role marker (§4.6.3). Examples of recipient, benefactive and goal marking are given in (565), (566) and (567).
(565) jǎw hòy-q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wá sénóy-bù = bì=là kúthóy t tóy
again in-on:GEN Sanong-household=DAT=also thangka one:CLF:thing
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} w e ̀ \eta=$ sí fià,
FR.SP-give:PFV:N.EGO = INF LINK
'(They) also gave the Sanong household up the valley one thangka (...)'
(CV21.549.2)

really INTJ $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ DAT good $=$ EXPT
'Really, oh! (It) will be good for you.' (CV21.107.2)
(567) é nǐy $=$ bì tú $=$ sèn
$1 \mathrm{SG} \quad 2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{DAT} \quad$ look $=$ PFV:EGO
'I looked at you.' (CV22.26.1EL)
With animate arguments $=b i$ often has a disambiguating function, marking that the argument is not the agent. Examples (568) and (569) illustrate this. If $=b i$ is left out, $e t s^{h} w æ \eta t s^{h} w \not{ }^{h} \eta$ in (568) would be interpreted as the agent and $n \hat{\imath}=d z æ \eta$ in (569) would be interpreted as the patient.
də̀bǔ èts ${ }^{\text {h }} w æ ̀ n t s{ }^{\text {h }}$ wæ̌y $=$ bì páfáw $\quad$ s $\varepsilon$ j $=$ sì
then magpie $=$ DAT divinate go:PFV:N.EGO $=I N F$
'Then (they) went to the magpie for divination.' (TC04.22)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { ní }=\text { dzæ̀ }=\text { bì } & \text { nè-p }{ }^{\text {hě }} & \text { séj } & \text { fià } \\ 2=\text { DU }=\text { DAT } & \text { DOWN-throw } & \text { go:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { LINK }\end{array}$
'(...) (they) went and left (the child) at their ( $=$ the grandparents) own (place).' (CV07.1.1)

The disambiguating function of $=b i$ is also very clear in (570). If $=b i$ is left out, the clause has the meaning 'Her mother's father is saying 'waigong', indicating 'her mother's father' as the agent who does the talking.
(570) má = gæ̀
swáy = bì = bù wèjkón
mother = GEN father = DAT = TOP Ch:grandfather say:IPFV:N.EGO
'(She) calls her mother's father 'waigong'.' (CV21.37)
Example (571) is a slightly poetic parallel set of lines from a traditional story. Here $=b i$ is used in the parallel construction as a stylistic device. In the second clause of each parallel line one would expect the agentive marker $=n i$ ( $\$ 6.2 .1$ ) but instead the dative $=b i$ is used to keep it parallel in structure with the first clause. The storyteller clearly memorized these lines. However, his mistake '( $\grave{e}=$ nì...)' in the second clause of
the first line, where he uses the agentive $=n i$ instead of the dative $=b i$, shows that from a grammatical point of view the use of $=b i$ is not totally natural.
(571) mú-tón pùpù tc̣ìn $=$ bí tç ${ }^{\text {hî, }}$
butter-piece chewed.piece child=DAT feed
tshì-tón pùpù (è = nì...) $\grave{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{bí} \quad$ dzâ.
salt-piece chewed.piece $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ 1SG=DAT eat

sheep-skin soft? child = DAT pad goat-skin soft? 1sG = DAT pad
'(...) A chewed piece of butter to the child (I) will feed, a chewed piece of salt I will eat. With soft sheep skin the child (I) will pad, with soft goat skin I myself will pad.' (TC04.6)

The marking of causees in causative constructions is discussed in §6.4.

### 6.2.4 Instrumental

The same morpheme that is also used for the agentive is used to mark the role of instrument. Cross-linguistically this is a very common phenomenon (LaPolla 1995) and agentive marking has probably developed from instrumental marking. In example (572) the instrumental use of $=\operatorname{gog}(n i)$ is shown. Agentive marking and instrumental marking can occur in the same clause, as in (573).

| púzàw = nòn | $q^{\text {h }}$ ètí $=$ gòy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| axe.handle = COORD | something $=$ AGT | DOWN:Q-beat = TOP |
| zù = dáw | mà. |  |
| very $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | INFO |  |

'If one uses an axe handle or something else to beat, it is very good.'
(CV13.34.1)

| ${ }_{1}$ ¢́j $=$ bù | dàbǔ Cí = gònnì | tş̀ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ú $=$ góy | ǽ... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| flea $=$ TOP | then louse $=$ AGT | clay.pot $=$ INS | DOWN-rub:CN |

'As for the flea, the louse rubbed him with the clay pot (...)' (KZ01.11)

### 6.2.5 Source

The marker $=b i$ ( $(6.2 .3)$ can also mark the semantic role of source, as in (574). Its use can be compared with the marking of Instrument using $=$ gon as in (575) and (576). The two mean roughly the same, but (575) implies that the object is taken from a bigger piece of wood (its source), whereas (576) implies that the object is made using wood (its instrument).

1SG grain-kernel one-CLF:kernel = DAT food-lump three only make
t $冖$ モ́ $=$ má $=$ bù nǐy è-zwîy
can:EGO:1 = NMLZ-TOP $\quad$ 2SG $\quad$ IN-block:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) me, who can only make three lumps of food from one kernel of grain, you blocked!!' (TC02.65)
(575) sěy = bì thè-dzù mà dzà
wood = DAT FR.SP-make GNOMIC
'It is made from wood.' (TC02.65:EL)
(576) sèy = góy thè-dzù mà dzà
wood $=$ AGT FR.SP-make GNOMIC
'It is made with wood (using wood).' (TC02.65:EL)

### 6.2.6 Comitative

The marker $=p u$ 'with' marks comitative, as in (577). It only marks animate arguments. Although homophonous with the locational postposition $=p u^{\prime}$ under’ (§4.6.3), speaker intuition does not point to a clear relationship with the comitative marker.

```
(577) má = pù dèrèj tòy = dàw mà dzà qèj bǎ
    mother = COM speech speak = IPFV:N.EGO EPIST SPEC
```

'(Tshering Lhame) will be talking with her mother, (...)' (CV13.60)
With the verb $t^{h} W e ́$ 'to encounter', comitative marking is optionally used on a human argument to show a higher level of agency. ${ }^{247}$ Example (578) implies a chance meeting, but example (579) can both imply a chance meeting or a meeting that was set up in advance.
(578) ə́-wù té-tsò nè-thwé $\quad$ khî
'(...) and there encountered a person, (...)' (CV25.47)

| qà-pú | dù $=\Varangle$ ¢ $=$ pù | nè-t ${ }^{\text {th}} \mathrm{we}$ | mà dzà qèj. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| down-under | friend $=$ PL $=$ COM | DOWN-encounter-NMLZ | EPIST |

'(She) will have run into friends down there.' (CV20.136)
With the verb qú 'to help' the beneficiary is obligatorily marked with $=p u$ to disambiguate it from the agent. The agent is not marked. Examples are shown with an overt agent (580), an overt beneficiary (581), and two overt arguments (582). Note

[^127]that the order of arguments in (582) is non-canonical: the agent follows the beneficiary. From a logical perspective, however, there is no true ambiguity.
(580) káw wàlá qù ¢ə̀ kì
uncle(MB) YN:thresh.grain help go time
'(...) when uncle went to help thresh grain, (...)' (CV21.246)
(581) èmá = pù qú $\quad$ ć $=$ sù â?
aunt $=$ COM $\quad$ help $\quad$ go $=$ vOL:SG CONF
'You want to go help aunt?' (CV21.18)

then weed $\mathrm{NEG}: \mathrm{PFV}=$ be.finished $-\mathrm{NMLZ}=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{COM}=$ also
[gwæ̌ thè-thón-mə́ = ұə̀ è-qú ¢ə́ wèy
weed FR.SP-be.fast-NMLZ $=$ PL IN-help go CUST.EXCL
'Then the ones that weed fast go to help the ones that have not finished weeding yet (...)' (CL03ed.19)

It is also possible to mark the beneficiary with the relator noun wu 'interior' instead, which turns the beneficiary into a locational role, as in (583), since in this case the helping is envisioned as something that takes place inside a household. The locational $=b i$ cannot be used. Note that wu in these constructions always occurs as the head of a genitive construction and not as a postposition.
(583) də̀bǔ pù = gæ̌ qhómə́ t $\epsilon^{\mathrm{h}}$ áwţæ̀ = łæ̀ wù qú ¢ə́ wèy.
then self $=$ GEN relative $=$ PL:GEN interior help go CUST.EXCL
'(...) (the Pǔmǐ of the other villages) will go to help at their own relatives'.'
(CL03ed.29)

### 6.2.7 Adversive

The postposition $=t \hat{u}$ 'on' (§4.6.3) is used for adversive situations where the referent is negatively affected (similar to English 'he died on me'), as in (584). ${ }^{248}$

'(...) Are you the one who plays pranks on me? (...)' (TC06.22)

[^128]The postposition is also used where the action expressed by the verb is not directed towards the NP, but is 'concerning' the NP, as in (585).

'(...) (we) fought about the water there (...)' (SNO2.24)
In comparative constructions $=t u \hat{u}$ is used to mark the standard of comparison ( $(10.6)$.

### 6.2.8 Allative

The postposition $=w u$ ' in ' (§4.6.3) can occasionally be used as an allative marker, as in (586) and (587).

| tsù-kón $=$ wù | è-phìn | è-sćj | k $^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}=$ là... |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| side.room-door $=$ in | IN-flee | IN-go:PFV:N.EGO | time $=$ also |

'(...) when (the mother) fled towards the side room door (...)' (TC04.36)
(587) ŋóy tè-mæ̌y, dàbǔ qàqà = wú dàbǔ, thè-khìy zâ.
money one-ClF:yuan then group=in then FR.SP-give come
'(...) you had to give one yuan to the group every day.' (TC10.42)
In (587), $q a q a=b i ́$ 'to the group' could also be said, marking the recipient of the action; example (587) is talking about a commune and the use of $=w u$ gives it a slightly different feel: 'in the group, contributing to the group'.

### 6.2.9 Ablative

Ablative is marked by $=f a$ as is shown in (588). An alternative form of the marker is = fa_folni. This form has not been attested in the corpus when marking ablative, ${ }^{249}$ but my main consultant noted that it was always possible to replace $=$ fia by $=$ faqonni. Interestingly, the longer form ends in -olni, which points to a possible relationship with the agentive marker $=(g)$ onni. This is however not very clear and needs more research.

[^129]| Zèmí = sò | pàlí-bá | wù = hì | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hútù }}$ | ə́-q ${ }^{\text {hù }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tonight $=$ CONTR.TOP | Pali-household | interior $=$ ABL | immediately | that-on |
| è-¢ə̀ = qèj $\quad$ bǎ. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{IN}-\mathrm{go}=$ EXPT $\quad$ SPEC |  |  |  |  |

'(...) tonight, however, (he) will probably directly go up there from the Pali household.' (CV04.16)

The ablative can also be used in a temporal sense, as in (589):

'From the day that the reincarnated lama passed away up till now (I) continuously burn a butter lamp.' (CV21.280)
$=6 a$ has several other functions, like causal subordination (§10.4.3) and more general clause linking (§10.2).

### 6.3 Semantic role marking and transitivity

Transitivity plays a minor role in Pǔmǐ. ${ }^{250}$ In the verb complex there are no grammatical expressions of transitive marking, and semantic role marking patterns are based on pragmatic, rather than syntactic choices. Thus semantic role marking is often optional and can vary independent of the valency of the clause. Transitivity in the traditional sense is therefore hard to establish and does not bear a heavy functional load. This is in line with a recent study on transitivity (LaPolla, Kratochvíl and Coupe 2011) that shows that in many (Tibeto-Burman) languages transitivity is a languagespecific and even construction-specific phenomenon.

There is no syntactic requirement for all arguments of a verb to appear in naturally occurring speech (also noted for Mongsen Ao [Coupe 2011b:496,497]). ${ }^{251}$ Thus valency of verbs can only be established by the upper limit of possible arguments. Monovalent verbs (such as $\quad$ ž 'to sleep', sə̌ 'to die') cannot take multiple arguments (unless appearing in the causative construction, §7.9.8), but bivalent and trivalent verbs may

[^130]occur with two and three arguments respectively. However, they can lack overt mention of arguments, and thus appear with only one or even no overt arguments. (590) is an example of a bivalent verb with only one overt argument. The overt argument is added as an afterthought. (591) shows a trivalent verb with no overt argument. The unexpressed arguments are retrieveable from the context of the conversation.
(590) sè = şú bàw, ènánî
hit = vOL:SG CONTR 1SG=AGT
'(I)'ll beat (you), I (will).' (CV20.11)
(591) kì̀y = dáw mà dzà.
give $=$ IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC
'(They) give (meat) (to him).' (CV16.25)
Arguments of bivalent verbs (like $s \check{e}$ 'to hit, kill', dzá 'to eat') are not obligatorily marked for semantic role, although in possibly confusing situations (for example with two overtly expressed animate arguments) agentive marking might be employed to distinguish possible agents (LaPolla 1992). Patients/undergoers are always unmarked. In (592) agentive marking is especially important, since the patient precedes the agent. Without agentive marking the default interpretation would be 'I often beat them'. Coupe (2011b:500) notes such a construction as a functional equivalent to passive derivation in many Tibeto-Burman languages.
\[

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { (592) } & \text { é } & \text { tə̀ }=\text { Łǒy } & \text { sè = 孔る́ } & \text { nè-dwì } \\
\text { 1SG } & 3=\text { PL:AGT } & \text { hit }=\text { PL } & \text { DOWN-throw:PFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
$$
\]

'(...) I was often beaten by them (...)' (CV22.18)
Another functional equivalent of a passive construction in other languages is when a patient is the topic of the clause, and there is overlap between affectedness and topicality, as in (593). The patient is established as topic from the previous context and not mentioned. That this is no real passive can be seen in that the number of arguments is not reduced.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ¢è }=\text { ¡ón } & \text { sè }=q \hat{\varepsilon ̂ j}  \tag{593}\\
\text { Hàn = PL:AGT } & \text { hit }=\text { EXPT }
\end{array}
$$

'(He) will be beaten by the Chinese (...)' (CV14.223)
Trivalent verbs (like $k^{h i n}$ 'to give', $k$ ř' $^{\prime}$ to give drink', $s \check{x}$ 'to give to pass on', $l l^{\prime}$ 'to narrate', mán 'to name', thýt 'to borrow') can be distinguished in Pǔmǐ by the overt obligatory dative marking $=b i$ ( 86.2 .3 ) on the dative argument. Agentive marking can be expressed, but is not obligatory, and is usually done if no recipient is present and the agent needs to be distinguished from the recipient. In (594), all three arguments are
present, but only the recipient is overtly marked (with =bi). In (595), again all three arguments are present, and the agent is overtly marked as well.
(594) [çè = ¢á] nǐy [sàtş́tçæ̀n = bì] [tsá] khín qhù = dàw

Hàn = PL INTJ Ch:butcher=DAT meat give need=IPFV:N.EGO
mà dzà.
GNOMIC
'The Hàn, mind you, need to give meat to the butcher.' (CV16.19)

old. woman $=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad$ person $=\mathrm{PL} \quad$ uncle $(\mathrm{MB})=\mathrm{DAT} \quad$ a.little
$\mathrm{t}^{\text {hèe-sč }}$ è-孔á kwéj
FR.SP-give.to.pass.on IN-come let:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) the two old women gave uncle a bit (of tuber) to bring back.' (CV21.246)
Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a few ambivalent verbs, but apart from the addition of an extra dativemarked argument, no morphosyntactic treatment is used. This is shown for the verb $t \epsilon^{h}{ }^{h}$ 'to feed' in (596) and (597). In (596) it functions as a trivalent verb with two overtly expressed arguments, the agent ' I ' and the recipient 'the pigs'. In (597) the same verb is used with the specific meaning 'to fatten up (pigs)', and functions like a bivalent verb where 'pig' is the patient, and thus unmarked.
$\begin{array}{lll}{[\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ní}]} & {\left[\mathrm{t} \varphi^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wæ̌ }=\mathrm{bì}\right]} & \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{t} \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\text { sù } \\ 1=\text { AGT } & \text { pig = DAT } & \text { FR.SP-feed = VOL:SG }\end{array}$
'(...) I will feed (you) to the pigs, (...)' (CV18.107)
(597)
[ìy = ¡á tá tsàzž]
(...) tá-tç ${ }^{\text {hí-m }}=\mathrm{g}$ æ̀
(tû)
1:INCL = PL:GEN this fattened.pig (...) Up-feed-NMLZ = GEN lard
'(...) (lard) of our (...) fattened pig that has been fattened up (...)' (PC02.6)

### 6.4 Semantic role marking and causation

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ does not show morphological causative derivation, but does have a set of lexical causative verb pairs with a voicing-aspiration alternation in the initial of the verb stem (§7.4.3). Apart from these verb pairs, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has an analytical causative/permissive construction (§7.9.5) involving the auxiliary verb $k \varepsilon ́ j$ 'let' which adjusts the valency of a clause by adding one argument to the core. This is a fully productive process. ${ }^{252}$

[^131]When a causer argument is present, it is obligatorily marked with the agentive marker. The original S argument that becomes the O argument of the causative clause can be marked with the dative $=b i$ if the inherent semantics of the verb permit control by the causee. Because it is a question of control, it is not possible to use dative marking with the causee of a non-controllable verb. The addition of $=b i$ implies that the causer has given total control of the action to the causee. It does not imply anything about the volition of the causee (as in Ao (Coupe 2007:191ff), where the use of the dative implies that the causee is a willing participant, whereas the volition of the causee without dative marking is open to interpretation).

When the original verb is a monovalent or bivalent controllable verb, marking of the causee with $=b i$ is optional. When $=b i$ is used, it implies that the total control of the action is given to the causee. Thus, the absence of $=b i$ in (598) implies that the speaker does not have total control over the riding: either the speaker sat behind somebody who rode the horse, or the speaker sat on the horse that was being led by somebody else. The presence of $=b i$ in (599) implies that the speaker had total control over the horse.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (598) é } & \text { gwěy } & \text { nè-dzêj } & \text { kwèj } \\ & \text { 1SG } & \text { horse } & \text { Down-ride } & \text { let:PFv.n.EGO }\end{array}$
'(They) let me ride a horse.' (CV22.1.1EL)
(599)

| é = bí | gwěy | nè-dẑ̂j | kwèj |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG = DAT | horse | DOWN-ride | let:PFV.N.EGO |

'(They) let me ride a horse.' (CV22.1.1EL)
In (600), the absence of = bi following ň̌n = dzæŋ 'the two of you' gives the current speaker the impression that her husband was totally in control of the arrangement to let the addressees go back home. In reality, the two women had made the arrangement and he only helped them. If $=b i$ had been used, it would have implied that the two also had some control, but the husband presented the situation as if he did the whole job.
(600) $\begin{array}{lllll}{[n i ̀ y=\text { dzáy }} & \text { sà } & \text { kh̀̀-cò kéj }=\text { sén }] & \text { tçàw, } \\ 2=\text { DU } & \text { first } & \text { out-go let }=\text { PFV.EGO } & \text { say:IPFV:N.EGO } \\ \text { é }=\text { dzà? } & & & \\ \text { Q }=\text { be } & & & \end{array}$
'(My husband) said that (he) let the two of you go home first, isn't it?' (CV15.6)

The use of $=b i$ in (601) implies that the responsibility and control of the baby-sitting is totally Hare's. If $=b i$ is left out, this would imply that Hare is only given the child to hold for a little bit, without having total control over it. ${ }^{253}$

```
(601) dàbǔ thùlǐ=bì tín kwèj.
then hare=DAT take.care.of let:PFV.N.EGO
```

'Then they let Hare baby-sit.' (TC04.9)
There are a few examples in which $=b i$ is optional, but its presence or absence does not make a great difference in the degree of control or responsibility of the causee. There seems to be a cultural aspect involved in all the examples: the causee is a younger member of a household and the action they are conducting is controlled by the social norms of the household, as in (602), where the youngest son follows the instructions of the household concerning the bedding.

kèj mà dzà tçàw
let GNOMIC HSY
'It is said that they let the youngest son go to Wachang to buy bedding (...)'
(CV07.67.2)
When an originally trivalent verb is causativized, both the causee as well as the original dative argument are obligatorily marked by $=b i$, as in (603), with the causee always first. No extra responsibility or control of the causee is implied by the presence of $=b i$.
(603) [èmá látst ${ }^{\text {h }}$ = gònnì] [káw pàtçí $=$ bí] [sǽŋlìntş ${ }^{\text {hé }}$ = bí]
aunt T:1Ha.mtsho $=$ AGT uncle(MB) Ch:Bajin=DAT Ch:tricycle=DAT
tìnhwá kh̀̀-dì kwéj = sí tc̣àw
Ch:phone out-throw let:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY
'(...) Aunt Lhatshu let Uncle Bajin call the tricycle (driver).' (CV02.84.1)
When both arguments are overtly mentioned, a fixed constituent order applies: the causee precedes the dative argument, as in (604) versus (605). When only one argument is overtly mentioned, the right interpretation can only be clear from the context, as in (606), where both interpretations are possible, and (607), which is structurally ambiguous and can mean that Tshering Lhame is the caller or the one who

[^132]is called. From the context of the conversation, however, only one interpretation is possible.

$t^{h}$ è-dzú $\quad k e ̀ j=$ sù.

FR.SP-make let=vol:SG
'I want to have them make you a meal downstairs.' (CV03.16.2EL)
(605)

| [è = ní] | [ $\mathrm{nìg}=$ dzáy $=$ bì] | [tá = ¢ ¢ $=$ bì $]$ | qà-dzı́ | màtç ${ }^{\text {hí }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ | $2=$ DU $=$ DAT | $3=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{DAT}$ | down-location | dinner |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hèe-dzú }}$ | $k$ k $\mathrm{j}=$ sù. |  |  |  |
| FR.SP-make | $\mathrm{let}=\mathrm{voL}: \mathrm{SG}$ |  |  |  |

'I want to have you make them a meal downstairs.' (CV03.16.2EL)
 $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} 2=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{DAT}$ down-location dinner FR.SP-make let=voL:SG 'I want to have (them) make you a meal downstairs.'
'I want to have you make (them) a meal downstairs.' (CV03.16.2EL)
(607) dàbǔ tshə́tíllàmò= bì tìnhwá=tí k hà-dì kwéj
then T:Tshe.ring-T:1Ha.mo= DAT Ch:phone=INDF out-throw let:PFV:N.EGO
‘Then (he) let Tshering Lhame call (his son) (...)' (CV07.74.3)
A different kind of causative construction, the self-causative, that does not adjust the valency of the clause, but rather the parameter of control, will be discussed in §8.1.1.

### 6.5 Discourse markers

This section discusses several discourse markers: the markers in position classes two and three that follow the semantic role markers (see introduction to this chapter). The intensifiers ${ }^{254}=1 a^{\prime}$ also’ (§6.5.1), $=6 a^{\prime}$ even’ (§6.5.2), $=n o \eta$ 'only’ (§6.5.3) and $=n^{j} \boldsymbol{x}$ 'just’ (§6.5.4) together with the discourse markers $=b u(\S 6.5 .6),=d i(86.5 .7),=s \vartheta$ (§6.5.8), and $=n i(\$ 6.5 .9)$ function as unrestricted particles (term from Matisoff 1973), in that they are not limited in occurrence to noun phrases (linking the noun phrase with the wider discourse), but can also occur with other constituents, like the predicate-focus construction ( $\$ 10.8$ ), and subordinate clauses ( $\S 10.4$ ) such as conditional clauses (§10.4.1) and temporal subordinate clauses (§10.4.2). The discourse markers $=$ gədi and $=$ tcəmə (86.5.10) and $=c i$ (86.5.11) have a more limited distribution and usually only appear after noun phrases. Two additional value

[^133]markers $=d v$ and $q^{h} a$ will be discussed in $\S 6.5 .5$. In this chapter I will introduce the different discourse markers and their noun phrase marking functions; in Chapter 10 I will describe their other functions. All discourse markers (except for $q^{h} a$ ) are toneless and take on the tone of the preceding tone-bearing element. This is the main reason for analysing them as clitics.

### 6.5.1 The intensifier = la 'also'

The intensifier $=1 a$ 'also' normally follows a noun phrase, as in (608) and (609).

| (608) nǐy | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hj }}$ - -dz ¢ $=$ bù | é = là | dzə́ $=$ sú | tì... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2SG | ouT:Q-eat $=$ TOP | $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{also}$ | eat $=$ VOL: $:$ G | sa |

'Tell (him), 'if you eat (it), I too will eat (it)', (...)' (CV03.8)
 2SG:GEN uncle(MB) T:Phun.tshogs = also EXIST.AN GNOMIC NMLZ.INCL
'(...) your Uncle Phintshu was present as well.' (CV11.14)
Followed by negation, = la has often the meaning 'even', especially after words like tǔ 'anything at all' and $t e k^{h}$ ə̌ 'a little bit', as in (610) and (611).

```
tù \(=\) lá \(\quad \mathrm{mà}=\) tsón \(=t \mathrm{a}\).
    anything.at.all \(=\) also \(\quad\) NEG \(=\) have.flavour \(=S V M\)
    '(It) does not have any flavour at all.' (CV11.74)
```


'(...) (the situation) has become (that I'm) not able to do even a little bit (...) (CV02.38)
$=l a$ is often used in parallel clauses, as in (612). When both clauses are positive, it can often be translated as 'as well as', as in (613). When the second clause is negated, the meaning is 'whether...or not', as in (614), and when both clauses are negated, the meaning is 'neither...nor', as in (615).
(612)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { qà-pú } & \text { Łóydíy-mə̀ } & \text { pùdìmá } & \text { toóy= gòynì, } & \text { ní = gæ̀ } \\ \text { down-under } & \text { lowland-person } & \text { old.woman } & \text { one:CLF:thing=AGT } & \text { LOG }=\text { GEN }\end{array}$

son=also 2 SG $=$ COORD same hair=also long body=also 2SG
nànì zǔ t‘ón dzà tçà = dàw.
like.this very one:ClF:thing be say=IPFV:N.EGO
'An old lady from the lowland down there said (to me) that her son is also "(handsome) like you, (his) hair is also long and (his) height is also very similar to you".' (CV07.40.1)
(613) tc̣òngú dàbǔ zà=lá tá thè-k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} w \hat{1}$ = là clothes then trousers=also FR.SP-give:PFV:N.EGO jacket=also $t^{\text {hè }}{ }^{\text {l }} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wên

FR.SP-give:PFV:N.EGO
'As for clothes, (they) gave (him) trousers as well as a jacket (...)' (TC02.41)

mà = dâw, mǎ= zì = là tç̌ mà = dáw
NEG $=I P F V: N . E G O \quad$ NEG $=$ EXIST.AN $=$ also say NEG $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
má dàw fià.
NMLZ.CONSTR
'When (I) asked (her) if he was not there, (she) did not even say whether or not (he) was there.' (CV21.132)

| dǽn = nòn | ná $=1 \mathrm{l}$ | mǎ = $\mathrm{d}^{\text {jòn }}$, | sút ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ú = nò ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| raised.platform = COORD | thus = also | NEG $=$ EXIST.AT | altar $=$ COORD |

nว́ $=$ lá $\quad$ mǎ $=$ diòn
thus = also NEG = EXIST.AT

```
'Neither the raised platform and such nor the altar and such is there. (...)'
(PC03.21)
In conversations, the direct connection between two utterances, as implied by \(=l a\), might not always be clear. Sometimes a certain topic has been brought up some time before a current utterance, and a speaker links their present utterance to that topic. An example is given in (616). The general topic of the conversation is chickens and speaker S remarked earlier in the conversation that the other household would probably have quite a lot of chickens. In (616) she links her current utterance to her previous utterance, and not to the utterance by speaker L that immediately precedes it.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
zégì \\
later
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
hòn- \(q^{h} u ́\) \\
in-on
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
仓̀-६ə̀ \\
IN-go
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(k^{\text {hí }}\) \\
time
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{align*}
& \text { é }=\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{bù},  \tag{616}\\
& \mathrm{Q}=\text { need }=\text { TOP }
\end{align*}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { è }=\mathrm{ní} \\
& 1=\mathrm{AGT}
\end{aligned}
\] & tè-pě one-CLF:brood \\
\hline è-pè & ( k & )) \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}\) & kw & & & \\
\hline IN-hatc & h let & giv & can & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

L: Later when you move up inwards (to the new house), if you want, I can let one brood hatch and give it to you. (CV04.81)
è = dzæ̀ \(y=\) lá \(\quad\) fú \(=\) bù \(\quad\) dò \(\eta=b u ̌ \quad\) dò \(\eta=d a ̌ w . ~\)
\(1=\mathrm{DU}=\) also \(\quad\) chicken \(=\) TOP \(\quad\) okay \(=\) TOP \(\quad\) okay \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
S: We are also doing well in terms of chickens. (CV04.82)
Sometimes there is no clear connection to any previous utterance at all. Example (617) is the initial sentence of a monologue on Pǔmǐ architecture. The conversation preceding the monologue was not recorded, but mainly dealt with deciding on a topic for the monologue. The function of \(=l a\) as adding and comparing to something previously mentioned is only present in the mind of the speaker, who might be comparing the Pǔmǐ houses to the houses of other ethnic groups.
(617) thóŋmə̀ = ұæ̀ tź tsóy=là = bù, tè-dìy=wá mə̀dzæ̀

Pǔmǐ \(=\) PL:GEN this house \(=\) also \(=\) TOP one-CLF:place \(=\) in:GEN every
tè-bǽ \(\quad\) ¢̣̂, ((tè-dìy = wá mə̀dzæ̀)) tè-bǽ pú one-CLF:kind resemble one-CLF:kind=in:GEN every one-CLF:kind do \(\mathrm{dzù}=\mathrm{d}\) ǎw.
make \(=\) IPFV: \(\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{EGO}\)
'As for these Pǔmǐ houses, (the houses) of every area have their own style and (the houses of every area) their own way of building.' (PC03.1)
\(=l a\) can also function as a subordinator in conditional and concessive clauses (§10.4.1, \(\S 10.4 .2\) ), and is used as a complementizer in a complementation structure (§10.3.1).

\subsection*{6.5.2 The intensifier = ha 'even'}

The intensifier \(=h a\) 'even' is homophonous in its underlying form with the ablative marker (§6.2.9) \(=h a\) and clause linker \(h a\) (§4.7), but can be distinguished from the latter in two respects. The intensifier \(=h a\) takes on the tone of the preceding lexical tone-bearing element, whereas the clause linker fia does not, but always appears with a low surface tone. The ablative and clause linker \(=\) ha have a longer form \(=\) hafonni, but the intensifier \(=h a\) does not. The intensifier can follow an NP, as in (618) and (619). It has a slightly similar but more intense meaning than \(=l a\) 'also' (§6.5.11) and can in some instances be replaced by \(=l a\).
```

(618) má = fià zí $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù nǐy tèsǐ ( $($ cá mà $=$ qêjj)).
mother $=$ even EXIST.AN time $=$ TOP INTJ still old NEG $=$ EXPT

```
'Even (its) mother is still alive, mind you, so (it) will not be old.' (CV16.76)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (619) & ní-bà & jǎw & t cíymíy \(=\) fà & nè-çz \\
\hline & LOG-household:GEN & again & home \(=\) even & DOWN-go \\
\hline & tò-tç \({ }^{\text {h }}\) Ón \(=\) sì & & à. & \\
\hline & UP-come:PFV:N.EGO = & NF IN & FO & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'She has even been to her own home and come back.' (CV02.4.2)
The difference between \(=l a\) and \(=6 a\) is exemplified by (620) and (621). When \(=l a\) is used, the speaker and the referent have the same status, as in (620). When \(=6 a\) is used, it is implied that the referent certainly should be going. If even he does not go, the speaker will not even think about going.
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(620) & tá = là & cá & mà \(=\) dâw, & é \(=\) lá & cá \\
& mà \(=\) sû \\
\(3 \mathrm{SG}=\) also & go & NEG \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO & \(1 \mathrm{SG}=\) also & go & NEG \(=\) VOL:SG
\end{tabular}
'If he does not go, I won't go either.' (TC05.8EL)
(621) tá = fià cá mà = dâw, é = lá cá mà=sû
\(3 S G=\) even go \(N E G=I P F V: N . E G O \quad 1 S G=\) also go \(N E G=\) VOL:SG
'If even he does not go, I won't go either.' (TC05.8:EL)
\(=\) ha is also used in complementation (§10.3), can follow a temporal subordinate clause (§10.4.2) and appears in reduplication constructions (§10.1).

\subsection*{6.5.3 The intensifier \(=\) noy 'only'}

The intensifier \(=n o \eta\) 'only' is homophonous with the coordination marker \(=\) non 'and' that is used with NP coordination (§5.7), clause linking (§10.3.2) and the comparative construction (§10.6). It often appears after temporal words or phrases, as in (622), and there is one example in the corpus where it follows a numeral-classifier compound. This example (623) indicates that there were only a small number of people (and even a smaller number of axes, as indicated by tă 'only').
(622) púnə̀ = nòy èmá sénóy = bì è-jéj tçá = sên.
today \(=\) only aunt Sanong = DAT \(\quad\) IN-bring \(\quad\) say \(=\) PFV:EGO
'(...) only today I told aunt Sanong to bring (it here).' (CV02.32)
è-bù-sèy = bú zé-tsz̀ = gò \(=\) nòy pú tóy tà
1-household-PART = TOP four-CLF:person = AGT = only axe one:CLF:thing only d̀̀-zâ.
то.sp-carry
'(...) but as for several of our household, only four people carried merely one axe.' (YJ02.3)
\(=n o \eta\) can also be used as a kind of topic marker to indicate that somebody's past experience is to be taken as a warning for the future. Examples are given in (624-626). The implications are the warning to not put any more salt on the meat, as in (624), to not go near the dog, as in (625), and to not do the same thing, as in (626).
(624) ts \({ }^{\text {hì }} \quad q^{\text {h }} \grave{æ}=q \hat{\varepsilon} j\), tènón éd \(d^{j} \grave{\not}=\) gæ̀ tá
salt bitter = EXPT just.now grandmother \(=\) GEN this

one-clF:piece \(=\) DEF \(=\) only salt FR.SP-bitter because
'It will be salty, just now this piece of grandma's was too salty, because (...)' (CV18.30.1)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline nǐn & = g ¢́ & dzı́ &  & é = nóท & tşı̀ = gónní \\
\hline 2SG & \(\mathrm{dog}=\mathrm{GEN}\) & location & PROH-go:IMP:SG & 1SG = only & \(\mathrm{dog}=\mathrm{AGT}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} è-qwă.

IN-bite:PFV:N.EGO
'You don't want to go near the dog, I have already been bitten by it.'
(CV18.30.1EL)
(626) n̉ǽ-bà mèŋmə̀ = nóy = bú bùliž qhà- dzwá

Njae-household Ch:sister = only = TOP kidney out-eat:PFV:N.EGO
qh̀̀- dzwź fià tçàbù
out-eat:PFV:N.EGO because
'The younger sister of the Njae household (could not move for three or four days,) because she ate and ate the kidneys (...)' (CV17.18)
\(=\) non can also be used as a temporal clausal subordinator (§10.4.2).

\subsection*{6.5.4 The intensifier \(=n^{j} \mathfrak{X}\) 'just, already, at once, right'}

Like \(=\) nog 'only’ (§6.5.3), the intensifier \(=n^{j} \mathfrak{X}\) 'just, already, at once, right'255 often occurs with temporal constituents, as in (627).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{255}\) This intensifier is similar to Chinese 就 jiù in use.
}

'Older brother Pingma already went the day before yesterday, (...)'
(CV02.35.2)
But it also less frequently follows NPs with other markings, like a locational, as in (628), and an agentive nominal, as in (629). Note that \(\underset{.}{l} \hat{l}=b u\) in (628) is an afterthought.
(628) làtcádìy = gæ̀ hòn-ná-nú= nỉ dióy mà dzà tà, ņú = bù.

Latcidin \(=\) GEN in-near-outside \(=\) just EXIST.AT GNOMIC SITU pine.torch \(=\) TOP
'(...) (it) grows right at the back of Latcidin, the pine torches.' (CV14.252.1)
(629) é tsú = nỉ á-bì thóy pú jí tçà mà dzà tçà

1sG son = just that-side fast do come:IMP:SG say GNOMIC say
ná (pú) ((tḉ)) = dàw
thus (do) say = IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) (he) said, "(...) my son already told (me) to be fast." ' (CV07.74.2)
\(=n^{j} \mathfrak{X}\) also occurs after temporal subordinate clauses with \(k^{h}{ }^{\prime}\) 'time' and as a temporal clausal subordinator (§10.4.2).

\subsection*{6.5.5 Value markers \(=d e\) and \(q^{h} a\)}

There are two markers, \(=d v\) and \(q^{h} a\), that express speaker attitude and value statements towards a referent or a situation. I refer to these markers as 'value markers'. Structurally speaking, the two markers are quite different: \(=d e\) cliticizes to the end of a noun phrase or a predicate, between the preceding semantic role markers and the following discourse markers; \(q^{h} a\) usually appears after a noun phrase, but it follows, and not precedes the discourse markers, and it is also attested by itself; it is more like an interjection in that sense. The marker \(=d p\) is toneless and takes on the tone of the preceding element; the marker \(q^{h} a\) always surfaces in a low tone. Functionally, however, the two markers are antonyms, and I therefore treat them together here.
\(=d e^{256}\) follows a noun phrase or a subordinate clause, and expresses disdain or contempt for the person or object referred to by the noun phrase, or marks a situation as being too insignificant to be bothered with. Examples with a noun phrase are given in (630) and (631). Examples with a subordinate clause are given in (632) and (633).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{256}=d p\) could be related to the stative verb \(d \check{e}\) 'to be bad'. The particle reminds me a little bit of bloody in colloquial English that is a similar kind of value particle.
}
(630) líjwá tšwǽnmèn \(\quad\) tá Yǒngníng:GEN Ch:especially buy-NMLZ \(=\) PL \(=\) DIS \(=\) CONTR. \(T O P \quad\) person \(=\) PL ná pú tçǐswæ̀y = dàw mà dzà. thus do Ch:calculate = IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC
'As for the insignificant people of Yǒngníng that normally buy (firewood), they calculate it like this.' (CV19.18)
é= gǽ tá \(=\mathrm{g} a ́=\mathrm{dè}=\mathrm{bù}, \quad\) tçã dzwá zù má dzà bàw \(1 \mathrm{sg}=\) GEN this \(=\) DEF \(=\) DIS \(=\) TOP say comfortable very GNOMIC CONTR 'My insignificant (number) is very easy to say, (...)' (CV15.43)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathrm{mà}=\mathrm{l}^{\text {jón }}=\mathrm{dé}\) & \(\mathrm{l}_{\text {dig }}=\mathrm{gí}\) & ţ̧̀ zù & né-pà & mà pà. \\
\hline NEG \(=\) enough \(=\) DIS & buy = VOL:INCL & say very & DOWN-do:N.EGO & NMLZ.CONSTR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'(...) and if the (provisions) are not enough (which is so insignificant), let's buy more.' (CV08.20.1)

eye \(N E G=\) see time \(=\) DIS \(=\) TOP 2 SG afraid NEG \(=\) need
'Even when your eyes cannot see, you don't need to be afraid. (...)' (KZ03.28)
The particle \(q^{h} a\) expresses that the referent has a very good view of self as opposed to other people, and is thinking or acting in a haughty manner. Examples are given in (634) and (635). In (634) the speaker and a friend are playing a trick on another person and feeling very good about themselves. In (635) a person is on his way to trick a household to give him their daughter in marriage, and he feels very good about himself.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\grave{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{dzà} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{bú}\) & q hà jǎw zǔ & nè-tçá & z ¢ \(=\) ¢ & nè-lálá \\
\hline \(1=\mathrm{DU}=\) TOP & EXALT again very & DOWN-calculate & hand \(=\) PL & Down-swing \\
\hline nè-khæ̀khə̀ & nè-zó = dwèn & t¢̧̀ & fià \({ }^{\text {ó-pà }}\) & \\
\hline DOWN-pack:CNT & T DOWN-come = IPFV & :EGO:N.SG say & Link that-u & der:GEN \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'As for the exalted two of us, we came down packing handfuls continuously and swinging our arms.' (CV22.36.2)

'(...) this person, pulling a white horse and carrying a pine torch trunk on one side (...)' (TC08.17

\subsection*{6.5.6 General topic marker \(=b u\)}

Topic-comment structure is the primary information structure in Pǔmǐ (see §10.9). Topics appear towards the beginning of the clause, often in clause-initial position, and can be any kind of constituent (even full clauses). A topic is optionally marked with the topic marker \(=b u\) ([bo] in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ [Dīng 1998:164]). Semantically, \(=b u\) is the most general topic marker, and does not add anything to the meaning of the clause, other than marking a constituent as a topic. A clause can have multiple topics and each can be marked by \(=b u\). The topic marker has no absolute association with any grammatical relation and can occur with semantic role marking. If a nominal argument is marked for semantic role, the topic marker follows the semantic role marker. Further research needs to be conducted into the precise factors that condition the presence or absence of the topic marker. \({ }^{257}\) The presence of \(=b u\) seems to have a pragmatic effect of setting the constituent apart from the rest of the clause and thus highlighting it.

Example (636) shows an unmarked topic pûn'ə 'today', and (637) is an example from the same story where the same topic is marked. Note that in (636) 'today' follows the agent, whereas in (637) it precedes it. The data in the corpus however show no clear relationship between overt topic marking and clause-intitial position. The pragmatic effect of using the topic marker, is to set the constituent apart from the rest of the clause so that it highlights the constituent.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ní & púnì̀ nǐy & qhà-dzá & \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò-tì }}\) = sú \\
\hline 1 = AGT & today 2SG & out-eat & out-put = vol:Sg \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'(...) Today I want to eat you completely.' (TC03.9)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { púnə̀ }=\text { bù } & \text { è }=\text { ní } & \text { q}^{\text {h }} \text {-̀-dzá }=\text { sû } \\ \text { today }=\text { TOP } & \text { 1 }=\text { AGT } & \text { OUT-eat }=\text { vOL:SG }\end{array}\)
'(...) Today I want to eat (you).' (TC03.6)
Example (638) \({ }^{258}\) has multiple overtly marked topics (marked by square brackets).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{257}\) Dīng (1998:164) notes that in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ pragmatic topics (in the sense of Lambrecht [1994], a topic is what the proposition is about) are not necessarily marked and referents whose cognitive status is quite low can still be designated as topics by the addition of the topic marker. He therefore talks about 'inferrable topic' which is a pragmatic topic that may or may not be marked and 'designated topic' which is always marked by the topic marker, but whose discourse status is otherwise uncertain.
\({ }^{258}\) Note the word dəbŭ 'then' which has a discourse ordering function and is often used as a hesitation marker 'uh...' (\$10.9.3). The second syllable looks suspiciously like the general topic marker \(=b u\). Dīng (1998:168) sees a connection between the two in Niúwōzĭ Pǔmǐ, where the
}
(638) \([i ̀ \eta=\) ұǽ tá tcàmá zé-dzà \(]=\) bù dàbǔ, \([\) è \(=\) ұæ̌ 1:INCL=PL:GEN this central.room four-CLF:Side \(=\) TOP then 1:EXCL=PL:GEN wétú] \(=\) bù dàbǔ, [tç̀̀má] \(=\) bù ná \(=\) wên wadu \(=\) TOP then central.room \(=\) TOP like.this \(=\) CUST.EXCL
'(...) This four-sided central room of ours, in our Wǎdū, the central room is like this. (...)' (PC03.5)

Constituents can be marked for semantic role as well as topic. Examples are given with an agent in (639) and a dative in (640).
(639)
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { [dàmá-lí }=\text { nòy } & \text { nì̀-bú-sè }=\text { gòynì }]=\text { bù } & \text { thútù } & \text { á-qhà } \\
\text { Drema-DIM }=\text { COORD } & \text { 2-household-PART }=\text { AGT }=\text { TOP } & \text { all.the.time } & \text { that-top:GEN } \\
\text { tà = ǧ̌ } & \text { qhù } & \text { nè- dzádzòn. } & \\
\text { this = GEN } & \text { top } & \text { DOWN-sit:COLL }
\end{array}
\]
'Little Drema and several of you sat together on top of that all the time.' (CV09.76)
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
{[\text { má = gæ̀ }} & \text { swǽy = bì = bù } & \text { wèjkóy } & \text { tçàw }  \tag{640}\\
\text { mother =GEN } & \text { father = DAT = TOP } & \text { Ch:grandfather } & \text { say:IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
\]
'(She) calls her mother's father 'waigong'.' (CV21.37)
Various constituents can function as topic. Locational and temporal nominals are very frequently topics. Examples of a location are shown in (636) and (637), other nominals are shown in (638), (639) and (640), and a full clause as topic is shown in (641) and (642).
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
[nìn-bú & tcón & tú] \(=\) bù & lú & mǎ \(=\) tù \\
2-household & uncultivated.land & \(\operatorname{dig}=\) TOP & work & NEG \(=\) be.of.use
\end{tabular}
'(...) your household working the land is of no use (...)' (TC02.13)
(642) [jèhǎ tó- ¢ə̀ kèj] = bù dǒy mà=qéj ósén cì
all UP-go let \(=\) TOP okay NEG \(=\) EXPT AGR think
'"To let them all go by will not be okay, right?" (he) thought.' (TC02.62)

\footnotetext{
forms are [tàbǒ] and [bǒ] respectively, and tentatively links it to the demonstrative [t̂̀]. Their relationship in Wǎdū Pǔm̌̌ is not totally straightforward and needs further research: whereas the form dəbǔ starts with a voiced consonant and has a rising tone on [bǔ], the demonstrative tó has a voiceless consonant and the topic marker \(=b u\) is toneless in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ.
}

Conditional subordinate clauses (\$10.4.1) can also function as a topic (the same is true in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ [Dīng 2000:351]), as in (643). The topic marker together with the question marker marks the clause as conditional. \({ }^{259}\)
```

(643) é é = dzàw = bù,...
1SG Q = eat:IMP:SG = TOP

```
'If I eat (...)' (CV04.38)
With temporal subordinate clauses (§10.4.2), the topic marker \(=b u\) (or one of the other discourse markers) often occurs in combination with the noun \(k^{h}{ }_{i}\) 'time' that functions as a temporal clausal subordinator:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
é \(=\) fò & t \(\epsilon^{\mathrm{h}}\) wæ̀ & tú & \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ì}=\mathrm{bù}\) \\
\(1=\) PL & pig & slaughter & time \(=\) TOP
\end{tabular}
'When we kill the year pig, (...)' (CL01ed.15)

\subsection*{6.5.7 Disjunctive topic marker \(=d i\)}

The disjunctive topic marker \(=d i\) is a semantically more rich topic marker than the general topic marker \(=b u\) (§6.5.6). Although \(=d i\) can in most instances be replaced by \(=b u\), a topic marked by \(=b u\) has a more neutral and flat meaning. When the disjunctive topic marker \(=d i\) marks topics, there is a sense that the proposition is different from what was expected, or that there is some underlying adversive reason for the situation. For example in ' \(\mathrm{I}=b u\) did not go to the store' versus ' \(\mathrm{I}=d i \operatorname{did}\) not go to the store', the first sentence is a neutral statement, whereas the second sentence implies that there is an underlying reason for not going, e.g. the speaker has no money. Thus it often denotes that the proposition that follows the topic has a negative connotation, as in (645), is apologetic, as in (646), or counter to expectation, as in (647). It appears after a temporal constituent, as in (645), a nominal constituent, as in (646), a clause linker, as in (651), or a full clause, as in (649). Interestingly, the marker seems to be bound up with the meaning of the whole proposition and does not just refer to the topic.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (645) zèmí = dì } \\
& \text { tonight = tsú } \quad \text { dzJ́ }=\text { dàw, } \quad \text { zep } \quad \text { ghost } \quad \text { be }=\text { IPFV: } 1 . . . \\
& \text { '(...) tonight it's a ghost, tonight...' (CV09.33.2) }
\end{aligned}
\]

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{259}\) The topic markers \(=b u,=d i,=s \rho\) and the intensifier \(=l a\) can all mark conditional clauses in combination with the question marker \(\boldsymbol{e}=\) ( \(\S 10.4 .1\); see also Haiman (1978) 'Conditionals are topics').
}
(646) ní = ¡ə̀ = dì fə̀nǽy-má tà zón wén tçà fià.
\(2=\) PL \(=\) DISJ.TOP smelly-NMLZ only delicious CUST.EXCL say LINK
'(...) they said that they only liked smelly (meat).' (CV09.149)
In (647) and (648) the implied meaning is that even though the situation was bad, the outcome is less bad than expected. In (647) the speaker is happy to see that the addressee is still alive, counter to expectation. In (648) the speaker was frightened, but the aftermath was not that serious. It also includes an element of surprise, implying that the speaker was frightened because it was an unexpected situation.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { é = dí } & \text { nìy = dí } & \text { nè-sà = q q́j } & \text { ¢̀̀ = sèy }  \tag{647}\\
\text { 1SG = DISJ.TOP } & 2 \text { 2SG = DISJ.TOP } & \text { DOWN-die =EXPT } & \text { think = PFV:EGO }
\end{array}
\]
'I was totally expecting you to have died.' (KZ03.14EL)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
é = dí & nè-dú & zù & kwéj \\
1SG = DISJ.TOP & DOwn-be.frightened & very & let:PFV:N.EGO
\end{tabular}
'As for me, I was very frightened.' (KZ03.14EL)
In (649) the aftermath is less serious than it could have been. Here \(=d i\) follows a conditional clause (§10.4.1).
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { nìg }=\text { д́́ mà }=\text { é }=d z a ̀=d i ̀, \ldots  \tag{649}\\
& 2=\text { PL } \quad \text { NEG }=Q=\text { be }=\text { DISJ. } T O P \\
& \text { '(...) if not for you (I would have died) (...)' (CV09.110) }
\end{align*}
\]

Example (650), which my main consultant proferred, is a remark that is used sarcastically. The speaker comments on the addressee being happy, but by using \(=d i\), implies that the addressee should not be so happy. For example, a jealous boyfriend who sees his girlfriend talking happily to another male could say this.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (650) } & \text { nìy }=\text { dí } & \text { gá } & \text { zù = dáw } \\
\text { 2SG = DISJ.TOP } & \text { happy } & \text { very = IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
\]
'You are very happy.' (CV08.20EL)
The disjunctive topic marker \(=d i\) can also be used together with clause linker nǒy. Example (651) is an ironic remark. The previous speaker commented on a certain person who was said to look like the addressee (see example (612) above), but as it turned out was not handsome at all. The current speaker jokes that the addressee, who is considered handsome, should make friends with this person.
 INTJ INTJ so=DISJ.TOP 1:EXCL \(=\) DU friend \(d o=\) VOL:PL say need INFO 'Whoa, hahaha!...In that case you need to say, the two of us can be friends.' (CV07.41.1-2)

It is possible for \(=d i\) to appear after two consecutive constituents as well, as in (652) and in (647) above.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (652) tǎ = dì } & \text { é = dî, } & \text { də̀dǽn= lá thǒy } & \text { mà = qع́j = dáw } \\
\text { now = DISJ.TOP } & \text { 1SG = DISJ.TOP } & \text { walk =also can:N.EGO } & \text { NEG = EXPT = IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
\]
'As for now, I won't even be able to walk.' (YJ01.66)

\subsection*{6.5.8 Contrastive topic marker \(=\) sə}

Contrastive (counter-supposition) topic is marked with the marker \(=s \partial .=s \partial\) occurs after all kinds of constituents. Examples are given of nominals, (653) - (655), a temporal constituent in (656), a clause linker in (657), a verb in (658), and a full clause in (659).

In (653) \(t s \hat{\alpha}\) 'fatty meat' is highlighted to contrast it with 'mutton' that was mentioned in the previous clause; in (654) \(\dot{E}=s ə\) 'I however' said by Hare is contrasted to the trader he meets who is riding a horse and clearly going somewhere.
(653) tsá= sà
mòdæ̀-lí = Łónnì
də̀-ǰ̌j,...
fatty.meat \(=\) CONTR.TOP female-DIM \(=\) PL:AGT TO.SP-bring
'She said that the fatty meat, however, was brought by the girls, (...)'
(CV14.277.5)
```

(654) é = sá tè-dìy=lá cá mà = dóy, nǐy kì
$1 \mathrm{SG}=$ CONTR.TOP one-place $=$ also go $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{IPFV}: E G O: 1 \mathrm{SG}$ 2SG where
ఢə̀ dzà?
go be

```
'I however am not going anywhere, where is it that you are going?' (KZO2.3)
The contrastive topic marker can be used in combination with a constituent that is marked for semantic role, and it follows the semantic role marker:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
è-bǎ & téḉmà = gònnì = sà & t \(^{\text {hé }}\) & Cé \\
1-household:GEN & T:bKra.shis.ma \(=\) AGT \(=\) CONTR.TOP & all.the.time & be.big
\end{tabular}
kèj \(q^{h u ̀ ~ t c ̧ ̀ ~}\)
let need say unk
'(...) our Zhacima always says, "Let them be bigger please." ' (CV18.13)

In (656) the temporals tă 'now' and pt̂nəzenə 'these days' are contrasted with \(\tau \hat{\imath} \hat{k}^{h}{ }_{i}\) 'in the past'.
(656) jǎw zí zù tçá wèn mà, fákhì, thù ṭí = tí
again delicious very say CUST.EXCL INFO past weak.ale= INDF
è-tç \({ }^{\mathrm{h}}\) û, tǎ = sà, púnə̀孔è̀nว̀ = sà
in-drink.and.eat now \(=\) CONTR.TOP these.days \(=\) CONTR.TOP
jèhǎ má = là nè-kwǎş̀ = dàw ly̌j.
all person \(=\) also DOwN-Ch:be.used.to \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO DISS
'In the past, we would consider it delicious, to drink some weak ale (with roast tsampa); now however, these days however, people are all used to too much.' (CV14.169)

After the clause linker nǒg'so, in that case', as in (657), the use of the contrastive topic marker conveys that the situation is in total contrast to what the speaker had assumed.
(657) mê, fǒ, nǒy = sà zǎ = qhù dà-zá cá má dzà qèj.
what INTJ so \(=\) CONTR.TOP hand \(=\) on TO.SP-carry go EPIST
'What? Oh, in that case (Wujin) will probably have gone carrying it by hand.' (CV02.87)

Verb phrases and conditional clauses can also be marked as contrastive topics, as in (658) and in (659). Note that \(=s ə\) in (659) is also used in the construction \(q^{h} u=s 0\) \(q^{h} u\). Predicate-focus constructions like this appear with different discourse markers, and will be discussed in §10.8.

'The writing however won't be (too difficult), (...)' (CV09.18)
(659) jěj \(\quad \dot{e}=t^{\text {h }}\) ò \(=\) sà \(\quad q^{\text {hǔ }}=\) sá \(\quad q^{h}\) ǔ.
bring \(\mathrm{Q}=\) can:N.EGO \(=\) CONTR. TOP need \(=\) CONTR.TOP need 'If (we) can get it however, (we) certainly want/need it.' (CV14.105)

\subsection*{6.5.9 Additional focus marker \(=\) ni}

The marker =nimarks additional focus. The marker is homophonous with the agentive marker \(=n i\) ( \(\$ 6.2 .1 .1\) ) but the intuition of my main consultant is that they are not related. Further research needs to be done to confirm this. \({ }^{260}=n i\) can follow nominals

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{260}\) Lidz (2011:55) notes that the agentive marker nui \({ }^{33}\) in Yǒngníng Na has an additional function as emphatic marking with non-nominals.
}
(usually nouns, but also NP's as in [661]), as in (660-662), (664) and (665), and verbs, as in (663) and (666). The marker is used to mark an addition to a list of items that are either overtly stated, or implicitly presupposed.

Sometimes \(=n i\) is used in situations where there is no solution to a problem; the clause marked by \(=n i\) gives another reason for why there is no solution. In the story example (660) is taken from, a prince keeps asking a monk to give his adopted daughter to him in marriage, and the previous line ends in the statement that even though the monk does not want to, he has no solution. Example (660) gives an additional reason for marrying her off (marked by \(=n i\) ): she is of a marriageable age, and so the monk finally has to give in.

'On top of that she had turned eighteen, (...)' (TC07.31)
In (661) the speaker has just commented that the researcher is to be pitied (since it must be difficult for her to live in a remote village). Then she adds, that on top of the hardships experienced by the researcher, the Pǔmǐ food is not that good (which will make it even harder for her to live there):

'Our food is not even edible.' (CV21.34.4)
In (662) on top of not having brought enough axes, the two women were also not able to cut trees, which made the success of their operation even more questionable. \(=n i\) expresses that they have no solution whatsoever.
```

(662) ìŋ-bú = bù

```

```

    1:INCL-household \(=\) TOP then axe two \(=\) only \(1: I N C L=D U=A D D . F O C\)
    ```

```

    cut NEG=can:EGO:1 how do=VOL:INCL
    ```
'(...) our household (has) only two axes, and on top of that the two of us cannot cut, what shall we do?' (YJ02.6)

But \(=n i\) is also used in a positive sense. In the utterances preceding (663), the topic of conversation turned to the unity of the clan. Several things were mentioned: they bear the same surname; they do everything together smoothly; when somebody dies, they all spring into action immediately. In (663) another thing is added to the list:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (663) } & \text { sésè = nì } & \text { mà = dwêy, }
\end{array} \quad \text { é = qว̀. } .
\]
'On top of that, we ( = our clan) do not quarrel.' (i.e. at the time of funerals) (CV21.51.2)

In the following three examples, the background information is not mentioned explicitly, but is implied. In (664) the implication is that normally men find wives. On top of that, this particular man is handsome, so the fact that he is not able to find a wife is counter-expectational.

```

male $=\operatorname{ADD} . F O C$ be.good $=S V M$ time wife find can:N.EGO
mà $=$ dâw
neg $=$ IPFV:N.EGO

```
'Even though this man is handsome (this man is even handsome, but), he is not able to find a wife.' (YJ02.6EL)

In (665) the speaker has just invited the addressee over for a New Year's meal. She is trying to convince the addressee not to worry about giving trouble to the inviting family. Added to the normal (implied) argument that coming over for a meal is not going to give trouble to the family, an additional argument is that they do not even have guests staying with them during the New Year.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (665) } & \text { wèmə́ = nì } & \text { mǎ = zì } & \text { mə̀ dzว̀. } \\
\text { guest = ADD.FOC } & \text { NEG = EXIST.AN } & \text { GNOMIC }
\end{array}
\]
'(Our household) does not even have any guests.' (CV21.31)
The speaker in (666) is telling about the time he was child and would buy candy on the way to school. The presupposition is that the children bought candy and ate it in addition to their lunch, but in (666) the speaker adds that they did not even bother bringing lunch, but just ate the candy.
(666) çwéy zà̀ = nì mǎ=wèn, tá bú = gà qhà-dzâ.
lunch carry \(=\) ADD.FOC NEG \(=\) CUST.EXCL this candy \(=\) DEF OUT-eat
'(...) (we) would not even carry lunch, but just eat this candy.' (CV12.76.2)
As with the disjunctive topic marker \(=d i\) (§6.5.7), the additional focus marker relates to the proposition, as well as to the referent it follows.

\subsection*{6.5.10 Additional topic markers \(=\) gadi and tøวтә}

There are two additional topic markers that appear to be combinations of other morphemes. The first one, = gədi seems to be constructed from the definite marker
\(=g ə\) (85.5) and the disjunctive topic marker \(=d i\). There are only two examples in the whole corpus, given in (667) and (668). Note that = gədi follows a first person pronoun, whereas normally the definite marker \(=g \circ\) does not follow the first person pronoun. The attestation in the corpus is too sparse to clarify the use of this marker, and the label 'topic marker' has to be understood as a provisional label, until further research is conducted. It can be noted that in (667) other discourse markers can be used (notably \(=b u\) and \(=d i\). In (668), where two constituents are marked, = gədi seems to indicate that the result is not expected and not wanted. The use of \(=g ə d i\) implies an impact on self, and especially when self is highly affected, as in (668), other discourse markers cannot be used without a loss of this expression of affect.

¢ı̀ \(=\) sèn.
think \(=\) PFV:EGO
'(...) (he) came crying loudly; I wondered what was coming.' (CV07.75)
(668) é = gádì, tènón zæ̀ = gádì è-tse \({ }^{\mathrm{h}} w \check{j}\).
\(1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP}\) just.now hand=TOP IN-stab
'I just stabbed my hand.' (CV16.63.2)
The second additional topic marker \(=\) tcomo seems to derive from the verb tç̌'to say' and the nominalizer -mə(\$5.2.3). It behaves very similarly to the general topic marker \(=b u\) ( \(\$ 6.5 .6\) ) and can in all cases be replaced by \(=b u\). At this point it is not clear whether there is a difference in meaning or not. One particular speaker prefers to use \(=t c ə m ə\) instead of \(=b u\), as in (669). Another speaker regularly uses both \(=b u\) and \(=\) tcomə in combination to mark a single constituent, always with \(=\) tcomo following \(=b u\), as in (670). The idiolect of each of the two speakers stands out in this regard.

'When the head cover was upside down, it was all irregular, mind you, that head cover was a beautiful one?' (CV22.7.2)
(670)

'(...) the old man was very very happy and thanked us profusely.' (CV09.119)
Like \(=b u,=\) tcomo occurs after nominals, as in (669), after temporal or locational constituents, as in (671) and after temporal subordinate clauses, as in (669) above. The temporal constituent in (671) is an afterthought.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline tò-bǎ & ə́-dzæ̀ & dz ¢́ \(=\) tù & tź-¢ə̀ & nè-¢ə̀ = lá \\
\hline 3-household:GEN & that-location:GEN & ladder \(=\) on & UP-g & DOWN-go = also \\
\hline mǎ = Ž̌n fià, & sòn-nóy zé & = gò = t¢̧̀ & & \\
\hline NEG \(=\) can LINK & three-CLF:day four & CLF:day = D & \(=\mathrm{TOP}\) & \\
\hline '(...) I was not abl three or four day & \begin{tabular}{l}
e to go up and dow \\
.' (CV21.261.2)
\end{tabular} & the stairs of & that & ace ( = my job) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Like \(=b u,=t\), \(\quad\) omo can occur with semantic role markers, and also mark multiple constituents in the same clause:

```

    2= DU = AGT = TOP again that-under = TOP (...) cliff=PL
    mó-bí khò-twì.
    down-on OUT-put:PFV:N.EGO
    ```
'The two of you had (...) put a big stone (in order to make a sleeping place for them).' (CV09.118.2)

Like \(=b u,=\) tcomə can appear after a subordinate clause, in this case a causal subordinate:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (673) } \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
\text { fá } & \text { nè-dzàdzà = góyní = tç̀mə̀ } & \text { nè-qá } & \text { thón }^{\text {hó }} \\
\text { tooth } & \text { DOWN-be.tightly.closed }=\text { AGT }=\text { TOP } & \text { DOWN-split.open } & \text { can:N.EGO }
\end{array} \\
& \text { mà }=\text { dâw. } \\
& \text { NEG = IPFV:N.EGO } \\
& \text { '(...) because (her) teeth were tightly closed, (her mouth) could not be } \\
& \text { opened.' (CV09.64.2) }
\end{aligned}
\]

The markers \(=\) gədi and \(=\) tcomə are restricted in their use. The attestation of \(=g ə d i\) is limited to the above examples. Apart from the constructions described above, =tcomə only appears with a temporal subordinate clause (§10.4.2) and the causal subordinate clause (§10.4.3) and does not appear in any of the other constructions that the other discourse markers appear in (see Chapter 10).

\subsection*{6.5.11 Limiting topic marker \(=6 i\)}

In addition to several semantic role markers and topic and focus markers, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a marker \(=\varphi i\) which has characteristics of a semantic role marker as well as a discourse marker. Positionally it can be grouped with the semantic role markers, but it has an interesting distribution: it only occurs after Agents, as in (674), and after event numeral-classifier compounds (§4.4), as in (675). It can be followed by position 3 discourse topic markers, such as \(=b u,=d i\) and \(=s ə\) and \(=t\) tcəmə.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline té-qè \(=\) cì & nón & \(m a ̀=k^{\text {hery }}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{\grave{æ}}\), \\
\hline one-ClF:household = LIM. TOP \(^{\text {a }}\) & money & NEG \(=\) give \(=\) just \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
té-cì \(=\) gòynì & khěy & mà = dâw. \\
one-CLF:village = AGT & give & NEG = IPFV:N.EGO
\end{tabular}
'As soon as one family doesn't give money, the whole village doesn't give.'
(TC10.9EL)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
tičj, & nว̀-dz̧ú \(=\) दí \(=\) bù & á-tèj & fià & thè-dôy. \\
INTJ & two-CLF:times = LIM.TOP = TOP & that-big & LINK & FR.SP-become
\end{tabular}
'Look! In only two times (the bladder balloon) has become that big!'
(CV18.151)
In every occurrence after an Agent, \(=\epsilon i\) can be replaced by the normal agentive marker \(=(g) o \eta(n i)(86.2 .1)\). It should be noted that in the Ladigu Pǔmǐ speech variety, \(=\varphi i\) is the main agentive marker. Note that the normal agentive marker can also be used to mark Instrument (\$6.2.4) and that the occurrence of \(=\epsilon i\) in (675) could be interpreted as the marking of some kind of Instrument: 'using two times' to conduct the action of blowing the bladder. However, when appearing after a numeral-classifier compound, \(=\epsilon i\) cannot be replaced by \(=(g)\) onni. It could be proposed though that \(=\varphi i\) has some kind of agentive/instrumental role marking function.

However, \(=c i\) seems to have not simply a semantic role marking function, but has a pragmatic function as well. Looking through the corpus of conversational discourse, the agentive marking function of \(=\zeta i\) seems to appear when a discourse referent that was the topic of the conversation is reintroduced as main discourse topic after another referent has dominated the scene. Thus in (676), the main discourse topic of the conversation 'Aunt Lhamtsho' is reintroduced after the conversation turned to another topic.
(676)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline èmá &  & & \(\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}}\) ǽ & mǎ = kwì & â, \\
\hline aunt & T:lHa.mtsho = LIM.TOP & 2SG & eye & NEG = have & CONF \\
\hline ว́-pù & tó-twáw & ," & ţ̣à & & \\
\hline hat-u & der UP-dig:IMP:SG & & say & FV:N.EGO & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'Aunt Lhatshu said (to him), "Don't you have eyes? You should dig under there!" ' (CV22.33)

Following numeral-classifier compounds, \(=6 i\) has a delimiting function and denotes that the action is conducted in only the amount expressed by the numeral-classifier, as in (677). The classifier is usually an event classifier (§4.4).
```

(677) ní té-ts\grave{ = cì phǽq \&ćj fà,}
LOG one-CLF:jump=LIM.TOP flee:PFV:N.EGO go:PFV:N.EGO LINK
thùlì = gô\.
hare = AGT
'(...) he himself fled in just one jump, that Hare.' (TC04.18)

```

The difference between the two temporal expressions \(t e t^{h Y} ⿳^{\text {C }}\) (after) a little while' and \(t e t^{h 2}\) ci' immediately' is also based on the delimiting function of \(=6 i\) : 'immediately' is literally 'in only a little while’.

When reduplicated numeral-classifier compounds are followed by \(=\boldsymbol{\epsilon} 1\), the implication is a continuous action followed by a result, as in (678)..\(^{261}\) In this position, \(=6 i\) is almost without exception followed by the general topic marker \(=b u\) (§6.5.6). \({ }^{262}\)
 INTJ IN-come time LINK one-CLF:run one-CLF:run \(=\) LIM. \(T O P=\mathrm{TOP}=\mathrm{TOP}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad \text { walk }=\text { also } \mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{can} \text { FR.SP-become } \mathrm{INFO} \text { foot }
\end{aligned}
\]
'My oh my! After (I) came back (from Yǒngníng) (I) was continuously running back and forth, until I could not even walk any more, (my) foot (was so painful).' (CV21.248)

Looking at the three environments that \(=\) cioccurs in, it can be seen that its use is very similar to that of the normal agentive marker \(=(g)\) on(ni): it can mark an Agent of a clause, as in (674) and (676); it can mark a constituent that can be interpreted as some

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{261}\) Interestingly, no matter what the tonal pattern of the numeral-classifier compound is normally (§3.4.2), in this construction the tone pattern is always [H-L H-L L].
\({ }^{262}\) And in example (678) by \(=\) t \(6 \partial m \rho\) as well. The combination \(=b u=t c \partial m \rho\) is characteristic for this particular speaker's idiolect (§6.5.10).
}
sort of Instrument, as in (675) and (677); and in (678) it almost looks like a clausal subordinator. All these functions can be covered by \(=(g) o \eta(n i)\) as well (cf. §6.2.1, §6.2.4, §10.4.3). However, only in the first environment \(=\epsilon i\) can be substituted by \(=(g) o \eta(n i)\).

Dīng (1998:163) describes a similar marker [ \([j \tilde{\varepsilon}]\) in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ and analyses it as an argument focus particle. However, based on its delimiting function in combination with a numeral-classifier compound, and its discourse function of re-introducing a previous topic, I refer to it as a limiting topic marker. More research, especially crossdialectal research, needs to be conducted to clarify the exact function and development of this marker.

\subsection*{6.6 Conclusion}

This chapter looked at the different noun phrase markers. Three position classes can be established: semantic role marking; intensifiers; and discourse markers. Most semantic role markers derive from locational postpositions. Agentive marking is optional and pragmatically motivated; patients are unmarked; datives are obligatorily marked. Transitivity plays a minor role and the grammatical relations of 'subject' and 'object' are difficult to define. Agentive and ablative marking can also be used for clausal subordination. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a whole range of different discourse markers that display various semantics. In addition to marking noun phrases, discourse markers are also used in various other constructions discussed in Chapter 10.

\section*{Chapter 7.} The predicate

The following two chapters will cover the main predicate constructions in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The Pǔmǐ predicate expresses direction, aspect, modality, evidentiality and epistemicity and speaker attitude. The structure of the predicate complex was determined by looking at the different combinational possibilities attested in the corpus, and can be summarized as in Figure 7.1 (the second line of the figure follows the first):

Figure 7.1. Structure of the predicate
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|l}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Pre-verbal \\
modifier
\end{tabular} & Direction- & Negation \(=\) & Interrogative \(=\) & V1 & V2/ Aspect \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l}
\hline Auxiliary & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Post-verbal \\
modifier
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
= Egophoricity/ \\
Evidentiality
\end{tabular} & = Epistemicity & Attitude \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The current chapter and next chapter are organised in the following order: the current chapter looks at the directional prefixes (§7.1), negation markers (§7.2) and interrogative marker (§7.3). This is followed by verb stem morphology (§7.4) which includes several reduplication patterns (§7.4.1), a derivational suffix (§7.4.2) and verb pairs that show alternation of the initial (§7.4.3). Special types of verbs include the copula (§7.5), existential verbs (§7.6), the light verb \(p \dot{\sharp}\) and denominal verbs (§7.7). Verbal aspect is denoted by several secondary verbs (§7.8), and verbs can be followed by different auxiliaries (§7.8.5). The chapter closes with several constructions that modify the predicate (§7.8.5). Pre-verbal modification will be treated together with post-verbal modification. Chapter 8 deals with verb inflection and post-verbal egophoric, evidential, epistemic and attitude markers. Verbs that show inflection are the equational copula (§7.5), the animate existential verb (§7.6), the modal auxiliary expressing ability (§7.9.1) and controllable verbs (§8.1.1).

\subsection*{7.1 Directional prefixes}

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, direction is expressed by a set of six directional prefixes that attach to the verb. These indicate literal or metaphoric direction of the movement expressed by the verb, and are sometimes conventionalised collocations with bleached meaning. The existence of directional prefixes is a common areal feature found in all Qiangic
languages. \({ }^{263}\) One could alternatively analyse these directional morphemes as proclitics. Cross-linguistically, affixes tend to appear closer to the stem than clitics, but in Pǔmǐ other clitics, such as negation, interrogative and discourse clitics can appear between the directional prefix and the verb stem, as in (679), and the interrogative clitic normally fuses with the directional prefix (as described in §7.3). Furthermore, most nominal and verbal marking is expressed by clitics (see Chapters 6, 7 and 8).
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (679) ájòy, } & \text { kè }=\text { tâ, } & \text { ně-mí= sź = sì } & \text { dǒy. } \\
\text { INTJ } & \text { afraid = SVM } & \text { DOWN-NEG:PFV = die = INF } & \text { okay }
\end{array}
\]

However, directional prefixes only appear preceding verbs, and with the exception of the above-mentioned clitics, nothing can appear in between prefix and verb. Furthermore, it has been common practice in Qiangic literature to refer to similar directional morphemes as prefixes. In this thesis I therefore refer to these morphemes as prefixes.

The semantics of the directional prefixes were discussed in §4.6.2 and their tonal behavior in §3.4.5. In this section I will show their interaction with verbs. \({ }^{264}\) The list of prefixes is given in Table 7.1. There is no prefix indicating neutral direction, as has been reported for rGyalrongic languages (Jacques 2004:359). Prefixes are only obligatory in certain contexts.

Table 7.1 Directional prefixes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ts- & 'mountain-wards, upwards' \\
\hline ně- & 'valley-wards, downwards' \\
\hline \(q^{h \check{\partial}} / / k^{h \check{y}}\) - & 'outwards, down the valley' \\
\hline \(\check{\text { er- }}\) & 'inwards, up the valley' \\
\hline \(d \grave{-}\) & 'towards speaker (across boundary)' \\
\hline \(t^{h} \check{\underline{E}}\) - & 'from speaker (across boundary)' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{263}\) See LaPolla with Huáng (2003:154ff) and Evans (2004:206) for Qiāng, Shirai (2009) for nDrapa and Arakawa (2012) for Tangut verbal prefixes. Jacques (2011b:437) correlates three of the six Pumi prefixes with Tangut prefixes: \(n j a^{1}\) 'down', \(d j \dot{z}^{2}\) 'cislocative' and \(d j a^{2}\) 'translocative'.
\({ }^{264}\) For a more in-depth study of prefixes, see Fù (1998:27-72, 172-207), who looked at the grammatical categories of 426 verbs in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ.
}

Not all verbs can take all prefixes：the choice of prefix depends partly on the semantics of the verb，but is often also lexicalized．Some verbs，especially motion verbs，can combine with all six directional prefixes，in which case they tend to denote literal direction，\({ }^{265}\) but many verbs have lexicalized the use of a particular prefix．\({ }^{266}\) In（680） an example is given with the verb ća＇to go＇that takes all prefixes．
（680）t仑－¢ə＇to go up＇
ne－ć＇to go down＇
e－ć́＇to go in＇
\(k^{h}\) д－сб́＇to go out＇
də－द́＇to go towards speaker＇
\(t^{h}{ }^{\boldsymbol{c}}\)－ḉ \(\quad\)＇to go from speaker＇
The equational copula，existential verbs and auxiliaries do not take directional prefixes． Stative verbs can take prefixes（§7．1．2）．

\section*{7．1．1 Directional prefixes and the interplay with verbal semantics}

The semantics of the verb can constrain the choice of the prefix：when the verbs in （681）and（682）occur with a prefix，they only occur with the prefix ně－and tó－ respectively，and in these cases it implies literal or metaphorical movement．
\(n e-q \hat{a} \quad\)＇to fall down＇
\(n e-t \hat{\varepsilon} j \quad\)＇to put on／wear（hat）＇
\(n e-h \varepsilon ́ j \quad\)＇to lose balance＇
\(n e-g \hat{u} \quad\)＇to put on clothes＇
（682）
tó－k \({ }^{h i n} \quad\)＇to get up＇
\(t \hat{\imath}-t^{h} a_{W} \quad\)＇to bubble，boil＇
t仑े－du＇to climb onto sth；to copulate（of animals）＇
t仑̂－caW＇to raise（children）＇
Some verbs can occur with both prefixes and denote literal movement：

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{265}\) Fù（1998：51）notes a correlation between the number of prefixes that a verb takes and the degree of abstraction：only for verbs that take three or more prefixes is the literal direction expressed by the prefixes，and with verbs that take five or six prefixes，only literal direction is expressed．
\({ }^{266}\) In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ，there is no single lexicalized prefix that marks perfective as opposed to simple direction，as exists in some Qiang varieties（LaPolla，p．c．）．
}
（683）\(s a \check{a} n e-t^{h} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \quad\)＇to close an umbrella＇267
să t̂人－th＇to open an umbrella＇
With some verbs the prefix ň̌－＇downwards＇is used metaphorically for negative meanings，\({ }^{268}\) as in（684），whereas the prefix tó－＇upwards＇is used metaphorically for positive meanings．This is especially clear with stative verbs，\({ }^{269}\) as in（685）．
（684）\(n e-q u ̌ \quad\)＇to become blind＇
\(n e-q W \varepsilon ́ j \quad\)＇to cry＇
\(n e-\ell o ̂ \eta \quad\)＇to have difficulties＇
\(n e-t\)＇to die outside of home＇
\(n e\)－Wěj＇to curse＇
（685）t仑人－zo＇to become a lot＇
\(t \hat{-}-b r \quad\)＇to become expanded＇
tó－ku＇to be full＇
\(t \delta-k^{h} w i \quad\)＇to have pity on＇
The metaphorical use of the prefix ň̌－denoting something bad can also be clearly seen in example（686），where the prefix \(q^{h} \check{\partial}-/ k^{h \check{\partial}-270}\) is normally used with the verb and the prefix \(n \check{e}\)－has a negative connotation．
（686）\(k^{h}{ }_{\partial}-t^{h} \hat{\varphi} \eta \quad\)＇to drink＇\(n e-t^{h} \hat{1} \eta \quad\)＇to drink continuously，be an alcoholic＇ \(q^{h} \partial-d z \hat{\theta}\)＇to eat＇\(n e-d z \hat{\hat{\theta}}\)＇to eat a lot，overeat＇

Some more lexicalized meanings of \(n \check{e}\)－and tó－are shown in（687）and（688）．
\(n e-\underset{l}{\text { ta }} W\)＇to ferment＇
\(n e-f\) द̌̌y \(\quad\)＇to laugh＇
\(n e-l i ́ \quad\)＇to narrate＇
（688）tó－tst＇to sleep holding a child＇
tó－hej＇to forcibly occupy＇
tó－\({\underset{c}{i}}_{i}^{\text {＇to sweat＇}}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{267}\) The word for＇umbrella＇is a loanword from Chinese 伞 săn．
\({ }^{268}\) Cf．Dīng（1998：120）for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ．
\({ }^{269}\) Cf．Fù（1998：37）for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ．The downwards and upwards prefixes in Qiāng also have negative and positive connotations（LaPolla with Huáng 2003：159）．Note that the addition of a prefix with stative verbs indicates inchoative（§7．1．2）．
\({ }^{270}\) The phonology of this prefix was discussed in §2．1．7．4．Some cross－dialectal comparison and semantics were discussed in §4．6．2．
}

Chinese verbs are usually borrowed with the prefix ně－．This is also noted for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ（Dīng 1998：120）．Examples are given in（689）．
（689）ne－kwźntçi＇to shut down（phone）＇（＜关机 guānji）
\(n e\)－pipǐn＇to graduate＇（＜毕业 bìyè）
ne－tûpaw＇to gamble＇（＜赌博 dǔbo）
\(n e-c a t s^{h}{ }^{h} \dot{j}\)＇to get out of the car＇（＜下车 xiàchē）
ne－tswêppi＇to prepare＇（＜准备 zhǔnbè̀）\({ }^{271}\)
The＇inwards＇prefix \(\check{e}\)－is often used as a default prefix \({ }^{272}\) ：many verbs can only be prefixed with \(\check{\mathcal{E}}\)－in which case no specific direction is implied，as in（690）．
（690）\(e\)－dzǎz \(y \quad\)＇to be clogged up＇
\(e\)－Swén＇to study，teach＇
\(e\)－ť́＇to grab＇
\(e-p^{h}\) á＇to become moldy＇
In example（691）from a conversation，a literal direction is illustrated．The speaker is in Wǎdū and the person referred to called her from Yǒngníng，which is down the valley and outwards from Wǎdū．The phone call is thus directed inwards．
（691）jǎw tìghwá hòn－dł̣̀ é－dwî
again Ch：phone in－direction in－throw：PFV：N．EGO
＇He gave me a call again（．．．）＇（CV15．22．1）
 and no literal direction is implied．Some examples are given in（692）．
\(q^{h} \partial-t s^{h} \hat{a} \quad\)＇to slaughter＇
\(q^{h} \partial\)－swǐ＇to whet＇
\(k^{h} \partial-n n^{i} \hat{O} \eta \quad\)＇to nurse＇
\(q^{h} \partial-n \hat{1} \quad\)＇to rest＇
\(k^{h} \partial-\not-\hat{e} \eta \quad\)＇to be left over＇
With some verbs that take multiple prefixes，the prefix \(q^{h \check{\partial}-/ k^{h} \partial \text {－indicates a more }}\) specific meaning related to cultural practices：

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{271}\) This verb has also been attested with the prefix \(t^{h} \check{\varepsilon}\)－ ．
\({ }^{272}\) Interestingly Fù（1998：43）notes that in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ many verbs that take the \(\check{e}\)－prefix have to do with kitchen tasks．
}
(693) \(n e-t s s^{h} \check{x} \quad\) 'to open something new'
\(q^{h} \partial\) - \(t s^{h} \check{\mathscr{C}} \quad\) 'to start on a new pork back \({ }^{273}\)
(694) ne-tŝ̂ 'to milk (a cow); to siphon'
\(q^{h} \partial-t s \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \quad\) 'to open a jar of ale (by siphoning it into something else) \({ }^{2774}\)
(695) t仑̂-qety 'to weigh'
\(q^{h} \partial\) - \(q e ́ \eta \quad\) 'to measure (using measuring cups) \({ }^{2275}\)
The prefixes \(d \check{\partial}-\) 'towards speaker' and \(t^{h} \check{\varphi}\) - 'away from speaker' can also denote a direction across a physical border, like a river. Examples of metaphorical direction towards and from the speaker are given in (696) and (697). However, the semantics of the verb stem do not completely predict the use of a prefix, as can be seen in (698) where one would expect the prefix \(d \check{\partial}\)-, but instead \(t^{h} \check{\dot{E}}\) - is used. Some examples of lexicalized collocations with \(d \check{\partial}\) - and \(t^{h} \check{\varphi}\) - are given in (699) and (700).


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{273}\) Pork back, the salted and dried deboned rump of a pig, fulfils an important cultural role. At every New Year a new pork back needs to be 'opened' which means that the head is cut off the rump and placed on the house altar next to the hearth accompanied by a ritual. After that the meat can be sliced and eaten.
\({ }^{274}\) Ale is traditionally brewed at home (from a mixture of barley, highland barley, corn, and buckwheat or millet) and stored in jars. Opening a new jar is accompanied by a ritual: when the jar is opened, some of the dregs are put on the cooking tripod as an offering.
\({ }^{275}\) Traditionally, people in Wǎdū use two standard dry measures in the form of a round and a square wooden measuring cup. When lending grain, an equal amount can be returned later.
\({ }^{276}\) This verb can also appear with the prefix \(n \check{e}\) - in the sense 'to run into, encounter'.
\({ }^{277}\) This verb does not co-occur with the prefix \(d \check{\partial}\) - to show the direction of the action, like the verbs in (701).
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(t^{h}{ }^{\text {b }}\)-dzu & 'to build, repair' \\
\hline \(t^{h}\) b-tct \({ }^{\text {h }}\) íj & 'to know hidden things' \\
\hline \(t^{h}{ }^{\text {b }}\) - \(h^{\underline{j} \tilde{\varepsilon} j}\) & 'to leave (animals, people) behind' \\
\hline \(t^{h} e-t^{\text {h }}\) \# & 'to untie a knot' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A few verbs can take both prefixes of the pair to indicate the direction of the action, as is illustrated in (701).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (701) & \(t^{h} e_{-k \hat{l}}\) & 'to sell' (speaker is selling) & \(d d-k \hat{\imath}\) & 'to sell' (to speaker) \\
\hline & \(t^{h}{ }_{B}\)-nǐ & 'to lend (tools)' & \(d o-n i ̌\) & 'to borrow (tools)' \\
\hline & \(t^{h}\) bett \(t^{\text {ht }}\) & 'to lend (food)' &  & 'to borrow (food)' \\
\hline &  & 'to give' (speaker is giving) & \(d o-k^{\text {hin }}\) & 'to give' (to speaker) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{7.1.2 Stative verbs and directional prefixes}

When stative verbs (\$8.2) are prefixed with a directional prefix, it marks inchoative aspect and a change in telicity of the verb, changing the stative verb to a verb denoting accomplishment or achievement. \({ }^{278}\) Examples are given in (702) and (685) above.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1 \hat{\varepsilon} j\) & 'to be heavy' & \(n e-1 \hat{\varepsilon} j / q^{h}{ }^{\text {- }} 1 \hat{\varepsilon} j\) & 'to become heavy' \\
\hline kó & 'to be spicy' & \(t \bigcirc-k \hat{\theta}\) & 'to become spicy' \\
\hline zá & 'to be much' &  & 'to become more' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In combination with the prefix \(t^{h ̌}\)-, a stative verb still indicates a state, but rather a comparative or superlative state (as compared with others) or an excessive state (as compared to one's own expectations). This is a productive process.
(703) \(t^{h}{ }_{e}-1 \hat{\varepsilon} j \quad\) 'be heavier, be too heavy'
\(t^{h}\) e-kó 'be spicier, be too spicy'
\(t^{h} \boldsymbol{b}\)-zб́ 'be more, be too much'
The comparative, superlative and excessive meanings are illustrated in (704), (705) and (706), respectively. \({ }^{279}\)

\(3=\mathrm{PL}=\) on 1:INCL \(=\) PL money FR.SP-be.excessive because
'(...) we got more money than they (...)' (CV08.22.2)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{278}\) Based on Vendler (1967), Van Valin and LaPolla (1997) distinguish four semantic types of verbs: States: be tall, know, have; Activities: walk, roll (intr.), read, eat; Achievements: pop, explode, collapse; Accomplishments: melt (intr.), freeze (intr.); learn. The latter two types are telic (i.e. having an endpoint).
\({ }^{279}\) In Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ the excessive state, but not the superlative state is expressed with the same directional prefix (Dīng 1998:121).
}
```

(705) híy-bù thè-thóy=gá tíydwí=bù tç wí zù
who-household FR.SP-be.fast = DEF luck = TOP good very
tč́x wê\eta
consider CUST.EXCL

```
'(...) the household that is the fastest, their luck is considered to be very good.' (CLO2ed.16)
(706) èmá = gæ̀ zě thè-ć sé fìà, tçáwşúthàw = gà
aunt \(=\) GEN hand FR.SP-be.big because Ch:rubber.glove \(=\) DEF

FR.SP-be.small because
'(...) (I cannot wear them), because aunt's hands are too big, (...) because the rubber gloves are too small, (...)' (CV02.46.1)

\subsection*{7.1.3 Directional prefixes and telicity}

Cross-linguistically, there is a tendency for directionals to develop into markers of perfectivity, by making a process telic or bounded (Bybee and Dahl 1989:85-86). In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the use of directional prefixes is not necessarily linked to perfective aspect, \({ }^{280}\) which can be seen in the fact that they can co-occur with imperfective and expectational as well as perfective post-verbal particles, as in (707) and (708), but rather points to the endpoint (telicity) of an action. \({ }^{281}\)
```

(707) nè-dzóy = dôy, é=lá nè-dzóy=sê\eta, kóy zǔ
DOWN-sit = IPFV:EGO:1SG 1SG = also DOWN-sit = PFV:EGO cold very
nùséy = bù.
morning = TOP

```
'(I) sit down regularly (next to the fire as well), I also sat down (in the morning) as well, it's very cold in the early morning.' (CV02.14)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{280}\) Although with controllable verbs that do not show inflection for phonological reasons, the directional prefix is an indication of perfectivity (88.1.1).
\({ }^{281}\) The notion of telicity has also been proposed for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ by Dīng (1998:196,208-209). He notes that the use of directional prefixes suggests perfectivity with Action verbs in some discourse contexts, but points to the basic notion of telicity. Fù (1998:119) mentions for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ that directional prefixes are often used in prospective aspect and the addition of a directional prefix indicates that the action will certainly be done (1998:124) and is linked to a result (1998:125).
}
(708) is used when one has already told the addressee that one is planning to eat bread and is carrying bread.
```

(708) é màz\check{x̌ qh\grave{-dzó=sû}}\mathbf{}\mathrm{ \}
1SG bread OUT-eat=vOL:SG

```
'I want to eat the bread.' (EL:W-C33.3)
In (709) the directional prefix cannot be left out, which points to the completion of the first action before the second action is taken. The prefix could be seen as indicating perfectivity, but as Ding (1998:209) points out for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ, directional prefixes may give a perfective reading with some Activity verbs due to the discourse context. In (709), the prefixed verb occurs in a temporal subordinate clause marked by \(k^{h} i n o \eta\) 'only when', which indicates that the first action is conducted before the second starts. Thus a directional prefix that marks the boundedness of the action needs to be present on the first verb.
(709) néliáw \(=\) wù \(t^{\text {h }}\) è- \(p^{\text {hí }} \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hìì }}\right)\right)=\) nòy tçì \(=\) gǐ.
eye \(=\) in \(\quad\) FR.SP-push time \(=\) only pour \(=\) vol:INCL
'Only after having pushed in the eye, let's pour in (some salt).' (CV18.127)
A similar example is given in (710). In (710) the difference between the absence of the prefix in the first line and the use of the prefix in the second and third line also points to the boundedness of the action. In the story something happened during the time the mother was eating, and something else happened after she had finished eating.
```

(710) də̀bǔ, dzwó = sì ṭçw. dzwə́ = sì kì = bù dàbǔ
then eat:PFV:N.EGO $=$ INF HSY eat:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ time $=$ TOP then
'So (she) ate. When (she) was eating, (...)' (TC04.15)
dàbǔ qh̀̀-dzwź = sì tçə̀= dàw.
then out-eat:PFV:N.EGO = INF say=IPFV:N.EGO
'Then (she) finished eating, it is said.' (TC04.17)
qhà-dzwź kì̀ = nòy = bù dàbǔ
oUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ only $=$ TOP then
'When (she) had eaten, (...)' (TC04.18)

```

A similar difference in telicity can be seen when comparing example (707) above with (711). In (707) a directional prefix is used which indicates the bounded action of sitting down, whereas in (711) no prefix is used and the same verb indicates the non-bounded action of staying in a place.

'Today aunt ( \(=\mathrm{I}\) ) visited the Nuòsū household over there.' (CV14.285)
The use of directional prefixes also ties in with pragmatics. When someone invites a person for a meal and that person wants to decline the invitation, it is possible to say \(d z \delta ́=s e \eta\) 'I've eaten'. This does not mean that the invited person has really eaten. If so, \(q^{h \check{\partial}-d z \delta}=s e \eta\) would be used, with the directional prefix to show that the action has been completed.

When perfective aspect is expressed in verbs (through a non-egophoric perfective verb stem or a basic verb stem followed by the egophoric perfective marker, see §8.1.1 and §8.3.1), the directional prefix is generally used. The exception is the verbs 'to do', 'to go', 'to come', 'to let', 'to think', and 'to say', which are often used without prefix even in perfective aspect, as in (712).
(712) zé-tsə̀ = gà
ş̂̀j.
four-CLF:person = DEF go:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) the four of them went.' (CV02.78.1)
When preceded by a perfective negative, a directional prefix is usually absent, as in (713). \({ }^{282}\) When a directional prefix is present, it either denotes a specific direction (in the case of motion verbs), as in (714), or emphasizes the action, as in (715).
```

(713) tènóy té-kù = là mí= dzwá sì dàw
just.now one-CLF:mouthful = also NEG:PFV = eat:PFV:N.EGO EPIST:probably
k
trail

```
'Just now (you) probably did not eat even one mouthful.' (CV19.55)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{282}\) The exception is with stative verbs that show inchoative aspect (§7.1.2): the directional prefix is present and the perfective negation intervenes between the prefix and the verb, as in \(n \varepsilon-m i ́=l \varepsilon j\) 'has not become heavy'. With verbs that have a lexicalized directional, as in (687), (688), (699) and (700) above, the prefix will usually be absent.
}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(714) tá \(\quad\) dǎwmà & t ch \(^{\mathrm{h}}\) wæ̀ né-nìn & fà tètsǐ \\
this \(\quad\) T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma & pig & DOwN-drive & LINK still
\end{tabular}
'(...) this Dauma went to herd pigs and has probably still not come back up.' (CV20.19)
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ájòn, } & \text { kè }=\text { tâ, } & \text { ně-mí }=\text { só }=\text { sì } & \text { dǒy. }  \tag{715}\\
\text { INTJ } & \text { afraid }=\text { SVM } & \text { DOWN-NEG:PFV = die = INF } & \text { okay }
\end{array}
\]
'Oh! That's scary, (she) did not end up dying, that's good.' (CV09.66)
Pǔmǐ does not favor a special directional prefix for imperative forms; \({ }^{283}\) the same prefix that is used for the normal verb form is also used for the imperative form. Most imperative forms appear with a directional prefix, but the imperative forms of the verbs 'to come, go, give, say, do, eat, drink' can also appear without directional prefixes.

\subsection*{7.1.4 Multiple prefix construction}

A construction with a repetition of a verb prefixed by an antonym pair of directional prefixes is shown in (716). With verbs of motion, the construction expresses a back and forth motion of the action; with other verbs the construction has an intensifying meaning. This construction does not seem to appear with stative verbs. A similar construction also appears in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ (Fù 1998:123), in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:143) and in Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:156). The order of the prefixes cannot be changed, \({ }^{284}\) but some verbs can appear with several sets of prefixes, as with the verb tçin 'to lean' in (717).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(k^{h}\) 〇-níp-v-nín & 'driving back and forth' (<níg 'to drive (animals)') \\
\hline \(k^{h}\) ə-bíl-p-bîn & 'flying back and forth' ( < bĭn 'to lurch, fly') \\
\hline \(q^{h}\) ə-dzó- \(\boldsymbol{e}-\mathrm{dza}\) & 'grazing (of a cow)' ( \(<d z\) 'to eat') \\
\hline \(t^{h}\)--tǔ-do-tǔ & 'pulling out (a piece) here and there' ( \(<t\) 'r 'to pull') \\
\hline  & 'sweating extensively' ( \(<t \hat{l}^{\wedge}\) 'to sweat') \\
\hline  & 'considering a lot of things' (<tĕn 'to think of') \\
\hline \(t^{h} e-k^{h} \check{a} W-d o-k^{h}{ }^{\text {a }} W\) & 'crooked' ( \(<k^{h}{ }_{\text {alW }}\) 'to bend)' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{283}\) In several varieties of Qiāng, particular directional prefixes are used for imperative forms of verbs (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:408; Evans 2004:209).
\({ }^{284}\) I.e. 'out'-‘in'; 'from speaker'-'to spreaker' ; 'up'-‘down'. Interestingly, in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ the order is 'from speaker'-'towards speaker', opposite from that of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ (cf. Dīng 1998:143).
}
```

(717) $t^{h}$-tctǐn-do-tç̌n $\quad$ 'walking with a swaying walk'

```

```

tá-tçiך-ne-tçĭ $\quad$ 'swaying up and down (of a tree on a vertical slope)'

```

Like reciprocal reduplication (§7.4.1.1), this construction deverbalizes the verb, and cam be analysed as a verbal compound. Thus the construction needs to be followed by the verb pt́t 'to do' in order to function like a verb in a clause, as can be seen in (718) and (719). Dīng (1998:143) observes something similar in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ when he states that the compound cannot be preceded by negation or interrogative markers or followed by other verbal markers.
```

=bú qł̀̀-qǽŋ-è-qǽŋ pù fà tò=gæ̌
$1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP}$ OUT-run-IN-run do LINK $3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN}$ listen=even
mí = ségnì.
NEG:PFV = listen

```
'(...) I was running back and forth and did not even listen to his (talking).'
(CV07.24)

'(The deceased woman) would pull a bit (of firewood) here and a bit there and casually made a bundle for the children.' (CV09.6.2)

\subsection*{7.2 Negation}

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has three negative clitics: the general \(m \check{a}=\), the perfective \(m i ́=\) and the prohibitive (negative imperative) \(t^{j} \mathfrak{X}={ }^{285}\). The general \(m \check{\alpha}=\) has an emphatic form \(m e=\) that only appears in some constructions. The various negation markers precede the last verbal element in a predicate, as in (720). This implies that the current evidential \(=d a w\) in (721) is verbal in origin. \({ }^{286}\) The position of negation markers depends on the scope of negation. Compare (721), where the scope of negation is the whole clause and the negative marker precedes \(=d a w\), with (722), where the negative marker precedes zing and the scope of negation is the complement clause.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{285}\) Cf. Qiāng [tça-~ tçə- ~ tço-~tçe-], PTB *ta- (LaPolla with Huáng 2003). This negator seems to be toneless, but its exact tonal behaviour needs further research.
\({ }^{286}\) As are the egophoric markers \(=q o \eta,=q u,=q w e \eta,=s u,=q i \eta\), and the evidential marker \(=q \varepsilon j\) (§8.3.2; §8.3.3). The egophoric \(=s e \eta\) and the evidential \(=s i\) are never preceded by negation (§8.3.1).
}
(720) tş́x = wù tsén tỉ́ \(=\) kèj fiǎw.
dirt \(=\) in fall.down PROH-let WARN
'Don’t let it fall down in the dirt!!' (CV18.149.2)
(721) dè̀čj tóy zín mà = dáw
speech speak can NEG=IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) he was not able to speak (...)' (YJ01.27)
(722) tèk hà=lá pú mà=zìn thé-dóy= dàw
a.little =also do \(\quad\) NEG \(=\) can \(\quad\) FR.SP-become \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) (the situation) has become (that I'm) not able to do even a little bit, (...)' (CV02.38)

If a directional prefix is present it precedes the negation marker, as in (723). \({ }^{287}\) With certain disyllabic verbs (where an adverb or noun has become incorporated into the verbal complex), the negator comes between the adverb or noun and the second syllable, as in (724).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline dò-ź̧-má &  & fià? \\
\hline To.SP-catch-NMLZ & FR.SP-NEG = release & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'Why would you not release the one you caught?' (CV16.17)

then sing \(\quad\) can \(=\) NEG \(=\) can
'(...) I could not sing.' (YJ01.9)

\subsection*{7.2.1 General negation}

The general negation marker \(m \check{a}=\) is used with general non-perfective statements that do not imply strong speaker-volition.
(725) qě mǎ = kwì-mə̀ = fə̀ = bù zù thón mǎ=wèy.
strength NEG \(=\) EXIST.IN-NMLZ \(=\) PL \(=\) TOP lift can:N.EGO NEG \(=\) CUST.EXCL
'(...) the ones who don't have the strength will not be able to lift (one side).' (CL01ed.18)

The general negator \(m \check{a}=\) is used in several constructions. A construction with the denominal verb (§7.7) \(k^{h}\) émin put 'not to be possible (not to V)' and the general negation marker implies that there is no other choice but to conduct the action expressed by the verb:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{287}\) For the interesting tonal behaviour in these constructions see the discussion in §3.4.5.
}
```

(726) pèzóy = tì mà=dzá kémíy pù wèn
roast.tsampa $=$ INDF NEG $=$ eat not.possible do CUST.EXCL

```
'(In the past) there would be no choice but to eat roasted tsampa (...)'
(CV14.168)
A double negation construction implies a very positive statement:
```

(727) mà =tç'č dǐ\eta mà=ç̀
NEG = search place NEG = EXIST.AB

```
'There is no place that (we) did not look for (goldthread) (...)' (SN02.17)
Negative conditional clauses (§10.4.1) are formed with the general negator \(m \check{q}=\) and the interrogative marker \(\hat{e}=\) (§7.3) in the construction DIR-mă \(=\hat{e}=V\) TOP, as in (728) and (729). This is the only instance in which the general negator co-occurs with a directional prefix, and also the only co-occurrence of a negator and the interrogative marker.
 nóy-phà thè-dòy= dàw.
day-half FR.SP-become \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
'If we don't get up early in the morning, (...) after a while it will already have become mid-day.' (CV04.57)

```

liquor $=$ COORD thus $=\mathrm{INDF}$ OUT $-\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{Q}=$ drink speak
mǎ = wèn mà dzà nǐg
NEG $=$ be.able GNOMIC INTJ

```
'(...) if he does not drink liquor or similar things, he can not narrate, mind you (...)’ (CV13.110.1)

When the verb in a negative conditional clause is the equational copula, no prefix is present:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
nìg \(=\) fá & mà \(=\) é \(=\) dzà \(=\) bù, & é & ts \({ }^{\text {hǔ }}\) & nè-sò \\
\(2=\) PL & NEG \(=\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=\) TOP & 1SG & almost & DOWN-die
\end{tabular}
'(...) If it wasn't for you, I would have died (...)' (YJ01.59)

\subsection*{7.2.2 Perfective negation}

The negation marker \(m i ́=\) is used with perfective aspect. \({ }^{288}\) Dīng (1998:200) states: "To negate a perfective-marked verb, the perfective clitic must be removed when the perfective negator is attached to the host." In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, this does not necessarily hold true: both the inferential evidential \(=s i\) (Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ's perfective clitic) as well as the perfective egophoric \(=s e \eta\) can be used in combination with the perfective negator, as shown in (731) and (732). \({ }^{289}\)
(731) dǎwmà mí=t ch \(^{\mathrm{h}}\) óy \(=\) sì.

T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma NEG:PFV = come:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'Dauma has not come back yet.' (CV20.133.2)
(732) èmá mí= \(\quad\) cá \(=\) sè̀
aunt \(\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{PFV}: E G O\)
'(...) aunt ( \(=\mathrm{I}\) ) did not go.' (YJ02.33)

\subsection*{7.2.3 Prohibitive negation}

The prohibitive or negative imperative is expressed by the prefix \(t^{j} \boldsymbol{x}\) - that directly precedes the imperative form of a verb. The directional prefix never appears with negative imperative forms: \({ }^{290}\)
(733) t‘ǽ-pàw!

РROH-do:IMP:SG
'(...) don't do that!' (CV04.65)
(734)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
tjǽ-ç̀y & fǎw, & t \(^{j} \dot{æ ́-c i ̀ y, ~}\) & tjǽ-ç̀y. \\
PROH-go:IMP:PL & WARN & PROH-go:IMP:PL & PROH-go:IMP:PL \\
'Don't go, don't go, don't go!' (CV21.385)
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{288}\) Jacques (p.c.) notes the resemblance between Tangut's past negator \(m j i j{ }^{2}\) and the Pumi form.
\({ }^{289}\) As will be shown in 88.3.1, inferential evidential marking is always optional, depending on the type of evidence, and perfective egophoric marking is obligatory in positive propositions, but optional in negative propositions, depending on the purposefulness of the speaker.
\({ }^{290}\) Not even with verbs that have a lexicalized directional prefix, as in (687), (688), (699) and (700) above.
}

In combination with the modal auxiliary verb \(q^{h}{ }^{h}\) 'to need', the prohibitive expresses a (negative) optative sense, as in (735). \({ }^{291}\)

this female-DIM \(=\) DEF \(=\) TOP \(\quad\) PROH-die need \(\quad\) say \(=\) PFV:EGO
'(We) said, "(We) hope this little girl will not die (...)" ' (YJ01.53)
Apart from prohibitions, the negator is used in situations where the volition of the speaker is involved, indicating that the referent refuses to conduct the action, as in (736), (737) and (738). \({ }^{292}\) Note that in those examples the basic form and not the imperative form of the verb is used.
(736) tç \({ }^{\text {hǐ }}\) ná t \({ }^{\text {h }}\) wí-má nǐn tiæ̀-dzà, nǒy mín dzà = sù̀?
food thus good-nMLZ 2SG PROH-eat so what eat=vol:SG
'Such good food you would not eat, so what do you want to eat?'
(TC02.65:EL)

paper nicely РROH-give
'(...) they would not nicely give the money (...)' (SN01.10)
(738) è-bá pèj= дá dàbǔ, séliàw tióy=bì

1-household:GEN older.sibling = PL then grain.kernel one:CLF:thing = DAT

food.lump nine-clf:ball make can:N.EGO-NMLZ 2SG PROH-grab
'Our household's older siblings, who can make nine lumps of food from one kernel of grain, you would not grab (...)' (TC02.65)

The constructions \(t^{j} \mathfrak{x}\) - \(V_{\text {contr }} n e-p a\) involving the basic form of a controllable verb (88.1.1), as in (739), and \(V_{\text {non-contr }} t^{j} \mathfrak{x}-p \# n e-p a\) involving the basic form of a noncontrollable verb (§8.1.1), as in (740), imply that the referent purposely does not take a certain action.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{291}\) In Shǐxīng (Chirkova 2009:50), a prohibitive is also used in a negative optative (in combination with an irrealis marker). In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, the modal auxiliary verb \(q^{\text {h}} u\) that is used for polite requests (\$7.9.9) is used for the optative in combination with the prohibitive.
\({ }^{292}\) Dīng (1998:198) calls this negator 'desiderative’ with a central meaning of conflicting desires between two parties.
}
(739)
də̀bǔ tç \({ }^{\text {hàná } p u ̀ ~}\) then how do
mó = øòn tú tiæ̀-ұว̀ né-pá fià.
person = PL:GEN look PROH-come DOWN-do LINK
'However much he wailed, the people in the village would not come to look.' (TC08.46)
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { dàbǔ } & \text { tà }=\text { gǒnnì }=\text { bù } & \text { pètsá } & \text { dǐ } & \text { ts }{ }^{\text {háa }} & \text { tì̀-pú }^{\text {then }} \\ \text { tha }=A G T=T O P & \text { flower } & \text { throw } & \text { be.finished } & \text { PROH-do }\end{array}\) né-pà.
DOWN-do:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) he (purposely) did not finish the flowers.' (TC07.23)
Another construction with the prohibitive \(t^{j} \mathcal{X}-V\) TOP functions as a sort of rhetorical question, implying a very strong suggestion. The verb can have a directional prefix, and a topic marker (often the general topic marker \(=b u\) (§6.5.6), but also other discourse markers [86.5]) may follow the clause. This construction is a case of insubordination and will be discussed in \(\S 10.5\).
(741) nǐy ně-tił̀̀-tòy = bù

2SG DOWN-PROH-speak = TOP
'Why don't you tell something?' (CV06.18)

\subsection*{7.2.4 Emphatic negation}

An alternate form \(m e=\) of the negation marker \(m \check{a}=\) is used in various constructions with a more emphatic meaning. Its most general occurrence is with the auxiliary \(h \hat{a}\) 'should' (§7.9.10) as in mêha 'don't!'. In some cases both the general negator \(m \check{a}=\) as well as the alternate \(m e\) can be used, but \(m e=\) conveys a stronger sense of there being no solution, as in (742) and (743).
(742) ì \(=\left\{\mathfrak{q}^{2}=\right.\) bù, dàbǔ dz̧̀̀dz̧̀̀ mé \(=\) द̂̂.

1:INCL \(=\) PL \(=\) TOP then letter NEG:EMPH \(=\) EXIST. \(A B\)
'(...) but we don't have books.' (CV25.38.1)
(743) næ̀ŋk \({ }^{\text {h}}\) æ̀làmá \(=\) bù dàbǔ \(t^{\text {hé }} \quad\) mínní mè \(=\) dzà \(=\) bù
lama.naekhae \(=\) TOP then all.the.time straw.sandal \(\mathrm{NEG}: E M P H=\mathrm{be}=\) TOP páqá tçwì zǐg mà = dáw má dzà bàw.
shoe wear can NEG = IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC CONTR
'Lama Naekhae cannot wear shoes continuously, except for straw sandals.' (CV01.18)

A construction with both the interrogative \(\hat{e}=(\S 7.3)\) as well as negation marker \(m e=\) is used in the sense 'whether or not':
(744) tǎ ná-má mèjsó-mò= tì = là é = z̀̀ \(\quad\) mé \(=\) zî
now thus-NMLZ know-NMLZ = INDF = also \(\mathrm{Q}=\) EXIST.AN NEG:EMPH = EXIST.AN
'(...) nowadays there may not be many people (lit. whether or not there are people) who know this (story).' (CV13.109)
(745) nìn-bú é = dzìn mè = dzìn dò ǔ, é = dz̧̀n

2 - household \(\mathrm{Q}=\) be.true \(\mathrm{NEG}: E M P H=\) be.true then \(\mathrm{Q}=\) be.true
mè \(=\) dzìn dàbǔ, nìy-bú è -tú mà
NEG:EMPH = be.true then 2 -household \(\operatorname{IN}\)-look INFO
'(The wild boar) said, "Your household, whether or not it is like this, whether or not it is like this, your household will see (...)" ' (TC02.15)
(746) \(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} i ́ \eta ~ e ́=~ m æ ̀ \eta ~ m e ́ ~=~ m æ ̀ \eta ~ f i a ̌ w ~}\)
flee \(\mathrm{Q}=\) have.time \(\mathrm{NEG}: E M P H=\) have.time WARN
'(...) I don't know whether there is time to flee!! (...)’ (TC03.18)
A construction with a prefixed verb and emphatic negation means 'every/all that V':
(747) lěj
ľ̌j hè-mè = dì-má tó-ní=sì
seed \(\quad\) IN-NEG:EMPH \(=\) throw-NMLZ \(\quad\) UP-sprout \(=I N F\)
'All the seeds that have been sown have sprouted.' (CV21.537.3EL)
(748) tá-mé \(=\) z̧̀ \(=\) là èmá \(=\) bì t chè̀ \(_{\text {hè }}\) jêj.

UP-NEG:EMPH = come = also aunt= DAT gift get
'(...) every time (you) come up (here you) get aunt a gift.' (CV02.31)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
è-bǎ & mèmá & hè-mè = mə̀-má & sòn-twé \\
1-household:GEN & T:butter.lamp & IN-NEG:EMPH = light-NMLZ & three-CLF:flame \\
zé-twè & thè-dòy = dàw & & \\
four-CLF:flame & FR.SP-become = IPFV:N.EGO &
\end{tabular}
'(...) all our household's butter lamps that have been lighted have become three or four flames; (...)' (CV21.537.3)

\subsection*{7.3 Interrogatives}

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has several ways of asking polar questions. They all slightly differ in terms of pre-knowledge of the speaker in respect to the situation. The most neutral way of forming questions is with the pre-verbal polar interrogative clitic \(\hat{\mathcal{E}}=\) where the speaker has no clues about the situation to expect an answer one way or the other. The position of the interrogative clitic in a clause depends on the scope of the interrogation.

The clitic normally precedes the last verbal element of a clause, the main verb in (750) and the egophoric marker \(=s u\) in (751). In (752) the clitic precedes the nominalization construction modzo (§8.6.1) and the scope is over the truthfulness of the whole proposition. The position of the interrogative clitic in front of a nominalizer is interesting. There is only one example of this in the corpus. The construction \(m \rho d z \rho\) marks gnomic statements (§8.6.1) and ties in with the evidential system.
(750)

'(...) has (she) fed this dog food? (...)' (CV14.16.3)
(751) èmá sénóy dzá é=sù?
aunt Sanong eat \(Q=\) VOL:SG
'Aunt Sanong, do you want to eat?' (CV14.159)
(752)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
mòdæ̀-lí = Łóy & t \(^{\text {h útù }}\) & ə́-pù & pù & də̀-jčj & é = mə̀ dzə̀ \\
female-DIM = PL:AGT & all.the.time & that-wide & do & TO.SP-get & Q = GNOMIC
\end{tabular}
'(The Nuòsū lady) said that the girls brought (one piece of meat) that wide all the time, right?' (CV14.279)

The polar interrogative clitic \(\hat{e}=\) is not used in a substance (WH) question, but interrogative pronouns (§4.2.3) are used instead.

When a directional prefix is present and the verb is not modified, the interrogative marker \(\hat{\mathcal{E}}=\) fuses with the prefix, as is shown in Table 7.2 and (753). If anything modifies a verb, the marker will precede the last verbal element, as in (754).
dǎwmà \(\quad n^{j}\) æ̀-t \(\epsilon^{h} \hat{o ̂}\) ?
T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma DOWN:Q-come:PFV:N.EGO
'Has Dauma come down?' (CV19.78)
(754) èmá-lì è-zə́ \(\quad\) é \(=\) qèj?
aunt-DIM IN-come \(Q=\) EXPT
'Will young aunt come back?' (CV13.134.2)

Table 7.2 Interrogative directional prefixes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline DIR- & DIR:Q- & Meaning \\
\hline tá- & \(t^{j} \dot{x}-\) & 'mountain-wards, upwards' \\
\hline \(n \check{\text { ¢\%- }}\) & \(n^{j}{ }^{\text {ex- }}\) & 'valley-wards, downwards' \\
\hline \(q^{h \check{\partial}-/ k^{h}{ }^{\text {¢ }} \text { - }}\) & \(k^{\text {hjěǎ-293 }}\) & 'outwards, down the valley' \\
\hline \(\check{\text { é- }}\) & \(h^{j}\) ̌- \(-[c ̧ æ]^{294}\) & 'inwards, up the valley' \\
\hline \(d \grave{-}\) &  & 'towards speaker (across boundary)' \\
\hline \(t^{\text {h }} \underline{B}_{-}^{-}\) & \(t^{h j} \check{\text { ¢̆- }}\) & 'from speaker (across boundary)' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A tag question is formed by adding the question marker \(\hat{e}=\) and the copula \(d z\) ' 'to be' to the end of a statement. The tag is set off from the rest of the clause by a pause. When using tag questions, a speaker expects a confirmative answer.
```

(755) tá = Łá tágí no $=$ Łǽ mí wùcà = đáw má dzà,
$3=$ PL beginning seven = PL:GEN night new.year $=$ IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC
é = dzà?
$\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}$

```
'They celebrate New Year on the evening of the seventh day (of the eleventh lunar month), right?' (CV02.73)

The interrogative marker \(\hat{\varepsilon}=\) can be used in a conditional construction \(\hat{\varepsilon}=V T O P\) to form a conditional subordinate clause, as in (756). If a directional prefix is present, the particle will fuse with the prefix, as is shown in Table 7.2 and in (757). Conditional clauses will be discussed in §10.4.1.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { self }=\text { GEN } \quad \text { person }=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad \mathrm{Q}=\text { slaughter }=\mathrm{TOP} \tag{756}
\end{align*}
\]
'If one's own people slaughter (the pigs), (...)' (CV16.27.1)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{293}\) Since the interrogative forms involve palatalization, the prefix is pronounced with \(\left[\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}\right]\) (cf. §2.1.7.4).
\({ }^{294}\) The initial cavity fricative that is lost in the inwards prefix \(\check{b}\) - now leaves a trace in the interrogative form (cf. §4.6.2 and §8.3).
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (757) & tá & \(t 6^{\text {hir }}\) & \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hj }}\) ¢-dzwź \(=\) bù, & tcí_óy & ma - \(\mathrm{q}^{\text {j }}\) \\
\hline & 3SG & food & OUT:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO = TOP & hungry & \(\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{EXPT}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'If he has eaten, he won't get hungry.' (EL)
Other ways of forming questions make use of clause-final attitude particles. These will be discussed in §8.8.2. Two of the clause-final attitude particles, \(\hat{a}\) and non are illustrated in (758), (759) and (760). When a question is stated in the negative, the confirmation will be a positive statement, as in (758). \({ }^{295}\)

```

    letter write be.finished NEG=IPFV:N.EGO CONF
    ```
    W : ‘(You) haven't finished writing yet?' (CV13.15)
    Ћวั้ใวิ!
    INTJ
    J: ‘Right!’ (CV13.16)

In my data, there is one example of a negative answer to confirm a negatively-phrased question, as in (759). According to my main consultant this is an exception. It could be that the speaker was influenced by a positively-phrased question that was asked immediately before, and to which he answered 'No', as in (760).

packload pack.load \(=\) COORD thus do go NEG \(=\) EXP CONF
P: 'You didn't go when you were driving mule caravans?' (CV13.21)
èŋhǎ!
INTJ
G: 'No.' (confirming the question) (CV13.22)
(760) dzédòy t ̀ = Łæ̀ (nǐy), káw nǐy cə́ \(=\) t \(^{j} \mathfrak{q}\) nôy?

Zhōngdiàn this \(=\) PL:GEN (2SG) uncle(MB) 2SG go \(=\) EXP QUEST
P: 'Uncle, have you been to Zhōngdiàn and surroundings?' (CV13.19)
èŋhǎ!
INTJ
G: ‘No.' (CV13.20)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{295}\) Unlike English, but like Chinese. English: Q. 'You didn't go?' A. 'No' (I didn't go) versus Chinese Q. 'You didn't go?' A. 'Yes' (I didn't go).
}

\subsection*{7.4 The verb stem: verbal morphology}

This section will discuss the morphological structure of the verb stem in Pǔmǐ. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows a certain amount of verbal stem morphology, in particular several patterns of reduplication that mark reciprocal, collective, random and continuous aspect (§7.4.1). Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has one derivational affix \(-q a\) that marks iterative aspect with certain verb stems (§7.4.2). \({ }^{296}\) And Pǔmǐ has a set of stative/transitive verb pairs that show alternation of the initial (§7.4.3).

\subsection*{7.4.1 Reduplication and aspect}

Reciprocal, collective, random and continuous verbal aspects are all created through reduplication of the verb stem and vowel change in the reduplicated syllable. These reduplication processes show 'derivational iconicity' as defined by Aikhenvald (2007:23): "an intuitively predictable correlation between derivational process and its semantics". The most basic templates for the different reduplications are given in Table 7.3. In addition, there are also tonal differences in the different templates. These have been discussed in §3.4.7 and will not be dealt with here.

Table 7.3 Reduplication templates
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline Pattern & Aspect & Example & Meaning \\
\hline \(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\) & basic & tş̂j & 'to wash' \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\) & reciprocal & tsêtsej & 'to wash each other' \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{1}\{\mathrm{e} / \partial\} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\) & collective & tsôtssj & 'to wash together' \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{oy} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\) & random, causal & tsônts \(j\) & 'to wash randomly' \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}\{æ / \mathrm{a}\}\) & continuous & ts \(\hat{\varepsilon} j t s a\) & 'to wash continuously' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{296}\) There might be an additional derivational affix \(-f^{æ x}\) that has been found in only three verbs in the corpus: \(t s^{h} \varepsilon j . \not x^{\text {' }}\) 'to be delayed for a long time' (stative verb) ( \(<t s^{h}{ }^{h} j^{\prime}\) to be delayed' [non-
 resemble' (stative verb) ( \(<\zeta \hat{\mathcal{E}}\) 'to resemble' [stative verb]). The origin and function of this affix needs further research. Ding (1998:125) also notes a negative derivational prefix ma- that derives antonyms of stative verbs. Based on the Wǎdū Pǔmǐ data I do not analyse it as a derivational prefix in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, but rather as the normal negative clitic that cliticizes to the verb. An example is \(m a=d z w a ́\) 'uncomfortable'. When the current evidential = daw follows, it is not possible to say \({ }^{*} m a-d z W a=d \hat{a} W\), but unstead the negation marker has to precede \(=d a W\) as in \(\downarrow\) Žwá \(m a=d \hat{a ̂ W}\) (cf. Dīng 1998:126, ex. (5.24)a). Also, it is possible to negate a stative verb that has a lexical alternative, as in \(l \hat{\varepsilon} j\) 'heavy', \(m a \check{a}=l \varepsilon j\) 'not heavy' and \(z \check{y}\) ' 'light'.
}

\subsection*{7.4.1.1 Reciprocal reduplication}

A reciprocal form of a verb is created through \(C_{l} \varepsilon C_{l} V_{l}\) reduplication of the verb stem. The vowel of the initial reduplicated syllable undergoes reduction to [e]. \({ }^{297}\) Some examples are given in (761). Reciprocal reduplication deverbalizes verbs: reciprocal forms do not take a directional prefix, and the light verb \(p\) t 'to do' needs to be added, as shown in (762), (764) and (765).

\(q \hat{e} q u \quad\) 'helping each other' (<qú 'to help')
têtæ 'scratching each other' ( <tǽ 'to scratch')
dWeq苷 'writing to each other' ( \(<d \check{H}\) 'to write')

'Carried by hand, the two of them will have gone exchanging (it) continuously.' (CV02.88)

Reciprocals reduce the distinction between the different participants and thus only one argument is required. Compare the following sentences, where the non-reduplicated verb stem takes two arguments, and the reciprocal only one:
```

(763)
[tá mò̀dæ̀ = góņní] [é] è-twá
this $\operatorname{girl}=$ AGT 1 SG IN-scratch:PFV:N.EGO

```
'This girl scratched me.' (EL)
(764) [tá tç̀̀y = dzáy] tétæ̀ pù = dàw
this child \(=\) DU scratch:RECP do \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
'These two children are scratching each other.' (EL)
Reciprocal reduplication normally occurs with controllable verbs. Interestingly, a reciprocal reduplication is attested for the non-controllable verb sǒ to die'. Structurally it functions like a reciprocal, but since a reciprocal meaning is semantically impossible, it has an idiomatic meaning 'to work or fight as if one's life depended on it', as in (765); since the resulting reduplication has a controllable meaning, the verb \(p \dot{t}\) needs to follow.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{297}\) One could alternatively analyse this as a replacement of the vowel by [e].
}

```

all that-under die:RECP do time=also all.the.time make

```
mí \(=\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{o} y\).
PFV:NEG = can:N.EGO
'(...) but even though (they) all looked hard for the problem, (they) could not fix (it).' (PC05.7)

\subsection*{7.4.1.2 Collective reduplication}

Collective reduplication is formed with a \(C_{l}\{p / a\} C_{I} V_{I}\) reduplication of the verb. The vowel of the reduplicated syllable is reduced to [ b ], and is often further reduced to [ə]. These vowels are in free variation. Collective reduplication verbs obligatorily take a directional prefix, which is the same as that of the non-reduplicated form. The further reduction of the vowel of the first syllable might be due to the presence of the prefix, but more research needs to be conducted. Vowels of reciprocal forms (§7.4.1.1) are never reduced to [ə], but are always [е].

There are many verbs that show identical forms for both reciprocal and collective reduplications. For example lelǔ from the verb lǔ 'to hang' can be interpreted reciprocally 'to put arms over each others' shoulders' or collectively 'to hang (of a lot of things)'. Structurally, however, reciprocal and collective reduplications function differently. The difference can be clearly seen in the following elicited examples with the transitive verb \(g w \check{e}\) 'to tie' (the reciprocal and collective forms of this verb are not identical; the reciprocal form has [ r ] and the collective form has [ə] in the first syllable):
(766) é \(=\) ¡ə̀ sèdwèy = góy gègwè pú \(=\) sêy
1.EXCL \(=\) PL hemp.rope \(=\) INS tie:RECP do \(=\) PFV:EGO
'We tied each other with a rope.' (EL)
(767) é = ґə̀ sèdwěy è-gàgwè = sê刀
1.EXCL \(=\) PL hemp.rope \(\quad\) IN-tie:COLL \(=\) PFV:EGO
'We tied a rope together.' (EL)
The collective reduplication has a directional prefix; the reciprocal reduplication does not have a directional prefix, but needs the addition of \(p \dot{t}\) 'to do'. Furthermore, the collective reduplication does not reduce the valency of the verb and can have an O argument, whereas it is not possible for the reciprocal reduplication to have an O argument. If seqWěy 'rope' is added, it needs to be coded as an instrument, as in (766). The collective reduplication appears with controllable as well as non-controllable verbs and implies that an action is conducted by multiple people (as in \(n e-t c^{h}\) at \({ }^{h}\) ' 'to stand
together' from the controllable verb \(t_{6}{ }^{h}\) ǧ 'to stand') or is completely the case (as in \(d z \partial d z \check{x} \eta\) 'to be clogged up completely' from the stative verb \(d z a \check{n} \eta\) 'to be clogged up'), depending on the semantics of the predicate.

Examples of collective reduplication are given in (768) with the non-controllable verb lón 'to have time', and in (769) with the controllable verb \(\underset{\sim}{2}\) と̌ 'to laugh'. In (769) the

```

(768)

```

```

front $=$ TOP $\quad 1: \mathrm{INCL}=\mathrm{PL} \quad$ uncle $(\mathrm{MB})=\mathrm{COORD} \quad 1:$ EXCL $=\mathrm{PL} \quad$ all
dò-lólò̀ nè -dzóy tá pù $=n^{\text {i }}$ æ̀
To.sp-have.time:COLL DOWN-sit one do=just

```
'In the past, whenever uncle and we had some time to sit down a bit, (...)'
(CV08.10)

'Then several of our household laughed together.' (YJ02.27)
Some verbs do not have a collective reduplication form. Instead, when indicating that an action is conducted by multiple people, the random reduplication form (§7.4.1.3) is used. This depends on the semantics of the verb. Some actions will automatically be conducted in an unstructured and random manner when many people or objects are involved.

The verb \(s \check{\text { a 'to die' does not have a collective reduplication, but a collective meaning }}\) can be expressed with an adverb dǒy 'together', as in (770).
```

nè-sà = lá ìy = dzáy má = nòy tsú $=$ nòy dòy sà
DOWN-die $=$ also 1.INCL $=$ DU mother $=$ COORD son $=$ COORD together die
né-pú = gì
DOWN-do = VOL:INCL
'(...) when (we) die, let the two of us - mother and son- die together; (...)' (PC06w.3)

```

The collective reduplication often has overtones of a continuous action, since either the action is conducted by multiple people or conducted towards multiple objects (which often implies an extended period of time). But Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a separate basic reduplication template for continuous action that is discussed in §7.4.1.4.

\section*{7．4．1．3 Random or casual reduplication}

A frequently observed reduplication process is the random reduplication process．This type of verb stem reduplication has the template \(C_{l}\) ob \(C_{l} V_{l}\) ．The vowel change in the reduplicated syllable can be seen as antiphonic reduplication（Diffloth 1976：262） which creates a dissymmetry that reflects the casual or random way in which the action is conducted．Some examples are given in（771）．As can be seen，even Chinese loanwords can be reduplicated in this manner．Random reduplication only occurs with controllable verbs．
（771）tôntu＇to comb（hair）randomly＇（＜t̂̂̀＇to comb（hair）＇）
tont甘́＇to dig randomly＇（ \(<t\) ť to dig＇）
sonsé＇to hit randomly＇（ \(<S \check{E}\)＇to hit＇）
сопсх́＇to perch randomly＇（＜\(¢ \check{x}\)＇to perch＇）
hoŋhwá＇to paint randomly’（＜hwǎ＇to paint＇from Chinese 画 huà）
The random reduplication indicates that an action is conducted in a casual or random manner，as is shown in（772）and（773）．Random reduplication does not reduce the valency of the verb and it does not deverbalize．Random reduplicated verbs function as normal verbs that can take a directional prefix．
```

tcì\eta= {⿱㇒㠯= = bì = dè = bù, thè-tǔ-dò-tù pú fià qèlá
child = PL = DAT = DIS = TOP FR.SP-pull-TO.SP-pull do LINK bundle
è-dzóydzù dò-kìy wê\eta.
IN-make:CASU TO.SP-give CUST.EXCL

```
＇（The deceased woman）would pull a bit（of firewood）here and a bit there and casually made a bundle for the children．＇（CV09．6．2）

＇Because he was randomly scratched by the leopard cub and the tiger cub， （his）clothes were all randomly torn（．．．）＇（TC08．47）

Random reduplication is not limited to the verbal domain，but is also attested in the nominal domain，for example the reduplication son．tónsæク．fæŋ＇some random vegetables＇from the noun sæŋ．\(\downarrow\) ǽ \(\eta\)＇vegetables’（§5．1．2）．

\section*{7．4．1．4 Continuous reduplication}

Another reduplication template \(C_{l} V_{l} C_{l} \mathfrak{x}\) or \(C_{l} V_{l} C_{l} a\) renders a continuous meaning． Some examples are given in（774）．
(774) \(d \ddot{t w}\) ǽ 'to write continuously' ( \(<d \check{t}\) 'to write')

lúlwa 'to rummage continuously' (<lú 'to rummage')
Sometimes the verb is simply reduplicated without any vowel change, as \(d w a d w a ́\) 'to
 wind' (<dzǎw 'to wind'). The latter two reduplications are often used and the continuous meaning has partly lost its intensity.

Continuous reduplications occur with controllable verbs, and function like normal verbs in that they can take a directional prefix and aspect and evidential marking. Some examples are given in (775-777).

that-location:GEN hemp \(=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{on}\) FR.SP-wipe:CNT go:IMP:SG
'Go rub it on the hemp over there.' (CV20.117)
(776) jǎw tǐ tǐ Łòク.ృæ̀ tséy = dâw
again one one nibble:CNT N.CONTR = IPFV:N.EGO
'(I) am uncontrollably continuously nibbling again, (...)' (CV21.112)

'(I wanted) to let (you) continuously collect a bit and continuously winnow
(it) (sidewards) a bit and continuously winnow (it) (vertically) for a bit, (...)' (CV13.32)

\subsection*{7.4.1.5 Other reduplication}

There are a few verbs which, in addition to a reciprocal form, also show reduplication with a different (H.L) tonal template. This reduplication pattern has a non-predicable meaning. The derived verb forms function as normal verbs and do not have a reciprocal, collective or continuous meaning (except for the form têtu 'to rob back and forth'). Some examples are given in Table 7.4. Interestingly, these verbs all have to do with fighting of some sort.

Table 7.4 Derived reduplication
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Basic form} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Reciprocal reduplication} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Derived reduplication} \\
\hline kí & 'to chase' & kekí & 'to chase each other' & kêki & 'to chase away' \\
\hline tur & 'to pull' & tetǔ & 'to pull back and forth' & têtu & 'to rob back and forth' \\
\hline \(S \check{E}\) & 'to hit' & SESĚ & 'to hit each other' & Sêse & 'to quarrel' \\
\hline \(q a ̆\) & 'to bite' & \(q e q a ̌\) & 'to bite each other' & \(q \hat{e} q a\) & 'to fight with biting' \\
\hline \(t s^{\text {hǔ }}\) & 'to gore' & \(t s^{h} e t s^{h}{ }^{\text {u }}\) & 'to gore each other' & \(t s s^{h} \hat{e} t s^{h} u\) & 'to fight with horns' \\
\hline tsǒn & 'to kick' & tsetsǒy & 'to kick each other' & tsêtson & 'to fight with kicks' \\
\hline tsác \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 'to pound' & -- & -- & tsêtsaw & 'to fight' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

There are some other reduplicated forms that do not show a H.L pattern, but have a derived meaning, as in (778).



bebǐn 'to wrestle' ( \(<\) bing 'to fly, lurch')
Some verbs have a (partly) reduplicated form, but the non-reduplicated verb form has not been attested, as in (779).
(779) wewă 'to discuss'298
lála 'to stir casually, to swing arms'
\(k^{h} æ k^{h}\) 追 'to continuously put in, pack'
dôdwe 'to ask'
\({ }_{0}{ }^{j}{ }_{0}{ }^{j}{ }^{j} w \hat{\mathcal{X}}\) 'to smell'

\subsection*{7.4.2 Iterative -qa}

A derivational suffix - \(q a\) is used to mark iterative Aktionsart (repetition of an action) with verbs that describe actions with an inherent terminal point. It operates on a morphological rather than clausal level and alters the verb's lexical aspect. The process is not very productive, and only the following verbs in my dataset have been found with the derived form:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{298}\) Alternate forms with vowel harmony are [wàwǎ] and [wùwǎ].
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (780) & \(t s \hat{s}\) & 'to jump' & tsôqa & 'to jump repeatedly, continuously' \\
\hline & \(t ¢ \hat{x}\) & 'to cut with axe' & tç \(\hat{x} q a\) & 'to cut with axe repeatedly, continuously' \\
\hline & \(t s i ̆\) & 'to chop' & tsiq \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 'to chop repeatedly, continuously' \\
\hline & tsă & 'to harrow' & tsaqá & 'to harrow repeatedly, continuously' \\
\hline & tî & 'to bite' & tiqá & 'to chew' \({ }^{299}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
-qa has been analysed as a suffix rather than a clitic, since inflection of the verb stem is expressed on -qa rather than on the verb root, as can be seen in (781).
(781) ว́-qhù ţ̧́-qwà = sì
that-on jump-ITT:PFV:N.EGO \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
'(Hare) was jumping continuously on top (of the bridge) (...)' (TC06.13)

\subsection*{7.4.3 Verb pairs with voicing alternation}

There is a limited set of verb pairs that still show traces of original PTB prefixes * \(N\) and \({ }^{*} S\) - or voicing contrast \({ }^{300}\) for inner directed stative verbs and their transitive/causative counterparts (see Matisoff 2003:89-91, LaPolla 2003a:22-24), or controllable and non-controllable verbs. In Pǔmǐ this is reflected by voicing alternation in the initial of the verb stem. The stative form of the verb pair starts with a voiced consonant, the causative form with a voiceless aspirated consonant. This strategy is not productive any more.

Table 7.5 shows the list of verb pairs in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. Some of the same verbs have also been recorded for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ (Fù 1998:154-156) and Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:126) and are given in the table for comparison. \({ }^{301}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{299}\) Note that the tone of [tìqá] is different from what is expected: [tíqà]. My main consultant proffered a different possible analysis of a verb compound with the second syllable deriving from either qǎ 'to bite' or qá 'to split open'.
\({ }^{300}\) This issue is controversial: Sagart and Baxter assume this derives from a nasal prefix; Matisoff assumes this derives from both nasal and \(*_{S \text { - prefixes, and LaPolla assumes that there is the }}\) influence of prefixes in some cases, but there is also an old voicing contrast. In Qiang and Rawang both the voicing contrast and the prefixes are present, showing they are two different things (LaPolla, p.c).
\({ }^{301}\) Dīng (1998:126) gives an additional four pairs of verbs that I have not encountered in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ: \(g I ̌ / / k i ̌\) 'to return home'/ 'to cause to return home'; dzě / tsě 'to fall from tree (of fruit)'/ 'to cut off'; dî̀ / thî'to wear out'/ 'to become useless (of person)'; púgâ / púk hâa 'to fall apart'/ 'to cause to fall apart'.
}

Table 7.5 Verb pairs showing voicing alternation
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Stative/intransitive Non-controllable} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Causative/transitive Controllable} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Dàyáng \\
Pǔmǐ
\end{tabular} & Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{dWど} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{'to break (intr.)'} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(t^{h} W \check{C}\)} & 'to break (tr.) (for &  & \\
\hline & & & long, slender or soft objects by pulling or eating away)' & & \\
\hline dén & 'to break (intr.)' & tén & 'to break (tr.) hard objects, like stone, wood' & děn / tén &  \\
\hline \(b \hat{1}\) & 'to fall over, collapse' & \(p^{h \hat{1}}\) & 'to push over' & biě / \(p^{h}\) iě & \(b \hat{e} / p^{h} \hat{e}\) \\
\hline Gă & 'to fall out' & \(q^{h} \check{a}\) & 'to pick' & \(g \check{a} / q^{h} \check{a}\) & \(g \hat{a} / k^{h} \hat{a}\) \\
\hline \(d{ }^{\text {a }}\) & 'to drop, fall (intr.)' & \(t^{h \check{\partial}}\) & 'to drop, fall (tr.)' & \(d \check{a} / t^{h \check{a}}\) & \(g . l \hat{\imath} / k^{h} \cdot l \hat{\vartheta}\) \\
\hline d \({ }^{1}\) & 'to be torn' & \(t^{h \hat{1}}\) & 'to tear' & \(d \check{l} / t^{h \check{c}}\) & \(d z \hat{e}^{\prime} / t s^{h} \hat{e}\) \\
\hline Wǔ & 'to die out (fire)' & \(q^{h} \hat{u l}^{302}\) & 'to extinguish' & \(g o \check{~ / ~} \chi q^{\text {ho }}\) & \(g \hat{o} / k^{h} \hat{o}\) \\
\hline dǒn & 'to be dammed up (of water)' & \(t^{\text {hǒ̆ }}\) ! & 'to dam up water' & & \\
\hline \(d \hat{x}\) & 'to come undone, dissolve' & \(t^{h} \hat{\mathscr{x}}\) & 'to undo, dissolve' & & \\
\hline \[
d z \check{a ̌ g}
\] & 'to be clogged up' & \(t s^{h} \check{\sim}\) & 'to clog up' & & \\
\hline dzôn & 'to be pierced, have a hole' & \(t c^{h} \hat{o} \eta\) & 'to pierce a round hole’ & & \\
\hline lǒn & 'to fall out' & Iǒn & 'to uproot' & & \\
\hline \(b \hat{a}\) & 'to split in half' & \(p^{h} \hat{a}\) & 'to cut in half' & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Jacques (p.c.) notes that the derivation shown in Table 7.5 is 'anticausative' rather than causative. He argues that the direction of the derivation in Japhug rGyalrong,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{302}\) Note that the tone of \(w \hat{u}\) and the tone of \(q^{h} \boldsymbol{u}\) are not the same. The meaning and the structure, however, are the same (see also the discussion on the status of /w/ in §2.1.3.3). Interestingly, in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ both forms show rising tone and in Niúwōzĭ Pǔmǐ both forms show falling tone.
}
which involves a nasal prefix on the intransitive or anticausative form, is clearly from transitive to intransitive and not from intransitive to causative (2012b:214), and can be contrasted with the causative derivation involving a prefix su-. Several of the Japhug rGyalrong forms correspond with Wǎdū Pǔmǐ forms, as shown in Table 7.6.

Table 7.6 Corresponding verb pairs in Japhug rGyalrong
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Wǎdū Pǔmǐ & Japhug rGyalrong & Meaning in Japhug rGyalrong \\
\hline\(d W \check{l} / t^{h} W \check{E}\) & \(m b r \gamma t / p r ə t\) & 'to break (intr.)'/'to break (tr.)' \\
\(b \hat{1} / p^{h \hat{1}}\) & \(m b u t / / p h u i t\) & 'to collapse (intr.)'/'to pluck out, destroy' \\
\(d \check{\partial} / t^{h \check{~}}\) & \(n g r a / k r a\) & 'to fall'/'to cause to fall' \\
\(b \hat{a} / p^{h} \hat{a}\) & \(m b a b / p h a b\) & 'to break in half (intr.)'/'to chop' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Two exceptions to the alternation pattern in Table 7.5 are the verb pairs dén/tén 'to break' and lǒg/ \(/ \check{0} \check{\eta} \eta\) 'to fall out/uproot', which show a voiced-voiceless rather than voiced-voiceless aspirated alternation. This might reflect traces of a causative derivation. Dīng (1998:127) notes that in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ there seems to be a correlation between verbs with a falling tone and aspiration in the derived form. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ this does not seem to be the case. As can be seen in Table 7.5, different verbs with a rising tone show aspiration in the derived form.

In the Wǎdū Pǔmǐ corpus there is one example of a verb that has a stative or causative interpretation depending on the prefix: the verb \(q z \check{x}\) 'to melt'. The form \(q q^{h}\) ə̌/ně- \(q z \check{\mathfrak{x}}\) 'to melt (intr.)' is a stative verb that is non-controllable; the form \(\check{e}-d z\) 'za 'to melt (tr.)' is its causative counterpart that is controllable by an agent. \({ }^{303}\) Qiang has many such verbs (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:160). This verb shows no alternation in voicing or aspiration in its initial consonant, unlike the stative/causative pairs listed in Table 7.5.

\subsection*{7.5 Equational copula}

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has one equational copula. Like controllable verbs (§8.1.1), the equational copula \(d z \hat{z}\) 'to be \({ }^{\text {'304 }}\) is inflected according to 'self-person' (first person in statements and second person in questions) and 'other-person' (first person in questions, second person in statements and third person). This egophoric/non-egophoric pattern will be

\footnotetext{
 \(q^{h} \partial-q_{z} \not z^{2}\) does not have an inflected form and the imperative is formed in a construction with

\({ }^{304}\) For these and other verbs in this grammar, I will use the most frequently-used form as citation form.
}
discussed at more length in Chapter 8，in particular in §8．1．2．The paradigm is given in Table 7．7．The copula cannot take directional prefixes．

Table 7．7 The equational copula
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline EGO（1 and 2PL） & EGO（2SG） & NON－EGO \\
\hline\(d i j^{305}\) & \(d^{j} a W^{306}\) & \(d z \hat{\boldsymbol{s}}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The equational copula is required in the identificational construction．Egophoric forms appear with first person statements and second person questions，as in（782）；the non－ egophoric form appears with third person statements and questions，as in（783）．
```

(782) "hín-bà diàw?" tcàw. "ъæ̌zæ̀-bà
who-household:GEN be:EGO:2sG say:IPFv:N.EGO Zjaezjae-household:GEN
dì,"" tçà k
be:EGO:1/PL say time
＇He asked me，＂Whose household＇s are you？＂When I answered，＂I am of the Zjaezjae household，＂（．．．）＇（CV07．73．2，3）

```
（783）dùtç \({ }^{\text {º̀pínmá }}\) dzà＝nòy \(\quad\) híy dzà liæ̀？
＇It＇s Dutchae Pingma or who？＇（CV02．93．1）
The non－egophoric form \(d z \hat{\widehat{a}}\) is often used in nominalized constructions（§8．6．1），and it can both be used to ask for confirmation of a statement and to confirm a statement．An example of these three functions can be seen in（784）．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline （784） & tá \(=\) ¢ ¢ & tágí & ñ⿱亠乂⿱一土＝¢ ¢ & mí & wù¢ \(=\) d \({ }^{\text {a }}\) w \\
\hline & 3 ＝PL & beginning & seven＝PL：GEN & night & new．year \(=\) IPFV：N．EGO \\
\hline & má dzà & é＝dzà？ & & & \\
\hline & nMLZ be & \(\mathrm{Q}=\) be & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

P：＇They celebrate New Year on the evening of the seventh day（of the eleventh lunar month），right？＇（CV02．73）

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{305}\) It is not clear what the tone of the first two forms is，since they never occur independently． They are analysed to be toneless for the present purposes，since they always take on the tone of the preceding constituent．
\({ }^{306}\) Often second person singular and sometimes first person singular are treated differently from their plural counterparts．See also the forms \(z u\) and \(z o \eta\)＇exist＇and \(b u\)＇have＇in \(\S 7.6\) ，and the note on the use of \(=s i\) in second singular questions in 88．3．1．
}
dzá mà; púnà tágí nǒy.
be:N.EGO INFO today beginning two
N : ‘Right. Today is the second.' (CV02.74)

\subsection*{7.6 Existential verbs}

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has six existential verbs whose referents differ in their inherent properties, such as animacy, possession, concreteness versus abstractness, and physical location. Classificatory verbs are found in many Tibeto-Burman languages (LaPolla 1994, Aikhenvald 2003:154). The Wǎdū Pǔmǐ existential verbs are listed in (785).
```

(785) z\hat{\imath} 'to exist (animate)'307
bô\eta 'to exist, have (in possession or use)'
č 'to exist, have (abstract)'
t\hat{\varepsilon}j 'to exist (inanimate, located on a horizontal surface)'
diô\eta 'to exist (inanimate, attached to something)'
kw\hat{\imath} 'to exist (inanimate, contained)'

```

The verbs bô \(\eta\) and \(k w \hat{\imath}\) can take a directional prefix, denoting a change of state with the meaning 'to have acquired things' and 'to become inside' (for example strength that grows inside a person) respectively. The existentials têj, \(\epsilon \check{c}\) and \(d^{i} \hat{o ̂ \eta}\) do not take directional prefixes. \({ }^{308}\) Since zîand bǒninvolve animate arguments, they have inflected forms. Their respective inflections are given in Table 7.8. Like the equational copula (§7.5) and controllable verbs (§8.1.1), they inflect according to 'self-person’ and 'otherperson' (see also §8.1.2).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{307}\) I will use the non-egophoric form zî̀ as citation form, since it is the most frequently used form of the verb.
\({ }^{308}\) The animate existential zîis an interesting case. It normally does not take a directional prefix, but there is an alternating tone verb ( \(\$ 3.4 .5\) ) \(\overline{z i} / k^{h} \check{j}-7 \hat{1}\) 'to give birth, be born’. The surface tone of the verb \(\nless\) Ǐ without a prefix is rising, but when a prefix is added, it is falling, like the tone of the existential. One wonders whether there is a relationship between the two, in the sense of 'to exist' versus 'to come into existence'.
}

Table 7.8 Existential verbs
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\hline & EGO (1sG) & EGO (2SG) & EGO (PL) & N.EGO \\
\hline 'to exist' & \(z \hat{o ̂ \eta}\) & \(z\) 亿̂u & \(z W e ̂ \eta\) & \(z \hat{\imath}^{309}\) \\
'to have' & \(b \hat{o} \eta^{310}\) & \(b \hat{u}\) & \(b \hat{\varepsilon} j\) & \(b o ̂ \eta\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Some examples are given below. In (786) and (787) the egophoric form is used with third person, since the referent of the speech clause is co-referential with that of the main clause. In (788) the egophoric form is used with third person plural argument, since that refers to the speaker. Non-egophoric forms are given in (789) and (790).
```

(786)
é \grave{ ò-pú zôy tçà = dàw}
1SG this-under EXIST.AN:EGO:1SG say = IPFV:N.EGO

```
    ، "Yes, I'm here," (she) said.' (PC04w.2.7)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (787) & ìg \(=\) ¢ \({ }_{\text {g }}\) &  & \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\) nòn & tí-pú & dæ̀¢ə̆ & qón = wù \\
\hline & \(1: \mathrm{INCL}=\mathrm{PL}\) & dinner eat & time \(=\) only & up-under & Draeci & gully \(=\) in \\
\hline & zıwè & t¢¢ \(=\) ¢ & & & & \\
\hline & EXIST.AN:EG & O:PL \(\quad\) say \(=1\) & v:N.EGO & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'They (Phintshu and Tshering Lhame) said that when we were eating, (they) were only in Draeci gully.' (CV02.4.1)


'The grandmothers (= we), mind you, have (clothes) more beautiful than these, but we are very bashful and did not wear them.' (CV21.205)

The use of \(z \hat{\imath}\) for animates normally takes precedence over specifying their actual location, but in the case of animals or members of a household, not always over expressing a relationship of possession. In that case bôl is used. The use of \(z \hat{\imath}\) versus

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{309}\) Note the interesting consonant alternation: the alveo-palatal fricative when followed by /i/ and the retroflex fricative when followed by the other vowels (cf. also the discussion of palatalization in §2.1.3.2 and §2.4.5). My main consultant noted that some people in \(b_{i t t}{ }^{h}\) i, a village next to Wǎdū, will also use an alveopalatal consonant for the second person singular form, so instead of saying ň̌y kî zu?'Where are you?' they will say ň̌y kî zu?
\({ }^{310}\) Due to the basic form of the verb, the egophoric first person is the same as the non-egophoric form.
}
bôt depends on the actual point of view the speaker takes. In example (789) the speaker focuses on the relationship between the official and his sons, whereas in example (790) the speaker focuses on the sons themselves.
```

(789) pó\eta té-qè = bù, tsú sòy-pèjkwé\eta bò\eta
T:official one-clF:household=TOP son three-clF:sibling EXIST.POSs
'(...) an official('s) household had three sons.' (TC09.1)
(790) póy té-qè= bù, tsú sòy-pèjkwé\eta zì
T:official one-CLF:household =TOP son three-ClF:sibling EXIST.AN
`An official('s) household had three sons.' (TC09.1:EL)

```

The existential \(c \check{y}\) is used for abstract referents such as problems, solutions and knowledge, as in (791). In example (792) cǐ seems to denote a human referent. However, since the referring expression is encoded in a nominalized construction, the referent that is referred to is not a specific human being, but rather an abstract class of referents: ‘baby-sitters’.

```

    2SG this-location what work ExIST.AB
    ```
    'What are you doing here?' (Lit. what work do you have here?) (TC02.38)
```

(792) t ṭìn tín-má mà = Č̌
child take.care.of-NMLZ NEG $=$ EXIST.AB
'(...) there was no baby-sitter.' (TC04.1)

```

It is used as copula in certain nominalized constructions, as in (793).
```

(793) t ṭá thín-jì mà= cǐ
water drink-NMLZ NEG = EXIST.AB
'(...) there was no water to drink.' (SN02.20)

```

The existential \(t \hat{\varepsilon} j\) describes an object located on a horizontal plane, as in (794) where the referent is flower tea that is sitting on a shelf above the hearth. \(t \hat{\varepsilon} j\) also more generally refers to a place where the referent would normally sit on top of something horizontal, as in (795).
(794) ó-qù t téj mà dzà.
that-on EXIST.H GNOMIC
'(It)'s up there.' (CV14.62.2)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{311}\) nǐn can be marked as topic with the topic marker bu, but not as agent or patient.
}
```

(795) làľ́j ìy=dzáy ò-wú mǎ=tèj
seed 1:INCL $=$ DU this-in NEG $=$ EXIST. $H$

```
'(...) we two don't have seed here (...)' (TC02.72)
The existential kwîdescribes an object that is located inside another object, especially a container of some sorts:
(796)
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wa}=\text { wù } & \text { té-tòn-lì }=\text { tì } & \text { t } \epsilon^{h} \grave{\partial}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\text { là } & \text { kwî } \\
\text { bowl }=\text { in } & \text { one-CLF:piece-DIM }=\mathrm{INDF} & \text { how.much-time }=\text { also } & \text { EXIST.IN }
\end{array}
\]
'(...) for such a long time there was a small piece in the bowl (...)' (CV18.101)
When the second object denotes a rather large area, such as a house or a compound, \(t \hat{\varepsilon}\), rather than \(k w i ̂\) is used, as can be seen in (795). Even though the demonstrative \(a\) wú 'in here' is mentioned, the extent of the area is too big to use kwî. But when talking about a spring, as in example (797), or mud in a puddle, kwîand not têj is used: the hollow holding the spring and the mud holding the puddle are conceptually more like a container.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (797) mæ̀y-tú = bú tçə̀ł̌̌ t ṭ }{ }^{\text {h }} \text { wí zǔ tióy kwì } \\
& \text { tail.end-under }=\text { TOP spring good very one:ClF:thing EXIST.IN } \\
& \text { '(...) there was a very good spring below (him) (...)' (TC07.3) }
\end{aligned}
\]

The existential \(d^{\prime} \hat{o} \eta\) is used to describe an object that is attached to something or grows from something. It is normally used in connection with plants, fruit on a tree, hair on one's head, limbs, teeth, stars in the sky, grottos, roads and villages.
```

(798) \ú mǎ = diò\eta, ásè\eta?
tooth NEG = EXIST.AT AGR

```
'(We) don't have teeth, right?' (CV02.54.2)
A village can be described in two ways, depending on the point of view. If the description focuses on the people living in the village, the animate \(7 \hat{\imath}\) rather than the inanimate \(d^{i} \hat{o} \eta\) is used, as in (799). If the description focuses on the actual physical location of the houses, \(d^{i} \hat{o} \eta\) rather than \(z \hat{1}\) is used, as in (800).
```

(799)
mó-çì = tì
zì
person-village $=$ INDF $\quad$ ExIST.AN
'There is a village.' (EL)
(800) mó-cì = tì diòn
person-village $=$ INDF $\quad$ EXIST.AT
'There is a village.' (EL)

```

It can also be used in a more abstract sense, as in žǒn diôn 'to be lucky' (luck exist.at), mán diôn 'to be famous' (name exist.at) and zâW mǎ diôn 'to be embarrassed' (face NEG EXIST.AT).

\subsection*{7.7 The light verb and denominal verbs}

The verb \(p\) t́and its inflected form pâ is a verb with the general meaning 'to do', as in (801) and (802), and sometimes with the meaning 'to use', as in (803) and (804). The verb also has singular and plural imperative forms pâW and pîp (88.1.2).
(801) nǒy míg pù = sù?
so what do=VOL:SG
'In that case what do you want to do?' (CV11.4.1)
(802) g g̀zú = gá = bì = là dàbǔ ná pâ \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\mathrm{bù}, \ldots\)
middle \(=\) DEF \(=\) DAT \(=\) also then thus do:PFV:N.EGO time \(=\) TOP
'Then, when (he) did the same (...) to the middle one also, (...)' (TC02.26)

then now \(=\) TOP tripod \(=\) COORD thus \(=\) also do NEG \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
'Nowadays (people) don't use a cooking tripod and such either; (...)' (PC03.19)
(804) ěy, ¢è-lí \(\quad\) pà = sì.

INTJ Hàn-language do:PFV:N.EGO \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
'Right, they used the Chinese language.' (CV13.5)
Apart from functioning as the main verb in a clause, the verb \(p\) tu functions in multiple constructions as a toneless grammatical morpheme: the self-causative construction that adds control to non-controllable verbs (§8.1.1); the pre-verbal adverbial construction (§7.10.1); the post-verbal adverbial construction (§7.10.2); the post-verbal delimitive construction (§7.10.3). It also follows multiple prefix constructions (§7.1.4) and reciprocal reduplications (§7.4.1.1). The inflected form pâ is added to non-controllable verbs to form the non-egophoric forms (§8.1.1). The constructions are listed in Table 7.9 and will be discussed in their respective sections.

Table 7.9 Additional functions of \(\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{u}\)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline Name of construction & Template & Section \\
\hline Self-causative construction & \(\mathrm{V}_{\text {non.contr }} p \#\) & \(\S 8.1 .1\) \\
Non-egophoric forms of non-controllable verbs & \(\mathrm{V}_{\text {non.contr }} p a\) & \(\S 8.1 .1\) \\
Pre-verbal adverbial construction & \(\mathrm{X} p \not \mathrm{~V}\) & \(\S 7.10 .1\) \\
Post-verbal adverbial construction & V q̧u \(p \#\) & \(\S 7.10 .2\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline Name of construction & Template & Section \\
\hline Post-verbal delimitive construction & V to pt & \(\S 7.10 .3\) \\
Multi-prefix construction & DIR-V-DIR-V pt & \(\S 7.1 .4\) \\
Reciprocal reduplication & V:RECP \(p \#\) & \(\S 7.4 .1 .1\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Additionally, \(p\) f́ is used in light verb constructions to form denominal verbs in which the noun carries the semantic content and \(p \notin\) renders the verbal function. The term 'light verb' is used for verbs with little semantic meaning that take a nominal complement. Since \(p \dot{t}\) is a controllable verb, denominal verbs formed with \(p \dot{t}\) are always controllable verbs. Examples are given in (805), (806) and (807). The last two verbs in (805) are slightly different in that their first parts are not used by themselves as nominals. Example (807) shows the Chinese loanword 老师 lǎoshī 'teacher’ as a denominal verb 'to be a teacher'.
(805) ts \(s^{h}\) ò \(p\) ť \(\quad\) 'to conduct trade' ( \(<t s^{h}\) ŏ \(\eta\) 'trade')
wùcò p \(\hat{t} \quad\) 'to celebrate New Year' (<wùč̆'New Year')
tc \({ }^{h}\) wǽ pı̀ 'to kowtow' ( \(<t \epsilon^{h}{ }^{h} w \hat{\mathfrak{X}}\) 'kowtow')

mín p \(\hat{\boldsymbol{H}}\) 'to treat a sickness' ( \(<\) mín 'medicine')


bùbæ̀ pt́ 'to crawl'

hare \(=\) DAT then take.care.\(o f\) let:PFV:N.EGO time \(=\) TOP then \(\quad\) LOG \(=\mathrm{DU}\)
lú pú \(\{\) sèj =sì tçàw.
work do go:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY
'Then, when (they) had let Hare baby-sit, the two of them went off to work in the field.' (TC04.10)
(807) khù-qhú kí tçàw tè-dǐy láwsá pù = dàw
out-on where say:IPFV:N.EGO one-place Ch:teacher do=IPFV:N.EGO mà dzà tçàw.

GNOMIC say:IPFV:N.EGO
'Where out there did (he) say? (He) said that somewhere (his son) is a teacher.' (CV07.22)

Another verb that is often used to derive denominal verbs is \(t 6^{h}{ }^{\text {ory }}\) 'to appear'. Verbs that are derived with \(t 6^{h}\) Ǒ \(\eta\) can be divided into three semantic categories: weather
verbs, bodily functions, and more abstract verbs denoting mental states (like sleep, worries and dreams) or states like being responsible, lucky or tormented, and as illustrated in (808), (809) and (810). In contrast to \(p \notin\), which derives controllable verbs, \(t \epsilon^{h}\) ǒg derives non-controllable verbs. This can be clearly seen with two verbs that are derived from the noun \(v d \hat{u}\) 'joke'. The derivation with púversus \(t_{6}{ }^{h} o ̌ \eta\) renders a slightly different meaning: \(v d \hat{u} p \notin\) means 'to crack jokes' (a controllable verb), whereas \(v d \hat{u}\) \(t c^{h}\) ol means 'to be humorous' (a non-controllable verb).
(808) gwí tc \({ }^{h}\) oŋ 'to rain’ ( \(<g w i ́\) 'rain')
pút tg \({ }^{h}\) O! 'to snow' ( \(<p\) t́ 'snow')
mêhaw tç \({ }^{h}\) OD 'to be windy' ( \(<\) mêhaw 'wind')
sêgwi tç \({ }^{h}\) ol \(\quad\) 'to have an earthquake' ( \(<\) Sêgwi ' wind')
(809)

hacə tç \({ }^{h}\) ôn 'to yawn' ( < hač̌ ‘yawn')
gâli'a tc \({ }^{h}\) ol \(\quad\) 'to burp' ( \(<g \hat{a} l^{\prime} \partial\) 'burp')
bud\# tc \({ }^{h}\) ôn 'to have the hiccups' ( \(<b \# d \check{t}\) 'hiccup')
zo tç \({ }^{h}\) ón 'to be sleepy' ( \(<\) zว̌ 'sleep')

zâmin tç \({ }^{h}\) oŋ 'to dream' (< zômin 'dream')
\(q \hat{x} \eta\) wu tç \({ }^{h}\) oŋ 'to be responsible for'
tíndwi \(k^{h}\) - \(-t_{6}^{h}\) oŋ 'to be blessed, lucky'
\(k^{h} u d \hat{u} k^{h} \partial-t c^{h} \hat{o} \eta \quad\) 'to be tormented, troubled'
Sūn (1993:962) notes that verbs denoting bodily functions in Amdo Tibetan use egophoric and evidential marking to express control or non-control of the self-person (see also Tournadre 2008:291, note 23). In Pǔmǐ the default for verbs denoting bodily functions is that they are non-controllable and thus only occur with evidential marking. When control of the referent over the action needs to be expressed, the self-causative construction with pt́ 'to do' (88.1.1) is used, and in that case egophoric marking can be used, as in (811) versus (812).
(811) é hà éà \(^{\text {tch }}\) hóy = dàw

1SG yawn appear =IPFV:N.EGO
'I'm yawning.' (EL)
(812) é
é hàç̀ t tçón pù = dòn
1SG yawn appear do=IPFV:EGO:1SG
'I'm yawning on purpose.' (EL)
Some other bodily functions are expressed by normal (not denominal) verbs: they take directional prefixes and do not need to occur with \(p \notin\). These include functions like \(t^{h} \check{t}\)
'to cough' (non-controllable), \(p^{h} \check{E}\) 'to vomit' (controllable),.\(\underset{2}{ }\) ‘̌'to laugh' (controllable). The verb \(q \hat{\varepsilon} j_{\overparen{f}} \ngtr\) 'to fart' is also a controllable verb that displays a more idiomatic nounverb constituent, combining the noun \(q \hat{\varepsilon} j\) 'faeces' and the controllable verb \(\underset{. l}{\text { }}{ }^{\text {č 'to }}\) laugh'.

\subsection*{7.8 Versatile verbs}

Versatile verbs occur as main verbs as well as auxiliary verbs. Several versatile verbs can be analysed as part of an asymmetrical serial verb construction, and express various kinds of verbal aspect, direction and a benefactive role. In asymmetrical serial verb constructions the second verb is taken from a limited group of verbs. (For a definition of serial verb constructions and a comparison with clause chains, see §10.2). Other verbs are more like auxiliaries in that they take a clausal complement.

A list of aspect and case marking auxiliary verbs used in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is given in Table 7.10 and will be discussed in §7.8.1-7.8.4. \({ }^{312}\) These verbs can still occur as independent verbs, but when following other verbs denote aspect and semantic role. They are still verbs, however, and have kept their inflectional properties. Thus inflection is expressed on the final verb of the construction and the non-final verb is in the non-finite form (thus not marked for aspect or evidentiality).

Table 7.10 Versatile verbs
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Verb & Basic meaning & Derived meaning & Reference \\
\hline ća & 'to go' & away, outward (from deictic centre) & §7.8.1 \\
\hline \(z \check{0}\) & 'to come' & towards, inward (to deictic centre) & §7.8.1 \\
\hline dzól & 'to sit, stay' & durative & §7.8.2 \\
\hline \(k^{\text {hrın }}\) & 'to give' & benefactive & §7.8.3 \\
\hline tǐ & 'to put' & completely & §7.8.4 \\
\hline \(t s^{h} a^{\prime}\) & 'to be exhausted, be finished' & terminative & §7.8.6 \\
\hline \(t c^{\text {¢ }}\) Ǒy & 'to complete' & completive & §7.8.6 \\
\hline pt & 'to do' & control & §8.1.1 \\
\hline tsén & 'to fall down' & non-control & §8.1.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{312}\) Note that the verbs \(p \dot{甘}\) 'to do' and tsép 'to fall down' are discussed together with verb inflection and evidentiality in §8.1.1.
}

The degree of grammaticalisation of these constructions can be seen by the position of the negation marker and the possibility or impossibility of inserting the clause linker \(\kappa a\) ( \((10.2\) ) between the different verbs.

When a negation marker can be inserted, but the negation only holds scope over the second verb, the construction is grammaticalised to only a small extent; when a negation marker can be inserted, but the negation holds scope over the whole construction, it is grammaticalised to a higher degree; when a negation marker cannot be inserted, the construction is highly grammaticalised.
' + ' indicates that negation can be inserted, but only holds scope over the verb it precedes. ' - ' indicates that addition of negation between the verbs is possible, but holds scope over both verbs; ' 0 ' indicates that negation cannot be inserted.

When the clause linker can be inserted without much semantic difference, the construction shows a low degree of grammaticalisation; when the insertion of a clause linker completely changes the meaning, the construction shows a great degree of grammaticalisation.

The various constructions show a greater or lesser degree of grammaticalisation, as shown in Table 7.11. '-' indicates less grammaticalised constructions, whereas '+' indicates more grammaticalised constructions.

Table 7.11 Degree of grammaticalisation
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Construction & Derived meaning & Negation & Clause linker \\
\hline V cá & away, outward (from deictic centre) & -/+ & -/+ \\
\hline V \(\quad\) ¢̌ & towards, inward (to deictic centre) & - & - \\
\hline V dzón & durative & + & - \\
\hline \(\mathrm{V} k^{\text {hing }}\) & benefactive & + & - \\
\hline V tǐ & completely & 0 & + \\
\hline V ¢o kgj & emphatic & 0 & + \\
\hline V ts \({ }^{\text {hád }}\) & terminative & - & - \\
\hline V tc \({ }^{\text {hololy }}\) & completive & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In §7.8.5 an emphatic construction is discussed. Two additional aspect markers \(t^{\dagger} \nexists\) and zol are discussed in \(\S 7.8 .7\) and \(\S 7.8 .7\). The two are not clearly linked to independent verbs synchronically but are likely to have derived from verbs.

\subsection*{7.8.1 Motion verbs 'come' and 'go'}

The two motion verbs \(z \check{2}\) 'to come' and \(c \overline{\text { a ' }}\) 'to go' function as directional auxiliary verbs in an asymmetrical serial verb construction, denoting motion towards the deictic centre and motion away from the deictic centre respectively. This is similar to Tibetan and many other languages (DeLancey 1991). I discuss them under aspect, because of the further grammaticalisation of the verb 'to go' as illustrated below.

The motion verbs carry the inflection and the preceding verb appears in the basic uninflected form, as in (813) and (814). The prefix is often but not always the same, as in (815), where the bridge broke in a horizontal direction away from the speaker and then fell down into the water; however, it would be possible to say \(n e-q e ́ \eta n e-\zeta \hat{\varepsilon} \hat{\varepsilon}\) in this example.
(813) ní-bù =là má= \(=\) ł̀̀ tǐ nè-jčj

LOG-household =also person = PL one Down-get
nè-tç \({ }^{\text {hón }}=\) sì
DOWN-come:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'Their household themselves also got some (pine torches) (...)' (CV14.228.4)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
dzènèj & tóllón & \(\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}\) è-bì & \(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{s} \hat{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{j}\) \\
wasp & roundish & FR.SP-explode & FR.Sp-go:PFV:N.EGO
\end{tabular}
'(...) the wasp exploded (...)' (TC06.11)
(815) dzǒy thè-dén nè-̧̧́j
bridge FR.SP-break DOWN-go:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) the bridge broke downwards (...)' (TC06.9)
The combination of the motion verbs with noun-verb constituents, as in (816), or plain verbs without directional prefixes, as in (817), indicate a purposive clause. Note that in this kind of purposive clause, the motion verb forms one tone group (§3.2) with the preceding verb (tone group boundaries are represented by ' \(\#\) ').
(816) dàbǔ\# sòn-pèjkwéy = gà\# dàbǔ\# tç \({ }^{\text {hòn }}\) tsì ŝ̂j\#
then three-clF:brother \(=\) DEF then trap erect go:PFV:N.EGO
'So the three brothers went to put up a trap.' (TC02.8)
(817) zì tç hòn má dzá = dàw? \#
receive come:PFV:N.EGO GNOMIC=IPFV:N.EGO
'He came to get (the two of them)?' (CV07.8)
Negation can normally be added before the verbs 'to go' and 'to come', for example in (815) \(t^{h}\) è- \(q e ́ \eta ~ n \check{e}-m i ́=\langle\hat{\varepsilon} \hat{j}\) (FR.SP-break DOWN-NEG:PFV = go:PFV:N.EGO), and holds scope
over both verbs negating the whole construction. \({ }^{313}\) This is not possible for example (814), where rather the first verb is negated \(t^{h} \check{\dot{E}}-\mathrm{m} \hat{m}^{\prime}=b \hat{1} \hat{\text {, }}\) (FR.SP-NEG:PFV-explode) and the second verb is dropped. This construction with the verb 'to go' seems to be more grammaticalised than in many other examples. In many examples, the clause linker \(\hbar a\) ( \(\$ 10.2\) ) can be added in between the two verbs with little semantic difference, as in (815), although structurally fa breaks up the construction into two clauses. In (814) the insertion of \(h a\) between the two verbs would cause a total change in meaning: it clearly separates the actions 'the wasp exploded and went'. The construction used in (814), only attested with the verb 'to go', is a special construction that gives extra force to the action expressed by the non-controllable verb.

In two other examples, the insertion of \(h a\) creates a clear difference in meaning between the verb concatenation construction, as in (818), and the clause chain, as in (819).
(818) pálí nè-gú Gôy!
clothes DOWN-wear go:IMP:SG
'Go put on your clothes!' (CV01.11EL)
(819)
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { pálí } & \text { nè-gú } & \text { fì } & \text { ¢ôy! } \\ \text { clothes } & \text { Down-wear } & \text { LINK } & \text { go:IMP:SG }\end{array}\)
'Put on your clothes and go!' (CV01.11EL)
The inflected form \(s \varepsilon j\) has an alternative form \(s 2\), as in (820), which is often used in combination with the clause linker \(\kappa a\), as in (821). Like \(s \varepsilon j\), it carries inflection, and thus the verb that precedes it occurs in its basic form. Interestingly, it can co-occur with the form \(s \varepsilon j\), as in (822). According to my main consultant, \(s \varepsilon j \sim s a\) sometimes takes over the function of the inferential evidential marker si (88.3.1), but as shown in (823), it sometimes co-occurs with the inferential marker. More research needs to be conducted.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(k^{\text {h ù-n} \text {-ń-nú }}\) & jæ̀ndzò-lí & \(\mathrm{t}^{\text {hè-dzù }}\) & ş̀, & ásèn? \\
\hline out-near-outside & Ch:courtyard-dim & FR.SP-make & go:PFV:N.EGO & AGR \\
\hline '(They) made a (CV14.231) & courtyard on & utside & the valley, & ht?' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{313}\) If one explicitly wants to state that the first action happened and the second did not, one
 go:PFV:N.EGO) 'when it broke, it did not go down' turning the initial verb into a temporal subordinate clause. In that case negation holds scope only over the second clause.
}
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (821) } & \text { tú } & \text { nè-dzàdzò } & \text { Sá } & \text { fà. } \\ & \text { teeth } & \text { DOWN-be.tightly.closed } & \text { go:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { LINK }\end{array}\)
'(...) his teeth were tightly closed.' (YJ01.42)
(822) thútù
immediately mə̀géy = gə́ = nòŋ mə̀̀dæ̀-lí = gə́ = nòŋ

J̛̣
nè-dzàdzà
old. man \(=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{COORD}\)
female-DIM \(=\mathrm{DEF}=\) COORD teeth

DOWN-be.tightly.closed go:PFV:N.EGO go:PFV:N.EGO LINK
'The teeth of the old man and the girl were tightly closed.' (CV09.53.2)
(823) tə́-wúlỉ̀ ̧ə̀ = sì.

UP-dry.out go:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'(...), (it) dried out.' (CV18.103)

\subsection*{7.8.2 Durative aspect 'sit'}

When the verb dzǒy 'to sit, stay' follows another verb in an asymmetrical serial verb construction, it adds the meaning of continuation or prolonged duration to the action expressed by the main verb, as in (824). The addition of \(d z o ̌ \eta\) carries the implication that \(t \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}-q e p t\) 'to become a family' involves a long-term relationship.

'A long, long time ago, a louse and a flea became a family, it is said.' (KZ01.1)
Example (825) without \(d z o \check{\eta} \eta\) would mean that the people stood in a conifer grove; the presence of \(d z o \check{\eta}\) indicates that they actually stayed standing there for a long time.

```

    horse \(=\) COORD person \(=\) COORD that-under then DOWN-stand:COLL
    dzón \(=\) sî
    sit \(=I N F\)
    ```
'(...) the horse and the people (father and daughter) stayed down there (...)' (YJ01.25)

Example (826) is sometimes politely said while taking leave after dropping in at a neighbouring house and having stayed longer than intended.

'Oh, I have stayed drinking at your place.' (EL)
This construction is only grammaticalised to a slight extent. The clause linker \(\operatorname{Fa}(\S 10.2)\) can be inserted between the two verbs in this construction without much difference in meaning, but it does break up the predicate into two clauses.

When negating the construction, it is possible for negation to appear on the first verb in which case the verb \(d z o ́ \eta\) is dropped. It is also possible for negation to appear on the verb dzón. Then the scope of negation is on the whole predicate. Thus with (825), both
 roughly the same.

\subsection*{7.8.3 Benefactive 'give'}

The use of the verb \(k^{\text {hin }}\) 'to give' as second verb in an asymmetrical serial verb construction expresses that the action of the first verb is done for the benefit of somebody. The verb can appear with or without directional prefix. This is shown in examples (827) and (828). The beneficiary argument is not obligatorily present. When present, it is marked with the dative marker \(=b i(86.2 .3)\), as in (829).
(827) mě è - \(\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \dot{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{j}\) thè-khì̀ \(=\) séy
fire IN -light \(\mathrm{FR} . \mathrm{SP}-\mathrm{give}=\mathrm{PFV}\) :EGO
'(...) and lighted a fire for (them).' (CV09.60)

2SG:GEN carpet = dIS \(1=\) AGT out-weave give \(=\) voL:SG
'(...) as for your little carpet, I will weave it for (you) (...)' (TC09.30)

'(She) would pull a bit (of firewood) here and a bit there and casually make a bundle for the children.' (CV09.6.2)

This construction is only slightly grammaticalised. The clause linker \(\AA a\) (§10.2) can be inserted between the two verbs without much difference in meaning, but it does break up the predicate into two clauses.

Negation precedes the verb \(k^{\text {hin }}\) but has scope over the whole construction. Thus the negation of (827) \(\grave{\dot{e}}-t^{h} \dot{\varepsilon} j t^{h} \check{\dot{b}}-\mathrm{mí}=k^{h} \hat{1} \eta\) (IN-light FR.SP-NEG:PFV = give) implies that the fire was not lighted. To express that the fire was lighted, but not for them, one would say:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fire } \operatorname{IN} \text {-light } 3=\text { PL = DAT } \text { NEG:PFV = give } 2 \mathrm{SG}=\text { DAT FR.SP-give }=\text { PFV:EGO } \\
& \text { '(We) lighted a fire not for them, but for you.' (CV09.60:EL) }
\end{aligned}
\]

\subsection*{7.8.4 Terminative/controllative 'put'}

The verb \(t i\) 'to put' is often used as an auxiliary verb. When functioning as a main verb, \(t \check{c}\) can occur with different directional prefixes, but when used as an auxiliary verb it only occurs with the prefix \(k^{h}{ }^{h}\)-, as in (831). When used as an auxiliary verb, inflection is realized on \(t\) ǐ, as in (832). The construction with \(k^{h} \partial\)-ť̌ as auxiliary verb emphasizes the total control of the agent over the action and the fact that the patient is negatively affected by the action. The patient is often not overtly mentioned; the agent, on the other hand, is usually overtly mentioned and is in all cases marked for agentive. The construction also focuses on the termination of the action. Initial verbs in this construction are generally highly controllable verbs such as 'kill' and 'catch'.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (831) è-ní pùná té-p }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{y} \text { = }=\text { cì nè-sè kh̀̀-tǐ fià } \\
& \text { 1sG-AGT today one-CLF:Shot = LIM.TOP DOWN-kill out-put LINK } \\
& \text { '(...) today I killed (him) off in one shot (...)' (TC04.16) }
\end{aligned}
\]
(832) dàbǔ tà-bǒy tá-sèj fià dà-zá kh̀̀-twì;
then 3-household:AGT UP-go:PFV:N.EGO LINK To.SP-catch OUT-put:PFV:N.EGO
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
dò-zá & kh̀̀-twǐ \(^{\text {khì }}\) bù & də̀bǔ \\
TO.SP-catch & OUT-put:PFV:N.EGO & time \(=\) TOP & then
\end{tabular}
'Then that household went and caught (him). When (they) had caught (him), (...)' (TC04.30)

The verb \(k^{h} \partial\)-ť̌ as auxiliary verb is highly grammaticalised. It is not possible to insert the clause linker \(\operatorname{Fa}\) ( \(\S 10.2\) ) in between the verbs in this construction, without breaking up the construction and radically changing the meaning. In that case, example (831) would mean 'today I killed (him) in one shot and put him away'.

When the construction is negated, a simple \(n e-m i ́=s e\) ' \(I\) did not kill him' is used and the verb \(k^{h} \partial\)-tǐ is dropped.

\subsection*{7.8.5 Emphatic construction 'let go'}

An emphatic construction is formed with a controllable verb (§8.1.1) followed by the verb \(\epsilon^{\prime}\) 'to go' and the auxiliary verb \(k \varepsilon j\) 'to let' (that is also used for a normal causative
construction, §6.4, §7.9.5). The controllable verb and the verb 'to go' have the same obligatory prefix. The choice of the prefix depends on the first verb, as shown in (833) and (834). \({ }^{314} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\) verbs used in the \(V_{l}\) dir-ç kgj construction are generally highly controllable verbs like 'to throw, to pour' and the construction expresses a high intensity of action.

'Leave three, eat three.' (CV17.26)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
dàbǔ nè-tsôy; & dàbǔ & ə́-wù & nè-cò kêj, \\
then & DOWN-pour & then & that-under & DOwN-go let
\end{tabular}
'(...) and (the oil) is poured down, (it) is made to go down in there, it is poured down.' (PC02.11)

Inflection is expressed on the final auxiliary verb kéj, as in (835).
```

ts ${ }^{\text {hèl̀̀̀lí }=\text { gá } \quad \text { dàbǔ t tcó }=\text { wù nè-dǐ nè-cò kwéj }}$
little.dog = DEF then water = in DOWN-throw DOWN-go let: PFV:N.EGO
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}$
time $=$ TOP

```
'Then when (he) threw the small dog downwards into the water (...)' (TC02.27)

In contrast to the normal causative construction, the emphatic contruction does not change the valency of the verb, but rather expresses a high degree of control of the agent over the action. Interestingly, in the corpus the patient is often overtly mentioned, but the agent almost never is. The distinction between the normal causative construction and the emphatic construction can be clearly seen in (834). In the first clause the action of pouring is described by a single verb. In the second clause the normal causative construction is used. In the third clause an emphatic construction is used, which has the same valency as the verb in the first clause. The difference is the degree of control of the agent over the action. In (836) the first clause shows the emphatic construction and the second the causative.

\footnotetext{

}
```

(836) dàbǔ zá té-p}\mp@subsup{\textrm{h}}{\mathrm{ èj k}}{\textrm{j}
then bow one-ClF:Shot OUT-throw OUT-go let:PFV:N.EGO time=TOP
qhù-n}\mp@subsup{\textrm{n}}{}{\textrm{Z}}=\mathrm{ wù dàbǔ jésèn k
needle-eye = in then arrow ouT-go let:PFv:N.EGO = INF HSY

```
'Then (he) shot one shot and caused the arrow to go through the eye of the needle, it is said.' (TC02.46)

The construction is highly grammaticalised. When negated, the initial verb will be negated and DIR-cə kgj will simply be dropped. Thus in (836), \(k^{h} \partial-m i ́=d w i\) will be the negation of the first clause.

If the initial verb expresses motion, it is possible to change the construction into a clause chain by the addition of the clause linker \(6 a\) ( \(\$ 10.2\) ) after the initial verb. However, in that case the construction is clearly broken up and the intensity of the action is lost. When the initial verb is not a motion verb, as in example (833), the addition of \(\kappa a\) results in odd semantics.

\subsection*{7.8.6 Completive 'complete' and terminative 'be finished'}

The verbs \(t \epsilon^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{\circ} \eta\) 'to complete' and \(t s^{h}{ }^{h}\) 'to be exhausted, be finished' are dissimilar from the verbs discussed above in that they are rather complement-taking verbs, formally similar to the modal auxiliaries (§7.9). Complementation is discussed in §10.3.

The verb \(t 6^{h}\) or \(\eta\) 'to complete' is used with verbs of creation denoting actions that result in a completed product, for example weaving a shawl, building a house, or making tools. Its use as main verb is illustrated in (837) and as auxiliary in (838).
(837) dòn pútú pù-jì tà, èpú= gá ź -dẓ̀ \(^{\prime}\)
together roast do-NMLZ can grandfather=GEN that-location

\(\mathrm{Q}=\) EXIST. \(\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad\) FR.SP:Q-complete \(=\mathrm{TOP}\)
'If there is (meat) at grandfather's over there, if it is done, (you) can roast it together.' (CV18.30.2)
(838) tǎ púnà = bù dzǔ thè-tçoóy
now today \(=\) TOP build FR.SP-complete
'(...) As for today, (the bridge) has been built (...)' (KZ02.9)

The verb \(t s^{h}{ }^{h}\) 'to be exhausted, be finished \({ }^{3155}\) is used to express the exhaustiveness of an action, and is used mainly with Activity verbs other than creation verbs, like working the land, slaughtering pigs or studying English. \({ }^{316}\) The verb \(t s^{h}\) \(\alpha\) is used as a main verb in (839) and as an auxiliary in (840). Note that in (840) it is used with a State verb.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
tǐy & nè-ts \({ }^{h}\) á \(=n^{\text {i }}\) æ̀ & kwǽntçí & zù = dâw. \\
Ch:electricity & Down-be.finished = just & Ch:shut.down & very = IPFV:N.EGO
\end{tabular}
'As soon as the power is finished, (my cell phone) shuts down.' (CV04.64)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { míg } & \text { dzà } & \text { wèj, nè-má } & \text { nè-ts }{ }^{\text {há }}=\text { sî. } \\ \text { what } & \text { be } & \text { PUZ } & \text { DOwn-forget } & \text { DOwN-be.finished }=\mathrm{INF}\end{array}\)
'What on earth is it? (I)'ve totally forgotten (them).' (CV09.24.2)
Negation comes between the main verb and the auxiliary, with the scope of negation being the auxiliary, as in (841) and (842). Thus in (841) the speakers did build the house, but they did not finish it. This is not possible with the asymmetrical serial verb constructions discussed above (cf. for example [827]).
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { tsón dzǔ mí }=\text { tc } \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { ôn }  \tag{841}\\
& \text { house build } \quad \text { NEG:PFV = complete } \\
& \text { '(We) did not complete building the house.' (EL) } \tag{842}
\end{align*}
\]
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { mæ̀ } \eta q w a ́ \eta=g a ́=l a ̀ ~ & \text { dzá } \quad \text { mí }=\text { ts } s^{\text {h }} \text { â } \\ \text { pork.back.end }=\text { DEF }=\text { also } & \text { eat } \quad \text { NEG:PFV=be.finished }\end{array}\)
'(...) (we) had not finished eating the pork back end (...)' (CV21.154.1)

\subsection*{7.8.7 Experiential t \(t^{j} \boldsymbol{\psi}\)}

The experiential aspect marker \(t^{j} \not t\) is used to denote situations that were experienced prior to the moment of the utterance, as in (843). That \(t^{j} \#\) is of verbal origin can be seen from the fact that it can co-occur with the interrogative particle and negative particle, as in (844) and (845), but it has not been attested as an independent main verb in the natural corpus, and it always takes on the tone of the preceding element. Dīng (1998:202) gives a grammaticalisation path from the verb 'to pass through' in

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{315}\) It is possible that this is a borrowing from Tibetan, since a verb tshar that expresses completive aspect is found in several Tibetan dialects (Zeisler 2004:647). Chirkova (2009:44) mentions this for the neighbouring language Shǐxīng as well.
\({ }^{316}\) Some verbs can be followed by either \(t \epsilon^{h}\) ǒg or \(t s^{h} \alpha\). When \(t s^{h} \hat{a}\) modifies the verb 'to eat', the implication is that all the food is finished. When \(t_{6}{ }^{\text {ho }} \boldsymbol{y} \eta\) is used instead, this implies that the action has been completed.
}

Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ there is a verb \(t^{\dagger}\) 'й 'to thread a needle', but my main consultant does not see a clear connection between this verb and the aspect marker.

thus-NMLZ \(=\) also OUT-eat \(=\) PFV:EGO OUT-eat EXP GNOMIC
'We (...) also ate (things) like this, experienced eating (like this) (...)'
(CV03.12.3)
(844) nǐy tçìn zí \(\quad \dot{e}=t^{\dagger}\) t̀?

2SG child give.birth \(\mathrm{Q}=\) EXP
'Have you given birth to any children?' (EL)
(845) ěy, mǎ mà = tíu má dzà qèj

INTJ hear NEG = EXP EPIST
'Right, he will not have heard it before.' (CV08.7)

\subsection*{7.8.8 Simultaneous action zoŋ}

The simultaneous action marker zon is used with two separate actions, the first of which takes place during the second. The construction can be schematized as follows: \(V_{1}\) zol \(V_{2}\). In most instances of my dataset, \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) is a verb of motion (either 'to come' or 'to go'). The action expressed by \(\mathrm{V}_{1}\) and marked with zon is semantically embedded in the action of \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) : it describes the manner in which \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) is conducted. The origin of zon is opaque.

The construction with the simultaneous action marker looks superficially similar to a pre-verbal adverbial construction \(X p \notin V\) (see §7.10.1), as in (846), but the difference with the pre-verbal adverbial construction is that the element preceding the simultaneous action marker zon is a controllable verb, whereas in the pre-verbal adverbial construction the pre-verbal element is something that is not controllable (a stative verb, an adverb, or a numeral-classifier compound). Additionally, the verb following the simultaneous action marker is usually a motion verb, but verbs following the pre-verbal adverbial construction \(X\) pt́are usually not motion verbs.
(846) də̀đǽy zón ş̂j
walk SIM go:PFV:N.EGO
'He went walking; (...)' (CV04.16)
There are several examples where constituents come between \(V_{1}\) zol and \(V_{2}\), as in (847), or where \(V_{2}\) is not present, as in (848).
(847)
də̀વǽn zón lìnwú \(\quad\) á = sên
walk SIM Yǒngníng go \(=\) PFV:EGO
'I went walking to Yǒngníng.' (CL02ed.7EL)
(848) dàbǔ pètsź dì zón, dì zón, tátç̀̀ tá tç̀̀łì = gǽ
then flower throw SIM throw SIM just.right this spring \(=\) GEN
dzí thè-tà pâ.
location FR.SP-arrive do:PFV:N.EGO
'So throwing flowers continuously (they) just then reached the place of the spring.' (TC07.27)

A morpheme that is often used with this construction is the morpheme \(t a\), as in (849). This morpheme seems to have some kind of plural meaning, but further research needs to be done.
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
(849) ěy, ní & ŁWé \(=\) wù & kùtù & thíy zòn tà & có-jí & dòy \(=\) qèj \\
INTJ LOG & road \(=\) in & porridge & drink & SIM & all & go-NMLZ & okay \(=\) EXPT
\end{tabular}
tçàw mà dà
say:IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
'Right, he said that he needed to go drinking porridge on the road.' (CV07.83)

\subsection*{7.9 Modal auxiliaries}

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows a whole range of modal auxiliaries; some are still able to function as main verbs, others only function as auxiliaries, and some have grammaticalised to express even more abstract notions such as politeness or inclusive versus exclusive knowledge. For example, the verb \(q^{h}{ }^{h}\) 'to need' can appear as a main verb, function as an auxiliary modifying another verb, and have a slightly more abstract meaning of 'politeness' (§7.9.9) and an even more grammaticalised use denoting 'inclusive knowledge’ (88.5).

The modal auxiliaries can be divided semantically into two groups expressing dynamic modality and deontic modality. Whereas dynamic modality relates to the ability or willingness of the referent (internal conditioning factors), deontic modality relates to obligation or permission from an external source (external conditioning factors) (Palmer 2001:9). The auxiliaries described in this section are given in Table 7.12. In addition to auxiliaries, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ also has two deontic modality constructions, discussed in §7.9.11.

Table 7.12 Modal auxiliaries
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Dynamic modals} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Deontic modals} \\
\hline \(t^{\text {hǒg }}\) & 'be able, dare' & \(q^{h}{ }^{\text {un }}\) & 'need' \\
\hline wên & 'be able' & \(h \hat{a}\) & 'ought' \\
\hline \(q a ́\) & 'can, be able' & tâ & 'should' (also dynamic 'can') \\
\hline 3 3̌7 & 'can, be able' & \(k \varepsilon ́ j\) & 'make' (also dynamic 'let') \\
\hline tóq̌̌ & 'can, be able' & & \\
\hline  & 'feel like, want to' & & \\
\hline záw & 'feel like, want to' & & \\
\hline ná & 'dare' & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The modal auxiliaries are clearly verbal, since all of them (except for tô 'can, should', §7.9.7) can be preceded by the negation and interrogative markers. When the two
 negation and interrogation markers are inserted in the middle. Auxiliaries generally do not take a directional prefix, unless they can also function as main verbs in a clause. All auxiliaries are complement-taking verbs. Complementation is discussed in \(\S 10.3\).

It is possible for several auxiliaries to co-occur, but this is not very frequent. Apart from the examples with wê \(\eta\) and \(q^{h} \check{u}\) mentioned below in (855) and (856), only the following examples have been attested in the corpus. Example (850) shows three auxiliaries
 obligation hâ. The scope of each auxiliary is over the preceding auxiliary or verb. Examples (851-853) show tâ 'can , should', wêt 'be able' and \(q^{h}{ }^{h}\) 'need' following k \(k\) j 'make, let'. Example (854) shows \(q^{h}{ }^{\text {ǔ ' 'need' }}\) following wêt 'be able'.
(850) nè-f̨æ̀ záw kéj hà tç̀ = dàw=là tç \({ }^{\text {hémì }}\)

Down-laugh want let ought say=IPFV:N.EGO = also not.sure
'(He) said, "(...) one ought to make them want to laugh," and what all.'
(CV14.146.4)
(851) édiæ̀ \(=\) bì tú kèj tà
grandmother = DAT look let can
'(...) (you) can let grandma look.' (CV18.143.1)
(852)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
làdzú & tá & kèj & wèn & mà \(=\) dâw \\
head.cover & wear & let & can & NEG \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
\end{tabular}
'(She) was not able to help me me wear the head cover, (...)' (CV22.1.7)
(853) té tí jǽ ((nè-tçà kèj qù bàw)).
false one ideo down-say let need CONTR
'(...) (she) should have put in a quick and smooth lie (...)' (CV15.55)
(854) dàbǔ tá dzò = là mó = gòn pú wéy quà
then this be \(=\) also person=AGT do be.able need
'Even though it is like this, it needs people able to do it.' (TC01ed.13)
The auxiliaries wêg and (less frequently) \(q^{h}{ }_{u}\) often follow other auxiliaries in their more abstract customary exclusive and inclusive knowledge function (cf. §8.5), as in (855), or politeness marker (§7.9.9), as in (856).
(855) \({ }_{o}^{\text {jé }}\) kwí kèj wèn â?
tongue EXIST.IN let CUST.EXCL CONF
'Is it the custom to let the tongue stay inside?' (CV18.43)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { té-qè-bà } & \text { wù } & \text { nè-th } & \text { wé } & \text { kéj } \\ \text { one-clà } \\ \text { one:household-household:GEN } & \text { interior } & \text { DOWN-encounter } & \text { let } & \text { POL }\end{array}\)
'(...) Please let (me) find a household (...)’ (TC08.5)

\subsection*{7.9.1 Physical ability 'thǒy'}

The auxiliary \(t^{h}\) ǒy 'be able, dare' expresses the physical ability to do something, and derived from that the courage to do it. It displays an inflection paradigm made up of suppletive forms. \({ }^{317}\) Like other verbs, the inflection patterns according to 'egophoric' or 'self-person' versus 'non-egophoric' or 'other-person'. A fuller discussion of egophoricity will be given in Chapter 8, in particular in §8.1.2. The different forms of the auxiliary are given in Table 7.13.

Table 7.13 The paradigm of 'can'
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline EGO (1) & EGO (2SG) & EGO (2PL) & N.EGO \\
\hline tç̌̌ & kǔ & kǐク & \(t^{h o ̌ \eta ~}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Examples of the egophoric forms are given in (857) and (858). \({ }^{318}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{317}\) It is not sure whether other speech varieties show suppletive forms. Ding (1998:250) only mentions the form [ \(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{o}\) ], but since his examples only show third person referents, it is impossible to ascertain whether there are other forms in the paradigm.
\({ }^{318}\) Note that in the third line of (857), the form kǔ is used in a second person statement. There are several instances where a second person egophoric form is used in a second person
}

```

    this snake black=DEF=on IN -shoot kill can:EGO:2SG \(\mathrm{Q}=\) EXPT
    tçw \(=\) sì.
    say:PFV:N.EGO = INF
    ```
    ' "(...) will you be able to you shoot and kill this black snake?" (he) said.'
    (TC02.49)
    "š̌ t \(\ddagger\) æ̀ \(=q \hat{\varepsilon ̂ j} . "\)
    kill can:EGO:1 = EXPT
    ‘ "I will be able to kill (it)." ' (TC02.50)
    "nǐn sě kǔ sàtcæ̀
    2SG kill can:EGO:2SG if
    '"If you are able to kill (it), (...)" ' (TC02.51)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
tèt \(\mathrm{t}^{\text {hǒ }}\) & é & nè-gwè = qع́j & hǎw, & nì̀-bú-sèy & tswéy \\
after.a.while & 1SG & DOWN-drunk= EXPT & WARN & 2-household-PART & pull
\end{tabular}
kén \(\quad\) é = qèj
can:EGO:2PL \(\quad\) = = EXPT
'After a while I will get drunk (I'm warning you!!), and will the several of you be able to pull me?' (CV21.78)

Examples of non-egophoric forms are given in (859), (860) and (861). In (861) \(t^{\text {h }}\) orp has the meaning of 'dare'.
```

(859) nìy={る́ swǽyswí t`óy=là mò thǒy mà= dáw
2=PL sour.water one:CLF:thing=also hear can:N.EGO NEG=IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) none of you was able to hear (him say) "Sour water" (...)' (CV09.38.1)

```

\footnotetext{
statement. In this instance, the fact that it appears in a conditional clause might be relevant. Conditional clauses are often phrased like questions (not in this example) in which case one would expect the second person egophoric form. Another explanation for the presence of a second person form could be that this is a remnant of an earlier person-number or actoragreement marking system that is still (partly) present in some more southern speech varieties of Pǔmǐ (Lù 1983; Fù 1998; Dīng 1998). As discussed in Daudey (2014), Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has changed to an egophoric marking system, possibly under influence the of Tibetan or other languages in the linguistic area. Alternatively, this use of the second person egophoric form (together with the presence of a suppletive paradigm) might point to a collapse of two distinct modals, that leave occasional residue forms.
}
(860) gwěy = sà cá thóy é=qc̀j...?
horse \(=\) CONTR.TOP go can:N.EGO \(Q=\) EXPT
'(...) the horse, however, will (it) be able to go?' (CV14.244)
(861) nìy = bú dàbǔ thón gá = tá=là gwæ̆ mà = thǒy
\(2 \mathrm{SG}=\) TOP then voice beautiful \(=\mathrm{SVM}=\) also sing \(\mathrm{NEG}=\) dare: \(\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{EGO}\)
1iěmǎtà
useless
'(...) (your) voice is beautiful, but you don't dare to sing, that's of no use (...)' (YJ01.9)

\subsection*{7.9.2 Learned ability wên}

The auxiliary wên 'be able' expresses ability to do something through having acquired the skills and gone through a process of learning the steps. \({ }^{319}\) It can be used as a main verb, as shown in (862) and as an auxiliary, as shown in (863). It does not show inflection.
 letter NEG=have.learned Ch:airplane Ch:drive can:EGO:2SG = EXP CONF 'If you have not learned your letters, will you be able to fly an airplane?' (CV11.33)
(863) "nǐy zá thæ̀ é= wèn," tçwò. "thæ̀ wén," tçwò = sì.

2SG bow shoot \(\mathrm{Q}=\) can say:PFV:N.EGO shoot can say:PFV:N.EGO \(=\mathrm{INF}\) '(He) said, "Can you shoot a bow?" (He) answered, "I can shoot." ' (TC02.43,44)

The modal wêt can also refer to a general custom or procedure, a generally known fact, or a habit of somebody. Used in that sense, wêt is used to express exclusive knowledge, not known by outsiders: it indicates that a speaker does not expect the addressee to know the information she is talking about, as in (864). This will be discussed in §8.5.
(864) é = ұə̀ wétà thónmá = fò = bù séntçîn bùlá cáw wèn.
\(1=\mathrm{PL} \quad\) Wǎdū:GEN Pǔmǐ \(=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{TOP}\) T:animal many raise CUST.EXCL
'We Wǎdū Pǔmǐ raise many animals.' (PC02.1)

\subsection*{7.9.3 Unlimited qá and ž̌ท}

The auxiliary qá 'can, be able (eat, use, wear)' marks not being limited by internal factors or limitations (like food being edible or clothes being wearable). It is often used

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{319}\) This form is cognate to the assertive/skillitive [jỗ] mentioned in Dīng (1998:249).
}
for activities having to do with eating, drinking and putting on clothes, as illustrated in (865), but can be used with other verbs as well, as illustrated in (866).
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(865) jíplìà & ná-má & thìy & qá-má & dzà = nòy & mǎ=dzà= fià \\
Ch:beverage & thus-NMLZ & drink & can-NMLZ & be \(=\) COORD & NEG \(=\) be \(=\) even
\end{tabular}
'(I) don't know whether or not (you) can drink a beverage like this, (...)'
(CV11.71)
(866)
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { tá-fə́næ̀y }=\text { sì } & \text { dàbǔ, } & \text { ts }{ }^{h}{ }^{\prime} & \text { mǎ }=\text { qà } \\
\text { UP-be.smelly }=\text { INF } & \text { then } & \text { slaughter } & \text { NEG }=\text { can }
\end{array}
\]
'(...) (the yak corpse) had become smelly, so (they) could not butcher it (...)' (YJ01.15)

The auxiliary \(\not\) 九̌y 'can, be able', which is very similar to qá, is used for all sorts of activities (including eating and wearing clothes). \({ }^{320}\) Its main difference from \(q a\) is that when using ž̌y the limitations or factors are external, rather than internal. \({ }^{321}\) Thus, the pair of examples in (867) and (868) differ in that (867) is a general statement that holds because of external factors like size, whereas (868) depends on internal factors like the condition of the jacket (whether or not it is wearable).

The two not only differ in semantics, but also in argument structure. qá only takes an \(S\) argument, but zĭp takes both an A and an O argument. This can be seen in (867) and (868), where an argument ' I ' can be added in (867), but not in (868).
\(\begin{array}{llll}{[\text { tá }} & \text { pálí }=\text { gò }]_{0} & \text { gǔ } & \text { zìn = q q́j }=\text { dàw } \\ \text { this } & \text { jacket }=\text { DEF } & \text { wear } & \text { can = EXPT = IPFV:N.EGO }\end{array}\)
'(I) will be able to wear this jacket.' (EL:W-C49.11)
[tá pálí = gə̀ \(]_{A}\) gǔ qá = qćj = dàw
this jacket \(=\) DEF wear can = EXPT \(=I P F V:\) N.EGO
‘This jacket can be worn.' (EL:W-C49.13)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{320}\) Not surprisingly, there are only three examples with \(q a{ }^{\alpha}\) in the corpus versus fifty-five examples with zǐy.
\({ }^{321}\) The examples in the corpus show the following limitations: unable to speak because of mushroom-poisoning; unable to pass because the road is blocked; unable to swim because one does not have arms; unable to show oneself to others because one is not wearing clothes. Interestingly, all the examples with \(q\) á and most of the examples with zǐn appear in negative clauses.
}

Some other examples with zing are given in (869), (870) and (871).
tù = lá dzá zín mà = dáw ţ̣̂̂w.
anything =also eat can NEG=IPFV:N.EGO say:IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) it's not possible to eat anything, (he) says.' (CV02.58.1)

this speak can \(=\) COORD NEG \(=\) can \(=\) COORD then liquor-bowl \(=\mathrm{INDF}\)
kh̀̀-thín khì = bù, dàbǔ tóy= dáw mà dzà mà, nǐy. OUT-drink time \(=\) TOP then speak \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC INFO INTJ
'Whether or not he could narrate this, after having drunk one bowl of liquor, he would narrate, mind you!' (CV13.114.1)

1 = PL go can=CUST.EXCL \(2=\) PL Nuòsū=PL go can NEG=CUST.EXCL
tçà qù̀ mà.
say need INFO
'You should say, "We will be able to go, but you Nuòsū people will not be able to go." ' (CV14.122)

\subsection*{7.9.4 Solution £ə́я̌̌}
 arise in conducting an action. My main consultant suggested that the form could be derived from \(f w e ̀ c \check{c}\) 'there is a way', as in example (872), which can be said in a quarrel. Two phenomena support the idea that the auxiliary derives from a nominal and a verbal component. fáč̌ is still a discontinuous morpheme: the negation and interrogative markers always precede \(\varphi \check{\check{\prime}, \text { as in (873). Additionally, the high-rising }}\) surface tonal pattern suggests that fə́č̌ originally consisted of two morphemes (see §3.3.5).
(872) é nìy \(=\) pú Łwè č̌ mà \(=q\) q̂j

1SG \(2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{COM}\) road EXIST.AB NEG \(=\) EXPT
'I won’t have any way to get along with you.' (EL:W-C49.14)
(873)
dàbǔ jèhǎ cà tshà lî̀j, púniæ̀ tá \(=\) gá \(=\) bù dàbǔ á-qhù then all go be.finished DISS today:GEN this \(=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{TOP}\) then that-on
 IN-block go:IMP:SG say time=TOP block can=NEG:PFV=can
'(They) will all finish going, the one who told me to block them up there (...);
(I) have no solution to block (them) (...)' (TC02.63)

The auxiliary \(\downarrow\) ə́б̌̌ is often used in alternation with a form of the physical ability auxiliary \(t^{h} \check{o ̌} \eta\) (87.9.1), but expresses a slightly deeper meaning of there (not) being any solution. Two examples are given in (874) and (875).
(874) èmá dàbǔ gwæ̌ mà=thǒy dàbǔ ̀̀-wá èmá=nòy
aunt then sing NEG=dare:N.EGO then this-in:GEN aunt = COORD
tə̀ = đǒynì, "nìり = bú dàbǔ thón gǽ = tá=là gwæ̌
this \(=\) PL:AGT \(\quad 2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP}\) then voice beautiful \(=\mathrm{SVM}=\) also sing

NEG = dare:N.EGO useless say \(1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{DAT}\) thus say=IPFV:N.EGO

then sing can \(=\mathrm{NEG}: \mathrm{PFV}=\) can
'Aunt ( \(=\mathrm{I}\) ) did not dare to sing, so this aunt here and the others said (to me),
"Your voice is beautiful, but (you) don't dare to sing, that's of no use." Thus (they) spoke to me, but I could not sing.' (YJ01.9)

Example (875) is a conversation between a magpie who asks people about their ability to bring pine resin, and the people who respond that they have no solution.

kín \(\quad\) é = qèj," t \(\mathrm{C} W \partial े=\) sì.
can:EGO:2PL \(\quad\) Q \(=\) EXPT \(\quad\) say:PFV:N.EGO \(=I N F\)
'(...) (he) said, "Iih!...Will your household be able to bring three liters of pine resin?" ' (TC04.23)

three-CLF:liter bring can \(\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{EXPT}\) say:PFV:N.EGO
" "(We) won't be able to bring three liters," (they) said.' (TC04.24)
"nǒy sòn-dwěy jèj kín é = qèj," tढwò.
so three-CLF:measuring.cup bring can:EGO:2PL \(Q=E X P T\) say:PFV:N.EGO
'"In that case will (you) be able to bring three measuring cups?" (the magpie) said.' (TC04.25)
"sòn-dwèn = lá thwé fácì mà=qع́j," tcwź.
three-CLF:measuring.cup \(=\) also find can NEG \(=\) EXPT say:PFV:N.EGO
' "(We) won't be able to bring three measuring cups either," (they) said.'
(TC04.26)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline "nǒy sò̀-q \({ }^{\text {h }}\) wǎ & jèj & kív &  & \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}\), \\
\hline so three-cle:bowl & bring & can:EGO:2PL & \(\mathrm{Q}=\) EXPT say & time \(=\) TOP \\
\hline "sòn- \(\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}\) wà = bú & jèj &  & t tawò. & \\
\hline three-CLF:bowl = TOP & bring & can \(=\) EXPT & say:PFV:N.EGO & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'When (he) said, "Will (you) be able to bring three bowls then?" (they) said, "(We) will be able to bring three bowls." ' (TC04.27)

\section*{}
 appears followed by the stative verb marker \(=t a\) or the intensifier \(z \downarrow \check{u}\), as in (876). It is a discontinuous morpheme in that negation is inserted in the middle. t.ênt. l en can also function as an auxiliary 'feel like, want to', which expresses a desire to conduct a certain action, as in (877) and (878). The ability to co-occur with = ta and zqǔ makes it slightly different from the other auxiliaries described thus far. In addition, శૃêņ. take a directional prefix, and in that case has the meaning 'to be a little bit better' (see §7.1.2).
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { é } & \text { féy }=\text { mǎ }=\text { łè̀ }=\text { tà }  \tag{876}\\
1 \text { SG } & \text { feel.well }=\text { NEG }=\text { feel.well }=\text { SVM }
\end{array}
\]
'I'm not feeling well.' (EL:B179)
(877) míy pù ł. โ̀n
what do feel.like
P: 'What would (you) like to do?' (CV11.28)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline fítçí & \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{j}}\) &  \\
\hline Ch:airplane & Ch:drive & feel.like \(=\) SVM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

C: ‘I would like to fly an airplane.' (CV11.29)

go \(=\) CONTR.TOP how go feel.like
'As for going, how (I) would like to go (...)' (CV14.120)
The desiderative \(\approx\) áw 'feel like, want to' follows a limited number of verbs that have to do with physical needs like sleeping, laughing, using the bathroom and having sex, as in (879). Its occurrence in the corpus is thus rather limited. Like .f̂êt.jel, it functions as an endoceptive (internal state) verb followed by the stative verb marker \(=t a\) or the intensifier zıǔ. It can also take directional prefixes. In answer to such a question it is possible to say \(m a \check{c}=\) záw ‘I don't feel like it, I don't need to’. Thus, in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ this marker should be analysed as an auxiliary, rather than a derivational verbal suffix as in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:125).
(879) zว̀ záw zù wén ṭàw mà tc̣àw fià, nè qá hádzà kì̀. sleep feel.like very cust.excl hsy nmlz.constr brain out-eat time 'It is said that (one) will want to sleep very much, when (one) eats (pig's) brain.' (CV18.3)

The desiderative záw is also used with the stative verb dzín 'to be true', as in (880):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline é= ¢ & q'ètséj khì ná &  & tçà k \\
\hline \(1: \mathrm{EXCL}=\mathrm{PL}\) & be.small time thus & OUT-eat \(=\) PFV:EG & say time \\
\hline tçì \(=\) ¢ ¢ó & dzíy záw pù & \(\underline{\text { a }}\) q \(\hat{\text { enj }}\) ). & \\
\hline child \(=\) PL:AGT & be.true feel.like do & \(\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{EXP}\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'When I say that we ate like this when we were small, the children will not think it's true.' (CV03.15)

\subsection*{7.9.6 'dare’ yá}

There is too little attestation in the corpus to say anything valid about the morpheme \(\eta\) á, which seems to be an auxiliary that has the meaning 'dare' (cf. \(t^{\text {hǒ }} \eta\) which can also mean 'dare', §7.9.1). The only occurrence is given in (881).
(881) wù \(=\mathrm{g}\) á \(=\) bù, thútù liàwliàw \(=\) lá mí \(=\) yá
tiger \(=\) DEF \(=\) TOP immediately move \(=\) also \(\quad\) NEG:PFV \(=\) dare
tà-bǎ fiǒy = pù dàbǔ nè-dzóy fià.
3-household:GEN animal.pen = under then DOWN-sit LINK
'The tiger immediately did not dare to move, so (he) sat down under their household's animal pen.' (KZ03.6)

\subsection*{7.9.7 Permissive/suggestive tâ}

The auxiliary \(t \hat{a}\) 'can, should' expresses a strong suggestion and permission to conduct an action 'go ahead and do V '. It is most often used as a strong suggestion with less imperative force than a real imperative, as in (882). In that utterance, the speaker weakens the imperative force of her statement by reframing it as a strong suggestion the third time. It can also be used with first person and third person statements, as in (883) and (884). It has not been attested with negation.
(882)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{z}^{\text {- }}{ }^{\text {hjo}}\) ôy, &  & \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò- }} \mathrm{t}^{\text {híg }}\) tà \\
\hline OUT-drink:IMP:SG & OUT-drink:IMP:SG & OUT-drink can \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'Drink, drink, go ahead and drink.' (CV21.201)
(883) ză tâ, (...) zıà = sén má ség fià
carry can carry = PFV:EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
'(We) can carry (some sour water to them), (...) and so (we) carried (the sour water).' (CV09.48.3)
(884)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline pèjpéj & píymá & dzæ̀ \({ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {h }}\) èn & ţ̧̀ tà mà, & ásèn? \\
\hline older.sibling & Pingma & Dzaetshen & say can INFO & AGR \\
\hline '(He) can say right?' (CV04. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& (=\text { could } \\
& 19.2)
\end{aligned}
\] & e shouted) & Older brother & Pingm \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(t \hat{a}\) often borders on the verge of 'possibility' and 'suggestion', as in (885) and (886). The speaker is raising the possibility of conducting an action and at the same time suggesting that the action be done that way.
(885) thóymá-lì pàw, ásæ̀? nǐy दè-lí Pǔmǐ-language do:IMP:SG CONFIRM 2SG Hàn-language PROH-do:IMP:SG dzín\({ }^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{X}}\), tǎ \(=\) bù thóymá-lì pù tà. really now \(=\) TOP Pǔmǐ.language do can
'Don’t speak Chinese, really, you can speak Pǔmǐ now.' (CV21.492.2)
(886) pédí = tì tà dzá tçàw, pédí= dè zèpú thé-kì dà-jčj tá mà toad = INDF only be HSY toad = DIS armpit FR.SP-put To.SP-get can INFO '(...) it is said that it is only a toad. A little toad can be brought stuck in one's armpit, (...)' (TC09.39)
\(t \hat{a}\) is often used in response to an expression of intent to do something, as in çà sû 'I want to go' and the response cé tà 'Go then'.
(887) "tǎ łèmí=bù ì = dzáy ̀̀-dzı́ nè-ç̌̆ é = gì," tçà now tonight \(=\) TOP 1:INCL \(=\) DU this-location DOwN-spend \(Q=\) VOL:INCL say \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\) bù dàbǔ, " \(¢ \check{x}\) tà \(\varphi\) č tà," tçwà. time \(=\) TOP then spend can spend can say:PFv:N.EGO '(Hare) said, "Now shall we sleep here tonight?" (Tiger) said, "(We) can spend the night, (we) can spend the night." ' (KZ03.31)

Example (888) is interesting in that speaker \(S\) answers from the point of view of speaker P. Even though talking about her own intention to eat a bit, speaker S uses tâ. A straightforward answer would be dzá sù ‘I want to eat'.
(888) èmá sénóy dzá \(\hat{e}=\) şù?
aunt Sanong eat \(Q=\) voL:SG
P: ‘Aunt Sanong, do you want to eat?’ (CV14.160)
dzə́ tà.
eat can
S: ‘Go ahead and eat ( = I'll eat some).' (CV14.161)
In a few conversations a dialectal equivalent \(k w \check{\not r}\) with the same meaning from the Lābǎi Pǔmǐ speech variety was used:
(889) zégì lěj è-tǔ è-jèj kî́=bù, ̀̀-wú t'áw kwæ̀ mə́ dzò.
later seed IN -dig IN -get time \(=\mathrm{TOP}\) this-in plant can GNOMIC
'Later when the seedlings have been dug up, (you) can plant them here.'
(CV14.95)
A construction \(V=l a V\) ta occurs with the meaning 'if you want to V , go ahead and V (but there are also other options)'. This is a predicate-focus construction discussed in §10.8.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (890) } & \text { nǐy = wù } & \text { nè }-t^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wèj= lá } & \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wěj tà. } \\
& \text { basket = in } & \text { DOwN-peel=also } & \text { peel }
\end{array}
\]
'(...) go ahead peel it in the basket.' (CV13.39.2)
The auxiliary tâ is sometimes followed by the copula \(d z \hat{0}\), as in (891), and sometimes by another form of the copula \(d z i\) that is also used in one of the nominalization constructions that is used for confirmation (§8.6.3), as in (892). The use of \(d z \hat{\imath}\) seems to give a more definite reading than the use of \(d z i\). Thus (891) is the concluding statement of a procedural text on making butter tea, whereas (892) is just a suggestion.
(891) nə́ pú thè-dzú də̀bǔ, kì̀ = bù də̀bǔ, thǐy tá dzô.
thus do FR.SP-make then time \(=\) TOP then drink can be
'After having made (it) like this, (you) can drink (it).' (PC01.9)
(892) 乌ə́ nè-kóy = qદ́j, qhà-dzว́ tà dzì.
lean.meat DOWN-cold=EXPT OUT-eat can be:CON
'The meat will get cold, (you) can eat.' (CV19.84)

\subsection*{7.9.8 Permissive/causative kéj}

The auxiliary kéj 'let' displays a similar inflection to controllable verbs (§8.1.1): it has a basic form \(k \varepsilon ́ j\) and an inflected form \(k w \varepsilon ́ j\) with a non-egophoric infix [w]. Inflection patterns according to 'self-person' and 'other-person', the inflected form being used for 'other-person'.
kéj is used both as a jussive, allowing somebody to do something, as well as a causative, making somebody do something. The distinction between a jussive and a causative is a fine line and ties in with volition of the causee. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ does not mark this
distinction on the verb, but can mark the causee argument with the dative marker \(=b i\) to indicate that the causer gives full control of the action to the causee. This has been discussed in §6.4. An example with a jussive reading is given in (893) and an example with a causative reading is given in (894). The non-egophoric inflected form can be seen in (894).
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
(893) & pèjpéj & kízú & tè-tsá & sá & nè-tóy & kêj, \\
& older.sibling & T:sKal.bzang & one-Clf:section & first & Down-speak & let
\end{tabular}
jǎw tètȟ̌ì dèęèj tétóy pù kì̀.
again after.a.while speech speak:RECP do TRAIL
'Let older sister Kizu first narrate a section and then we can talk together after a while.' (CV09.163)
é tsèntóy = là nè-fóş̀̀, tshǔ nè-sz̀ kwèj,
1sG chest=also Down-take.off.skin almost down-die let:PFV:N.EGO
Łèdž̌ nる́-má = tì = bù,...
liquor thus-NMLZ \(=\) INDF \(=T O P\)
'Such (good) liquor, it took the skin off my chest and almost made me die, (...)' (CV21.395)

\subsection*{7.9.9 Obligation and politeness \(q^{h} \check{u}\)}

The auxiliary \(q^{h} \breve{u}^{\text {'need }}\) to' expresses obligation. It has two forms that express number: \(q^{h} \check{u}\) for singular and \(q^{h} W \not ̌ y\) for plural. \({ }^{322}\) The form \(q^{h} W \not ̌ y ~ s e e m s ~ t o ~ h a v e ~ a ~ m o r e ~ l i m i t e d ~\) use: it is not attested as a main verb or an inclusive knowledge marker in the corpus, but is only used as an auxiliary and a politeness marker. The form \(q^{h} u \check{u}\) can be used as a main verb, as in (895). The auxiliary function of \(q^{h} u \check{u}\) and \(q^{h} w \not ̌ y ~ g\) is illustrated in (896) and (897).

'Uncle, will you still need that cutting knife?' (CV19.35)
(896) ž̌ = bì
nè- \(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{ú} \quad \mathrm{pù} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ù}\), ásæ̀?
hand \(=\) on DOWN-grasp do need CONFIRM
'(You) need to grasp tightly, okay?' (TC08.13)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{322}\) Cf. Fù (1998:153) who gives similar forms for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ (qu \({ }^{55}\) for singular and quan \({ }^{55}\) for plural).
}

```

    2= DU = also hand TO.SP-fold:RECP that-location DOWN-stand need:PL
    mà
    INFO
    ```
'The two of you need to fold your hands and stand over there (...)' (CV16.14)
When used in requests, \(q^{h} u\) has a more abstract meaning of politeness. \({ }^{323}\) This is illustrated by examples (898) and (899). (898) represents the request of a woman to god, and (899) the request of a guest to his hosts. In these kinds of relationships it is impossible to command the addressee, and \(q^{h} u / q^{h} W \nVdash\) is rather used to soften the command, thus implying a measure of politeness. As a politeness marker, it has lost its own tone and takes on the tone of the preceding element. Its function can be compared to that of the clause-final attitude markers (§8.8), but it occupies the auxiliary position in the clause. This can be seen from the form of the main verb: if \(q^{h} u\) was a real clausefinal attitude marker, the verbs in the examples below would have been in the imperative form.
```

(898) té-qè-bà wù nè-thwé kéj quỳ
one-CLF:household-household:GEN interior DOWN-find let POL

```
    'Please let (me) find a household (...)' (TC08.5)
(899) è = bí sènt \(\epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \grave{\text { æ̀ }}=\) tí \(\quad t \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \grave{\mathbf{i}} \quad \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} w \hat{\nsupseteq \eta}\)
    \(1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{DAT} \quad\) breakfast \(=\mathrm{INDF}\) feed POL:PL
    'Please give me some breakfast, (...)' (CV07.87.2)

In combination with the prohibitive negation marker \(q^{h} \check{u}\) is used to mark optatives, as in (900) (see also §7.2.3), and sometimes without the prohibitive, as in (901).

\(t^{\text {thè }}\)-dò̀
FR.SP-become
'(We) could only say, "We hope that the child will not die, but as for that insignificant old man, let it be" (...)' (CV09.133.1)
(901) ว́-dzì tsón=tí dió \(\quad\) q\(^{\text {hù }}\)
that-location house \(=\) INDF EXIST.AT need
'(I) hope (they) will build a house there.' (EL)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{323}\) In Yǔchū village, guests are always politely invited to eat by saying \(d z z^{\prime} q^{h} u\) 'Eat, please!' In Wǎdū, people normally do not use this polite form, but will simply say \(q^{h} \partial-d z \hat{a} W\) 'Eat!'
}
\(q^{h} \check{u}\) has further developed as a marker expressing inclusive knowledge that has an important function in discourse. Since its development is parallel to the development of wên (§7.9.2) and its meaning can be contrasted with that of wên, the functions of both markers in discourse will be discussed in §8.5.

\subsection*{7.9.10 Moral obligation hâ}

The auxiliary hâ 'should, ought to' is used in situations where a certain behaviour is either required or forbidden, usually on ethical or moral grounds, as in (902). It is most often used with a negative in trying to restrain people from doing certain actions. Example (903) can be regularly heard in talking to children. Interestingly, the only form of the negative that can be used with this auxiliary is the emphatic negation marker \(m \hat{\mathcal{E}}=\) (§7.2.4) and not the prohibitive \(t^{j} \mathfrak{X}=(\S 7.2 .3)\). An example of a positive use is shown in (904).
(902) \(\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}\) énì hí=góy è-dí mà dzà, fiámázà ţ̧̌ mé=hà.
mouth god=AGT IN-connect GNOMIC, messy say NEG:EMPH=ought '(Your) mouth is given by god, (you) shouldn't speak randomly.' (EL)
(903) mé = hà!

NEG:EMPH = ought
'Don't!' (CV21.599.2)
(904) sént \({ }^{\text {hì }}\) k \({ }^{\text {hò-tç }}{ }^{\text {h }}\) wí pú hà tç̀ fià... Ch:health out-good do ought say Link '(...) (they) said that (she) ought to make her health better, (...)' (CV14.210)

In example (905) the speaker talks about a family member who embarrassed the household by going to a different village wearing old shoes.
(905) nú ¢à khì=bù, ná pú mé=hà mà, nú cà kì̀, outside go time=TOP thus do NEG:EMPH=ought INFO outside go time
 outside go time=TOP a.little good a.little.bit do ought info '(...) when (he) goes out, (he) should not act like this; when (he) goes out, (he) ought to act ( = put on something) a bit better.' (CV15.34)

\subsection*{7.9.11 Deontic modality constructions}

There are two constructions that express deontic modality. The verbs \(d z \hat{\jmath}\) and \(d o \check{\eta}\) in these two constructions function as complement-taking verbs (§10.3). Both constructions are formed with the purposive nominalizer \(-j i\) (85.2.2). The first construction, the 'self-obligation construction' has the form \(V_{-j i} d z ə\) with the copula
\(d z \hat{\hat{\rho}}\) 'to be' following a nominalized verb, as in (906). It implies that the referent is willing and in control of the action that needs to be performed.
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
(906) káw & pàtçí & sè̀bǔ & nè-¢ə̀-jí & dzò & tçà & \(k^{\text {hì }}=\) nò \\
& uncle(MB) & Ch:Bajin & tomorrow & DOWN-go-NMLZ & be & say & time \(=\) only
\end{tabular}
'Only when Uncle Bajin said that (he) needed to go back the next day, (...)'
(CV06.13)
The purposive nominalizer \(-j i\) is often left out, as in (907).

'I maybe need to pour (it) in?' (CV09.141)
The second construction, the 'other-obligation construction' has the form V-ji doy with the verb dǒg'to become, be okay'. The use of that construction implies that the referent is pressed by external circumstances to take a certain action and has no choice in the matter, as in (908).
(908) púnə̀ zènə̀ = sə̀ tç \({ }^{\text {h }}\) wǎ \(=\) bì \(=\) fià \(\quad\) má \(=\) gæ̀ tù
today yesterday \(=\) CONTR. \(\operatorname{TOP} \quad \mathrm{pig}=\mathrm{DAT}=\) even person \(=\) GEN top
há pú tç \({ }^{\text {hìj-jí }} \quad\) dòy = dàw, ásèn?
be.excessive do feed-NMLZ okay=IPFV:N.EGO AGR
'(...) in recent days, however, one needs to feed the pigs even more than
people (were fed in the past), right?' (CV03.10.3)

\subsection*{7.10 Predicate modification}

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has three predicate modification constructions: a pre-verbal adverbial construction, a post-verbal intensive construction and a post-verbal delimitative construction. The three are illustrated in this section.

\subsection*{7.10.1 Pre-verbal adverbial construction}

The construction \(X p \#\) is a pre-verbal predicate modifier construction with adverbial meaning, expressing the manner in which the action denoted by the modified verb is done. The verb \(p\) t'to do' has grammaticalised to an adverbializer (similar to Lijiāng Nàxī and Xùmǐ, Chirkova 2010:20). The various elements (X) that can modify a verb using this construction are stative verbs, as in (909), adverbs, as in (910), numeralclassifier compounds, as in (911), and even complete verbal predicates as in (912) and
(913). \({ }^{324}\) Modifiers are given in square brackets. Numeral-classifier compounds occur repeated in the X slot of the construction, as in (911) and (914).
 out-sun.dry clean do FR.SP-pick clean do out-sun.dry GNOMIC 'It is picked in a clean way and sun-dried in a clean way.' (CV14.72)
(910) ìg = dzáy = bù zégì [dádà pù] cá = gî \(1: \mathrm{INCL}=\mathrm{DU}=\) TOP later slowly do go=vOL:INCL
'(...) Let the two of us go slowly behind (the others). (...)' (YJ01.41)
(911) [tè-ph tě̀ tè \({ }^{\text {hex }}\) pú] nè-gên one-CLF:piece one-ClF:piece do DOWN-cut.with.scissors '(...) (we would) cut it piece by piece with scissors.' (SN01.4)
(912) èmá = bù kóy = tâ, ə̀-pú [nè-dèj pú] nè-dzóy=sêy. aunt \(=\) TOP cold \(=\) SVM this-under DOWN-stick do DOWN-sit \(=\) PFV:EGO 'Aunt ( \(=\mathrm{I}\) ) is very cold, (I)'ve sat here stuck (to the fireplace).' (CV02.13)

move NEG \(=\) can do FR.SP-make ought GNOMIC
'(...) It ought to be made so that it cannot move, (...)' (CV14.146.2)
Multiple modifiers can precede the verb, as in (914).
(914) mǽŋ lo jón ts \({ }^{\text {há }} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}\) í \(=\) bù [qàqà pú]
hair peel be.finished time \(=\) TOP group do
tsàzว̌ [tióy tióy pù] théj wêy
fattened.pig one:clf:thing one:clf:thing do Ch:lift cUST.EXCL
'When the hair has been scraped off, (the people) will together lift the fattened pigs one by one (...)' (CLO1ed.18)

\subsection*{7.10.2 Post-verbal intensive adverbial construction zu pú}

The post-verbal intensive construction zqu pú immediately follows the verb it modifies. When present, post-verbal markers will follow the modifier, as in (915). The construction is derived from the verb zuй'to be excessive, to be capable' and \(p \dot{\sharp}\) 'to do' and expresses that the action denoted by the verb is done often.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{324}\) The reciprocal reduplication (§7.4.1.1), which is also followed by the verb \(p \notin\), is different in that it does not modify another verb, but functions as the main verb in a clause.
}
```

(915) tóy [zù pú] wèy, ásè\eta?
speak be.excessive do CUST.EXCL AGR

```
'(Uncle) often utters about it, right?' (CV01.8.1)
The similar examples (916) and (917) use the same modifier, but used post-verbally and pre-verbally respectively, and the interpretation differs slightly. (916) denotes that the action expressed by the verb is done often, whereas (917) denotes that the action expressed by the verb is done in great quantities. Both clauses can also be used when commenting on a current action of a referent, in which case they mean roughly the same, indicating that the referent is clearly enjoying his meal. The pre-verbal modifier in (917) is similar to the ones described in §7.10.1.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(916) tá & t chì̀ \(^{\text {ì }}\) & dzá & [zù & pú] \(=\) dàw \\
& 3SG & food & eat & be.very \\
do \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
\end{tabular}
'He's eating attentively.' or 'He often eats.' (CV01.8.1EL)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (917) tà &  & [zù & zù & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
pú] \\
do
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{dzá = dâw}} \\
\hline & food & & e.e & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'He's eating attentively/a lot.' or 'He eats a lot.' (CV01.8.1EL)
The post-verbal constructions described here and in §7.10.3 might originally have been preverbal modifiers of the following aspectual markers (Harold Koch, p.c.).

\subsection*{7.10.3 Post-verbal delimitative construction}

The construction \(D I R-V\) to \(p \#\) is a post-verbal predicate modifier construction that expresses delimitative aspect: the action denoted by the preceding verb is done very quickly, only once or not very thoroughly. \(t \geqslant\) is derived from the numeral \(t \check{y}\) 'one' and \(p \notin\) is the verb 'to do'. The main verb takes an obligatory directional prefix. This can be explained using the concept of telicity (or boundedness): both the delimitative construction and the directional prefix point to the boundedness of the action (cf. §7.1.3).
(918) é ž̌ thè-tséj tà pù = sù

1SG hand FR.SP-wash one do = Vol:SG
'I want to wash my hands a bit.' (EL:C5.5)
In this construction, the verb pt́to do' can be inflected. Examples are given with a non-egophoric form (919) and an imperative form in (920).
 '(...) the youngest brother asked (him) a bit (...)' (TC02.13)
(920) tshǐ thè-z̧æ̀zú tá pàw.
salt FR.SP-mix one do:IMP:SG
'(You) should mix the salt a bit.' (CV18.27)

\subsection*{7.11 Conclusion}

This chapter described different parts of the predicate: directional prefixes, negation, interrogatives, verbal morphology (including various verb stem reduplication templates), the copula and existential verbs, the light verb and denominal verbs, versatile verbs and verbal aspect, modal auxiliaries and predicate modification.

\section*{Chapter 8.}

\section*{Evidentiality and speaker attitude}

This first part of this chapter is concerned with the interplay between the lexical semantics of the verb and the egophoricity-evidentiality parameter. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows four groups of verbs based on verbal semantics: controllable verbs (Haller 2000), also referred to as 'volitional verbs' (Sūn 1993, Tournadre 2008); non-controllable verbs; endoceptive (Tournadre 2011) or internal state verbs (Aikhenvald 2003); and exoceptive (Tournadre 2011) or observable state verbs (Sūn 1993). Each group shows different behaviour in regard to inflection and interaction with evidentials and egophoricity. The term egophoricity (Tournadre 2008) denotes the involvement of the speaker in an action, which results in direct knowledge about the action on the part of the speaker. Evidential marking in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ implies lack of involvement on the part of the speaker, and thus knowledge of a situation has to be based on one of several types of evidence.
§8.1 deals with controllable and non-controllable verbs. The notion of control plays a major role in verbal behaviour as will be shown in this chapter. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, inflection of the verb stem is only observed in controllable verbs and only in perfective aspect. Inflection patterns according to egophoric and non-egophoric (Tournadre 2008) or 'self' and 'other' (Sūn 1993) and not according to person-number or actor-agreement as has been noted for other Pǔmǐ speech varieties (Lù 1983, Fù 1998, Dīng 1998). However, this egophoric system should not be seen as a rigid agreement system, but rather as a pragmatically-used means that speakers employ, as is shown in §8.1.2. As illustrated in §8.1.3, only controllable verbs show separate imperative forms.
§8.2 deals with stative verbs and makes a distinction between endoceptic (internal state) verbs and exoceptic (observable state) verbs. The notion of 'observability' plays a role in evidential marking of these verbs.
§8.3 describes the different evidential and (non-)egophoric post-verbal markers and their interplay with the category of person and the controllability or non-controllability of the situation. It discusses the perfective egophoric and inferential evidential markers (§8.3.1), the (non-)egophoric imperfective markers (§8.3.2), and the modal (non-)egophoric markers (§8.3.3). It also deals with some other evidential markers: the auditory evidential marker (§8.3.4), the quotative marker and the related hearsay evidential marker (§8.3.5), and the reported thought marker (§8.3.6). The section on evidentiality ends with a presentation of the co-occurrence of evidentials and non-
egophoric markers (88.3.7), the link between text genre and evidentiality (88.3.8) and the use of evidentiality for information obtained through new media (88.3.9).

The second part of the chapter deals with epistemic modality (§8.4), and various speaker attitude and discourse-related markers and constructions. Two modal auxiliaries and their discourse functions are described in §8.5, and various nominalization constructions that are used for evidential strategy and speaker-attitude functions are discussed in §8.6. The nominalization constructions are syntactically embedded in the other markings discussed in this chapter, and because of their functions are discussed in this chapter rather than in \(\S 5.2\) on nominalization. The cooccurrence of evidential marking, epistemic marking and nominalization constructions is presented in §8.7. The chapter ends with a section on the different clause-final attitude markers (§8.8).

\subsection*{8.1 Control and inflection}

Two groups of verbs can be distinguished semantically according to the parameter of control: controllable and non-controllable verbs. Structurally, there are two factors that distinguish them: in perfective aspect, controllable verbs show stem inflection; noncontrollable verbs do not show stem inflection (§8.1.1); controllable verbs have imperative forms, non-controllable verbs have to form an imperative with the verb 'to do’ (§8.1.3).

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, verb inflection does not show person-number or actor agreement, but rather verb agreement patterns that are similar to 'conjunct/ disjunct', 'egophoric/ nonegophoric', 'congruent/ non-congruent' or 'person-sensitive TAME marking' systems described for Newari (Hale 1980; Hargreaves 2005), Tibetan (DeLancey 1986, 2001; Sūn 1993; Tournadre 2001, 2008), Tsafiki (Dickinson 2000) and Tani (Post 2013). Verbs inflect according to 'self-person' and 'other-person': they have egophoric forms for 'self-person' and non-egophoric forms for 'other-person'. This is interesting from a cross-dialectal perspective, since to date most descriptions of Pǔmǐ point to personnumber agreement (Lù 1983,2001; Fù 1998) or actor-agreement (Dīng 1998). Only Jacques' analysis of Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ (2011) seems to point to an agreement system similar to that of Wǎdū Pǔmi. \({ }^{325}\)

There are three main arguments for analysing Wǎdū Pǔmǐ verbs as an egophoric/evidential system, rather than an actor-agreement or person-number agreement system. These arguments are illustrated in the subsequent sections.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{325}\) His data also show a verbal infix <w > that he glosses as non-egophoric volitive. Daudey (2014) addresses this cross-dialectal variation and ascribes it to possible areal influence from Tibetan.
}

The first argument is that the egophoric form（s）of verbs are used in both first person statements as well as second person questions．The non－egophoric form of verbs is used in first person questions，second person statements and third person（statements and questions）．

A second argument is that the egophoric form（s）are used in subordinate speech clauses whose actor referent is co－referential with that of the main clause，even when the referent is third person（cf．example（955）below），and the non－egophoric form is used in subordinate speech clauses whose referent is not co－referential with that of the main clause，even when the referent is first person（cf．examples（951）to（954）below）．This pattern was observed by Hale（1980）and others，and referred to as＂conjunct－disjunct＂．

A third argument is that the egophoric form is used with an overt third person argument when that refers to the speaker（cf．examples（957）to（959）below）．This is similar to what Dickinson（2000：386）mentions for Tsafiki．

\section*{8．1．1＇Control＇as basic notion in verbs}

This section discusses the first two groups of verbs：controllable and non－controllable verbs．\({ }^{326}\) Semantically，controllable verbs are verbs that express events that can be controlled by an agent；non－controllable verbs denote events that cannot be controlled by an agent．Morphosyntactically，only controllable verbs have imperative forms（as will be discussed in \(\S 8.1 .2)^{327}\) and show inflection of their verb stem in perfective aspect（88．3．1）．Non－controllable verbs do not．The basic form of controllable verbs is used as egophoric form in＇self－person＇contexts；the inflected form is the non－egophoric form used in＇other－person＇contexts．Non－controllable verbs have only one basic verb stem and do not inflect（but they have a different way to distinguish＇self－person＇ contexts from＇other－person＇contexts，involving the verb＇to do＇）．

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{326}\) This is recognized by Fù（1998：25，77－87，140）for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ as well．She notes that verbs split in two groups：autonomous（自主）and non－autonomous（非自主），that autonomous verbs show more phonological inflection（for person，number，and aspect）than non－autonomous verbs，and that only autonomous verbs can occur in imperatives．An autonomous particle（自主助词）\(-p \#^{31}\) can be added to non－autonomous verbs in order to let them function like autonomous verbs（equivalent to the＇self－causative＇construction with the verb put＇to do＇in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ）．Non－autonomous verbs can only occur with the aspect markers \(-s i^{3 l}\) and \(-q a^{3 l}\) （the equivalents of \(=s i\) and \(=q \varepsilon j\) in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ）．Fù also notes the similarity with Tibetan （1998：164，170）．
\({ }^{327}\) See Haller（2000：176）for a similar observation on controllable verbs and imperatives in Tibetan．Some controllable verbs in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ have imperative forms that are similar in shape to the verb stem，due to phonological restrictions．
}

The notion of control that is lexicalized in the verb is also reported for Tibetan dialects (Tournadre (2008:291) "controllability is a lexical category of the verb", and Haller (2000:175) for Shigatse and Temchen Tibetan; Sūn (1993:960) analyses it as volitionality). The notion of control cuts across transitivity, and the group of controllable verbs includes both transitive (e.g. hit) as well as intransitive verbs (e.g. walk). \({ }^{328}\)

Apart from imperative forms (§8.1.2), controllable verbs have only two forms: a basic form and an inflected form. Verb inflection of controllable verbs is done in most cases by inserting an infix \(/ \mathrm{w} /{ }^{329}\) in the verb stem. In (921), a list is given of the basic and inflected form of several controllable verbs.
(921) \(d^{2} / d w i ̌\) 'to throw away'; kǐkwǐ'to put in, wear (earrings)'; kǐ/kwǐ 'to give to
 carry'; dzó/dzwô 'to eat'; zž/ఒwǒ 'to sleep'; tç̌/t \(\epsilon w\) 'to say'; \(q^{h} \check{a} / q^{h} W \hat{a}\) 'to pick'; kéj/kwéj 'to let'; \(s\) ě/swě 'to kill'; ť̌/twǐ 'to put'; tǽ/twá 'to scratch'; t théth \({ }^{h} w e ̌\) 'to
 lead'; tš́qà/tsáqwà 'to jump continuously'.

However, there are four verbs whose inflection shows a vowel change, as in (922), and the verbs 'to come' and 'to go' show a suppletive form, as in (923).


Some controllable verbs do not display verb inflection due to phonological restrictions, either because of an initial labial consonant, as in (924), because of a rounded vowel, as in (925), or because of labialization of the consonant, as in (926). The verbs in (924926) do have imperative forms and their semantics indicate control by an agent.
\(p \varepsilon ́ j\) 'to add heat, boil'; pág 'to hide oneself'; pá 'to print'; \(p^{h h} 1\) 'to push over'; \(p^{h} \hat{a}\) 'to cut in half'; \(p^{h} \check{u}\) 'to divide the household'; bř'to hammer'; bâ 'to hurl';

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{328}\) The question of transitivity is discussed in \(\S 6.3\). Sūn (1993:960) also mentions that volitional verbs in Amdo Tibetan include transitive as well as intransitive verbs.
\({ }^{329}\) This infix is subject to palatalization as described in §2.1.7.3. It is an interesting question where this infix derives from. LaPolla (p.c.) suggests an -u suffix that appears in some Qiangic languages as a third person form. Jacques (p.c.) rather suggests that this reflects the inverse prefix (cf. Japhug rGyalrong wyu- [Jacques 2010], Zbu rGyalrong wo- [Gong 2014:49]) which has only been preserved as inverse in core rGyalrongic languages and has been generalised in other Qiangic languages.
\({ }^{330}\) The forms in brackets are alternate forms.
}
bǔ̌ 'to steam'; mǎ 'to blow'; m̌̌'to beg'; wěj 'to curse'; wú 'to trap'; wě 'to prepare food'.
(925)
\(q u\) 'to tame (an ox)'; dzù 'to make'; kǔ 'to carry on back'; sù 'to hide


 \(h w a ̆ ~ ' t o ~ c a m p ' ; ~ t h w e ̌ ~ ' t o ~ b r e a k ~(t r). ' ; ~ q w e ́ j ~ ' t o ~ m i x ' ; ~ . ~ t w a ́ ~ ' t o ~ h o o k ' ; ~ ধ w e ́ n ~ ' t o ~ b r i n g ~\) forth sound'; swá 'to decorate'; ž'̌̌j 'to rinse'; swén 'to study, teach'; swǐ 'to whet'.

Note however that these phonological restrictions also hold for three of the verbs in (922) which have an initial bilabial consonant but which do show inflection. Instead of using a /w/ infix, vowel change is used to create a non-egophoric form. It is interesting that speakers of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ do not seem to feel the need to use vowel change to create non-egophoric forms for the verbs in (924-926). This might indicate that Pǔmǐ has enough other indications to distinguish 'self' from 'other', probably through the system of evidential post-verbal particles. For the verb forms in (924-926) perfectivity of the verb is indicated by the addition of a directional prefix (§7.1.3).

The basic form of controllable verbs functions as the egophoric form which occurs in 'self-person' clauses (first person statements and second person questions), as in (927) and (928). The inflected form functions as the non-egophoric form which occurs in 'other-person' clauses (first person questions, second person statements and third person statements and questions), as in (929-932). \({ }^{331}\)
```

(927) é q}\quad\mp@subsup{q}{}{h}̀-dzó= =ê\
1SG OUT-eat = PFV:EGO

```
'I have eaten.' (CV19.60)
(928) fǎw, nǐy è-zó= sí â?

INTJ 2SG IN-come(EGO) \(=\mathrm{INF}\) CONF
'Oh, (you) came back?' (CV21.406)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{331}\) Egophoric and evidential markers will be discussed in 88.3 ; for the examples used in this section it is worth noting here that \(=s e \eta\) is the perfective egophoric marker that is used in 'selfperson' clauses where the action can be controlled (egophoricity implies volition, control and involvement) and \(=s i\) is the inferential evidential marker that is optionally used in 'otherperson' clauses, or in 'self-person' clauses where the action cannot be controlled.
}

2SG say 1sG food out:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'You say, have I eaten?' (EL)
(930) é séliàw té-liàw = bì, tç \({ }^{\text {hè̀bùlliǒy sǒy tà dzù }}\) 1sG grain.kernel one-clF:kernel = DAT food.lump three only make tçá-má = bù nǐy è-zwîn can:EGO1-NMLZ \(=\) TOP 2SG IN-block:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) me, who can only make three lumps of food from one kernel of grain, you blocked!!' (TC02.65)

then out-eat:PFV:N.EGO = INF say=IPFV:N.EGO
'Then (she) finished eating, it is said.' (TC04.17)

3sG food out:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'Has he eaten?' (EL)
Non-controllable verbs only display one basic form, as shown in (933) and example (934):
(933) bî'to fall down'; ḉx 'to grow old'; cí 'to ooze'; d'áw'to be tired'; dé 'to stick (intr.)'; dzăw 'to have authority'; dzǐ 'to splash'; dzě 'to appear (stars)'; dद̆̌̌n 'to lean against'; đă 'to resemble'; đén 'to break (intr.)'; lôn 'to be full of maggots'; \(\neq 1\) 'to succeed in escaping'; mán 'to have time'; mə̌ 'to hear, forget'; mǐ'to lose (sth)'; nǒy 'to make a mistake'; nǔ 'to know'; qâ 'to fall down'; fǎy 'to get stuck'; \(l \hat{1}\) 'to sweat'; \(s\) ฮ̌ 'to die'; sá 'to live'; tă 'to arrive'; \(t \not \subset \check{x}\) 'to crack (intr.)'; tç hée 'to be cut off, be tired'; zǐ 'to be born'; găw 'to be happy'; dzž̆g 'to be clogged up'; dzî 'to be burned'; \(q \hat{x}\) 'to dissolve, become undone'; \(d\) ǧ 'to fall down'; \(\downarrow \hat{\imath}\) 'to tear (intr.)'; \(q^{h} \check{\varepsilon j j}\) 'to be burned'; \(t^{h} \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) 'to bubble'; toे 'to die outside'; \(t s^{h} \mathscr{x}\) 'to come into being'.
(934) ébàw nè-má = sì

INTJ DOWN-forget \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
'My oh my! (I) forgot (everything).' (CV22.48.1)
Since non-controllable verbs show only one basic form, inflection for 'other-person' is expressed by adding an inflected form \(p a\) of the light verb \(p\) t́ 'to do' (§7.7) to the non- \(^{\prime}\) controllable verb, as in the following examples:
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { tá }=\nexists \partial ́=\text { là } & \text { tǎ } & \text { èpú } & \text { nè-má } & \text { pà }=\text { sì. } \\ \text { this }=\mathrm{Pl}=\text { also } & \text { now } & \text { grandfather } & \text { DOwN-forget } & \text { do:PFV:N.EGO }=\text { INF }\end{array}\)
'(...) grandfather already forgot these things (...)' (CV01.11)
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { jæ̀ } \text { láwù } & \text { mə̀gìy }=q^{\text {h }} \text { ù } & \text { t }^{\text {hè.-tsèy }} & \text { pà } \\ \text { Ch:Yang.Lawu } & \text { old.man }=\text { on } & \text { FR.SP-fall.down } & \text { do:PFV:N.EGO }\end{array}\)
'(...) he fell down on old Yang Lawu, (...)' (CV09.45.2)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
nìy \(=\) gón & thè-tcíy & pá & Łæ̀nə̀nì & mà nì fà. \\
2SG \(=\) AGT & FR.SP-see & do:PFV:N.EGO & seems & NMLZ.CONSTR
\end{tabular}
'(...) it seems (to me) that you saw it.' (CV09.39.2)
The parameter of control in the lexical specification of verbs can be adjusted with two different constructions, which in turn influence the choice of evidential or egophoric marking: the first construction turns a non-controllable verb into a situation that can be controlled; the second construction turns a controllable verb into a situation that implies lack of control. Unlike in Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003; Curnow 2003) and nDrapa (Shirai 2007), Wǎdū Pǔmǐ cannot simply use evidential marking with controllable verbs to mark lack of control with 'self-person', but a special construction has to be used to adjust the control first. \({ }^{332}\)

The first control-adjusting construction is the 'self-causative construction', a type of causative construction with the light verb \(p\) t́to do' (see also §7.7, Fù 1998:80, and Jiǎng 2012a), which allows controllable actions to be expressed with non-controllable verbs. As a result, the action is portrayed as controllable and purposeful, and the egophoric \(=\) seg has to be used in 'self-person' sentences, that is first person statements, as is shown in example (938), and second person questions, as is shown in example (942) (compare with (934) above).
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(938) & é & nè-má & pù \(=\) sèy \\
& 1SG & DOWN-forget & do \(=\) PFV:EGO
\end{tabular}
'I (purposely) forgot (it).' (EL)
The adverbial tuniる' 'purposely' can be added to the sentence, as in (939).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{332}\) In Qiāng, the visual evidential is used with a first person controllable action to imply nonintentionality (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:66). In nDrapa, both the egophoric particle and the evidential particle can occur with a verb (Shirai 2007:139). The use of the evidential particle in nDrapa indicates the uncontrollability and unintentionality of the situation; the use of the egophoric particle indicates intention. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, a control-adjusting construction has to be used first.
}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(939) é tùniź & nè-má & pù \(=\) sèn \\
1SG purposely & DOWN-forget & do \(=\) PFV:EGO
\end{tabular}
'I purposely forgot (it).' (EL)
This adverbial cannot occur in a sentence without pú, as in (940).
(940) *é tùn'ź nè-má sì/ *é tùn \({ }^{\text {já }}\) nè-má sèn

In second person questions pt́ can also be added. Example (941) is a neutral question and the inferential evidential \(=s i\) is used, but example (942) implies that the speaker expected the addressees to have actively done something about the situation, and thus the egophoric \(=s e \eta\) is used.
nìg \(=\) дる́ \(\quad\) nè-má \(=\) sì â?
\(2=\mathrm{PL} \quad\) DOWN-forget \(=\mathrm{INF} \quad\) CONF
'You (pl) forgot, didn't you?' (EL)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
nì̀ \(=\) łá & nè-má & pù \(=\) sèy & \(\hat{a} ?\) \\
\(2=\) PL & DOWN-forget & do \(=\) PFV:EGO & CONF
\end{tabular}
'You (pl) forgot, didn't you? (You shouldn't have forgotten)' (EL)
Two examples from natural speech are given in (943) and (944).
\(\begin{array}{lll}q^{\text {h }} \text { ว̀ň́ø } & \text { pù }=\text { sè } & \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\text { bù } \\ \text { out-slow } & \text { do }=\text { PFV:EGO } & \text { time }=\text { TOP }\end{array}\)
'When (we) were (purposely) going slowly, (...)' (CV09.27)
(944) dzá mà = cîn, t tàćx \(=\) nóy pǐ tá-kú \(\quad((p u ̀=s e ̀ n))\).
eat \(\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{VOL}: P \mathrm{~L}\) now \(=\) only belly UP-full \(\mathrm{do}=\mathrm{PFV}: E G O\)
'(...) (we) won’t eat; (we) just stuffed our tummies.' (CV14.157)
The second control-adjusting construction is formed with the form tsen \({ }^{333}\) 'unintentionally, unfortunately, uncontrollably, unawarely' following a controllable verb. This construction allows non-controllable actions to be expressed with a controllable verb. The construction is only used in 'self-person’ sentences and denotes that the situation cannot be controlled by the speaker, even though s/he wishes to. When tsen follows a controllable verb, like qwéj 'to cry' in (945), the egophoric marker \(=s e \eta\) cannot be used, but instead the inferred evidential \(=s i\) has to be used, as in (946). An additional example from natural speech is given in (947).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{333}\) The etymology of this particle is not clear at present. It is possible that it developed through a serial verb construction from the non-controllable verb tsén 'to fall down, to hit target'.
}
```

(945)
é nè-qwéj = sên ${ }^{334} \quad$ (*é nè-qwéj $=$ sî)
1sG DOWN-cry = PFV:EGO

```
'I cried.' (EL)
(946) é nè-qwéj tsén = sì (*é nè-qwéj tsén sèy)

1SG DOWN-Cry N.CONTR = INF
'I cried (unintentionally).' (EL)
(947) âw, jǎw j̀-dž́ khá-tì tsèn=sì.

INTJ again this-location out-put N.CONTR \(=\) INF
'Oh, (I) unfortunately put it here again.' (CV18.45)
This second construction can also be used with non-controllable verbs whose parameter of control has been adjusted by the first construction, the addition of \(p\) t 'to do', as discussed above. This denotes a situation in which the agent could have controlled the situation and had the responsibility to do so, but ended up not controlling it, to their own embarrassment, as in (948).
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
mín \(=\) lá & nè-má & pù & tsèn \(=\) sì \\
medicine \(=\) also & Down-forget & do & N.CONTR \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
\end{tabular}
```

'(I) also forgot medicine unfortunately.' (CV02.43)
The verb mǎ 'to forget' is a non-controllable verb. The speaker wants to highlight the fact that it was his intention and responsibility to buy medicine, but he forgot. Since 'forget' is not an action that can normally be controlled, the verb pt 'to do' is added first (so that the construction presents a controlled event and the speaker is seen as responsible for the action) only then to add $t s e \eta$ to express his lack of control over the situation. The example differs from (949), in that in (949) the speaker is portrayed as totally lacking control, whereas in (948) he portrays himself as having been able to do something about the situation, but finding himself in the unfortunate situation where he did not control it. It is interesting that if an overt argument had been expressed, the agentive marker could have been used in (948), whereas in (949) agentive marking is not possible.

```
(949) míy = lá
nè-má = sì
medicine \(=\) also \(\quad\) DOWN-forget \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
```

'(I) also forgot medicine.' (CV02.43EL)

[^134]In example (950) the speaker comments on the fact that she should have made an effort to tell a story slowly, since it was being recorded by the researcher, but instead she told it rather quickly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (950) èmá = gònnì qútə̀ ł̀̀ tçà nè-ts }{ }^{\text {há }} \text { pú tsèn = sì. } \\
& \text { aunt }=\text { AGT in.a.flash say DOWN-be.finished do } \quad \text {.CONTR }=I N F \\
& \text { 'Unfortunately, aunt ( }=\mathrm{I} \text { ) was finished in a flash.' (CV09.14) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The above-mentioned verb inflection data from Wǎdū Pǔmǐ all point to a system based on the parameter of control that ties in with parameter of evidentiality versus egophoricity (speaker-involvement), rather than a straightforward person-number agreement system. ${ }^{335}$ Apart from the data shown above, two other pieces of information support this analysis.

The first argument is that when the referent of a main clause and a subordinate speech clause are non-co-referential, the non-egophoric form of the verb has to be used in the quotation (even when the referent in the speech clause is first person). Thus in (951) and (952), the inflected form of the verb is used with a first person argument in the subordinate clause, because the referent of the main clause is not the same. Depending on the type of evidence, the inferential evidential $=s i$ can be used, as in (951) or (953) (implying inferred evidence), or left out, as in (952) or (954) (implying visual evidence). ${ }^{336}$ The speech clause in each example is in square brackets.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 3SG }=\text { AGT } \quad 1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad \text { OUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO }=\mathrm{INF} \quad \text { say }=\mathrm{IPFV}: N . E G O \tag{951}
\end{align*}
$$

'He says that I have eaten.' (inferred evidence) (EL)

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad 1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \text { out-eat:PFV:N.EGO say=IPFV:N.EGO } \tag{952}
\end{align*}
$$

'He says that I have eaten.' (visual evidence) (EL)

| tà = gǒnnì [tı̀ = gǒy | $\mathrm{q}^{\text {h}}$-̀dzw - $=$ sì] | t¢̧̀ $=$ dàw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mathrm{SG}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{AGT}$ 3 $\mathrm{SG}_{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{AGT}$ | OUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO = INF | say = IPFV:N.EGO |

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{i}}$ says that $\mathrm{he}_{\mathrm{j}}$ has eaten.' (inferred evidence) (EL)

[^135](954) t $̀$ = gǒynì [tò = gǒy qhò-dzwó = ø] tçə̀ = dàw
$3 \mathrm{SG}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{AGT} 3 \mathrm{SG}_{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{AGT}$ OUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO say=IPFV:N.EGO
' $\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{i}}$ says that he $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}$ has eaten.' (visual evidence) (EL)
But when the referent of the main clause and the subordinate clause is co-referential, the egophoric verb form of the complement verb and the egophoric marker $=\operatorname{sen}$ are used, as in (955). The logophoric pronoun nî is used to indicate co-reference. This is similar to what is reported for Tsafiki (Dickinson 2000:384) and Tibetan (Tournadre 2008), and can be seen as keeping the original ending of the quotation (Tournadre 2008:300), (cf. also §8.3.5). In direct speech the normal pronoun used in the original quotation will be used instead, as $\tilde{E}$ ' T ' in (956). In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ there seems to be no distinction between direct and indirect speech apart from the reference marking: the use of the logophoric pronoun $n \hat{\imath}$ indicates that the referent of the main clause is the same as the referent of the embedded clause.

> tò = gǒynì [ní= gò̀ qh̀̀-dzá= sèn] t ṭə̀ = dàw
> $3 S G=A G T \quad$ LOG $=$ AGT $\quad$ OUT $-e a t=P F V: E G O \quad$ say $=I P F V:$ N.EGO
'He $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ says that he $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ himself has eaten.' (EL)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { tə̀ = gǒynì }[\text { ["è = ní } & \text { q'à-dzó = sèy"] } & \text { t } ̧ \grave{~=~ d a ̀ w ~}  \tag{956}\\
\text { 3SG = AGT } & \text { LOG = AGT } & \text { OUT-eat = PFV:EGO } \\
\text { say = IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
$$

'He says, "I have eaten." ' (EL)
Another argument against the analysis of person-number agreement is that egophoric marking is also used with an overt third person argument when that refers to the speaker, as in examples (957), (958) and (959) where emá 'aunt', ept́ 'grandfather' and $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}} d^{j} \mathfrak{X}=\not . ə$ 'grandmothers' refer to the speaker (or the speaker and others).
èmá = là $\quad \zeta \partial ́=$ sên
aunt $=$ also $\quad$ go $=$ PFV:EGO
'(...) aunt (=I) went too.' (YJ01.36)

INTJ Ch:stool grandfather =AGT that-location oUT-push=PFV:EGO INTJ
'Here you are, grandfather ( = I) has pushed the stool over there, look.'
(CV13.56)

grandmother $=$ PL (...) bashful very LINK NEG:PFV = wear $=$ PFV:EGO INFO 'The grandmothers ( = we) (...) are very bashful and did not wear (the clothes).' (CV21.205)

### 8.1.2 Egophoricity as notion in existentials and auxiliaries

Egophoricity and evidentiality also plays a role in the equational copula (§7.5), certain existential verbs (§7.6) and auxiliary verbs (§7.9.1). Their forms are given in Table 8.1. As has been shown in the afore-mentioned sections, egophoric forms appear with first person statements and second person questions, and the non-egophoric form appears with third person statements and questions.

Table 8.1 (Non-)egophoric forms of copula, existential, auxiliary

|  | EGO (1sG) | EGO (2SG) | EGO (PL) | N.EGO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'to be' | din | $d^{j}{ }^{\text {a }}$ W | din | $d z \hat{\imath}$ |
| 'to exist' | zôn | $z z^{\text {a }}$ | žWêg | $7{ }^{7}$ |
| 'to have' | $b o ̂ n$ | $b \hat{1}$ | $b \hat{\varepsilon} j$ | bôn |
| 'can' | $t 6 \underbrace{337}$ | kǔ | kǐn | $t^{\text {hǒ }}$ ¢ |

The egophoric forms also appear in indirect speech when the argument of the quotation is the same as the argument of the main clause. Note that the logophoric pronoun $n \hat{\imath}$ is used to indicate co-reference (cf. §8.1.1).

then Hàn-female $=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad \mathrm{LOG}=\mathrm{DU}=$ also Tibetan be:EGO:1/PL
tçà = sè̀ tçà= dàw tcàw
say $=$ PFV.EGO say $=I P F V: N . E G O$ HSY
'So the two Chinese girls ${ }_{i}$ said that they ${ }_{i}$ were Tibetan as well, [Drema said that they told her].' (PC08w.9)

The non-egophoric form appears when the arguments are not co-referential, as in (961).
(961) tà = gǒy [é thónmá mǎ=dzà ] tçə̀ = dàw

3SG $=$ AGT 1 SG Pǔmǐ NEG = be:N.EGO say:IPFV:N.EGO
'He says that I'm not Pǔmǐ.' (EL)
As with other verbs (88.1.1), the basic pattern of inflection for the forms in Table 8.1 is based on egophoricity. However, inflection depends on pragmatic factors as well. The examples below show that the egophoric system is not to be taken as a strict and rigid agreement paradigm, but rather as a pragmatically-used system, whereby the speaker can manipulate the effect of the utterance by the particular form that is chosen.

[^136]The use of the non-egophoric form in a 'self-person' context can be employed for pragmatic effect, as in example (962), where grammatically the egophoric form din should be used, but the speaker uses the non-egophoric form $d z \hat{\imath}$ instead.

```
(962) èmá ť̌̌j thónmá dzà, náy nè-gù-mà=bù ì\eta= {á
    aunt INTJ Pǔmǐ be:N.EGO skirt DOWN-wear-NMLZ=TOP 1:INCL=PL
    jèhǎ thóymá lo̊
    all Pǔmǐ friend only be:N.EGO
```

'(...) Aunt is indeed Pǔmǐ, all the ones among us that are wearing skirts are only Pǔmǐ friends (...)' (YJ01.45)

The speaker is part of a group of Pǔmǐ people who run into some other people during a trip in the mountains. The speaker explains to a young girl belonging to the other party who they are and tries to put her at ease. By using the non-egophoric verb form, she distances herself from the group she belongs to and positions herself cognitively closer to the addressee, in this case to indicate to the girl that she is in the same group with her and does not pose any danger, neither does the group that she introduces. ${ }^{338}$ Note that in the second clause she even uses an overt first person inclusive pronoun. ${ }^{339}$ Another example of pragmatic use of an egophoric form in an 'other-person' context is given in (963).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (963) } & \text { nǐy } & \text { t } 6^{\mathrm{h}} \text { Wí́ }=\text { tí } & \text { mǎ }=\text { diàw } \\
\text { 2SG } & \text { good }=\text { INDF } & \text { nEG }=\text { be:EGO:2SG } & \text { 2SG }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) you are not a good one, you!' (CV21.321)
In this example the speaker reacts to what the addressee has just said about herself, namely that her competence is very bad. The speaker in (963) reacts to this statement by using the form $d^{j} a w$, stating that the previous speaker is correct in her admission: that her competence is not good as she herself well knows. The speaker in (963) thus expressly links her statement to the previous statement made by the addressee. To use the form $d z \hat{人}$ in this example would not be appropriate, since it does not convey these

[^137]speaker-addressee dynamics, but renders the sentence as a neutral statement that is not necessarily related to the speaker-hearer interaction. ${ }^{340}$

The statements in examples (964) and (965) are both correct, but are used in different situations.
(964) nǐy thónmá dzà

2SG Pǔmǐ be:N.EGO
'You are Pǔmǐ.' (EL)
(965) nǐy thónmá diàw

2sG Pǔmǐ be:EGO:2SG
'You are Pǔmǐ.' (you know that very well yourself!) (EL)
Whereas the statement with $d z \hat{\hat{}}$ is a neutral statement, the statement with $d^{j} a w$ implies that the speaker knows very well that the addressee knows the fact expressed in the statement. It is used, for example, when the addressee has just claimed to be Tibetan, whereupon the speaker reacts with (965), "But you are Pǔmǐ, you know that very well yourself".

The difference between pragmatic use of 'self-person' and 'other-person' is exemplified in the following pair of questions:
(966) tá sègbóy $=$ gá $=q^{\text {h }}$ ù híy = gòy cá kìy $=q$ q́j?
this tree $=\mathrm{DEF}=$ on who $=\mathrm{AGT}$ go can:EGO: $2 \mathrm{PL}=$ EXPT
'Who will be able to climb this tree?' (CV06.13EL)

this tree $=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{on}$ who $=\mathrm{AGT}$ go can:N.EGO $=$ EXPT
'Who will be able to climb this tree?' (CV06.13EL)
Example (966) is a real question, and even though it refers strictly speaking to a third person referent híg 'who', it is addressed to people who are expected to be able to answer the question. Thus it is similar to a second person plural question and the egophoric form kǐn is used. Example (967) on the other hand is a rhetorical question and is not directly addressed to anybody. The implied answer is that nobody will be able to climb the tree. Thus the non-egophoric form $t^{h}$ ory is used.

[^138]Example (968) came up during a conversation, and is interesting in that the form tcč̌ is used in a third person statement. Normally, tcǎ is only used with first persons. The implication is that the speaker is not representing the real situation, but rather his own thinking: thus the use of the first person form. Example (969) would be used if the referents are currently selling timber and the speaker comments on the fact that they are really good at it.

'They could sell timber, right?' (CV19.65.1)

$3=$ PL $=$ TOP timber sell can:N.EGO GNOMIC AGR
'They can sell timber, right?' (CV19.65.1:EL)

### 8.1.3 Imperatives and control

As mentioned in §8.1.1, only controllable verbs have imperative forms (although some imperatives have the same form as the stem). Imperative forms distinguish singular and plural number. Inflection is not totally predictable, but several general rules can be noted. Imperative forms of verbs with the vowels [e], [aw], [õ] and [u] are always the same as the basic verb form. Verbs with the vowels [i], [ $\varepsilon j],[æ]$ and [a] have either imperative forms that are the same as the basic verb form, or an imperative singular form with $[u] .{ }^{341}$ Verbs with the vowel [in] have imperative forms that are the same as the basic verb form, or have an imperative singular form with [õ] and palatalization of the consonant. Verbs with the vowel [ẽ] have imperative forms that are the same as the basic verb form, or have an imperative singular form with [õ]. Verbs with the central vowels [ $\partial]$ and $[\mathrm{u}]$ have a singular imperative form with [aw] ${ }^{342}$ and plural imperatives with either [ĩ], [ẽ] ${ }^{343}$ or a form similar to the basic form of the verb. Verbs with the central vowel [ə] have a singular imperative form with [aw] and a plural imperative form with [ẽ]. Table 8.2 gives the imperative forms of some controllable verbs.

[^139]Table 8.2 Imperative forms

| Basic verb form | Imperative singular | Imperative plural | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ tu | pâW | pî] | 'to do' |
| $d z a ́$ | $d z a ̂ W$ | $d z e ̂ \eta$ | 'to eat' |
| $t^{\text {hin }}$ | $t^{h h \%}$ 万, | $t^{\text {hin }}$ | 'to drink' |
| $k^{h \check{l n} / k^{h} \text { ěn }}$ | $k^{\text {hǒn }}$ | $k^{\text {hing }} / k^{\text {hěn }}$ | 'to give' |
| cá | côp | 6in | 'to go' |
| ză/jí | ${ }^{j}{ }^{1}$ | jîl | 'to come' |
|  | tǐ | tǐn | 'to say' |

In (970) and (971) examples of imperative forms of some controllable verbs are given.
(970) $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hóy }}$ páw! nè- $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hj}} \hat{\text { ô }}$ !
quick do:IMP:SG DOWN-flee:IMP:SG
'(...) Quick, flee down! (...)' (PC06.5)
(971) jæ̀jǔ qhò-dzén mà.

Ch:potato out-eat:IMP:PL INFO
'Eat potatoes!' (CV15.63)
Non-controllable verbs do not have imperatives and can be used in imperative situations only by adjusting the controllability through the 'self-causative' construction with the verb $p$ t 'to do' (cf. §8.1.1). To form an imperative, the imperative forms pâw or pît of the verb pt́ 'to do' are used. An example is shown in (972). qâ 'to fall down' is a non-controllable verb and thus has to be modified with the imperative form of $p$ t́ in order for imperative force to be expressed.
(972) nè-qá
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{X}$ = pàw
DOWN-fall.down PROH-do:IMP:SG
'Don't fall down.' (CV21.500)

Often, but not always, the directional prefix is added to imperatives. Unlike some other languages (Qiāng [LaPolla with Huáng 2003]; rGyalrong [Sūn 2007:800]) the addition
of a prefix is not obligatory for all verbs. The directional prefix is not used with a prohibitive (negative imperative): ${ }^{344}$
(973) tiæ̀-hóņâw

PROH-move:IMP:SG
'Don't move!' (CV01.57.1)
(974) tjǽæ-pàw.

PROH-do:IMP:SG
'Don't do (that).' (CV04.65)
In some cases of verb concatenation the directional prefix occurs on the first verb and the imperative is expressed by the second verb:

'Go give the meat to grandma.' (CV18.110)
The verbs in Table 8.2 can all appear without directional prefix. This includes some frequently used verbs (e.g. 'to eat', 'to drink') and verbs that appear as second verb in verb concatenation (e.g. 'to go', 'to come'). An excerpt from a conversation, (976), shows the imperative of the verb 'to eat' with and without directional prefixes.
(976) ə̀-dž́ tá=gá qhà-dzâw.
this-location:GEN this = DEF out-eat:IMP:SG
Y: ‘Eat this one here.' (CV04.35)
(...)
b dzâw, dzâw!
eat:IMP:SG eat:IMP:SG
Y: ‘Eat, eat!’ (CV04.37)
c

```
dzínỉ́ǽ mǎ=dzàw, é è =dzàw = bù,... wèmá=nì
    really \(\mathrm{NEG}=\) eat:IMP:SG 1 SG Q = eat:IMP:SG = TOP guest = ADD.FOC
    mǎ = (dzà) ((dìn)).
    NEG \(=(\) be) \(((\) be:EGO1) \()\)
```

L: ‘(I) really won't eat; if I eat..... (I will help myself); (I) am after all not a guest.' (CV04.38)

[^140]d dzáw mà, thè-t ch $^{\text {h }} \mathrm{u}$ mâ.
eat:IMP:SG INFO FR.SP-feed:IMP:SG INFO
Y: ‘Eat, feed (her)!’ (CV04.39)
e dzín ${ }^{j} \not$ ® $^{\text {mǎ }=d z a ̀ w . ~}$
really NEG $=$ eat:IMP:SG
L: 'I really won't eat.' (CV04.40)
An interesting phenomenon can be observed in this conversation: when an addressee responds to a command negatively, the imperative form will always be used, as in (976)c and (976)e; it is not possible to respond with $m a \check{a}=d z ə$ in (976)c and (976)e. Another example is given in (977), where the singular imperative form $t^{h j} \hat{o} \eta$ 'drink' is used for a first person statement in response to an imperative.
(977)
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wí} & \text { mà }=\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ò} \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{sí} \\
\text { ale } & \text { NEG }=\text { drink:IMP:SG }=\mathrm{INF}
\end{array}
$$
\]

'(I) don't drink ale any more right now.' (CV19.112)
This phenomenon ties in with the general egophoric system observed in the language: the same imperative form is used for second person commands (the traditional 'imperative') as well as first person answers to commands. It should however be noted that this phenomenon is not frequently observed in the language: in most cases when responding to an imperative command, a speaker will use the basic form of the verb and the negatively marked volitive $=s u$, as in (978).

| (978) | é | dzź | $\mathrm{mà}=$ sû, | tź $=$ bì | $t^{\text {h }}$ è-tch ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SG | eat | NEG |  | FR |

'I don't want to eat, give (it) to her.' (CV21.79)
When the addressee responds to a command with a conditional, there is the option ${ }^{345}$ to respond with an imperative form as in (976)c. This implies there is a condition in this specific instance. There is also the option to respond with a basic verb form instead of an imperative form, as in (979). This implies that the condition applies not only in this case, but in general ('if ( = whenever) I eat this, the situation will be like this').
(979) é $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{hj}} \grave{\text { ®̀ }}$-dzó $=\mathrm{b} \hat{\mathrm{u}}, \quad$ pì $\quad$ níy $=q \hat{\varepsilon} j$

1SG OUT:Q-eat $=$ TOP belly painful = EXPT
'If I eat, my belly will hurt.' (CV04.38EL)

[^141]The copula $d z \hat{\hat{a}}$＇to be＇can be added to an imperative，as is shown in example（980）．It is not totally clear at this point what the exact pragmatic implications are，but it seems similar to constructions for expressing obligation that are found in other Sino－Tibetan languages．Those normally involve nominalization，${ }^{346}$ but in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ no nominalization can be observed．

| （980）nǐy | Cè－lı́ | j̉́ $^{\text {jo }}=$ pàw | hǎw，jóydóy | nìy＝lá |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SG | Chinese－language | PROH－do：IMP：SG | WARN | T：Gyung．drung | 2SG＝also |

t＇óymə́－lì pàw dzò．
Pǔmǐ－language do：IMP：SG be
＇Don＇t you speak Chinese！！Yongzhong，you should speak Pǔmǐ too．＇
（CV21．491）

## 8．2＇Observability＇as basic notions in stative verbs

Stative verbs are a subtype of verbs that can function as the predicate of a clause and take directional prefixes，as in（981）and §7．1．2．They can be preceded by the interrogative and negation markers，and can be nominalized，as in（982）．
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text {（981）} & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{\partial ̀}-\mathrm{t} \subset \mathrm{e} & \text { kwèj } & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\text { bù } & \text { də̀bǔ } \\ & \text { OUT－big } & \text { let：PFV：N．EGO } & \text { time }=\text { TOP } & \text { then }\end{array}$
＇When（he）had let（her）become big，then（．．．）＇（TC07．12）
（982）é＝zò $\quad$ mà＝zóŋ tcô，zóy－mə́＝gə̀ $\quad$ qhà－dzə́
$\mathrm{Q}=$ delicious $\mathrm{NEG}=$ delicious say delicious－NMLZ $=$ DEF OUT－eat
tséy $=$ dàw．
N．CONTR $=$ IPFV：N．EGO
＇（．．．）（nowadays we will all talk about）whether or not it is tasty and only eat the tasty bit．＇（CV21．272）

Unlike other verbs，stative verbs cannot be modified by preceding adverbial expressions or following auxiliaries，but they can modify nouns post－nominally as adjectives（§5．6），as in（983）．

＇So oldest aunt told this one during a recent evening．＇（CV09．26）

[^142]Unlike other verbs, stative verbs can be followed by the stative verb marker $=t a$ and the adverbial marker žǔ' 'very', as in (984). The markers $=t a$ and žǔ do not co-occur. ${ }^{347}$ In this position, neither $=t a$ nor $z \check{u}$ seem to be verbal: they are not preceded by negation or interrogative markers. However, zǔ seems to have developed from a verb (cf. §4.7).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (984) } & \text { mà }=\text { zón }=\text { tà } & / \text { mà = zón } \\
\text { NEG }=\text { delicious }=S V M & \text { zǔ } \\
\text { '(It)'s not delicious.' / '(It)'s not delicious at all (...)' (KZ03.25) }
\end{array}
$$

Semantically and morpho-syntactically the group of stative verbs can be split into two different categories. The first category, endoceptive ${ }^{348}$ verbs, relates to internal states (such as being angry or feeling relaxed) or states of external objects that can only be internally experienced (such as the bitterness or sourness of food, or the heaviness of an object). The second category, exoceptive verbs, denotes externally observable situations and sensations (such as the size of an object). Sūn (1993:968) also reports a split between observable and unobservable situations in Amdo Tibetan. A list of endoceptive and exoceptive verbs is given in Table 8.3.

Table 8.3 Endoceptive and exoceptive verbs

| Endoceptive verbs |  | Exoceptive verbs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t \varepsilon ́ j$ | 'to be (feel) big, important' | $\varphi \hat{E}$ | 'to be (look) big' |
| $1 \hat{\varepsilon} j$ | 'to be (feel) heavy' | zá | 'to be (look) much' |
| ¢ ¢Wá | 'to feel something (in one's eye)' | $t^{\text {hóó }}$ | 'to be fast' |
| ft | 'to be relaxed' | dód ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'to be bad(-looking)' |
| $d u ̌$ | 'to detest, be detestable' | dǒn | 'to be okay' |
| tcillôn | 'to be hungry' | $d z \hat{\mathfrak{x}} \eta$ | 'to be wet' |
| tsú | 'to be sour, feel the sourness of sth.' | $n i ́ s \varepsilon ̂ j s \varepsilon ̂ j$ | 'to be red' |
| tsón | 'to have the flavour (of things)' | putli ${ }^{\text {i }} l^{j} \hat{\underline{x}}$ | 'to be soft' |
| níg | 'to hurt, be sick' | bádôydồ | 'to be short and stocky' |
| téj | 'to want (food, drinks)' | CÉċ | 'to be similar' |
| $k \check{e}$ | 'to be afraid' | $d \check{e}$ | 'to be capable' |

[^143]
## Endoceptive verbs

## Exoceptive verbs

těn 'to miss'
Пæ̀j̀ǐ cǐ 'to know'
zón 'to be delicious'
Morphosyntactically the two groups pattern differently. With exoceptive (observable state) verbs the state of something is externally observeable and there is thus no privileged access to that knowledge: everybody has equal access to the knowledge and can make an observation based on visual clues. Exoceptive stative verbs are usually used in third person clauses and can be modified by the stative verb marker $=t a$ or the adverbial z̧ǔ without any evidential marking. This is shown in (985) and (986).
(985) hòy-dzǽ $\quad$ éd ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ æ̀ = gæ̀ $\quad$ zæ̌ tà tź = gə́ è $l^{\mathrm{j} æ \check{~}}$
in-location:GEN grandma $=$ GEN hand only this $=$ DEF a.little
Cé = tà
be.big $=$ SVM
'(...) this one over there in grandma's hand is a bit bigger, (...)' (CV16.107)
(986) èmá $\epsilon$ é = gæ̀ nǐy tsá $\quad$ é zǔ
aunt big $=$ GEN INTJ meat be.big very
'First aunt's meat is very big, mind you, (...)' (CV21.168)
With endoceptic (internal state) verbs, however, the knowledge of a state derives from internal clues, and speakers can only remark directly on their own internal state, or on the state of a thing they have experiential knowledge of ('this thing is heavy'). Speakers directly report on their own internal state, using $=t a$ and $z \check{u}$, speakers report on (the appearance of) other people's states (based on their observation of outside clues) using non-egophoric marking. Thus endoceptive stative verbs are modified with the egophoric $=t a$ and $z \check{u}$ in self-person sentences, as in (987), but with the non-egophoric $=d a W$ (discussed in §8.3.2 below), as in (988), or a combination of $=t a / z u ̌$ and $=d a w$, as in (989), in 'other-person' sentences. The use of $=d a w$, in (988), versus $=t a=d a w$, in (989), shows a slight difference in meaning. The use of $=d a w$ is straightforward and neutral, but the use of $=t a=d a w$ can either imply that the speaker presents the situation from the point of view of the referent, or that the speaker has an implied meaning. Thus in (988), the speaker simply comments on the fact that a certain girl likes the addressee. In (989) however, he expects a response from the addressee, either an acknowledgement of his feelings for the girl, or for the addressee to act on the information (for example by asking the girl out).

> (987) gá = tâ / gx́ zǔ
> like = SVM / like very
'I like (it)/I like (it) very much.' (EL)
(988) gá = dâw
like $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
‘(She) likes (you). (EL)
(989) g ǽ $=$ tá = dàw
like $=$ SVM $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'(She) likes (you).' (EL)
The distinction between egophoric 'self-person' and non-egophoric 'other person' sentences plays out in the same way with endoceptive stative verbs as with other verbs (as discussed in §8.1.1). Thus, in statements, $=t a$ and žǔ can be used with first person, but non-egophoric marking has to be used with second and third person. In questions, second person does not have to be modified by anything, but first and third person questions need non-egophoric marking. This is shown in (990), (991) and (992).

```
(990) nǐy tçí= é= \ò\eta?
    2SG hungry = Q = hungry
```

    'Are you hungry?' (EL)
    (991) é tçítón é = dàw?
1SG hungry $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{IPFV}: \mathrm{N} . E G O$
'Am I hungry?' (EL)
(992) tá tçíón é = dàw?
3SG hungry $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{IPFV}$ :N.EGO
'Is she hungry?' (EL)

The phrase $k^{h} W E$ pú $t^{h} o \eta$ 'to be satisfied with' is an endoceptive verb construction. In the following two example sentences, the difference between 'self-person' versus 'otherperson' can be clearly seen by the use of $=t a$ versus $=d a w$. Since in (993) no evidential is used, the sentence can only refer to the speaker, whereas in (994) the presence of the non-egophoric marker = daw indicates that the girl is the one who is satisfied, not the speaker.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (993) tá } & \text { màdæ̀líl } & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} w \grave{=}=\mathrm{pú} & \text { t } 6^{\mathrm{h}} \text { óy }=\text { tà } \\
\text { this } & \text { girl } & \text { heart }=\text { under } & \text { appear }=\text { sVM } \\
\text { '(I'm) satisfied with this girl.' (EL) }
\end{array}
$$

(994) tá màdæ̀lí $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wè}=$ pú $\quad$ tch $^{\mathrm{h}}$ óy $=$ dàw
this girl heart=under appear =IPFV:N.EGO
'This girl is satisfied (with this thing).' (EL)
Since exoceptive (observable state) verbs do not need the addition of evidentials, the occurrence of the non-egophoric marker = $\downarrow a w$ with these verbs has a slightly different meaning. It implies that the speaker just discovered the state of something and it represents new information to him, i.e. a mirative use, as in (995). There the speaker did not know that the feet of the two girls were big, but realized it when buying shoes. More discussion will follow in the section on mirativity (§8.3.2.1).
(995) jóntçín = nòy téć́mà = gæ̀ = là tçé zù = dáw,

T:dByangs.cin $=$ COORD T:bKra.shis.ma $=$ GEN $=$ also be.big very $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
míy dzà tç ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{émì}^{\text {? }}$
what be not.sure
'Yongjin's and Zhacima's (feet) are also very big, (I) don't know what it is.'
(CV01.47.1)
Some stative verbs can be fluid, that is have both endoceptive and exoceptive readings. A few examples are given in Table 8.4.

Table 8.4 Stative verbs with endoceptive and exoceptive reading

|  | Endoceptive reading | Exoceptive reading |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t_{6}{ }^{h} W_{1}$ | 'to be good (inherently)' | 'to be good(-looking)' |
| gá | 'to like, be happy' | 'to be (look, sound) beautiful' |
| $k o ́ n$ | 'to be (feel) cold' (inside) | 'to be cold' (weather) |

An example is given for the verb $g \not x$ 'to like; to be beautiful'. Examples (996) and (997) give the endoceptive (internal state) reading and the egophoric $=t a$ can only be used with 'self-person', as in (996). The non-egophoric = daw has to be used with 'otherperson', as in (997). Example (998) gives the exoceptive (observable state) reading and thus $=t a$ can be used with 'other-person'.
(996) é dz̧ə̀dž̌ mà = gǽ = tâ.

1 SG letter $\mathrm{NEG}=$ like $=\mathrm{SVM}$
'I don’t like books/to study.' (CV11.2)
 person $=$ PL $\quad 3 \mathrm{SG} \quad$ all $=$ PL:AGT $\quad$ ask.help-NMLZ $=$ COORD
má pù = wèn = dàw = gònnì = bù , jèhǎ gá = dâw,
person do $=$ can $=I P F V: N . E G O=A G T=$ TOP all like $=I P F V: N . E G O$
ว́-pà mó= ¡ə̀.
that-under:GEN person = PL
'Because he knows how to behave like a person, people all ask him for help, they all like him, the people over there.' (CV14.140.1)

'(...) Even though your voice is beautiful, (you) don't dare to sing, that's useless. (...)' (YJ01.9)

In addition to the egophoric marker $=t a$, there is also a construction $=t a n o \eta$ that can be used with 'self-person' sentences, as in (999). At this point it is not clear what the difference between $=t a$ and $=t a$ nol is nor what the origin of nol is.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { tí-pú } & \text { tcí́̌óy = tà } & \text { nòy } & \text { fà } \\ \text { up-under } & \text { hungry = SVM } & ? & \text { LiNK }\end{array}$
'(We) were so hungry up there (...)' (CV21.323.1)

### 8.3 Evidentiality and egophoricity

The above sections have discussed the different verbs and their semantics and shown that a basic distinction is made along the parameters of control (whether or not an action can be controlled) and observability (whether or not a state can be observed). This section will introduce the post-verbal particles that express (non-)egophoricity (speaker-(non-)involvement) and evidentiality (source of knowledge) and that are intertwined with aspect and modality. It will show in more depth how their use interacts with verbal semantics.

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, evidentiality and egophoricity are two sides of the same coin. On the one hand, speakers report on things that they are involved in themselves (this is egophoric or 'self' knowledge); ${ }^{349}$ on the other hand they report on others (evidential knowledge). When speakers are involved in actions themselves, or question an addressee, there is privileged access to the knowledge they report. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ this

[^144]is expressed by egophoric markers. When, however, they report on other people's actions, they lack that privileged access to knowledge, and need to indicate their source of knowledge. This is done by a set of non-egophoric or evidential markers. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, egophoric markers occur in a paradigmatic opposition to nonegophoric/evidential markers. The different (non-)egophoric and evidential markers occur post-verbally and can only be followed by the clause-final attitude particles (§8.8).

There are three groups of egophoric and non-egophoric/evidential markers that occur in paradigmatic opposition: they all appear in the same slot in a clause. The markers are listed in Table 8.5, and will be discussed in §8.3.1, §8.3.2 and §8.3.3. None of the egophoric markers co-occur. Apart from two constructions, a construction denoting epistemic uncertainty si $d a w$ (§8.4.3) and a construction $q \varepsilon j$ daw 'about to' (§8.7), the evidential markers do not co-occur either.

Table 8.5 Involvement and evidential markers

|  | EGOPHORIC |  |  |  | EVIDENTIAL |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EGO 1SG | EGO 2SG | EGO INCL | EGO PL | NON-EGO |
| Perfective | $=s e \eta$ | $=s i$ | $=s e \eta$ | $=s i$ |  |
| Imperfective | $=d o \eta$ | $=q u$ | $=q w e \eta$ | $=q a W$ |  |
| Volitive, expectational | $=s u$ |  | $=g i$ | $=c i n$ | $=q \varepsilon j$ |

The different markers interact with person in the same way as verb inflection (88.1.1), not based on actor-agreement or person-number agreement, but rather on a $1 \leftrightarrow 2 / 3$ in statements and $2 \leftrightarrow 1 / 3$ in questions pattern. This does not imply that evidential forms cannot be used with 'self-person'. Rather, it will be seen that in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the use of evidentials with 'self-person' implies a lack of control and volition on the side of the speaker. This corroborates with what Curnow (2002:187) noted: "Some evidentials in particular languages have sharply distinct interpretations in sentences with first-person subjects and in third-person-only sentences. In almost all cases, these involve an interpretation of the evidential as a marker of non-volitional action when used with a first-person subject." The egophoric markers imply control and volition over the action. Therefore, they can only co-occur with controllable verbs. Evidential markers, on the other hand, occur with both controllable and non-controllable verbs. When they occur with controllable verbs, they only occur with 'other-person'; when they occur with noncontrollable verbs, they occur with 'self-person' as well as 'other-person'.

The three sets of markers all show a basic egophoric-evidential distinction, but at the same time they also mark aspect and modality. Similar markers in related speech
varieties have been analysed in various ways. Lù (1983:42; 2001:154) treats them as a single paradigm of past, present and future tense markers. Fù (1998:104) analyses them rather as perfective, progressive and prospective aspect markers. Dīng (1998) does not treat them as one paradigm, but analyses the three different sets of markers as aspectual, evidential and modality markers respectively.

The inferential evidential and perfective egophoric markers will be discussed in §8.3.1, the (non-)egophoric imperfective markers in §8.3.2, and the expectational evidential and volitive egophoric markers in §8.3.3. Apart from these evidential/egophoric marker sets, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ also marks auditory evidence (§8.3.4), quotatives and reported (hearsay) evidence (§8.3.5) and reported thought (§8.3.6). The section on evidentiality and egophoricity will close with the co-occurrence of evidentials (§8.3.7), the link between evidentiality and different text genres (§8.3.8) and the way visual evidence obtained through new media is marked (88.3.9).

### 8.3.1 Perfective and inferential evidential markers

Perfective aspect is expressed by inflection of the verb (\$8.1.1) and the post-verbal markers $=$ sen or $=$ si. In this section I will discuss the use of the two post-verbal markers $=$ sen and $=s i$. Both markers interact with verb inflection and the category of person, as will be shown in this section. $=s i$ is an inferential evidential marker and is in paradigmatic opposition to the perfective egophoric marker $=$ sen and zero marking. =si marks source of information based on inference. The marker occurs optionally in 'other-person' sentences (third person questions and statements, second person statements, and first person questions) with the non-egophoric (inflected) form of controllable verbs (88.1.1), as in (1000), (1001) and (1002). The presence of $=s i$ implies inferential evidence, its absence implies direct visual evidence, as in (1003), (1004) and (1005). This applies to negative statements as well, as in (1006) and (1007).
(1000) tá t G $^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{1} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{hj}}{ }^{\mathrm{æ}}-\mathrm{dzw}$ = $=$ sî?

3SG food out:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'Has he eaten?' (EL)
(speaker assumes addressee did not have visual evidence)

2SG/3sG food oUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'You/he has eaten.' (EL)
(inferred evidence)


```
    2SG say 1 SG food out:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
```

    'You say, have I eaten?' (EL)
    (speaker assumes addressee did not have visual evidence)
    (1003) tá t thir $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{hj}} \mathfrak{\text { æ̀ }}$-dzwá?
3sG food out:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO
'Has he eaten?' (EL)
(speaker assumes addressee had visual evidence)
(1004) nǐy/tá ţ̧ ${ }^{\text {hǐ }}$ qhò-dzw
2sG/3sG food out-eat:PFv:N.EGO
'You/he has eaten.' (EL)
(visual evidence)

3sG say 1SG food OUT:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO
'You say, have I eaten?' (EL)
(speaker assumes addressee had visual evidence)
(1006) tá-mí= tç hón tçàw.
UP-NEG:PFV = come:PFV:N.EGO HSY
'It is said that (Dauma) (...) has not come back up yet.' (CV19.89)
(the current speaker implies that the original speaker had visual evidence)
(1007) dǎwmà
$m i ́=t 6^{h}$ ó $=s i ̀$.
T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma NEG:PFV = come:PFV:N.EGO = INF
J: ‘Dauma has not come back yet.' (CV20.133.2)
(inferred evidence)
tèsǐ mí $=$ t chor $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{sì} \mathrm{\hat{a}}$ ?
still NEG:PFV = come:PFV:N.EGO = INF CONF
N : ‘(She) still hasn’t come back?’ (CV20.134)
(speaker assumes addressee did not have visual evidence)
When a non-controllable verb appears in 'other-person’ sentences (third person statements and questions, second person statements, first person questions), the inflected form pâ of the verb púto do' is normally added (§8.1.1), as in (1008), (1009) and (1010). Again the use of $=s i$ implies inferential evidence and zero marking implies visual evidence:
$\begin{array}{clll}\text { (1008) á-pà } & \text { lúlú màgìy } & \text { nè-dzóy-má }=\text { tù } & \text { t }^{\text {hè }} \text {-tsèn } \\ \text { that-under:GEN } & \text { Lolo old.man } & \text { DOwN-sit-NMLZ }=\text { on } & \text { FR.SP-fall.down }\end{array}$ pà.
do:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) (he) fell down on top of the old Lolo man who was sitting there.' (YJ01.32)

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
\text { (1009) níǽ } & \text { jílúlù là } & \text { ə̀-wú } & \text { nè-tà } & \text { pá=sì, } \\
\text { 2sG:GEN } & \text { granddaughter =also } & \text { this-in } & \text { DOwN-arrive } & \text { do:PFv:N.EGO = INF }
\end{array}
$$

tǐzj.
look
'Look, your granddaughter has also come over here.' (CV21.470)
(1010) nìy = góy thè-tcíy pá fè.nə̀nì mò.nì.fà.
(1010) nìy = góy thè-tcíy pá fè.nə̀nì mò.nì.fà.
2SG=AGT FR.SP-see do:PFV.N.EGO seems NMLZ.CONSTR
2SG=AGT FR.SP-see do:PFV.N.EGO seems NMLZ.CONSTR
'(...) it seems (to me) that you saw it.' (CV09.39.2)
'(...) it seems (to me) that you saw it.' (CV09.39.2)

The fact that the inferential evidential marker can be left out without any change in aspect and only a change in evidential meaning is an argument to analyse evidentiality rather than perfective aspect as the central meaning of $=s i$ in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. It is possible that $=s i$ went through a stage of marking perfective and has now developed into an inferential marker. Note that it can still mark change of state, as in (1011). ${ }^{350}$

```
(1011) thwí mà= thjò\eta = sî
ale \(\quad\) NEG \(=\) drink:IMP:SG \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
```

'(I) don't drink ale any more right now.' (CV19.112)
The perfective egophoric marker $=\operatorname{se\eta }$ marks that at least one speech-act participant is involved in the action: the speaker in statements and the (singular) addressee in questions. $=s e \eta$ also marks volition and control over the action and thus only occurs with controllable verbs (§8.1.1). = sen occurs obligatorily in positive 'self-person' sentences (first person statements and second person plural questions) with the basic (non-inflected) form of the verb, as in (1012) and (1013). Interestingly, questions with second person singular addressees generally use the inferential evidential particle $=s i$ instead, as in (1014). It is not totally clear yet what triggers this. The verb form,

[^145]however, is always the basic form and never the inflected form. In second person nonsingular questions, the egophoric $=$ sel is always used, as in (1013). $=$ sel does not specify the source of information, since the speaker (or the (singular) addressee in questions) has experiential information of the action (and is thus the source of the information), but it marks perfectivity (which is not marked on the verb in egophoric situations, since with egophoric forms the basic form of the verb is used).


```
    dog=DAT FR.SP-feed OUT-put = PFV:EGO
```

'(I) fed (them) to the dog.' (CV17.13)

| (1013) kèdzí | tç |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| this.time | how.many | frè-dzù = sèn? |
|  | FR-make = PFV:EGO |  |


| (1014) tènóy | ((k ${ }^{\text {hax }}$ ) $)$ | $z_{\text {cé }}=$ g ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | kí | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$-dì = sì? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| just.now | time:GEN | four $=$ DEF | where | FR.SP-throw $=$ INF |

'Where did (you) put the four just now?' (CV17.12)
As was shown in §8.1.1, when the argument of a main clause is co-referential with the argument of an embedded speech clause, egophoric marking is used in the embedded clause, even when the subject is third person, as in (1015). When the argument of the embedded speech clause is not the same as the argument of the main clause, evidential marking is used in the embedded clause, even when the subject is first person, as in (1016), repeated from example (951) for convenience. Again, the presence of $=S i$ implies inferred evidence, its absence implies visual evidence.

```
(1015) jǎw [é= {ò = bì ná tí nè-tèj = sén]
    again 1= PL = DAT thus one DOWN-Ch:loan=PFV:EGO
    tç̀ = dàw mà dàw fià.
    say = IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
```

'But (he) told us that (he) loaned that much.' (CV07.62)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1016) t t̀ = gǒnnì [è = ní qh̀̀-dzw }=\text { sì }] \quad \text { tçà = dàw } \\
& 3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad 1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad \text { out-eat:PFV:N.EGO }=\mathrm{INF} \quad \text { say }=\mathrm{IPFV}: \mathrm{N} . E G O
\end{aligned}
$$

'He says that I have eaten.' (inferred evidence) (EL)
Even when an overt referent argument is lacking, the marker $=$ sen forces the interpretation of speech-act participant involvement (first person involvement in statements, second person involvement in questions). Whether the referent is singular or plural is derived from the context, as can be seen in (1017) where the first referent is plural and the second is singular and both are marked by $=$ sen.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { (1017) bàbú }=\text { nóy } & \text { tá }=\text { ǽ } & \text { jèhǎ línwú } & \text { nè-phè }=\text { sêy, } \\
\text { stuff }=\text { COORD } & \text { this }=\text { PL } & \text { all } & \text { Yǒngníng } & \text { DOwN-leave }=\text { PFV:EGO }
\end{array}
$$

'The stuff etcetera (we) left all in Yǒngníng, only today (I) told aunt Sanong to bring (it here).' (CV02.32)

In a negative statement the use of $=s e \eta$ is optional and the default is to leave it out. When it is used, it indicates intention and purposefulness on the part of the speaker not to conduct an action. Thus, (1018) indicates a neutral statement, but (1019) indicates that the speaker intentionally did not go.

```
(1018)é=bú \partiaĺ-wù có..., thútù tú mí=câ, tá \partiaĺ-pù
1SG=TOP that-in go immediately look NEG:PFV=go 3sG that-under
è-tçóy=sì tcàw khì.
IN-come:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY TRAIL
```

'I did not go to look, even though it was said that he came over.' (CV09.102.2) (1019) dàbǔ èmá sénóy kì $\zeta \grave{y}$ kêj, èmá $m i ́=\zeta \partial ́=s e ̀ \eta$ then aunt Sanong sell go let aunt NEG:PFV $=\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{PFV}: E G O$ '(...) Then (they) let aunt Sanong go to sell (it), aunt ( = I) did not go.' (YJ02.33)

The perfective egophoric marker $=$ seg cannot be used in combination with a noncontrollable verb and 'self-person'; instead the inferential $=s i$ is used:
(1020) ébàw nè-mる́ = sì

INTJ DOWN-forget $=I N F$
'My oh my! (I) forgot (everything).' (CV22.48.1)
The use of $=s i$ is optional with non-controllable verbs and implies inferential evidence. (1021) implies that the speaker was aware of the situation while it happened; (1022) on the other hand implies inferred evidence: the speaker realizes later that he must have fallen down. The same is true for (1023) and (1024). In (1023) the speaker was aware that she was getting drunk, whereas in (1024) she only realized it later.

```
(1021)é nè-dô
    1SG DOWN-fall
    'I fell down.' (EL)
```

```
(1022) é nè-dź = sì
    1SG \(\quad\) DOWN-fall \(=\) INF
```

    'I fell down.' (EL)
    (1023) é nè-gwé
1SG DOWN-drunk
'I am drunk.' (CV09.119EL)
(1024) é nè-gwé = sì
1SG $\quad$ DOWN-drunk $=$ INF
'I am drunk.' (CV09.119EL)
A common pragmatic extension of inferential evidential markers is mirativity, the coding of new and unexpected (surprising) information (DeLancey 2001). Evidence derived from inference is often not integrated in the knowledge system of the speaker and a mirative reading thus easily develops. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ a mirative reading for $=s i$ often occurs with stative verbs. It is used when one has just discovered that a situation (expressed by the stative verb) is the case. In (1025) the speaker just found out that the referent was capable, but the action that made the referent capable in the eyes of the speaker was an action in the past, not an action at the moment of speaking. If it had been an action at the time of speaking that made the speaker exclaim that the referent was capable, she would have said $\hat{\jmath} j u j u, d \check{e}=d a w ~ m \hat{a}!$, using the nonegophoric $=d a w$ (88.3.2). When the speaker knew all along that the referent was capable, she would have said $\hat{\jmath} j u j u, d \check{e}=t a$ mâ!, using the egophoric stative verb marker $=t a$ (since $d \check{e}$ is an exoceptic [observable state] verb, [§8.2]).
$\begin{array}{rll}\text { (1025) ájùjù, dè }=\text { sì } & \text { mâ. } \\ \text { INTJ } & \text { be.capable }=\text { INF } & \text { INFO }\end{array}$
'Oh, (you're) really capable!' (CV02.7)
Other examples of mirative use are given below:

'Hmm, you're okay, you shoot very well.' (TC02.47)
(1027) ájù, màzæ̀ wé mà- पǽ $=$ sî, míy dzà?

INTJ bread make NEG-resemble $=$ INF what be
'Oh, the bread is very good, how come?' (CV21.97)
(1028) èmá nǐy tá jǎw $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wé}=$ pù nè-kwì $=s i ̂, \ldots$
aunt 2SG this again heart=under DOWN-EXIST.IN $=\mathrm{INF}$
'Aunt, you still remember this?!' (CV24.24)

The presence of $=s i$ in $m a=q^{h} u=s i ́$ in example (1029) might be the influence of the Lābǎi Pǔmǐ speech variety. The speaker currently lives in Lābǎi and came back to visit her family in Wǎdū. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ $m a=q^{h} \check{u}$ is normally used. In the example the speaker realizes that she uses this expression and is a little bit embarrassed about it.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NEG }=\text { need }=\text { INF } \quad \text { NEG }=\text { need }=\text { INF } \text { say need INFO INTJ }
\end{aligned}
$$

'I don’t want any more, I need to say, "I don't want any more," hahaha!' (CV15.64)

In example (1030) the use of $=s i$ is not totally clear. It might be a change-of-state use, but my main consultant could only note that it gives extra depth of expression to what the speaker wants to convey.


```
    now \(=\) TOP then \(1: I N C L=\) PL:GEN then society \(=\) TOP good very
    má dzà = sì.
    GNOMIC \(=\) INF
```

'(...) nowadays our society is very good.' (TC10.56)
The markers $=s e \eta$ and $=s i$ do not seem to be of verbal origin since they cannot be preceded by the negation or interrogative markers. Dīng (1998:197) and Fù (1998:130) note the same for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ and Dàyáng Pǔmǐ: the interrogative and negative marker precede the verb when the verb is followed by $=s e \eta /=s$ i. The other evidential and egophoric markers can all be preceded by negation and interogatives ${ }^{351}$ and might have developed from auxiliaries. ${ }^{352}=s e \eta$ and $=s i$ might have grammaticalised via a different route, possibly deriving from a hierarchical person marking system in which first person was marked separately from the others (LaPolla, p.c) or a widespread TB clause sequence marker, usually on nonfinal clauses, compare Lisu [ $\mathrm{s} 1^{55}$ ] (Bradley, p.c.). A non-verbal origin for $=s e \eta$ and $=s i$ would explain why controllable verbs show inflection when appearing with the inferential evidential $=s i$, but do not show any inflection when appearing with the other evidentials.

### 8.3.2 Imperfective (non-)egophoric markers

Progressive and habitual aspect is marked by the egophoric imperfective markers $=d o \eta,=q w e \eta$ and $=q u$ and the non-egophoric imperfective marker $=d q w$. The

[^146]egophoric markers express the involvement of 'self-person' in a current or habitual action. The non-egophoric marker expresses the non-involvement of 'self-person' in a current or habitual action. It is used to report on 'other-person' current or habitual actions, or on 'self-person' in combination with non-controllable verbs. The marker expresses default evidence that speakers have for their statements about others, and is usually based on visual evidence. It is in paradigmatic opposition to the auditory =tin (§8.3.4), which marks auditory evidence, the nominalization construction mo dzo (§8.6.1) which marks generic statements, and the customary markers wet and $q^{h} u$ (88.5), which mark customary knowledge.

Dīng (1998:218) notes the evidential usage of a similar set of markers in Niúwōž̌ Pǔmǐ and also analyses them on the basis of (non-)involvement in the action. Ding states:
"... 'ron (Wǎdū Pǔmǐ = don) is employed essentially for signifying the consciousness and responsibility for a situation by the speaker...and the volitionality of the speaker..." (1998:220), and "...signifies a direct volitional involvement of the speaker in a situation, entailing the consciousness on the part of the speaker..." (1998:218)
"...the default opposite of 'ron -the non-involvemental 'riu (Wǎdū Pǔmǐ $=d a w)-$ is used, indicating that the speaker lacks conscious control over the situation." (1998:220) "'riu asserts that the speaker knows about some situation which is not under the control of the speech act participants..." (1998:218).

All markers occur with the basic form of the verb; the egophoric and non-egophoric markers carry the inflection for 'self' versus 'other', as is shown in Table 8.6, and are obligatory in all contexts.

| Table 8.6 Imperfective (non-)egophoric markers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EGO 1SG | EGO 2SG | EGO PL | NON-EGO |
| $=d o \eta$ | $=q u$ | $=q w e \eta$ | $=d a w$ |

The egophoric forms are used for progressive and habitual aspect with controllable verbs. Progressive aspect and the interplay with person is shown in (1031) and (1032): egophoric forms appear with first person statements and second person questions. Habitual aspect is shown in (1033).
 2SG where $\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{IPFV}:$ EGO: 2 SG say time $=$ TOP 1 SG then 1 SG hero seek ఢə̀ = dò, ," tç̀ $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù
go $=\mathrm{IPFV}: E G O: 1 \mathrm{SG}$ say time $=$ TOP
'(...) when (Damasongtsa) said, "Where are you going?" (Likisa) answered, "I am going to seek a hero." ' (CV13.100.1)
(1032) nì̀-bú kí $\quad$ cò = dwèy?

2SG-household where go=IPFV:EGO:N.SG
A: 'Where are you going?' (TC03.6)
é-bù nú thín $\quad$ nò = dwèy
1:EXCL-household salt.water drink go = IPFV:EGO:N.SG
B: ‘Our household is going to drink salt water, (...)' (TC03.7)

```
(1033) nè-dzóy = dôy, é = lá nè-dzóy = sêy, kóy zǔ
    DOWN-sit \(=I P F V: E G O: 1 S G \quad 1 S G=\) also \(\quad\) DOWN-sit \(=\) PFV:EGO cold very
    nùsén \(=\) bù
    morning \(=\) TOP
```

'I sit down regularly (next to the fire as well), I sat down (in the morning) as well, it's very cold in the early morning.' (CV02.14)

Egophoric forms are also used with co-reference: when the argument of an embedded speech clause is co-referent with the argument of the main clause, as in (1034), where $=d o \eta$ is used with a third person referent (cf. also §8.1.1; §8.3.1; §8.3.2 for the same pattern).
(1034) èkáw-lì dàwzí [ní tá-zá = dòn] tçə̀ = dàw uncle(MB)-DIM T:rDo.rje LOG UP-come = IPFV:EGO:1SG say=IPFV:N.EGO
sì dàw.
EPIST:probably
'Young Uncle Dauji probably said that he would come up himself.' (CV21.358) The non-egophoric form = daw is used for other people's current or habitual actions, as in (1035) and (1036) respectively.
 then T :earthquake appear time $=$ TOP aunt $=$ TOP side.room=in pig-food qú = dàw.
boil = IPFV:N.EGO
'When the earthquake hit, mother was boiling pig fodder on the side room stove.' (PC06w.2)

```
(1036) èmá jóytcín
    aunt T:dByangs.cin all.the.time pi
    big very-NMLZ only
    tshá= dàw.
    slaughter = IPFV:N.EGO
```

'Aunt Yongjin always only slaughters very big pigs.' (CV21.167)
$=d a w$ is also used when stating a generally-known fact (this is probably an extension from the habitual meaning: when something is habitually done, it is usually generally known), as in (1037). However, it cannot be used for customs that have been around for a long time. This is clear from the contrast between the customary marker = wen (§7.9.2 and §8.5) and the non-egophoric imperfective = dawin example (1037), where the speaker is talking about the way things have customarily be done in Wǎdū (using $=w e \eta$ ) to the way things are currently (using = daw).
(1037) dàbǔ, zèpù khí = bú çú thè-kí dzìdž̀ = góy hì̀ wêy, then past time $=$ TOP paddy.rice FR.SP-cut flail $=$ INS beat CUST.EXCL tè-t $\epsilon^{\mathrm{h}}$ wì- $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{j}} \dot{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wæ̀ $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{hj}} \quad$ द́ $\quad$ wè-gò-tsź one-direction-on:GEN young.person eight-nine-clf:person
sà nè-tḉ̧ dzìdzæ̀ = góy cú nè-tsáw wêy,
about DOWN-stand flail=INS paddy.rice DOwN-beat CUST.EXCL
tə̀çá $=$ bú tà tçít chì $^{\text {ì }}=$ gòy tsáw $=$ dâw.
now $=$ TOP only $\quad$ Ch:machine $=$ INS $\quad$ beat $=I P F V: N . E G O$
'In the past, after the paddy rice had been cut, (we) used a threshing implement to beat (it); about eight or nine young men would stand on one side to beat the paddy rice with a flail; nowadays it is beaten with a machine.' (CL03ed.31)

It is also used to mark assertions concerning other people's internal states or qualities, like the the utterance with the endoceptic (internal state) verb kre 'to be capable' in (1038), cf. also §8.2.

```
(1038) ní mə́ = \\grave{ tçí\etamí\eta nǐy kè = dâw, nǐy,...}
    LOG person = PL home INTJ capable=IPFV:N.EGO INTJ
```

'She is very capable at home, mind you.' (CV21.113)
A speaker can also choose to portray an event as if it happens at the present time. The event presented in (1039) happened the night before:

```
(1039) pícì (...) dǎwmà = bì kǒ\eta t'è-tç'ó\eta tə̀ pàw tç̀ 
    last.night T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma = DAT door FR.SP-open one do:IMP:SG say
```

    \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} i ̀}=\) là \(\quad \mathrm{t} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \grave{\text { æ̀ }} \quad\) pú è-pǽy \(=\) dáw \(\quad\) mà.
    time \(=\) also bashful do IN-hide \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO INFO
    'Last night (...) when I told Dauma to open the door, (she) bashfully hid.'
(CV02.15)
The non-egophoric = $d a w$ is also used with 'self-person' and non-controllable verbs, as in (1040) and (1041) (cf. the description of $=s i$ in §8.3.1), or with controllable verbs that denote non-controllable actions in combination with tsen (cf. the description of the $t s e \eta$ construction in §8.1.1), as in (1042). Note that in (1041) $=d a w$ is not used because the referent is third person, but because the verb d dáw 'to be tired' is noncontrollable: in reported speech with co-reference between the arguments of the main clause and the speech clause the egophoric form $=d o n$ would have been used if the verb was controllable.

```
(1040)é thé nè-mə́ = dàw
    1SG always DOWN-forget = IPFV:N.EGO
```

'I always forget.' (EL:W-C44.3)

LOG $=$ ADD.FOC $\quad$ DOWN-tired $=$ IPFV:N.EGO say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'She said that, "(...) she herself gets tired."' (CV21.326)
(1042) èmá = bù jǎw qà-dzǽ swíkú = nòy tó= qる́= bù dzó aunt $=$ TOP again DOWN-location:GEN Ch:fruit $=$ COORD this $=$ PL $=$ TOP eat
tsén mà = dâw, ə̀-dzǽ tá 价dzə̀=gá tá
N.CONTR NEG $=$ IPFV:N.EGO this-location:GEN this liquor $=$ DEF only
$\mathrm{t}^{\text {hìn }} \mathrm{tsè̀} \mathrm{\eta}=\mathrm{dâw}$.
drink N.CONTR $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'Aunt (=I) does not eat fruit, but unfortunately only drinks this liquor here.'
(CV02.52.2)

A construction with the egophoric $=d o \eta,=d u$ and $=d w e \eta$ or the non-egophoric $=d a w$ followed by the verb t $\epsilon \check{\partial}$ 'to say' (§8.3.5) where no speech quote is present implies that when an action was taken, an unexpected result happens. This construction will be discussed in $\S 10.4 .3$. One example is given here:

```
(1043) sò\eta-दúu tç\partial̀ = dò\eta tç\partialे k
    three-CLF:time say=IPFV:EGO:1SG say time=TOP snake=AGT
    pǐ = pù nè-sc̀j fià...
    belly=under DOWN-go:PFV:N.EGO LINK
```

'As (Bear) was sucking three times, the snake went into his belly and (...)'
(TC06.6)

### 8.3.2.1 Mirativity

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ = $d a W$ can also be used as a mirative (DeLancey 2001) to code information that has just been discovered and is new and has not been integrated into the speaker's knowledge system. ${ }^{353}$ Often it carries an overtone of surprise. $=d a w$ seems to have this mirative function only when it appears in places where it is not obligatory, as when following the copula (1044), existentials (1045) and (1046), exoceptive (observable state) verbs (1047), and other evidential marking (examples, (1048), (1049) and (1050).

```
(1044) phí\etats}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}ú\quad dz\grave{ = dàw
T:Phun.tshogs be = IPFV:N.EGO
```

'Oh, it's Phintshu!' (one just found out). (TC09.6EL)
(1045) was said when a speaker expected something to be in a certain place, but when looking for it realized that it was not there. If he had known it all along, he would have said mǎ têj instead.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (1045) } \text { mǎ } & =\text { téj }=\text { dàw } \\
\text { NEG } & =\text { EXIST. } \cdot \mathrm{H}=\text { IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{aligned}
$$

'It's not there.' (EL:W-C29.9)
In (1046) the speaker just realized this fact. If she had known it all the time, she would have used the nominalization construction $\overline{\imath \imath}=m ə d z \rho$ instead. If she had phrased it in

[^147]a more neutral way, without making explicit whether she had any knowledge about it beforehand or not, she would have used a simple $\overline{\langle i}$ instead.

```
(1046) \partiaĺjù, pc̀jpćj tédí \grave{j-pú zí=dàw}
INTJ older.sibling Tadi this-under EXIST.AN = IPFV:N.EGO
```

'Oh! Older brother Tadi is here, (...)' (YJ02.28)
(1047) could be said when buying shoes with a friend and realizing for the first time how big his feet are. $t \epsilon \hat{\varepsilon}$ is an exoceptive (observable state) verb (§8.2), and does not need to be marked with $=d a w$. If the speaker had known the size of his friend's feet for a long time, he would have used tćé žǔ instead.
(1047) tçé $\quad$ zù $=$ dâw
be.big very =IPFV:N.EGO
'(They) are really big.' (EL:W-C28.7)
(1048) dzá fáç̀ mà = qદ́j = dâw.
eat can $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{EXPT}=\mathrm{IPFV}:$ N.EGO
'I won't be able to eat it.' (CV04.36)
(1049) is said in a discussion about a group of people who moved to a different place some time ago. As a result they now take a different route home. The speaker just realized that.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (1049) tǎ hùsú } & \text { nè-cə = dàw } & \text { mà dzà = dàw } \\
\text { now } & \text { Housuo } & \text { DOWN-go = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { GNOMIC = IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
$$

(1050) was said when the speaker just found out that the old man's sons were university graduates. This is contrary to expectation, since they came from the backwaters of the Pǔmǐ area. The words nǒy 'in that case' and the contrastive topic marker $=s \rho$ also highlight the surprise the speaker feels upon discovering this.

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
\text { (1050) nǒy = sà } & \text { mògén = gǽ } & \text { tsú } \ldots & ((=\text { là dè } & \text { mà dzá = dâw })) . \\
\text { so = CONTR.TOP } & \text { old.man = GEN } & \text { son } & =\text { PL } & \text { capable }
\end{array} \text { GNOMIC=IPFV:N.EGO }
$$

'In that case, that old man's sons are capable!' (CV07.19.2)
That a non-egophoric imperfective form is used for mirative is not surprising. Imperfective aspect often denotes that an action is happening at the current time. Nonegophoricity implies that a speaker does not have direct access to the knowledge. These two combined render a strong possibility that the knowledge reported on is rather new, and has not been integrated well in a speaker's knowledge system, thus the mirative reading.

The attitude marker $k^{h} i(<' t i m e ’, ~ § 8.8 .1 .12, ~ § 10.5 .1)$ often follows the mirative use of $=d a w$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1051) è = nání má= łæ̀ má dzâ, má dzá t ṭə̀ = sèn } \\
& 1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \text { person = PL:GEN person be person be say=PFV:EGO }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { time }=\text { TOP then } 1 \text { SG out-go }=\text { PFV:EGO time }=T O P \\
& \text { tátá má dzá = dàw kì̀. } \\
& \text { exactly person be }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO TRAIL }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(...) when I said, "It is a person, it is a person," then when I went outside, it was exactly a person.' (CV09.36)

### 8.3.3 Modal (non-)egophoric markers

So far I have discussed the paradigms of perfective egophoric and inferential evidential marking, and egophoric and non-egophoric imperfective marking. It was shown that these paradigms closely interact with the notion of control as present in the verbal semantics, and the category of person, especially 'self-person' and 'other-person'. The egophoric markers were shown to pattern with 'self' in controllable situations; the nonegophoric/evidential markers occur with 'other' in all situations, and with 'self' in noncontrollable situations.

The last paradigm to be considered is that of the modal particles which occur in the same slot as the other (non-)egophoric markers. Form-wise, modal egophoric and nonegophoric markers are not clearly related to each other, but conceptually they act the same, differentiating 'self' from 'other' and interacting with the parameter of control. The markers are presented in Table 8.7.

Table 8.7 Modal (non-)egophoric markers

| EGO SG | EGO PL | EGO INCL | NON-EGO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $=s u$ | $=6 i n$ | $=g i$ | $=q \varepsilon j$ |

In line with Dīng (1998) these markers are analysed as modal rather than aspectual markers ( $=s u$ and $=\operatorname{cin}$ as (singular and plural) volitives 'want to, will', $=g i$ as an inclusive volitive (or hortative) 'let's, shall' and $=q \varepsilon j$ as an expectational marker that implies epistemic certainty 'will'). Palmer (2001:104) notes that modal verbs often show a future time reference. It is not surprising therefore that Lù (1983:42-43) analyses them as future tense markers. Fù (1998:104) also views them as a single paradigm of aspectual rather than tense markers, and analyses them as prospective markers. Dīng (1998:210) notes that even though these markers have been recognised
by other linguists as a suppletive paradigm and their semantics interact with person in a way that looks like person-agreement, in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ they should not be analysed as a person-agreement, but rather as volitive, hortative and optative modal clitics, the distinction between the volitive and the optative being that the former indicates control on the part of the speaker, whereas the latter lacks control. In Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ the volitive often occurs with first/second person actors and the optative often with third person actors. Dīng (1998:211) shows that this is not true person-agreement and gives counter-examples that show that control, rather than person-agreement, is in play. ${ }^{354}$ In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ there is a similar distinction based on control, which I analyse as an egophoric/non-egophoric distinction, similar to the distinction that is present in the inflection of controllable verbs (§8.1.1) and the distinction between the other egophoric and non-egophoric/evidential markers (§8.3.1 and §8.3.2). Thus, the modal egophoric markers pattern with 'self' in controllable situations and the non-egophoric marker patterns with 'other' in all situations and with 'self' in non-controllable situations.

The following examples show how the various egophoric modals interact with the category of person: $=s u$ is used for first person singular statements, as in (1052), and second person singular questions, as in (1053); $=g i$ is used for first person inclusive questions and statements, ${ }^{355}$ as in (1054); $=$ cin is used for first person exclusive statements, as in (1055), and second person non-singular questions, as in (1056). The egophoric markers all denote intention on the part of the speaker or addressee to conduct an action, and only appear with controllable verbs.

| (1052) é | $1^{\text {j} æ ́ ŋ p e ̀ j ~}$ | má-mə́ | tçwì = sù |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1SG | Ch:two.hundred | Ch:size-NMLZ | wear $=$ vol:SG |

'I want to wear size two hundred.' (CV01.42)

[^148]| (1053) púqá nìg-bá | èpú = bí | té- $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hì }}$ | q ${ }^{\text {hà-tòn }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shoe 2SG-household:GEN | grandfather $=$ DAT | one-Clf:pair | out-weave |

kéj $=$ gî, $\quad$ nǐg tçwǐ $\quad \dot{e}=$ sù?
let = vol:INCL $\quad 2 \mathrm{SG}$ wear $\mathrm{Q}=$ vol:SG
'Let's have your grandfather weave (you) a pair (of straw shoes), will you wear them?' (CV01.24)

```
(1054) tǎ cá é=gì?
    now go Q=VOL:INCL
```

L: ‘Shall we go now?' (CV21.288)
ájòn, mê?
INTJ what
B: ‘Oh, what?' (CV21.289)
tǎ $\quad$ ḉ = gí bǎ, mǽ t tì tçə̀ = dâw.
now go=VOL:INCL SPEC what REP say=IPFV:N.EGO
L: 'Let's go now, alright? What are you 'whatting' about?' (CV21.290)

| (1055) nǒy = dì | è = dzǎy |  | pu ¢ $=6$ ¢̀n | t¢̣̀ | $q^{\text {hù }}$ | mà |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| So $=$ DISJ. $T$ PP | 1:EXCL $=$ DU | friend | $\mathrm{do}=\mathrm{VOL}: \mathrm{PL}$ |  | need | INF |

'In that case (you) need to say, the two of us will be friends (...)' (CV07.41.2)

| (1056) jæ̀jù | púuú | dzá | é $=$ cìy? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ch:potato | roast | eat | $Q=$ voL:PL |

'Do (you pl) want to eat potatoes?' (CV14.154)
Egophoric forms are also used in reported speech or thought where the actor referent of the main clause is co-referential with the actor referent in the speech or thought clause. An example is given with $=s u$ in (1057) and $=\operatorname{cin}$ in (1058):

'Because he himself ( = Bajin) is thinking of going to collect pine torches, (he) adds in the pork back slice and limbs (custom), hahaha!' (CV18.59)
(1058) ní = ¡ə̀ jǎw cá mà = cíy tçâw.

LOG $=$ PL again go NEG = VOL:PL say:IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) (they) said that they themselves would not go.' (CV11.51)

Egophoric forms are also used with third person used to refer to the speaker. In (1059) the speaker refers to herself as grandmother, and in (1060) the speaker poses as a god and thus refers to himself in the third person. In both cases first person egophoric marking is used.
(1059) téj kèj, téj kèj, édiæ̀ $\quad \underset{\substack{\text { ù }}}{=\text { sǔ. }}$

EXIST.H let EXIST.H let grandmother dust=vol:SG
'Let it be, let it be, grandmother ( $=\mathrm{I}$ ) will dust it.' (CV13.139)

1SG then god be:EGO1 today=TOP god=AGT speech OUT-speak=vOL:SG
'I am god, today god wants to speak' (TC08.11)
The use of egophoric forms with co-referentiality, as in (1057) and (1058), and with third person forms that refer to the speaker, as in (1059) and (1060), also happens in verb inflection of controllable verbs (§8.1.1): the egophoric markers show a similar distribution to the non-inflected (egophoric) form of a verb based on the pragmatics of 'self' versus 'other'. Thus I agree with Dīng's analysis of the distinction based on control rather than person-agreement, ${ }^{356}$ but in line with Lù (1983) and Fù (1998) I also recognise the markers as a (suppletive) paradigm. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ this paradigm shows agreement based on 'self' versus 'other'.

The non-egophoric expectational marker $=q \varepsilon j$ is used in situations that cannot be controlled by the speaker: in 'other-person' sentences (third person statements and questions, second person statements and first person questions) and with 'self-person’ when a non-controllable verb is present. $=q \varepsilon j$ is an inferential marker of sorts, since speakers base their understanding about a future situation on their knowledge or evidence now. The extent of the future reference is not specified; prospective aspect is expressed by the combination qzi daw $^{(\$ 8.7 \text { ). Since it refers to a situation that has not }}$ taken place yet, one could argue that it is not really an evidential, but rather an epistemicity certainty marker (cf. §8.4). When used, the speaker is fairly certain about the truth of the proposition. (1061), (1062) and (1063) are examples of 'other-person' clauses; (1064) and (1065) are examples of 'self-person’ clauses with non-controllable verbs.

[^149]\[

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1061) tá }=\text { tù }=\text { là } & \text { dzà }=\text { bú } & \text { tch }^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wí } & \text { é }=\text { qèj? } \\
\text { this }=\text { on = also } & \text { society }=\text { TOP } & \text { good } & \text { Q }=\text { EXPT }
\end{array}
$$
\]

N : ‘(...) will there be a better society than this?’ (CV03.12)
$t^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wí}=\mathrm{q} \hat{\mathrm{c} j}$.
good $=$ EXPT
P: '(There) will be (a) better (one).' (CV03.13)

```
(1062) tá má = ¡òn sè = qéj lǐ̌j, dzínî̂, tá mògén
    3SG person = PL:AGT hit=EXPT DISS really this old.man
    \(m \partial ́=\) øò \(\quad s \grave{e}=q \hat{\varepsilon} j\).
    person = PL:AGT \(\quad\) hit \(=\) EXPT
```

'He will be hit by other people, really, this old man will be beaten by other people!' (CV14.221)

```
(1063) té
```



```
    false do=EXPT Ch:two.hundred.thousand \(=\) INDF Chinese \(=\) PL:AGT
```

    nìn=bí ná tí zč \(=\) wù swá tçà kǐ é = qèj?
    \(2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{DAT}\) thus one hand \(=\) in IDEO say give \(\mathrm{Q}=\) EXPT
    'He will be lying, will a Hàn put two hundred thousand in your hand like this 'shwa!'?' (CV07.88)

| (1064) tèt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ǒy | é | nè-gwè = qع́j | fǎw |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| after.a.while | 1SG | DOWN-drunk= EXPT | WARN |

'After a while I will get drunk (I'm warning you!), (...)' (CV21.78)

| (1065) é | ó-pù | nè-qá $=$ qèj |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | that-under | DOwN-fall.down= EXPT |

'(...) I will fall down there.' (CV09.93.2)
The notion of control is the basic driving factor in the interaction between verbs and egophoric and non-egophoric particles. Basically, if a verb is non-controllable, it only occurs with non-egophoric marking, unless control is adjusted by the $p \#$ construction (§8.1.1) and egophoric particles can be used. This can be seen in (1066) and (1067), where the express intention of the speaker is in focus, and the verb pt'to do' is used to add control, so that the intention can be expressed by the egophoric particles $=s u$ and $=g i$. The verbs $q \check{\partial}$ 'to drop' and š̌'to die' are non-controllable verbs and normally co-occur with $=q \varepsilon j$, as in (1068).

| (1066) é | ź-pù | nè-dá | pù | nè-sò | pú $=$ sú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | that-under | DOWN-drop | do | DOWN-die | do $=$ =VOL:SG |

'(...) I will drop myself and make myself die under there.' (TC04.30)
(1067) ìy = dzáy má = nòy tsúy = nòy dòy sà né-pú = gì
$1:$ INCL $=$ DU mother $=$ COORD son $=$ COORD together die DOWN-do $=$ VOL:INCL '(...) let the two of us die together, mother and son (...)' (PC06w.3)
(1068) ъèmí = bù ìg-bú-sèy 方-pú nè-sà = qêj
tonight $=$ TOP 1:INCL-household-PART this-under $\quad$ DOWN-die $=$ EXPT
'(...) Tonight the three of us will die here (...)' (YJ01.25)
Additionally, when controllable verbs are adjusted by the $t s e \eta$ construction (88.1.1) to represent a non-controllable situation, $=q \varepsilon j$ is used with 'self-person', as in (1069). $d z z^{\prime}$ 'to eat' is normally a controllable verb.
 now this-in out:Q-eat one-CLF:meal very.well to.sp-feed out:Q-eat tì = Łx́ wú té-kù = là dzá tséy mà =qêj. other $=$ PL:GEN interior one-CLF:mouthful =also eat $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{CONTR}$ NEG=EXPT '(...) if (I) eat here now, if (I) eat one meal of good food, (I) won't even eat one mouthful of food at other's.' (CV21.273.4)

When verbs are modified by modal auxiliaries (§7.9) which also imply a form of noncontrol, the evidential marker $=q \varepsilon j$ is used even with 'self-person' sentences:

2SG that-under FR.SP-lead FR.SP-arrive can:EGO:2SG $Q=$ EXPT
'(...) Will you be able to lead (me) there?' (TC07.25)
(1071) š̌ t $t \nmid \grave{e}=q \hat{\varepsilon} j$
hit can:EGO:1 $=$ EXPT
'I'm able to kill (it)' (TC02.50)
(1072) ìy = dzáy jǎw (ìy = dzáy) tè-dzú dzù 伩cí mà = qêj $1:$ INCL $=$ DU again (1:INCL $=$ DU ) one-CLF:time make can NEG $=$ EXPT '(...) the two of us won't be able to fix it this time (...)' (CV04.45)
$=q \varepsilon j$ is also used for irrealis situations, as in (1073) and (1074):


```
    1:INCL \(=\) PL past-time letter \(=\mathrm{INDF} \quad\) EXIST \(\cdot \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMLZ} \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=\) TOP
```


where EXIST.AN $=$ also know $=$ EXPT
'If we had books in the past (to write down the history), (we) would know where (we) were (before).' (CV25.37)

Ch:in.general Ch:city=in $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=\mathrm{TOP}$ Ch:third-grade study=EXPT CONTR
'In general, if this was in the city, (he) would be in third grade.' (CV12.19)
Thus, to summarize: the egophoric $=s u,=c i n$ and $=g i$ are never used in noncontrollable situations. In controllable situations, they are only used with 'self-person'. The non-egophoric $=q \varepsilon j$ is used in controllable situations only with 'other-person' and in non-controllable situations with both 'self-person' and 'other-person'. This is similar to the other egophoric and non-egophoric particles: the perfective and imperfective egophoric markers $=s e \eta,=d o \eta,=q u$ and $=d w e \eta$ are never used in non-controllable situations, but occur only with 'self-person' in controllable situations. The inferential evidential and the non-egophoric imperfective markers $=s i$ and $=d a w$ are used in non-controllable situations, and only with 'other-person' in controllable situations. The only exception is the second person singular question in a controllable situation where $=s i$ is also used (cf. example (1014) in §8.3.1).

For the modal markers, there are two exceptions to the general patterning of 'self' and 'other'. The first exception is that conditional clauses with second person also take egophoric marking:

( (...) If you keep insisting that you'll give (her to me in marriage), I will take her (...)' (TC08.27)

'(...) if your household wants to sow them when you go up there (= move to the new house).' (CV14.82)

This can be explained in terms of structure: conditional clauses have the same structure as questions ( $\S 7.3 ; \S 10.4 .1$ ), and second person questions always function as egophoric environments. If the subject of the conditional clause in (1075) had been either first person or third person, $=q \varepsilon j$ would have been used.

The second exception is that a few times a first person question is also formulated with the egophoric $=s u$ ．This implies the intention of the speaker，who is intending to take an action，but needs permission to do it．

```
(1077) \grave{-pú dzóy é= sù?}
    this-under sit Q=vOL:SG
```

＇Shall（I）sit here？＇（CV02．9）

| （1078）é | ə̀－ḑá | tò＝ǧ̌ bì |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sG | this－location：GEN | this $=$ GEN side | rub＝VOL：SG |

＇（．．．）shall I wipe it one the side of this one？＇（CV20．116）
This can be explained in terms of pragmatics．Examples（1077）and（1078）imply that the speakers，even though asking a question，have control over the action，since they are fully intending to conduct the action．If $=q \varepsilon j$ had been used instead，the speakers would have implied that they had no control over their actions；thus，the pragmatic notion of control（even though usually patterning with speech－act participants）is more important than a rigid grammaticalised＇self＇versus＇other＇agreement pattern．

## 8．3．4 Auditory evidential $=$ tip

Apart from the evidential $=s i$ and the non－egophoric markers $=d a w$ and $=q \varepsilon j$ ，Wǎdū Pǔmǐ also has an auditory evidential＝til．This evidential does not occur with any other evidentials except for the quotative particle and the reported（hearsay）evidential （88．3．5），as in（1079）and（1082）．The auditory evidential is used when a speaker bases his knowledge of a situation on what he hears，rather than sees or infers．The auditory stimuli can either be the sounds of someone＇s actions or overhearing somebody speaking．It is not used for other non－visual perception（such as smell，taste or touch）． An auditory evidential has also been mentioned for Pǔmǐ by Huáng Bùfán（1991：28）${ }^{357}$ and for the Xiǎngshuǐhé speech variety（Jo Chan，p．c．）．It has not been attested for other speech varieties．Taking into account the setup of the village，it is not surprising that such an evidential has evolved in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ：houses are built closely together and conversations that take place outside or quarrels in neighbouring households can be easily overheard inside，and thus a major part of knowledge derives from an auditory source．

[^150]A few examples from the corpus are given in (1079), (1080) and (1081). In the Deluge story, the only mortal left on earth is eating food inside a house when he hears the family of immortals coming home, and so he hides under the raised platform:

'(...) after (he) had eaten, (he) heard people coming, it is said.' (TC02.33)
In (1080) the speaker comments on the researcher who is talking on the phone just outside the house. The speaker and addressees are all inside the house with the door closed, and auditory evidence is the only evidence they have for the statement. The same is true for (1081) and (1082).
(1080) èmá-lì dèłèj tóy zù pá = tìy
aunt-DIM speech speak very do:PFV:N.EGO=AUD
'Young aunt is talking a lot, I hear; (...)' (CV13.137.3)

Ch:Wujin first=just go:PFV.N.EGO = AUD CONTR INTJ in-location

wait $=$ VOL:PL say LINK go:PFV.N.EGO = AUD
'(...) (I) heard Wujin leave first, and heard (her) say, "We will wait up the valley," and leave.' (CV02.86)

The difference between t $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{=}=$ tip (with the auditory evidential), as exemplified in (1082), and tce = daw (with the non-egophoric imperfective marker), as in (1041) above, is that in the case of tco =tip no visual access to the information is involved. The speaker obtained her information solely through auditory means, whereas in the case of $t c 9=d a w$, the speaker is also visually aware of the referent talking.

```
(1082) khù-nú "wû" tç\partial̀ = tì\eta
    out-outside INTJ say=AUD
```

'(...) (I) heard (somebody) say ‘Wu!’ outside, (...)’ (CV09.32.2)
The natural corpus does not shed light on the origin of the marker. In the corpus it only appears at the end of a clause as an evidential marker, and one occurrence shows its appearance in a nominalization construction (§8.6.2), as in (1083). It is not related to the normal verb 'to hear' mž.
thè-ฮ̧wá
tçâ, ná pú zù pá= tìy
mà tìg fià
FR.SP-buy:IMP:SG say thus do very do:PFV:N.EGO = AUD NMLZ.CONSTR
'(I) continuously heard (you) tell (him) to buy (that).' (CV15.23)

Elicited examples, however, point to an existential verbal origin. Even though =tip cannot take directional prefixes, it can be preceded by an interrogative marker, or a negation marker, as in (1084) where it is the only verbal element in the clause.

```
(1084) mó mǎ = tî\eta
    person NEG = EXIST.AUD
    'There is no person.' (auditory evidence) (EL)
```

It occupies the same slot as the existential, as in (1085) and (1086). In (1085) the normal animate existential verb $\not \underset{\imath 1}{ }(\S 7.6)$ is used and there is no evidential marking. This means that the speaker has direct visual evidence of the situation. The existential verb $\nless \hat{\imath}$ is replaced by the aural evidential tip in (1086), where the speaker of the utterance heard the chicken in the pen, but did not actually see it. The two can also co-occur, as in (1087). The difference between (1086) and (1087) is not clear. Thus, the auditory evidential marker can still occur as an existential verb, but its verbal use seems to have almost disappeared.

```
(1085) t \({ }^{\text {h }}\) wè-tóy \(=\) pù \(\quad\) qú \(=\) tì Zì
    pig-pen \(=\) under chicken \(=\) INDF EXIST.AN
```

    'There is a chicken in the pig pen.' (visual evidence) (EL:S11.9.14)
    (1086) t ch $^{\mathrm{h}}$ wètóy $=$ pù $\quad$ fú $=$ tì = tì
pig-pen $=$ under chicken $=I N D F=$ AUD
'There is a chicken in the pig pen.' (auditory evidence) (EL:S11.9.14)
(1087) t c $^{\mathrm{h}}$ wètó $=$ pù $\quad$ qú $=$ tì $\quad$ zì $=$ tì $\eta$
pig-pen $=$ under $\quad$ chicken $=\operatorname{INDF} \quad$ EXIST.AN $=$ AUD
'There is a chicken in the pig pen.' (auditory evidence) (EL:S11.9.14)

### 8.3.5 Quotative and reported evidential (hearsay)

The quotative particle and the reported evidential derive from a single verbal origin: they are grammaticalisations from the verb tcǎ 'to say' and have undergone phonological reduction in that they have become toneless. The verb 'to say' can still be used as a main verb in a clause, as in (1088).
(1088) də̀bǔ $t^{h}$ ùlǐ = bì tçə̀ $\quad$ kwéj = sì
then hare $=$ DAT say let:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$
'Then (they) let Hare speak (...)' (TC04.6)
The quotative particle appears directly after a speech quote and functions as a quotation marker or complementizer rather than a main verb in that position. This is
clear in the following example where the quotation marker is followed by the main verb $q u$ 'to call out'.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { child-skin wear INTJ say call = INF }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(...) (he) called out, "(...) wear child skin nanana!" (TC04.20)
The quotative also follows onomatopoeic ideophones (§9.2), as in (1090). The phrase has an adverbial sense, but unlike other adverbial modifiers (§7.10.1), it does not require the verb $p \dot{t}$ 'to do' as adverbial marker.

```
(1090) "jílúwèlú," tç̀ \partiaĺ-pù \èpǔ=pù nè-dwì = sì
    IDEO say that-under cliff=under DOWN-throw:PFV:N.EGO=INF
```

'(Hare) (...) threw (him) 'rumble-tumble' down under the cliff, (...)' (TC06.34)
In addition, it can follow proper names, place names, or names of (cultural) concepts or objects that might not be known to the addressee:

```
(1091) ¡\grave{-pùqá द\partiaĺ-fó-pùqà tç\partial̀ fià ná-má púqá té-thù}
    skin-shoe muntjac-skin-shoe say LINK thus-NMLZ shoe one-clf:pair
    d\grave{-k}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}wěy má dzô.
    TO.SP-give:PFV:N.EGO GNOMIC
```

'(My family) gave me a pair of leather shoes like this, so-called 'muntjac skin shoes'.' (TC10.24)

The quotative has lost most of its verbal properties: it cannot be preceded by negation or interrogation, and cannot take a directional prefix. It always forms one tone group with the final tone-bearing element of the preceding quote. However, it has retained some of its verbal properties in that it can still be followed by the (non-) egophoric markers, and inflect for perfective aspect, and in many cases it still functions as the verb of the main clause, as in (1092).

```
(1092) "(...) é mà = dìy," ţw\partial̀ = sì
    1sG NEG=be:EGO:1 say:PFV:N.EGO = INF
    '(Hare) said, "(...) I am not the one." ' (TC06.23)
```

The quotative can mark direct as well as indirect speech. The only distinction between direct and indirect (or rather semi-direct) speech is the use of pronouns; the verb endings of the reported quote are always the same as the original quote and reflect the deictic perspective of the original speaker. This includes the evidential marking the original speaker used (Sūn (1993:983) reports the same for Amdo Tibetan). With direct speech, the pronouns of the original speech clause are retained, but with indirect
speech, the pronouns reflect the viewpoint of the current speaker. ${ }^{358}$ When the embedded speech clause has the same third person referent as the main clause, the reflexive/logophoric pronoun $n \hat{\imath}$ ( $\S 4.2 .1$ ) is used to mark co-referentiality. However, there is not always a clear distinction between direct and indirect speech and speakers often switch in the middle of a quotation, i.e. the pronouns change but not the other marking. Since nominal arguments are often left out, the status of a quotation is often ambiguous. ${ }^{359}$ Examples are given for direct speech in (1093), semi-direct speech with co-referentiality in (1094), and a switch between direct and indirect speech in (1095). It was discussed above (§8.1.1, §8.3.1, §8.3.2, §8.3.3) how verb inflection and evidential marking functions in speech quotations.

'(...) when (Damasongtsa) said, "Where are you going?" (Likisa) answered, "I am going to seek a hero." ' (CV13.100.1)

```
(1094) ní = ұə̀ = dì 主nǽn-má tà zón wéy tç̀ fià.
    LOG \(=\) PL \(=\) ADD.FOC smelly-NMLZ only delicious CUST.EXCL say LINK
    '(...) and (they) said that they only liked smelly (meat).' (CV09.149)
```

(1095) "fiǎw, nǒy dé = dâw," ní pùséy = nòn
INTJ so be $=$ IPFV:N.EGO LOG today.morning $=$ only

| zæ̌zæ̀-bà | wù | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}$-¢̧े $=$ sèn | tçà = dàw. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zjaezjae-household:GEN | interior | OUT-come $=$ PFV:EGO | say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO |

    '(...) (he) said, "Right, in that case you are," and that he himself had come
    from the Zjaezjae household only that morning.' (CV07.73.4)
    [^151]Evidential hearsay marking ${ }^{360}$ appears clause－finally and consists of the fixed form $t_{\varphi} \rho=$ daw：a grammaticalisation of tç̌＇to say＇in combination with the current evidential＝daw（88．3．2），as in（1096）．The two markers have undergone even more phonological reduction in that they are often merged into the hearsay marker tcaw， that can always still be replaced with $t \epsilon 2=q a w$ ，as in（1097）．

$$
\text { tḉ }=\text { gà } \quad \text { dzà t tç̀ }=\text { dàw. }
$$

1：INCL－household big＝DEF be say＝IPFV：N．EGO
＇It is said that our household is the older one（＝the older sons descendants）．＇
（CV25．17）
（1097）

| ìg－bú $=$ bù， | 文－khí | kè | má dzà | tcàw． |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1：INCL－household＝TOP | front－time | capable | GNOMIC | HSY |

＇It is said that in the past our household was very capable．＇（CV25．36）
tcaw is in the process of becoming one marker conceptually．This can be seen in the nominalization structure that only reduplicates the last syllable of a predicate（§8．6．2）． This structure sometimes reduplicates only $=d a w$ ，as in（1098），but sometimes reduplicates tcaw as a whole，as in（1099）．

```
(1098) ní mà=dzə́ kémíy nè-pù kwèj
    LOG NEG = be not.possible DOWN-do let:PFV:N.EGO
    tcàw mò dàw fà.
    say:IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
```

    '(...) and (he) said that (they) caused him to have no choice but to eat it.'
    (CV09.150)
    | （1099）mə̀dæ̀lí＝gó⿹nì | fə́ | nè－dù | pá | tçàw | mà t ṭàw fà． |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| girl＝AGT | first | DOWN－poison | do：PFV．N．EGO | HSY | NMLZ．CONSTR |

＇（．．．）it is said that the girl was poisoned first．＇（CV09．160）
In certain cases of reported indirect speech，there is structural ambiguity between the evidential hearsay marker $t \epsilon \partial=d_{\sigma W} \sim t_{\epsilon} \sigma_{W}$＇it is said that＇and the quotative $t \epsilon \partial$ in combination with the current evidential＝daw＇he said that＇．This is to be expected， since（in）direct quotation and reported speech form a continuum with first－hand evidence of the speech and a specific source of information on the one hand，to $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$－ hand gossip and no specific source of information on the other hand（Wǎdū Pǔmǐ does

[^152]not mark all the individual steps the speaker is removed from the original source, but it does show some layering, illustrated below). A structurally ambiguous example is given in (1100). In cases of structural ambiguity, the context normally clarifies which reading should be taken; in this case the speaker is referring to a specific person.

```
(1100) p}\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\textrm{h}}\mathrm{ mǎ = wèn tçàw, 文q}\mp@subsup{q}{}{\textrm{h}u}=\mathrm{ bú zòtséy
    pour NEG=CUST.EXCL say:IPFV:N.EGO this-on=TOP mountain.god
    mǽ\tsí mǎ = wè\eta tçàw.
    mention NEG = CUST.EXCL say:IPFV:N.EGO
'(He) said that libation is not normally poured out, the mountain god is not normally mentioned here.' (CV23.5)
```

Structural ambiguity only occurs when the basic form tco of the quotative is followed by the imperfective marker $=d a w$, and not when it has the non-egophoric form $t \sigma \omega \partial$, or is followed by any other (non-)egophoric markers or the clause linker fa.

The quotative and evidential hearsay marker can co-occur, with the evidential hearsay marker following quotative, as in (1101). Sūn (1993:986) reports on a similar 'duplex quotative construction' in Amdo Tibetan. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is interesting in that the quotative and the evidential hearsay marker derive from the same source, i.e. both from the verb tçǎ 'to say'. Examples (1101) to (1104) are good examples of layering.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{rllll}
\text { (1101) } \text { cæ̌n = wù } & \text { t̀̀ = ұæ̀ } & \text { zòn } & \text { tç̀े= dàw } & \text { tçàw. } \\
\text { Ch:county = in } & \text { this = PL:GEN } & \text { be:EGO:1SG } & \text { say= IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { HSY }
\end{array} \\
& \text { '(...) it is said that (Old He Khuzu) said that he is in the county seat and } \\
& \text { surroundings.' (CV02.94.2) }
\end{aligned}
$$

When the two co-occur, the evidential hearsay marker will always have the form tcaw, as in (1102), and never t $t \rho=d a w$. Occasionally even the combination of quotative and imperfective marker will merge to tcaw, due to fast speech, as in (1103).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (1102) sò } y \text {-tsə́ = gə̀ } & \text { wèwà = sén } & \text { tcə̀ = dàw } & \text { tçàw. } \\
\text { three-CLF:person = DEF } & \text { discuss = PFV:EGO } & \text { say = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { say:IPFV:N.EGO } \\
\text { '(...) the three people discussed it on the road (Drema said that they told her).' } \\
\text { (PC08w.7) } \tag{1103}
\end{array}
$$

| èmá | láts ${ }^{\text {há }}$ | ə́-wù | ¢ə̀ $=$ dò | t | ààw |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aunt | T:1Ha.mtsho | that-in | go $=$ IPFV:EGO:1SG | say:IPFV:N.EGO | HSY |

'Aunt Lhatshu is said to have said to go in that (tricycle), (...)' (CV02.80)
Three layers of reported speech (one quotative and two evidential hearsay markers) are the most that have come up in the data. My main consultant commented on (1104) that it marked the story as passed down from a long time ago.

$$
\begin{array}{rlllll}
\text { (1104) pédí } & =\text { bì } & \text { dàbǔ } & \text { ná } & \text { tcwá }=\text { sì } & \text { tcàw } \\
\text { toadà̀w } & =\text { DAT } & \text { then } & \text { thus } & \text { say:PFV:N.EGO }=\text { INF } & \text { HSY } \\
\text { sSY }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) (he) said this to the toad, it is said, it is said. (...)' (TC09.29)
The construction tcwo = si tcaw (with the non-egophoric form of the quotative and the inferential evidential marker) seems to be used only in traditional narratives and implies the passing down of a story through many speakers. In conversations normally tcə = daw tccaw is used, which implies only a limited amount of layers of speakers (at least two, but not limited to two).

In a chain of closely associated clauses in narratives, reported evidentials are not always used after every clause, but tend to be used at the end of the chain. A similar 'tendency for evidentials to linger in pragmatic space' was noted in Yǒngníng Na (Lidz 2010:477). This sporadic use of hearsay evidential marking will be shown to have an important discourse function, in that it tends to mark the end of a chain of clauses that ends in a new development in the narrative. The use of the reported evidential gives prominence to this new development in the story (§10.9.5).

Evidential hearsay markers are also used to indicate that speakers distance themselves from the making any truth-claim about what they are reporting. In (1105) the speaker uses tcaw almost like an interjection, inserting it in several parts of the sentence, since the man who told her the information was rather a talker who might not be too truthful.
(1105) dàbǔ tá ¢è = Łóyní ní-bà wù gá-ņòn
then this Han=PL:AGT LOG-household:GEN interior nine-clF:day
tçàw = nòn ná tí dzóy khí=bù, ní-bà mín tçàu HSY = COORD thus one sit time $=$ TOP LOG-household:GEN what HSY

pig one:ClF:thing say = IPFV:N.EGO = COORD two HSY=also out-eat


DOwn-be.finished do:PFV:N.EGO go time=top LOG-household=DAT

ná ţ̧́ = dàw mà dà.
thus say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
'(...) then when these Han stayed for nine days, it is said, or something like that in his house, (they) finished eating his family's one or even two pigs, it is said; when (they) left, they gave his family only five hundred (yuan) or so, (he) told (us) that.' (CV07.67.1)

Dreams are expressed as reported evidentials, as in (1106), where someone reports that he was a king in his dreams. Note that the non-egophoric form of the copula $d z \hat{\imath}$ 'to be' is used.
(1106) é pón dzá tçə̀ = dàw

1SG T:official be say=IPFV:N.EGO
'I am a king.' (EL:W-C29.8)

### 8.3.6 Reported thought marker $\overline{\text { ci }}$

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ makes use of separate quotative marker to express reported thought. This marker $\epsilon i$ is also of verbal origin, and derives from the verb $\varphi \varphi^{\prime}$ 'to think, wonder, realize'. When functioning as a quotative, this verb has lost some of its verbal properties: it appears in the same tone group as the last tone-bearing element of the quote, and it does not take a directional prefix, but it has still retained the potential to inflect: it can be followed by (non-)egophoric and evidential markers, like the egophoric $=s e \eta$ in (1107), and it shows a non-egophoric inflected form $\epsilon w i$ that is used in 'other-person' sentences. This inflected form is however not always used (it is only attested three times in the corpus), and it might be that $\varphi i$ is becoming more grammaticalised and is losing its ability to inflect. In (1108) both the non-inflected form as well as the inflected form are used:

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1107) } \text { é }=\text { tḉmà } & \text { míy dzà } & \text { lì̀j } \quad \text { Cì }=\text { sè } \\
\text { 1SG }=\text { TOP } & \text { what } & \text { be } & \text { RHET }
\end{array} \text { think = PFV:EGO }
$$

'I wondered what that could be?' (CV16.43)
(1108) jèhǎ $q^{\text {hà }}$ jǎw tá = tù héhà, héhà kìn = qèj all EXALT again this $=0$ excessive excessive give $=$ EXPT
C̣ì = dàw, qétìn-mæ̀n kì̀y $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù, jǎw ş̀ tú think $=$ IPFV:N.EGO ten-yuan give time $=$ TOP again Ch:ten Ch:more $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w}$ と́j $=$ nò $\quad$ ná $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} i ́ y=q e ̀ j ~} \quad$ cwì $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ = bù. Ch:kuai $=$ COORD thus give $=$ EXPT think:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP 'They all thought that (the price) would go up and (the people buying the pigs) would give more, when they gave ten yuan (per pound). They thought that they would give more than ten kuai (a pound), but (after that it did not go up).' (CV14.58.3)

Like verbs of speech, $\epsilon$ í can take a clausal complement, as in (1109). Its most common occurrence however is with a reported thought complement, both direct reported thought as in (1110) and indirect reported thought as in (1111). In traditional narratives, as in (1110), the use of reported thought makes the narrative more vivid.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1109) dàbǔ } & \text { má }=\text { ¡æ̀ } & \text { pùdìmá }=\text { bù } & \text { dzín Gî } \\
\text { then } & \text { person = PL:GEN } & \text { old.woman = TOP } & \text { true think }
\end{array}
$$

'Then that old woman thought (it) was true (...)' (TC08.12)

| (1110) "jèhǎ | tó-६ə̀ | kèj $=$ bù | dǒy | mà $=$ q $\varepsilon ́ j$ | ə́sèy," | cì. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| all | UP-go | let $=$ TOP | be.okay | NEG $=$ EXPT | AGR | think |

'"To let them all go by will not be okay, right?" (he) thought.' (TC02.62)

| (1111) ní | J.ùgí | $\zeta \partial ́=$ sù | ¢ì | fià | jǎw |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOG | pine.torch | $\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{VOL}: \mathrm{SG}$ | think | Link | again |  |
|  | dzı̀ $=$ tì $=$ là |  | nè-dz̧æ̀ | dâw, |  | há...! |
|  | back.limb = | $\mathrm{NDF}=$ also | DOWN-ad | = IPF | :N.EGO | INTJ |

'Because he himself ( = Bajin) is thinking of going to collect pine torches, (he) adds in the pork back slice and limbs (custom), hahaha!' (CV18.59)

In terms of evidentiality, reported thought is interesting. Unlike reported speech, a speaker does not have direct access to other people's thoughts, and evidence based on reported thought has to be taken as a certain kind of inferential, like deriving a person's intention from what he just said before. This is the case in (1111) where the person referred to just stated that the custom is to carry the pork-back-slice-and-limbs when going to collect pine torches. This is not really the custom (the original speaker just made it up), and so the other people infer from that statement that he himself is planning to go pine torch collecting. This inference is cast in the structure of an indirect thought complement.

One can also derive inferential clues from a person's appearance, as in (1112) where the situation of a girl who almost cried is presented as an embedded thought clause $q w^{\prime} j=s u$ '(I) want to cry', so the sentence has the structure literally 'She thinks 'I want to cry' ${ }^{\prime}$.

```
(1112)
    [qw\varepsilońj = sú] ç̀ = dàw k kì̀
    cry = voL:SG think = IPFV:N.EGO TRAIL
    '(She) almost cried.' (CV09.63.2)
```


### 8.3.7 Co-occurrence of evidentials

This section will discuss how the different evidentials and evidential strategies are combined to convey different layers of evidence. In Table 8.8 the possible cooccurrence of different evidentials is given. Every layer is followed by a closing square bracket. Column \#1 shows the utterance of the original speaker A with the type of evidence A has for this utterance. The adjoining column gives the meaning of the utterance. Column \#2 shows the utterance of the original speaker A as reported by the
current speaker B, who heard it personally from A. Column \#3 shows the utterance of the original speaker A as reported by the current speaker B who overheard A saying it to someone else. Column \#4 shows the utterance of the original speaker A as reported by the current speaker C, who heard it personally from B, who heard it personally from A. Column \#5 shows the utterance of the original speaker A as reported by the current speaker C, who heard it personally from B, who overheard A saying it to someone else. Column \#6 shows the original utterance as it is handed down through the mouths of many people. This is generally only used in traditional narratives. ${ }^{361}$ Column \#7 shows the original utterance as reported thought.

Table 8.8 Co-occurrence of evidentials

| \#1 | Meaning | \#2 | \#3 | \#4 | \#5 | \#6 | \#7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\varphi 2 q \varepsilon j$ | "he will go" | co $q \varepsilon j]$ <br> tco daw | $\begin{aligned} & \epsilon ə q \varepsilon j] \\ & \text { tco tip } \end{aligned}$ | ¢๐ q $q j]$ <br> tco <br> daw] <br> tcaw | co qej] <br> tco tin] <br> tcaw | cə qej] <br> tcwa si] <br> tcaw | $\begin{aligned} & c ə q \varepsilon j] \\ & \epsilon i \end{aligned}$ |
| co daw | "he is going" | co daw] <br> tco daw | co daw] <br> tç tip | co daw] <br> tco <br> daw] <br> tcaw | co daw] <br> tco tin] <br> tcaw | co daw] tcwa si] tcaw | $c ə d a w]$ <br> $c i$ |
| $s \varepsilon j \varnothing$ | "he went" <br> (visual) | s $\varepsilon j$ o] <br> tco daw | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sqj } ø] \\ & \text { tç tip } \end{aligned}$ | $s \varepsilon j$ ø] <br> tco <br> daw] <br> tcaw | $s \varepsilon j ø]$ <br> tco tin] <br> tcaw | $s \varepsilon j ø]$ <br> tcwa si] <br> tcaw | $\begin{aligned} & s \varepsilon j ø] \\ & \epsilon i \end{aligned}$ |
| $s^{\prime \prime j}$ Si | "he went" <br> (inferred) | $s \varepsilon j$ si] tco daw | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sej si] } \\ & \text { tco tip } \end{aligned}$ | s $\varepsilon j$ si] <br> tco <br> daw] <br> tcaw | sej si] <br> tco tin] <br> tcaw | s $\varepsilon j$ si] <br> tcwa si] <br> tcaw | $\begin{aligned} & s \varepsilon j \text { si] } \\ & \epsilon i \end{aligned}$ |
| sej tin | "he went" <br> (auditory) | ssj tin] tco daw | ssj tin] <br> tco tin | ssj tin] <br> tco <br> daw] <br> tcaw | ssj tin] tco tin] tcaw | sej tip] <br> tcwa si] <br> tcaw | ssj tip] $\varphi i$ |

[^153]Not all of the co-occurrences are attested in the corpus, but their ability to co-occur has been checked by elicitation. The examples below show some of the co-occurrences that are attested. (1113-1116) are examples of Column \#2; (1117-1119) are examples of Column \#3; (1120-1122) are examples of Column \#4; (1123) is an example of Column \#5; (1124) is an example of Column \#6; (1125-1127) are examples of Column \#7. The different layers are separated by square brackets.
(1113) [nǐy tçín = qर́j] tç̀̀ = dàw kî̀, 2 SG see $=$ EXPT say $=I P F V:$ N.EGO time
'Even though (he) said that you will see (him), (...)' (CV07.26.2)
(1114) [tó-khín mà = dáw] tḉ = dàw

UP-get.up NEG = IPFV:N.EGO say = IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) (she) did not get up, (I) was told (...)' (CV12.43)
(1115) [lúcò tà] tçà = dàw.

Luoshui arrive say=IPFV:N.EGO
'It is said that (he) arrived in Luoshui.' (CV21.340)
(1116) [lúcà tà = sì] tçà= dàw.

Luoshui $\quad$ arrive $=$ INF $\quad$ say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'It is said that (he) arrived in Luoshui.' (CV21.339)
(1117) [tèd孔é = bú zí mà dzà] ţ̧̀ = tìy, málł̀ gónbà = wù = là
several $=$ TOP EXIST.AN GNOMIC say=AUD Mùlǐ monastery $=$ in = also jèmá بÉ-mà.
monk big-NMLZ
'(I) overheard that there are several old monks in the Mùlǐ monastery.'
(CV13.81.2)
(1118) [píymá ş́j] tçə̀ = tìy

Pingma go:PFV:N.EGO say=AUD
'Pingma left.' (I overheard others talking about the fact that he left; they saw him leave with their own eyes). (EL)
$\begin{array}{rll}\text { (1119) }[\text { [píymá } & \text { sćj }=\text { sì }] & \text { tç̀ }=\text { tìy } \\ \text { Pingma } & \text { go:PFV:N.EGO = INF } & \text { say = AUD }\end{array}$
'Pingma left.' (I overheard others talking about the fact that he left; they did not see him leave, but drew their conclusions from other facts). (EL)
$\begin{array}{rllll}\text { (1120) [[hòy-dzí } & \text { tè-dìy } & z i ́=q \text { qèj] } & \text { tç̀ }=\text { dàw }] & \text { tcàw. } \\ \text { in-location } & \text { one-CLF:place } & \text { EXIST.AN }=\text { EXPT } & \text { say }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { HSY }\end{array}$ '(...) (people) said that (they) would be somewhere up the valley.' (CV15.1)
(1121) [[ŋón kéy = dàw] tçò = dàw] tçàw. money collect $=$ IPFV:N.EGO $\quad$ say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO $\quad$ say:IPFV:N.EGO '(...) (there was somebody) collecting money [Drema said that they told her].' (PC08w.4)
(1122) [[nìy = dzán tç ${ }^{\text {hò }}$-kín $\quad$ há $=$ gá téfèj pù $\quad$ cá $=$ gí $]$ $2=$ DU how.many-time go=DEF together do go=vol:INCL tç̀ = dàw] tc̣àw. say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO HSY
'(...) it is said that (she) said that 'whenever the two of you go, let's go together'.' (CV02.92)
(1123) [[píymá séj = sì] tçə̀= tìy] t ṭàw Pingma go:PFV:N.EGO = INF say = AUD HSY
'Pingma left.' (Others told me that he left. Then themselves were told by others who had not seen him leave themselves, but had heard the noise of his leaving). (EL)
(1124) [[də̀-tswéy-mə́ = gòn t ṭ̀ǽ = nóy ว́-pà dzóy = wù nè-ţá TO.SP-pull-NMLZ = AGT now =only that-under:GEN hole=in DOWN-jump nè- séj] tçwò = sì] tçàw. DOWN-go:PFV:N.EGO say:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY
'(Tiger) said, "(...) the one who pulled, jumped down into this hole below only just now," it is said.' (KZ03.16)
(1125) [jèhǎ q ${ }^{\text {hà }}$ jǎw tá = tù héhà, héhà kì̀ = qèj] all EXALT again this $=0$ excessive excessive give $=$ EXPT
cì = dàw
think $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'They all thought that (the price) would go up and (the people buying the pigs) would give more (...)' (CV14.58.3)
[ìy = ¡ónní
tçì dzá mà = dáw] Gí fì
1:INCL $=$ PL:AGT food eat NEG $=$ IPFV:N.EGO think LINK
'(He) thought that we had not eaten, (...)' (CV08.20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { first=TOP then } \mathrm{T}: \text { lion UP-come:PFV:N.EGO time=also INTJ } \\
& \begin{array}{lllll}
\text { séngéy }=\text { tì } & \text { tà-tç } \left.{ }^{\text {hò }} \text { ] }\right] & \text { cì } & \text { nè-dú } & \text { pà. } \\
\text { T:lion = INDF } & \text { UP-come:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { think } & \text { DOWN-afraid } & \text { do:PFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

'First a lion came up, "Oh! A lion has come!" (he) thought and was afraid.'
(TC02.56)

### 8.3.8 Evidentiality and text genres

There are certain correlations that can be drawn between evidential use and text genre. This section only slightly touches on the more general correlations in sweeping generalizations. A more in-depth study of evidential use in different text genres is an area for future research.

Generally, in procedural texts or descriptive texts about customs, not much marking is used. The text is only wrapped up in the end by using the customary marker wen (see also §10.9.1 and §10.2.2, example [1377]). The bare basic stem of verbs is used to describe the differents steps, as is shown in (1128) from a butter tea recipe and in (1129) from a travelogue (explaining what route one takes to a famous national park). The marker wen cannot co-occur with other evidentials, so should be considered part of that system. It presents the information as the customary way of acting that is known by insiders, but not by the addressee. This will be further discussed in §8.5.

| (1128) dàbù, | ts ${ }^{\text {sin }}=$ lá | nè-dî. | dàbǔ | nè-dzôy. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | salt $=$ also | DOWN-put | then | DOWN-churn |

'Then also put in some salt. Then churn (it).' (PC01.6-7)

| (1129) dòbǔ t | tò $=¢$ ¢ | ¢е́¢¢ | tçe | ¢ə̀ | dàbǔ thúbì | tò $=$ ¢ $\check{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| then 3 | $3=$ PL:GEN | packload | pack.load | go | then Walapian | $3=$ PL:GEN |
| Ғ́́¢è | nè-k ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ è | t¢¢ | dàbǔ |  |  |
| packloa | ad Down | pack IN | -pack.load | then | Tuōqī IN-go |  |

'Then (one) loads up their luggage; (one) packs their luggage at Walapian; (one) loads (it); then (one) goes inwards to Tuōqī.' (TC05.2)

It is also possible to use $=d a w$ mo dzo, which presents something as a general situational truth that is stronger than wen (combining the habitual meaning of the current evidential $=d a w$ [88.3.2] and the gnomic statement function of the nominalization modzo [88.6.1]), and presents something as a very definite statement that is either somebody's own understanding of the situation, or a habitual situation that has only arisen in recent times.

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
\text { (1130) pépù }=\text { bù } & \text { jèhà }=\text { qǽ } & \text { zégì } & \mathrm{p}^{\text {hè }}=\text { dàw } & \text { mà dzà. } \\
\text { ancestors }=\text { TOP } & \text { all }=\text { PL:GEN } & \text { after } & \text { pour = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { GNOMIC }
\end{array}
$$

'Libation is poured out for the ancestors after all the others.' (CV23.27.3)
In personal experience narratives about habitual actions in the past, no evidential or egophoric marking is used, as in (1131):


```
    then livestock herd time=TOP then about out-be.big time=TOP
    d\grave{bǔ, dz̧\partial̀dz̧̀ swé\eta kêj.}
    then letter study let
```

'After herding livestock, when (I) was a bit bigger, (I) was let to go to school.' (TC10.6)

This is in line with Epps (2005:624) who states that personal experience narratives tend to use the unmarked form, regardless of whether visual evidence is available to a speaker. Thus explanatory and descriptive discourse mostly lack evidential marking.

But when narrating a specific incident that happened in which the speaker was involved egophoric marking is used for 'self' and evidential marking for 'others', as in (1132):
(1132) á-qhù nว̀wú-tç ${ }^{\text {hà-cǽ }}$ dzòy = sêy.
that-on twenty-more.than-clF:night sit $=$ PFV:EGO
'(We) spent more than twenty nights up there.' (YJ01.12)
In traditional folk stories the construction =si tcaw (with the inferential evidential and the hearsay marker) is used often to mark that the story has been passed down through many people.
(1133) túútíú ţ̣̀̀ mò $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù á-dzì $\quad$ gwéy $=$ tí

IDEO say blow time $=$ TOP that-location bear $=$ INDF
nè-tç ${ }^{\text {hón }}=$ sì tçàw.
DOWN-come:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY
'(...) when (he) was blowing (it) 'Toot toot!' over there a bear came down, it is said.' (TC06.1)

In traditional folk stories, the inferential evidential marker $=s i$ might be left out as an expressive device (similar to the use of the English 'historical present' in stories), as in (1134). This gives the audience the impression that the narrator was on the scene and saw it with his own eyes, which makes the story more vivid.

```
(1134) tsá = dòy ţ̧̀ \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\) nòn á-qhù è-dèj pà.
    jump \(=I P F V: E G O: 1\) SG say time \(=\) only that-on IN-stick do:PFV:N.EGO
```

'(...) As (he) was jumping, (he) got stuck on top.' (TC04.29)
In conversations, evidentiality is employed to a great extent by different speakers and by the same speaker in different situations to convey slight nuances of meaning. Not surprisingly, conversations show the widest range of evidentials. Since there is no single generalization possible for conversations, no examples will be given here.

### 8.3.9 Evidentiality and new media

When visual information is obtained through new media, such as TV, it is marked as inferred information. This is dissimilar to Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:204), where either the unmarked form or the hearsay marker is used (but never the visual evidential), and Yǒngníng Na that marks visual information acquired through TV as visual evidence (Lidz 2010:495). When reported information is obtained through TV (e.g. where no images are shown, but somebody verbally reports something), it is marked as reported evidence.

### 8.4 Epistemic modality

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has different epistemic modality strategies for marking degrees of certainty or confidence about an assertion. As mentioned in §8.3.3, the expectational marker $=q \varepsilon j$ also implies a fairly high degree of epistemic certainty. The other constructions and markers do not form a neat paradigm but consist of two attitude markers (bǎ and v1a), which will be discussed here instead of in the section on attitudinal markers §8.8,
 of these co-occur, except for mə dzə $q \varepsilon j$ and bă. The two attitudinal markers are identified as such in that they occur in the same slot as other attitudinal markers (§8.8), i.e. they are not followed by other attitudinal markers, whereas the epistemic constructions so ti, si $q a w, m ə d z ə ~ q \varepsilon j$ and $\varsigma æ ્ t a$ can be followed by attitudinal markers.

Epistemicity shows overlap with evidentiality in that different types of evidence imply a lesser or greater degree of epistemic certainty, e.g. inferred evidence implies less certainty than visual evidence. It is not surprising that one of the epistemic constructions (si qaw) consists of two evidential markers and another (mə dzə qqj) of an evidential strategy ( $m ə d z \partial$ ) and an evidential marker ( $=q \varepsilon$ ).

Table 8.9 shows the different constructions and markers with the clause $k^{h} \partial$ - $\epsilon^{h} \hat{o} \eta^{\prime}$ '(he) came'. They are listed from least certain to most certain, and the type of evidence is given in the last column.

Table 8.9 Epistemic modality constructions

| Form | Meaning | Degree of epistemic certainty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k^{h} \partial-t t^{h} \hat{O} \eta b a ̆$ | 'He maybe came' | least certain; based on speakerinternal assesment |
| $k^{h} \partial-t \epsilon^{h} \hat{O} \eta \subset \mathfrak{Z} t a$ <br>  | 'It looks like he came' | uncertain; inferred from visual clues |
| $k^{h} \partial-t t^{h} \hat{o} \eta$ si $d a W$ | 'He probably came' | relatively certain; inferred from reported clues |
| $k^{h} \partial-t 6^{h}$ ôl sa ti | 'He most probably came' | relatively certain; inferred from visual or experiential clues |
| $k^{h}$ - -tc ${ }^{h}$ ôn tr $^{\text {a n nəni }}$ | 'It seems that he came' | relatively certain; based on speaker-internal assesment |
|  | 'He will have come' | only slightly uncertain; inferred from different clues or based on speaker-internal assesment |
| $k^{h}$ - $-t^{\text {a }}$ ô\ ela | 'He naturally came' | certain; based on speakerinternal assesment |

### 8.4.1 Epistemic certainty ela

The toneless attitudinal marker $\boldsymbol{e l a}$ ([èlà] ~ [élâ] ~ [élà]) ${ }^{362}$ 'naturally, of course' is bound up with epistemic certainty. The speaker's attitude is that there is no question whether or not an action should be taken or whether a situation is possible. This does not derive from outside knowledge, but rather from the speaker's experience or assessment of the situation.
(1135) zìy = q̌́j élà.
can $=$ EXPT CERT
'Of course (we) will be able (to carry it).' (CV21.452)

[^154]

```
tongue out-pull CERT
```

'The tongue should naturally be pulled out!' (CV18.47)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1137) tà = gæ̌ nǐy ó-khì tə̀ = Łæ̀ = bù, qhò- qǽ }=\text { qèj èlà } \\
& \text { this }=\text { GEN INTJ that-time this }=\text { PL:GEN }=\text { TOP OUT-spread }=\text { EXPT } \text { CERT }
\end{aligned}
$$

'At that time, mind you, (they) naturally would have spread, (...)' (CV25.56)

### 8.4.2 Speculative marker bǎ

The speculative marker bǎ (also present in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ [Dīng 1998:224]) denotes uncertainty about a situation. It is usually not based on immediate clues, but denotes the speaker's speculation. Additionally, a speaker can be soliciting the addressee's opinion or confirmation.
$\begin{array}{rlllll}\text { (1138) èmá sénóy }=\text { nò } & \text { tç̌y } & \text { tèdzé } & \text { sǽnlèy }=\text { wù } & \text { q方-sèj } & \text { bǎ? } \\ \text { aunt } \text { Sanong }=\text { COORD } & \text { child } & \text { several } & \text { Ch:tricycle }=\text { in } & \text { OUT-go:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { SPEC }\end{array}$
'Aunt Sanong and several children have maybe gone by tricycle?' (CV02.78.2)
$\begin{aligned} \text { (1139) tç }{ }^{\text {hò-k }} \text { hí } & \text { có dzà? } \\ \text { how.many-time } & \text { go be }\end{aligned}$
N : 'When will you be going?' (CV02.72)
ŋwé= Łæ̀ nò̀ cá dzò bǎ!
five $=$ PL:GEN day go be SPEC
P: (We'll) maybe go on the day of the fifth.' (CV02.75)
When bă follows the inclusive volitive $=g i$, the focus is on soliciting the opinion of the addressee.

| $(1140)$ tǎ | có $=$ gí | bǎ,... |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| now | go $=$ VOL:INCL | SPEC |

'Let's go now, alright?' (CV21.290)

### 8.4.3 Epistemic uncertainty si daw

Epps (2005:632) notes that in Hup an inferential evidential marker can co-occur with an epistemic modality marker for speculation where no evidence is at hand or evidence is too vague to be conclusive. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the inferential evidential marker is also used for expressing epistemic uncertainty. The particular combination of two evidential markers, the inferential evidential $=s i$ ( $\$ 8.3 .1$ ) and the non-egophoric imperfective marker $=d_{a w}$ (\$8.3.2), renders a epistemic uncertainty construction that is often, but
not always, based on reported evidential clues, as is shown in (1141). ${ }^{363}$ The previous speaker has just told the others that her husband took seven hens to the market to sell. The construction si $d a W$ expresses more certainty than $b a \check{a}$.

| (1141) fú | zù = tí | zí | sì dàw, | nǒy = sò. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chicken | much = INDF | EXIST.AN | EPIST:probably | so = CONTR.TOP |

'In that case, (your family) probably has a lot of chickens.' (CV04.75)
The construction si $d a w$ can occur with the evidentials $=d a w$ and $=q \varepsilon j$, as in (1142) and (1143), but not with the inferential evidential $=$ si. (1143) was said when it had snowed a bit the day before and at the moment of the utterance the sky was quite grey, but no snow was falling. The speaker was looking at the sky when uttering (1143).
(1142) tǽŋtsàbòy $=b^{j}$ æ̀ $\quad t^{\text {h }}$ wàlá nè-zæ̌ $\quad k^{\text {h}}$ ว̀-tì $=$ dáw $\quad$ sì dàw.
pine.tree = on:GEN branch DOWN-lop.off oUT-put = IPFV:N.EGO EPIST:probably '(She) probably lopped off the pine branches and put them there.' (CV14.267)
(1143) pú t ${ }^{\text {h}}{ }^{\text {óy }=q e ̀ j ~ s i ̀ ~ d a ̀ w . . . ~ k o ́ \eta ~ z u ̌!~}$
snow appear = EXPT EPIST:probably be.cold very
'(It) will probably snow... (it)'s very cold!' (W-C28.3)
When the construction occurs without $=q \varepsilon j$ or $=d a w$, the perfective non-egophoric form of the verb is always used, as in (1144). From this example it can also be seen that the statement is based on several (reported evidence) clues.

'Aunt Lhatshu is said to have said to go in that (tricycle), the two of them were later (than the others), so Aunt Lhamtsho is said to have said 'Let's go by tricycle' and so (they) probably went in a tricycle.' (CV02.80)

[^155]si daw might also be used as a conversational tool to downplay the epistemic authority of a speaker, but this needs further conversational analysis.
si $d_{W}$ is used in a few traditional stories where one would expect reported evidential marking and not epistemic uncertainty, as in (1145) where one would expect $t 6 \omega \partial=s i$ tcaw. The use of si daw in these cases seems to be the personal style of some storytellers and does not necessarily imply epistemic uncertainty.
(1145) "èkáw làwlàw th̀̀zô, é=gǽ tá mé=bù, é
uncle $(\mathrm{MB})$ INTJ spare.life $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN}$ this bamboo $=\mathrm{TOP}$ 1SG
mò = dòy $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù, mò-mə́ tà dzâ," tcwò
blow $=I P F V: E G O: 1 \mathrm{SG}$ time $=$ TOP blow-NMLZ only be say:PFV:N.EGO
sì dàw.
EPIST:probably
'(Hare) answered, "Uncle, spare my life, I am blowing this bamboo piece of mine, I am only blowing it." ' (TC06.2)

The construction si $d a w$ and the marker bǎ can be used in very similar situations, as can be seen in example (1146), a conversation about a cell phone that belongs to speaker S. Another example in which a speaker uses bǎ and si $d a w$ in two consecutive clauses is given in (1147).
(1146) èl ${ }^{j}$ ǽtì tá mà = dáw
bǎ.
a.little this NEG=IPFV:N.EGO SPEC

P: 'It's maybe not that good. ' (CV04.29)
mæ̂?
what
S: 'What?' (CV04.30)
tǐntš̀̀ l'àwlìàw = dáw sí dàw.
Ch:battery move = IPFV:N.EGO EPIST:probably
P: 'The battery probably moves.' (CV04.31)

| tǐ | l'àwl ${ }^{\text {jo }}$ a = dáw | bǎ, | ว́sèn? | ə́-biæ ${ }^{\text {º }}$ | tə́ = gá |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ch:battery | move $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | SPEC | AGR | that | is $=$ D |

è $l^{j}$ æ̌ sóy = dáw sì dàw,
a.little Ch:loose = IPFV:N.EGO EPIST:probably

S: 'The battery maybe moves, right? That one is probably a little loose...'
(CV04.32)

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1147) líú = dáw } & \text { bǎ? } & \text { líú = dáw } & \text { sì dàw. } \\
\text { boil = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { SPEC } & \text { boil = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { EPIST:probably }
\end{array}
$$

'(The water) is maybe boiling, right? It's probably boiling.' (CV14.31)

### 8.4.4 Epistemic uncertainty sa ti

The epistemic uncertainty construction $s ə t i$ expresses that the speaker is fairly certain of a situation. The construction expresses more certainty than $b \check{a}$ (and seems to express more certainty than si daw) and might be based on visual or experiential clues, such as when a speaker has a peek in the soup pot and states that there are most probably no vegetables in the soup, or when a speaker feels a few rain drops and states that it will most probably rain. The origin of $s ə t i$ is not clear; it might be that $s ə$ developed from the contrastive topic marker ( 86.5 .8 ) and $t i$ from the numeral 'one' that is used in several constructions.
$s o t i$ is often based on current visual evidence of which current statements or statements about the future are based. It can also be used with past statements, as in (1148). In (1149) the speaker is talking to himself while working on cutting out the tongue of a slaughtered pig. That gives him some evidence to state this with a great amount of certainty. Two other examples are given in (1150) and (1151).
(1148) dòmá-lí jǎw èjłæ̌tì tsàtsà sćj sá tì kì̀ Drema-DIM again a.little grope.for go:PFV:N.EGO EPIST:most.probably TRAIL 'Little Drema was most probably looking for some (firewood).' (CV09.77)
(1149) ¡ٍ

| ¡è | zín | ma $=$ qع́j | sá tì | $k^{\text {hì }}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cut can | NEG $=$ EXPT | EPIST:most.probably | TRAIL |  |

'(I) will most probably not be able to cut (the tongue)?' (CV18.41)
thè-ç̀ kéj $=$ qદ́j sà tì tç̀ fià ná tçáw bàw.

FR.SP-go let = EXPT EPIST:most.probably say LINK thus HSY CONTR 'It is said that (they will) ... then most probably let (her) go back (...) home.' (CV15.62)
(1151) p $\grave{j} p \varepsilon ́ j$
older.sibling Tadi =AGT food PROH-eat = IPFV:EGO:N.SG
sà tì, $\grave{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{dz}$ è̀ $=$ bí ná tçâw.
EPIST:most.probably $1:$ EXCL $=$ DU $=$ DAT thus say:IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) older brother Tadi spoke like this to the two of us, "... you most probably won't dare to eat food now." ' (YJO2.22)

While so ti and si daw are very similar, the data seem to show that so ti implies more certainty: so $t i$ is often based on visual clues, whereas si $q a w$ is often based on reported clues. In (1152) sə ti and si daw are used together:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1152) "nìg = bú láwsó = gæ̀ (ţ̣ }{ }^{\text {hax̆ }} \text { ) tsàzà dzá sí dàw," tçà } \\
& \text { 2SG = TOP Ch:teacher = GEN (pig) fattened.pig be EPIST:probably say }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { time }=\text { TOP laugh:RECP CUST.INCL }=\text { AGT fat.piglet do }=\text { EXPT } \\
& \text { sà tì," tçà kì = bù. } \\
& \text { EPIST:most.probably say time }=\text { TOP }
\end{aligned}
$$

(We) said, "You are probably the teacher's fattened pig, (you) will most probably be a fat piglet, " and we laughed so hard that... (CV12.27.4)

## 

The construction $f^{æ 2}$ nəni' 'it seems' is an epistemic construction that takes an embedded finite complement, thus it occurs with all types of evidential and egophoric markers, like the quotative and the auditory evidential, as in (1153).

| (1153) nìn-bá | èmá = gòn | hwájì | tì | $t ¢ \grave{\partial}=$ tíy | łæ̀ nə̀nì. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2SG-household | aunt $=$ AGT | Huayi | one | say = AUD | EPIST:seems |

'(I) seem to have heard your mother say that (they are from) Huayi.' (CV07.50)
$\downarrow^{æ}$ nəni can occur in different alternate forms, such as $f^{æ} n i$ and nəni, as in (11541156). It is not clear at this point what triggers that.
$\begin{array}{rllllll}\text { (1154) á-pù } & \text { mín } & \text { dzà } & \text { pépù = là } & \text { łì } & \text { wèn } & \text { fǽ nànì. } \\ \text { that-under } & \text { what } & \text { be } & \text { bottom = also } & \text { burn } & \text { CUST.EXCL } & \text { EPIST:seems }\end{array}$ 'It seems (to me) that (we) also roast it at the bottom.' (CV21.572.1)
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { (1155) tçǽytćy } & \text { wú-pà-nìy, } & \text { wú-pà-nìy } & \text { dzà } \\ \text { Ch:just.now } & \text { Ch:five-Ch:eight-Ch:year } & \text { Ch:five-Ch:eight-Ch:year be }\end{array}$

ңæ nì
EPIST:seems
'It seems to have been in '58. (...)' (CV13.26.1)
(1156) thá èliæ̌tì dèpèj = dáw nànì, míy dòn wèj?
foot a.little lame=IPFV:N.EGO EPIST:seems what become PUZ
'It seems that (his) foot is a bit lame, what on earth is the matter?' (CV14.247)

The construction $\not \ell^{æ}$ nəni might be an adverbial phrase that takes the whole clause in its scope, as is reported for Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng (2003:206) for the adverbial particle $\chi s u-n i{ }^{\text {'seem'). Its origin is not totally clear, but nəni seems to be related to the }}$ adverb nóni 'like this' and $\downarrow^{æ}$ seems to be related to the second part of сǽ $f æ$ 'to resemble', as in (1157), (related to the verb $\varsigma \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ 'to resemble'): ${ }^{364}$

Druthjae T:Tshe.ring say-NMLZ=DEF=TOP spare.life speech speak thus

one $=$ also can $\mathrm{Q}=$ EXPT $=$ also resemble $\mathrm{NEG}=$ resemble
'(...) the one who is called Druthjae Tshering, spare my life, even if (he) can tell stories like this, (he) does not look like it, (...)' (CV13.78.2)

The construction $\varsigma \mathfrak{X}=t a$ 'it looks like' that is clearly derived from the verb $\varsigma \hat{\mathscr{x}}$ 'to resemble' and that takes an embedded complement also functions like an epistemic construction, as in (1158) and (1159). My main consultant mentioned that it is also possible to use $\epsilon \mathscr{x} \not \mathfrak{\neq}=t a$, but no attestations are found in the corpus. $\epsilon \mathscr{X}=t a \sim$ $\epsilon \mathscr{X}_{\imath} \mathfrak{Z}=t a$ seems to be linked to some kind of visual knowledge, whereas $\downarrow^{æ}$ nəni seems to be linked to speaker-internal knowledge.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1158) èmá } & \text { jóntcíy-bà }=\text { là } & \text { cé }=\text { tà } & \text { cæ̀ }=\text { tà. } \\
\text { aunt } & \text { T:dByangs.cin-household = also } & \text { big }=\text { SVM } & \text { resemble }=\text { SVM }
\end{array}
$$

'It looks like aunt Yongjin's family's (pork back) is big as well.' (CV21.164)

| (1159) màgén | èlǐ̌ | té | pú $=$ qèj | ¢æ̀ $=t a ̀$, | ásèn? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| old.man | a.little | false | do $=$ EXPT | resemble $=$ SVM | AGR |

'It looks like the old man will have been lying a bit, right?' (CV07.71)

### 8.4.6 Epistemic uncertainty mə dzə qєj

Even though both the expectational evidential $=q \varepsilon j$ (§8.3.3) and the nominalization construction modzo (§8.6.1) have epistemic certainty readings, when they are combined into the construction mo $d z ə q \varepsilon j$ this expresses epistemic uncertainty. The degree of uncertainty is fairly small, as can be seen in (1160) where the speaker has some auditory evidence of the situation. mo dzo qqj can be used to refer to past as well as general events, as in (1161) and (1162), but not to future events. ${ }^{365}$ Note that in

[^156](1162) speaker $Y$ is tentative about their ability to carry the recording device, whereas speaker N responds using the epistemic certainty marker ela (88.4.1).
(1160) èmá-lì
aunt-DIM
$z i ́$
EXIST.AN EPIST
'Young aunt is talking a lot, I hear. (She) will probably still be out ( $=$ in the courtyard).' (CV13.137.3)
(1161) mó = ¡æ̀ mò̀gén nè-đú zù má dzà qèj.
person = PL:GEN old.man DOWN-afraid very EPIST
'That old man will have been frightened.' (CV22.24)
(1162) dò-zá zìn wéy mà dzà qèj.

TO.SP-carry can CUST.EXCL EPIST
Y: ‘(We) will probably be able to carry (it).’ (CV21.451)
zìn = qéj élà.
can $=$ EXPT $\quad$ CERT
N : Of course we will be able (to carry it). (CV21.452)
The construction mə dzə qqj can occur with the speculative marker bă, as in (1163), but not with any other epistemic marking.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1163) má = pù dè } \underset{\text { c̀j tò = dàw mà dzà qèj bǎ }}{\text { à }} \\
& \text { mother }=\text { COM } \quad \text { speech } \quad \text { speak }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO EPIST SPEC } \\
& \text { dè̀èj tétóy pù zù pú = dàw má= } \begin{array}{l}
\text { à } \\
\text {. }
\end{array} \\
& \text { speech speak:RECP do very do=IPFV:N.EGO person = PL }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(Tshering Lhame) will maybe be talking with her mother, they are talking a lot.' (CV13.60)

### 8.5 Modal verbs and discourse

Palmer (2001:58) notes that modal verbs often play an important role in discourse, especially in the interactions of speech participants. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has two modal auxiliaries, $q^{h} \check{u}$ 'need' (§7.9.9) and wêt 'be able' (§7.9.2), that have developed important discourse functions. ${ }^{366}$ In their discourse functions, they always take on the tone of the preceding element. They are used as customary evidentials, evidential

[^157]strategies that mark customs that have been around for a long time. They thus denote knowledge that is firmly embedded in the speaker's consciousness. Their use can be opposed to the use of the non-egophoric imperfective marker $=d a_{W}$ (88.3.2) which expresses new information. An example is given in (1164).
(1164) dàbǔ, 孔èpù kh tá géygòy = ұònnì = bù dàbǔ gwěy then past time:GEN this old.person=PL:AGT = TOP then horse

| tiôn | tiôn | pù | dæ̀dǽ | né-dì | fià ţàbù... |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| one:CLF:thing | one:CLF:thing | do | horizontal | DOWN-throw | LINK | because |

(( $q^{\text {héni̊̀ }} \quad$ sèqwèj $=$ góy nè-gě tçàbù, mèqú nè-tçí wèn))
mouth stick $=$ INS DOWN-pry because oil DOWN-pour CUST.EXCL
gwèn $=$ nóy $\quad$ ì $=((b i ̀)) \quad$ mèqú $\quad\left(\left(t^{\text {h}}{ }^{\text {è }}-\right)\right)$ kì $\quad$ wên.
horse $=$ COORD $\quad$ mule $=$ DAT oil $\quad$ FR.SP-give.drink CUST.EXCL
'The old people of the past gave horses and mules oil to drink by throwing the horses down horizontally one by one, and prying (their) mouths open with a stick to pour down the oil.' (PC02.7)
púpù zèpù $=$ bù gwèn méqù kì $\quad k^{\text {hì }}=$ là dæ̀ $\quad$ æ̀ this.year last.year $=$ TOP horse oil give.drink time=also horizontal dí $\quad q^{\text {hù }}=$ là $\quad$ mà $=$ dáw, $\quad$ dàbǔ è ${ }^{\text {ª̌ }}$ zàzé tì throw need $=$ also NEG $=I P F V$ :N.EGO then a.little easy one $\mathrm{pù}=$ dàw.
do = IPFV:N.EGO
'In recent years, when giving horses oil to drink, there is no need to throw (them) horizontally, so it is a little bit easier.' (PC02.8)

The speaker is telling about the custom of feeding mules oil in winter. In the first seven lines of the narration from which (1164) is taken, the storyteller uses the customary wen, as can be seen in the first line in (1164) which is the seventh line in the narration. In line eight of the narration, the second line in (1164), the storyteller switches to using the imperfective marker $=d a w$. He does this since a new practice of using a flail head to keep horses in check (without having to throw them down) has only become popular in recent years; thus, wen cannot be used, since it is not a practice that has always been done.

The customary markers wen and $q^{h} u$ have acquired additional meanings that are closely tied to the interaction between speech participants and assumed knowledge. $q^{h} u$ has acquired an additional meaning of inclusive knowledge. It is used at the end of statements or questions to indicate that speakers expect addressees to know the information they are talking about. wey on the other hand marks exclusive
knowledge. ${ }^{667}$ It is used at the end of statements or questions to indicate that speakers expect addressees not to know the information they are talking about. ${ }^{368}$ Both mark customary information that is generally known.

In example (1165) the use of $q^{h} u$ is illustrated. The inclusive knowledge function is highlighted by the use of the inclusive pronoun in.f́ : the speaker includes everybody in the group of addressees, and thus it is expected that he use $q^{h} u$. But it is possible to use wen instead, for example when the speaker is an adult talking to a child who, although included in the group of people that calls Nínglàng 'Lugu', does not know this custom yet.

```
(1165) ì\eta = {追= bù lì\etalæ̀\eta= bí= bú lúgù tçà quỳ
    1:INCL = PL = TOP Nínglàng = DAT = TOP Lugu say CUST.INCL
    `We call Nínglàng 'Lugu' (..)' (CV01.19)
```

The use of wen is illustrated in (1166). This is a similar example to (1165), but here the speaker is talking to an outsider and does not expect that person to know the information. This is also highlighted by the use of the exclusive pronoun $\hat{e} . f($. However, the use of inclusive versus exclusive pronouns is not tied to the use of $q^{h} u$ versus wen. $q^{h} u$ could be used in this example when the speaker assumes that the addressee, although being an outsider and not included in the group denoted by $\hat{e_{r} .} \boldsymbol{\rho}$, knows this information.

```
(1166) é = {\grave{ = bù hòn-pú tcà wè\eta}
1:EXCL \(=\) PL \(=\) TOP in-under say CUST.EXCL
```

'We call that 'inwards' (...)' (PC04w.1.7)
Two further examples with $q^{h} u$ and wen are given in (1167) and (1168). The markers could be used interchangeably in both examples, the only difference being the assumption of the speaker in regards to the addressee's knowledge.

[^158](1167) dzàpú $=$ дる́ $\quad$ dú $=$ wù nè-(kì)((kû)), jǎw tí-qhú tḉ
bucket $=$ PL $\quad$ back $=$ in DOWN-(pack) $(($ carry $))$ again up-on water
kú ¢ə̀ $q^{\text {hù }}$
carry go CUST.INCL
'(In the past) (a lot of people) carried buckets on (their) backs, and would go upwards to carry water on (their) backs.' (CV21.307.2)
(1168) mǽy ! •óy ts ${ }^{\text {há }} \quad$ khí $=$ bù qàqà pú
hair peel be.finished time $=$ TOP group do
tsàzǒ tión tión pù théj wêy
fattened.pig one:ClF:thing one:cle:thing do Ch:lift cust.EXCL
qè kwì-má = Łóy = bù tè-tç ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ wì = bí = là tá-zú
strength EXIST.IN-NMLZ $=$ PL:AGT $=$ TOP $\quad$ one-CLF: $:$ ide $=$ on $=$ also UP-lift wèn,
CUST.EXCL
qě mǎ = kwì-mə̀ $=$ ¢ $\grave{\text { è }}=$ bù zù $t^{\text {thón mǎ wèn. }}$
strength NEG $=$ EXIST.IN-NMLZ $=$ PL $=$ TOP lift can:N.EGO NEG CUST.EXCL
'When the hair has been scraped off, (the people) will together lift the fattened pigs one by one, the ones who have the strength will lift one side, the ones who don't have the strength will not be able to lift (one side).'
(CL01ed.18)
Both markers can also be used in questions. Example (1169) can be a question addressed to others or to self. When addressed to oneself, the use of $q^{h} u$ indicates that the speaker knows the answer, but it currently escaped him. When addressed to others, the speaker expects the addressees to know the answer. The use of wey in (1170) implies that the speaker does not know the information and is neutral in regards to whether or not the addressee knows the information.

```
(1169) tá= gá mí\eta tçà quù?
    this=DEF what say CUST.INCL
    'What is this called again?' (CV01.19:EL)
```

(1170) tá = gá mín tçà wèn?
this = DEF what say CUST.EXCL
'What is this called?' (CV01.19:EL)

It is also possible to add a particle son to these sentences, as in (1171) and (1172). Both indicate that the speaker knows the information he is asking for, but it temporarily escaped him. Example (1172), using $q^{h} u$, is a little bit more polite. The particle sol
does not appear in the natural corpus, but only appeared during elicitation. More research is needed into its function.

| (1171) tá $=$ gá | míy ţ̧̀ wèn | sòy? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this $=$ DEF | what say CUST.EXCL | ESC |

'What is this called again?' (EL:W-C35.6)

| (1172) tá $=$ gá | míy ţà qù | sòn? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this $=$ DEF | what say CUST.INCL | ESC |

'What is this called again?' (EL:W-C35.5)

### 8.6 Nominalization and discourse

It has been shown that Pǔmǐ uses nominalization for lexical derivation (§5.2), as well as a means to form attributive relative clauses (§5.3.2). However, independent main clauses can also be nominalized. Apart from a clearly embedded clausal nominalization construction involving the equational copula (§7.5), Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has four nominalization constructions that raise the question of embedding. In these four constructions, the nominalizer is always followed by another form, that is, in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ there are no independent clauses that end in just the nominalizer.
'Non-embedded' (Matisoff 1972) or 'stand-alone’ or 'free-standing' (Watters 2008) nominalization has been described for many other Tibeto-Burman languages (Noonan 1997, Bickel 1999b, Hargreaves 2005, Genetti 2011), and it would therefore not be surprising to find similar non-embedded nominalization constructions in Pǔmǐ. In the following sections I will first describe the different nominalizations and their functions and then discuss the question whether Pǔmǐ has non-embedded nominalization or not. Main clause nominalization is used for various discourse purposes, such as an evidential strategy and epistemic authority, agreement with previous speaker, and negotiating epistemic rights. In her work on Korean, Kim (2011) shows that the choice of evidential markers employed by speakers of Korean depends on epistemic authority and is used to negotiate epistemic rights. Thus evidential markers can be used to claim or downgrade epistemic rights: speakers will assess the epistemic rights of other speakers and chose their evidentials accordingly. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ clausal nominalization is one of the instruments used for negotiating epistemic rights. Speech-participant interaction and conversational analysis has not been explored in Pǔmǐ before, but with the amount of conversational data recorded for the present study, it is an area that shows great promise for future research. Due to the limitations of this present study and the lack of background in conversational analysis, I will only present some first impressions here. Areas of future investigation are the role of evidentials, epistemic constructions, epistemic markers and attitude markers in downgrading or claiming epistemic rights and turn-taking.

### 8.6.1 V тә dzə

The nominalization construction mo dza (a complement-taking predicate with a nominalized clause as the complement and the equational copula $d z \hat{a}$ 'to be' as the predicate) is an evidential strategy that marks declarative or gnomic ${ }^{369}$ statements, 'matter-of-fact' information that the speaker has known for a long time and is generally known to people. LaPolla with Huáng (2003:207) also mentions a construction with nominalization and a copula as an evidential strategy for Qiāng (for information that has been known for some time and expresses strong certainty). In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, the nominalization construction mə dzo is actually neutral in terms of evidence: instead of expressing source of information, it implies that the information is so well established that no source is needed. In addition to its use as an evidential strategy, it marks the epistemic authority of the speaker over the information, who presents it as something that cannot be challenged:

| (1173) búbúlì | sèjì-swǐ | dzó = qèj | mà dzà. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bubuli | Ch:eleven-Ch:year.old | be $=$ EXPT | GNOMIC |

'Bubuli will be eleven.' (CV12.9)
(1174) bù̀ly̌̌ tcírà dzá zín mà= dáw má dzà.
kidney some.people eat can NEG=IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC
'There are some people that can not eat kidneys.' (CV17.20)
Even though a nominalized clause indicates a statement that cannot be challenged, a speaker can invite an addressee to agree with him by using the agreement marker ôsen (§8.8.2.6):

'At those times, if (you) had done a bit of study in your times, it is a bit easier (to find work), right?' (CV12.39)

In (1176) the gnomic statement is embedded as hearsay, which indicates that the current speaker is not the one with epistemic authority, but the original speaker who told her was.

[^159]| (1176) tá | níéláw $=$ bì | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hǐn }}$ | zù | má dzà | tçàw. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this | eye= DAT | beneficial | very | GNOMIC | HSY |

'It is said that this (chrysanthemum) is very good for the eyes.' (CV14.74.1)
In narratives, this type of nominalization is often used for background comments of the narrator that are not part of the story line. This ties in with the position of authority that the narrator has. ${ }^{370}$ For example, in the story of the louse and the flea, the narrator states in conclusion:


```
    then (flea =GEN) flea time=TOP then black FR.SP-become
    mà dzà tcàw.
    GNOMIC HSY
```

'That's when flea became black, it is said.' (KZ01.10)
Note that here as well as in example (1178), the concluding statement of the Deluge story, the narrator defers the final authority for these truths to the original people who passed down these stories, as can be seen in the use of the hearsay marker tcaw.
(1178) də̀bǔ tà= gǒynì tàḉ làľ́j mádìdzá=là tà = gǒy kh̀̀-tì má dzà
then this = PL:AGT now seed all=also 3sG=AGT out-put GNOMIC
tcàw.
HSY
'So all the seeds (that we have) now are the ones she left, it is said.' (TC02.82)
Example (1179) is the narrator's comment in the middle of a story where the main characters are instructed to collect the carpets that their wives have woven.

[^160]```
(1179) दèpù-kh'&́{
    má ((dzâ)).
    GNOMIC
'The carpet that was woven in the past, was normally woven by women.' (TC09.17)
```

These examples are background statements that are not part of the story line. In the main story line no nominalization is used, but in order to show that this is not part of the story line, the nominalization device is used. ${ }^{371}$

Kham (Watters 2008) makes a sharp distinction between embedded and non-embedded 'stand-alone' nominalization. The former is used for strong assertions that cannot be questioned, while the latter is used for backgrounding information. Watters (2008:34) also mentions that in several Kiranti languages stand-alone nominalizations can have both (assertion and backgrounding) functions and that in Athpare and Belhare, languages with a zero equational copula, no distinction is made. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the equational copula is required for the identificational construction (§7.5) and it could be argued that in line with that the copula is also required for the nominalization construction that carries the same functions as non-embedded nominalization in Athpare and Belhare. Thus the two (assertion and backgrounding) functions that are clearly distinguished in Kham and that are expressed by non-embedded nominalization in the other above-mentioned languages are expressed by embedded nominalization in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ.

Speakers can also use nominalization when talking about their own situation. This is done to downplay personal involvement. Thus rather than portraying an action as a personal experience, the speaker represents it as a general situation. Nominalization then comes instead of normal egophoric marking. This is shown in (1180), where mo $d z o$ is used instead of the perfective egophoric marker $=s e \eta$. The use of the egophoric particle $=s e \eta$ is grammatically possible. This example is taken from a story where three brothers look for a wife by shooting their crossbows. They will take a wife from the household their arrows hit. The youngest son's arrow hits a toad. The toad asks him what the matter is and the youngest son answers with (1180). By using mo dzo he downplays his involvement in the action in order to avoid the responsibility of having to marry it.

[^161]
è-tsè̀," tçwà = sì tçàw.
IN-hit say:PFV:N.EGO $=$ INF HSY
'While I have come looking for a wife, (I) hit your front (instead)," (he) said, it is said.' (TC09.17)

In (1181) the implication of using a nominalization construction is that the speaker should go and feed the pigs instead of talking by the fire.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1181) t } 6^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wæ̀-t } \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\text { lá } & \text { mí-t } \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} & \text { mà dzà. } \\
\text { pig-food }=\text { also } & \text { NEG:PFv-feed } & \text { GNOMIC }
\end{array}
$$

'(I) haven't even fed the pigs (...)' (CV03.1.2)
Example (1182) talks about times in the past when there was nothing to eat. Downplaying their involvement by using a nominalization indicates that it was not the speakers' intention or volition to live through those days, but it was simply the situation.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1182) } \text { èmá }=\text { } & \text { ł̀̀ }=\text { bù } & \text { ná-má } & \text { nè-kù } \\
\text { aunt }=\text { PL }=\text { TOP } & \text { thus-NMLZ } & \text { DOWn-Ch:live.through } & \text { GNOMIC }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) (we) aunties have lived through that.' (CV03.14)
There is one example in the corpus that displays the first person egophoric form of the copula, as in (1183).

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
\text { (1183) sènt } \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{h}}=\text { là } & \text { dzá } & \text { mà }=\text { dóy = má } & \text { dìy } & \text { tç̀ } \\
\text { breakfast }=\text { also } & \text { eat } & \text { NEG }=\text { IPFV:EGO:1SG }=\text { NMLZ } & \text { be:EGO:1 } & \text { say }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) he said he was not eating breakfast, (...)' (CV07.74.5)
In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, interrogatives that use a nominalized predicate express that the speaker enquires about a general situation, as in (1184) and (1185). The answer in (1185) also uses nominalization in the second clause (and visual evidence in the first clause).

| (1184) gú $=$ gá | kí | diòy | mà dzà? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| be.old $=$ DEF | where | EXIST.AT | GNOMIC |

'Where is the old (school)?' (CV12.53)
$\begin{array}{rllll}\text { (1185) jæ̀nmáwlì } & \text { thúbì }=\text { là } & \text { dzóy } & \text { má dzà } & \text { â? } \\ \text { Ch:Yang.Maoning } & \text { Walapian=also } & \text { sit } & \text { GNOMIC } & \text { CONF }\end{array}$
P: ‘Did Yang Maoning also stay at Walapian?’ (CV12.65)

| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {húbì }}$ | dzôn, képá | tçà--̇ǽy | dzóy | mà dzà | fiàw, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walapian | sit very.long | how-long | sit | GNOMIC | WARN |
| jæ̀\máwlì |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ch:Yang.M | Maoning |  |  |  |  |

N : 'Yang Maoning stayed in Walapian for such a long time.' (CV12.66)
Hargreaves (2005:19) states that in Kathmandu Newar, '[i]n questions with "background" or "presupposed" information, [nominalized clauses] function as being less "interrogatory" (hence polite or merely phatic) than questions with finite verb forms'. And Watters (2008:36) notes that in Kham non-nominalized interrogatives imply 'personal investment' and the 'right to knowledge' and thus can be inappropriate in certain social relations between speaker and addressee. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, nominalization does not seem to have this polite function. but it does indicate that a speaker has some background information relating to the question. This can be seen in examples (1186-1188). In (1186) the speaker asked his mother whether the place she just talked about was where she went. He had noticed that she was gone for a long time, and she just told him she had visited a friend. Expressing (1186) in a nominalized form ties it to the overall situation and indicates that the speaker is thinking 'No wonder you were gone for so long'.

```
(1186) nǐn, \grave{-qhù k}\mp@subsup{\textrm{k}}{}{\mathrm{ h}}-¢\emptyset\grave{ ( mà dzà â?}
    2SG that-on OUT-go GNOMIC CONF
```

'You went up there?' (CV14.213)
Example (1187) is the normal way to ask somebody where they are from. It implies the overall situation of living, not just the current position of a speaker. (1187) was asked about the researcher who was living in the village at the time.

```
(1187) kí dzò\eta mà dzà, \grave{-dzá...?}
    where sit GNOMIC this-location:GEN
    'Where is (your friend) from?' (CV14.3.1)
```

Example (1188) can be asked when somebody comes in the door all wet, and the speaker assumes that there is a reason why that is the case.
(1188) téj, t $\grave{j} j, ~ k i ́ ~ ఢ \grave{~ m a ̀ ~ d z a ̀ ? ~}$

INTJ INTJ where go GNOMIC
'Wow, wow! Where did (you) go?' (CV21.114.1)
Nominalization in interrogatives can also be used in the same way as in declaratives: in questions about general situations, as in (1189), and when a speaker wants to present a fact that cannot be challenged, as can be seen in example (1190). A group of ladies is walking from one household to another during the neighbourly visits on New Year's

Day. One of them is holding the recorder with which they are recording their conversation for the present study. Speaker L has taken the lead and is holding the recorder. Speaker $N$ thereupon comments that it is because speaker $L$ wants to record her own stories that she has brought the recorder. In her question, speaker $L$ uses nominalization to present the situation as a general truth that cannot be challenged by the others. Speaker N then repeats the question, adding $d \not z^{\prime} \eta^{j} \hat{x}$ 'really' to indicate that she is amused at the suggestion.


```
    still 3-household:GEN mother = DEF EXIST.AN GNOMIC CONF
```

'So his mother is still alive?' (CV14.26)

```
(1190) tá = gó = dì jǎw zènə̀ lí= sú hì hà
    this \(=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{ADD} . \mathrm{FOC}\) again story tell \(=\) VOL:SG think LINK
    nè- \(\mathrm{t}^{\text {h}}\) éj dò-z̨wá = sì, hà.....
    DOWN-Ch:lift TO.SP-bring:PFV:N.EGO = INF INTJ
```

    N : '(You!) Since (you) are thinking of telling stories, you brought this one
    ( = the recorder), hahaha!' (CV21.422)
    nì \(=\) góy \(\quad\) dò-zá \(\quad\) k \(\grave{j} j=\) mò \(\quad\) mǎ \(=\) dzə̀ \(\quad \hat{a}\) ?
    \(2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}\) TO.SP-carry let \(=\) NMLZ NEG \(=\) be CONF
    L: 'Wasn't it you who let (me) carry it?!' (CV21.423)

| nìn = ¢óŋ | dò- zá | k ¢ $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{m}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | mǎ = dż̀ | â, | dzín |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG $=$ PL:AGT | TO.SP-carry | let = NMLZ | NEG = be | CONF | really |

N : 'Wasn’t it y'all who let (me) carry it, really!' (CV21.424)
The nominalization construction $m ə d z \rho$ is the only nominalization construction that can be followed by various evidential and attitude markers. The nominalization constructions $V$ mə $V h a(\S 8.6 .2)$ and $m ə d z a(\S 8.6 .4)$ contain the correct knowledge attitude marker $h a$ (§8.8.1.4) and are not followed by any other markers; the constructions modzi (§8.6.3), and mo ta (§8.6.5) do not clearly contain an attitude marker, but always occur clause-finally and seem to function more like attitude markers (§8.8).

### 8.6.2 V тә V Ћa

The $V m ə \quad V$ ha nominalization construction is a type of nominalization that reduplicates the last syllable of a predicate, whether it be part of the verb, as in (1191) and (1192), a particle that follows the main verb, as in (1193) and (1194), or an epistemic construction, as in (1195). The construction is followed by what seems to be the correct knowledge marker fa (§8.8.1.4), which sometimes fuses with the preceding
reduplicated form, as in (1196) where the form $d a$ is a fusion of the imperfective marker $q a w$ and $\kappa a$.

```
(1191)thè-dzú fià, dàbǔ qán={\grave{ tó-ljólò c̀ kèj \partiaĺ-pù}
    FR.SP-make LINK then neck=PL UP-gaze:COLL let that-under
    è-bóydzù má dzù fà.
    IN-cover NMLZ.CONSTR
```

'(You) made (it) and, letting (their)( necks stick out together, (you) covered (them).' (CV09.120)
 eye-CLF:single out-dig FR.SP-feed time=TOP INTJ bitter nMLz.CONSTR ţwà.
say:PFV.N.EGO
'When (Hare) dug out one eye and fed it (to Bear), (he) said, "Ai! it is extremely bitter!" ' (TC06.26)
(1193) qémà dzà = dàw mà dàw fià

Tibetan be = IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
'(Xukisa and Likisa and those places) are also Tibetan.' (CV13.93.3)
(1194) $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ว̀-cə̀ $=$ séy $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù, èmá $=$ gòn $\quad$ è- $\mathrm{p}^{h i ́ g ~}=$ sèn mà sèy fià. OUT-go $=$ PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP $\quad$ aunt $=$ AGT $\quad$ IN-flee $=$ PFV:EGO NMLZ.CONSTR 'When (we) went outside (to look), aunt fled inside (again).' (CV09.35)

```
(1195)nìy = gó\eta thè-tcí\eta pá łæ̀ n\partial̀nì mà nì fà.
    2SG=AGT FR.SP-see do:PFV:N.EGO seems NMLZ.CONSTR
    '(...) it seems (to me) that you saw it.' (CV09.39.2)
```

Four initial observations on its function can be noted. First of all, the construction seems to be used when both speaker and addressee know the information. In (1196) the speakers are talking about general events that everybody knows. In (1197) two speakers are recalling a story from when they were little and encountered a snake together. Examples (1191), (1193) and (1194) above also convey this meaning of shared information.


[^162]|  | pǐy $=$ wù $=$ là | q ${ }^{\text {hò-qú }}$ | ¢ə́ = dà ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | mo વà. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| icken $=$ PL | forest $=$ in $=$ also | OUT-lay.egg | $\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{IPFV}: \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{EGO}$ | NMLZ.CONSTR |

S: 'The chickens normally go to the forest to lay eggs.' (CV04.69)

```
(1197) tcí\etamíy = bù tó\eta Cá = sèy mə̀ sè\eta fà.
    house =TOP speak go=PFV.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
```

'When I got home, I told (the people there).' (CV22.46.4)
Example (1198) is interesting in that it shows a second person statement with the egophoric marker $=q u$ instead of the expected non-egophoric $=d a w$ (§8.3.2). My main consultant said that when the nominalization construction is not present $=d u$
 t $69=d a w$. This ties in with the pragmatics of shared knowledge: the egophoric marker $=q u$ can be used since the speaker has the same knowledge about the situation as the addressee.


```
later time \(=\mathrm{TOP}\) 1SG monk do=vOL:SG say=IPFV:EGO:2SG NMLZ.CONSTR
```

'Later you said, "I want to be a monk." ' (CV11.16)
Secondly, the construction is used to make an utterance more gentle and less direct. An example can be seen in (1195) above. In this example, a previous speaker has just claimed to have auditory evidence for an action; the current speaker now politely protests that the addressee rather had visual evidence for the action. If the sentence had finished with a plain $f_{æ}$ nəni instead of the nominalization, the statement would have been very direct. Another example that expresses politeness is shown in (1199). Here the speaker gently disagrees with one of the other speakers who claims that an action was done the year before.

```
(1199) दépù mǎ = dzà, púpù dzà mò dzà.
last.year NEG=be this.year be NMLZ.CONSTR
```

'It wasn't last year, it was this year.' (CV14.130)
Thirdly, it can have a counter-expectational sense. Example (1192) above shows Bear who is being tricked by Hare into eating his eyes on the assumption that they will be deliciously sweet. Example (1200) can be said when one orders a beef dish and when it is served it looks like pork, and example (1201) can be said when something is pricier than expected.
(1200) tç ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Wæ̀-sź dzá mə̀ dzà
pig-meat be NMLZ.CONSTR
'Oh, it's pork.' (EL:W-C1.9)

```
(1201) phù-t\varepsilońj zù = dáw mà dà
    price-big very = IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
    'It is very expensive!' (TC06.26EL)
```

Fourthly, it seems to be used when a speaker realizes something and additionally wants to pass on that realization to others. (1202) is the concluding statement of a discussion in which the current speaker is trying to work out how the addressee made his way back home. After everything has been explained very clearly, she remarks:
(1202) pícì mènæ̀y = nóy ní=dzæ̀n pèj= nón
last.night afternoon $=$ only $\quad$ LOG $=$ DU $\quad$ older.sibling $=$ COORD

'(...) only last night the two of them, older and younger brother came back together.' (CV02.35.2)

The situation is now clear in her mind and she wants to express that the situation is now totally clear to everybody.

An additional example that should be mentioned does not clearly tie in with any of the above-mentioned examples. In (1203) the use of the nominalization construction implies that the speaker wards off the responsibility of some action: he is afraid A will hold him responsible for Phintshu's action. The neutral answer would be $p^{h}$ igts ${ }^{h} u=g o \eta$ $q^{h} \partial-d z w \hat{\imath}$ 'Phintshu ate it’.
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { (1203) tá } & \text { tcídù } & \text { híy = gòy } & \text { qh̀̀-dzwâ? } \\ \text { this } & \text { orange } & \text { who = AGT } & \text { OUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO }\end{array}$
A: 'Who ate that orange?'
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{p}^{\text {híntst }} \text { h́ }=\text { gòn } & \mathrm{q}^{\text {hà-dzwá }} & \text { mà dzwà fà } \\ \mathrm{T}: \text { Phun.tshogs = AGT } & \text { out-eat:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { NMLZ.CONSTR }\end{array}$
B: 'Phintshu ate it.' (But it is his responsibility, not mine) (CV06.12EL)

### 8.6.3 V тә dzi

The nominalization mo dzi is used to make a claim to epistemic authority and to express agreement with the statement of a previous speaker: 'I know that as well, I agree with what you just said'. The pragmatic core is that 'self' knows the information and agrees with 'other' based on that (this can be nicely compared to the construction mə dza, see §8.6.4). It can follow a (partial) repetition of the utterance of the previous speaker, as in (1204), and it often occurs with the stative verb dzing 'to be true', as in (1205) and (1206). In (1205) the speaker joins in with what Grandmother Lhamtsho has just been saying about their extended household.

| (1204) ádzà̀ | tá | $=\mathrm{bú}$ | ní $=\mathrm{g}$ æ̀ | $\mathrm{n}^{j} \mathfrak{æ}=$ wù | cá | zù | má dzà |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| that-location:GEN | this | $1 \mathrm{SG}=$ TOP | LOG $=$ GEN | eye $=$ in | go | very | GNOMIC | tçàw.

say:IPFV:N.EGO
G : '(He) said that he is very satisfied ${ }^{373}$ with me.' (CV21.278.2)
ఢ̧́ zù = qćj má dzì, ájòn, lú thè-bé hòn-dzí
go very $=$ EXPT NMLZ.CON INTJ work FR.SP-develop in-location
Y : '(He) will be very satisfied, oh, working over there up the valley (...)'
(CV21.279)


L: ‘(...) so at New Year it will be good to sit around a bit longer, isn't it?'
Hahaha! (CV21.33)
džín${ }^{\mathrm{j}} \hat{\mathcal{X}}, \quad$ ájù džíg má dzì.
really INTJ true NMLZ.CON
B: ‘Really! Ojo, (what you are saying) is really true!’ (CV21.34.1)


The use of $m ə d z i$ can simply mark agreement with the previous speaker, but can also be used as a turn-taking device used by a speaker to indicate that she has the same knowledge (epistemic authority) as the previous speaker and wants to take a turn at communicating this knowledge as well. This turn-taking use is illustrated in (1207). One of the speakers has been telling about an old man who visited a few days previous to the conversation, and the speaker in (1207) wants to take a turn at telling the rest of the story. She agrees with the previous speaker and then moves on to tell what happened when the old man left their household.

[^163]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1207) ébàw, mà = dǽ }=\text { gá tçǔs̀̀ qóyqòymámá = gæ̀ ná tí } \\
& \text { INTJ } \quad \text { NEG }=\text { resemble }=\text { GEN } \text { Ch:merely extroverted }=\text { GEN thus one } \\
& \text { t } 6^{\text {hò }} \boldsymbol{y}=\text { sì } \quad \text { mà dzì. } \\
& \text { come:N.EGO = INF NMLZ.CON }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

'My oh my! (He) was an extraordinarily extroverted one.' (CV07.78.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LOG }=\text { GEN horse }=\text { DAT food UP-feed } \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{let}=\mathrm{PFV}: E G O
\end{aligned}
$$

'(He asked) whether (we) had fed his horse and (...)' (CV07.78.2)
Additionally, the use of mo dzi has a softening effect, expressing agreement with others in a gentle, moderate and not too straightforward way, as in (1208), where the first speaker is considered not so courteous in her behaviour towards others and the second person agrees in a gentle way. If the normal nominalization mo dzo had been used instead, it would have been very straightforward.

| $(1208){ }_{\text {e }}=$ bî..., | è = bí | mıá pù | mǎ = wèn | tù = lá | t¢̧̆ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{DAT}$ | $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{DAT}$ | person do | NEG $=$ be.able | anything $=$ also | say |
| mé = hà |  | w. |  |  |  |
| NEG:EMPH $=$ | ought sa | Y:IPFV:N.EGO |  |  |  |

L: '(My husband) said to me, "(You) can't behave like a person, don't say anything at all." (CV14.138)
dzínỉ́ dzín má dzì.
really true nMLZ.CON
Y: ‘Really, that's true!’ (CV14.139)

### 8.6.4 V тә dza

The nominalization mə dza is used when speakers know or imply that the addressee knows the information that is being shared. The pragmatic core is that 'other' knows the information and 'self' agrees with 'other' based on that (this can be nicely compared to the construction mə dzi, see §8.6.3). Thus the use of $m ə d z a$ can sometimes carry polite overtones, as in the situation where speakers tell an addressee how something should be done. If speakers use the general nominalization mo $d z a$, the utterance is very straight and rather curt, but if they use mo $d z a$, they are implying that the addressee knows about it and is not stupid. This gives face to the addressee, and thus makes it more polite. The form [dza] seems to be a fusion of the equational copula $d z \hat{\hat{s}}$ and the correct knowledge marker ha (§8.8.1.4).

An example expressing the shared information between the speaker and the addressees is given in (1209). The speaker addressees people from her extended household who
all know this information. Example (1210) shows a polite reading: the speaker is telling the addressee how something needs to be done, without being to directive.

'Our household made cured meat of all the small ones, and only made pork back of some (big ones).' (CV21.146)

```
(1210) lóé kwí kèj= bù dàbǔ nè-phá lol
    tongue EXIST.IN let=TOP then DOWN-cut.in.half time tongue=also
    n\partiaĺ-phàd thè-dò\eta=qèjj bàw, \grave{d}-\textrm{dzí}=lá
    two-clF:single FR.SP-become=EXPT CONTR this-location=also cut.in.half
    dzà mà dzà.
    be NMLZ.INCL
    'If (you) let the tongue stay inside, when you cut (the head) in half, the
    tongue will also turn into two halves regrettably, (we) need to also cut (it) in
    half here.....'(CV18.46.2)
```

When a clause ends in the equational copula $d z \hat{\rho}$ and is nominalized, it is sometimes difficult to distinguish whether it is the mo dza nominalization or the $V m ə V h a$ nominalization (88.6.2), since both result in the surface structure $d z o ~ m o d z a$. Structurally, however, the nominalized clauses should be interpreted as [dzə mə dzə $f a]$ versus [dzo [mo $d z a$ ] ]. The two nominalizations show certain overlap (cf. the discussion of $V$ mo $V$ ha in §8.6.2): they can both express shared knowledge and render a polite reading, and they both seem to contain the correct knowledge marker $6 a$ (§8.8.1.4).

Another type of homophony is easier to differentiate. This homophony happens when the clause-final confirmative marker $\hat{a}$ ( $(8.8 .2 .2$ ) follows the general nominalization $m ə d z ə$ (§8.6.1) which in faster speech has a surface pronunciation [mə dza]. This is easy to differentiate from the nominalization $m ə d z a$, since the nominalization $m ə d z a$ does not appear in interrogative clauses. Thus, since example (1211) is a question, it is clear that the nominalization construction used is not mə dza, but mə dzə, followed by the question particle $\hat{a}$.

```
(1211) \partiaĺ-pù tà= ¡æ̀ \zetaá kéj = dáw mà dzà, á-pà
that-under this= PL:GEN cut let=IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.INCL that-under:GEN
tç̀̀ì = gá pû?
spring = GEN under
```

'Is it allowed to cut under there? Below the spring under there?' (CV04.60)

### 8.6.5 V тә ta

The nominalization $m ə t a \sim m ə t^{j} a^{374}$ indicates a sudden result of purposeful looking and alerts others to the fact. The origin of $t a$ is unclear at this point. ${ }^{375} \mathrm{mo} t a$ can be used when somebody has told you that at a certain place in the road you will find something and you suddenly see it:

```
(1212) âw, \grave{-dzí dzá mà tà}
    INTJ this-location be NMLz.ALERT
    `Oh, it's right here!' (CV24.15.2EL)
```

This is also illustrated in example (1213) where speaker L is unable to locate a certain piece of meat and speaker N points it out to her. Thereupon she is able to locate it and ends her utterance with mo ta.
(1213) sǒy sòy = bú dzó mà, tióy kí thè-zwà.
three three $=$ TOP be INFO one:CLF:thing where FR.SP-carry:PFV:N.EGO
L: 'Three (pieces of meat) is (right), where did (that) one piece go?'
(CV21.581)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ̀̀-tû, } & \text { ə̀-tû, } & \text { mæ̀ŋ-tú } & \text { tón } & \text { têj. } \\ \text { that-under } & \text { that-under } & \text { lower.end-under } & \text { one:CLF:thing } & \text { Exist.H }\end{array}$
N : 'On here, on here, there is one on the lower end (of the tripod).'
(CV21.582)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { fiǎw, } & \text { ว̀-tú } & \text { mæ̀y-tú } & \text { khá-twì }=\text { sì }^{\text {IN }} & \text { mà tà. } \\ \text { INTJ } & \text { this-under } & \text { lower.end-under } & \text { out-put:PFV:N.EGO }=\text { INF } & \text { NMLZ.ALERT }\end{array}$
L: 'Oh, (somebody) put it on the lower end.' (CV21.583)
In addition to realizing something, mo ta also implies that one wants to alert others to the fact. In example (1214) the conversation has been a discussion about where the

[^164]household originated from. It is said that two brothers separated many generations ago; one went to the lowlands and the other to the highlands. Throughout the discussion it became clear that the people of the current household are the descendants of the older brother, and the speaker in (1214) wants to share his preliminary conclusion with the others. Note that he is not totally sure of the epistemic truth of his statement and frames it as epistemic uncertainty using the construction si daw (§8.4.3).

'But the people of the lowland say that we are the older child's household, so we probably are the older brother's household.' (CV25.20)

A little bit later on in the same conversation after more discussion the same speaker repeats with more certainty this time:

```
(1215) pèj-bú dzà = dàw mà tà,
    older.sibling-household be= IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.ALERT
    pc̀j-bú dzò mà.
    older.sibling-household be INFO
```

'It's the older brother's household, it is the older brother's household.'
(CV25.27)
In the second clause he uses the informative attitude marker ma (§8.8.1.3) which usually marks that the speaker informs others strongly about a certain situation.

Depending on the context mo ta can carry overtones of haughtiness, for example when alerting someone to something they should know but do not: "You don't know this? It's like this..." or even "Don't you know it is this way?! I'm telling you...". Example (1216) has a speaker repeat somebody else's invitation to me (the researcher whom she assumes might not know enough Pǔmǐ yet to understand) and she wants to make totally clear that I get the gist of what the previous speaker has said:376

[^165]```
(1216) tçínmíy tá-jí tçà= dàw mà tjà, tá-còy,
    home UP-come:IMP:SG say=IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.ALERT UP-go:IMP:SG
    t\grave{-bǎ wù, ásæ̀?}
    3-household:GEN interior CONFIRM
```

'(She) is telling (you) to come up to (her) house, go up there, to their house, okay?' (CV21.28)

Example (1217) is a question about a word that is used in a libation ritual, and speaker N takes it as something that speaker P should know, since the ritual is often performed and people can usually recall the words from memory.

| (1217) $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ 白jíq́éjí | míy $=$ gæ̀ | jìsò | dzâ? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phajidraji | what $=$ GEN | Ch:meaning | be |

P: 'What is the meaning of 'Phajidraji'?' (CV24.32)

| $\mathrm{p}^{\text {héjí́déjí }=\text { bù }}$ | dàbǔ $(\ldots)$ | tà = gǎ | jìs | dzá | mà tà. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phajidraji $=$ TOP | then | this = GEN | Ch:meaning | be | NMLZ.ALERT |

N : 'As for 'Phajidraji', the meaning of this is (...) (don't you know?).'
(CV24.33)
In conclusion on the different nominalization structures introduced in this section, this excerpt from a conversation nicely shows the use of the different nominalization constructions in context:
$\begin{array}{rlllllll}\text { (1218) zépù } & \text { é ćáx } & \text { mà dzà, } & \text { é = dzà? } & \text { âw, zépù } & \text { mǎ = dzà. } \\ \text { last.year } & \text { 1SG cut } & \text { GNOMIC } & \text { Q }=\text { be } & \text { INTJ } & \text { last.year } & \text { NEG }=\text { be }\end{array}$
P: ‘Last year it was I who cut it, isn't it? Oh, not last year.' (CV14.107) (gnomic statement; self-correction)
(three lines left out)
zépù dzá mò dzì.
last.year be NMLZ.CON
Z: 'It was last year.' (CV14.111)
(agreement with the first utterance of speaker $P$ )
tçùbù tsáw = ¡ǽ pù dzà mà dzà.
earth.wall pound = PL:GEN year be NMLZ.INCL
S: 'It was the year of building the earth wall.' (CV14.112)
(polite disagreement, the year of building was not 'last year')
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { fúpù̀ } & \text { dzò }=\text { qèj, tcùbù } & \text { tsáw = ұǽ } & \text { pù, ásèy? } \\ \text { year.before.last } & \text { be = EXPT } & \text { earth.wall } & \text { pound=PL:GEN } & \text { year } & \text { AGR }\end{array}$
Y: 'It must have been the year before last, the year of building the earth wall, right?' (CV14.113)
(indefinite statement: = qej makes it epistemically less certain than dza by itself)

| nǒy | zépù | mǎ = dzà | â? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| so | last.year | NEG = be | CONF |

Z: 'So it wasn't last year?' (CV14.114)
mæ̂?
What
Y: 'What?' (CV14.115)
zépù dzò mò dzà.
last.year be NMLZ.INCL
L: 'It was last year.' (CV14.116)
(polite restatement)

year.before.last FR.SP-become GNOMIC
P: 'It is already two years ago.' (CV14.117)
(initial speaker's restatement phrased as a gnomic statement)
โ!úpù dzò, zépù dzə̀ tçàw tižj.
year.before.last be last.year be say:IPFV:N.EGO INTJ
S: 'It was the year before last. Look, (he) said it was last year.' (CV14.118)
(epistemically certain statement; the speaker makes fun of speaker Z)

| 7épù | té-kù | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò-nıí }}=$ sè̀ |  | mò sèn fià, |  | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ ìlì |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| last.year | one-ClF:year | OUT-rest $=$ PFV:EGO |  | NM | CONSTR | hare |
| wú $=\downarrow$ ¢ |  | pù | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ò-n}_{\text {-ní }}=$ sè̀ |  | mò |  |
| zodiac.cyc | $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{PL}: \mathrm{GEN}$ | year | OUT-rest $=$ PFV | :EGO | NMLZ | NSTR |

Y: 'Last year we rested one year. In the year of the Hare we rested.'
(CV14.119)
(realization that will influence the discussion, so speaker shares it)
(introduction of new conversation topic)

### 8.6.6 The question of embedding

A question that should be dealt with now is whether Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has non-embedded nominalization or not. As has been noted in §8.6.1, several functions that are expressed
by non-embedded nominalization constructions in other Tibeto-Burman languages are expressed by embedded nominalization in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. There are no examples in the corpus of clausal nominalizations that end in a nominalizer mo clause-finally, but all clausal nominalizations are followed by either the equational copula $d z \hat{o}$ (§8.6.1) or the forms $d z i$ (§8.6.3), $d z a$ (§8.6.4), or $t a(\S 8.6 .5)$. As suggested above, the form $d z a$ is a fusion of the copula and the correct knowledge marker.

The origin and status of the morpheme $d z i$, however, is not clear. Two analyses are possible. The first analysis is that $d z i$ is a fusion of something with the equational copula $d z \hat{0}$. This is a likely analysis, since the initial consonants are the same, and it is in line with the analysis of the form $d z a$. However, one would have to account for the final [i] by positing something like a morpheme $-i$ that merged with the copula to produce this form. A morpheme $-i$ has not been attested elsewhere in the language. If one analyses $d z i$ as a form of the equational copula, the nominalization construction $m ə d z i$ should be interpreted as embedded nominalization.

Another analysis is that the morpheme $d z i$ is unrelated to the equational copula $d z \hat{\hat{e}}$, and is rather an attitude particle. In that case, mo dzi should be analysed as a nonembedded nominalization. This would expain why this nominalization construction cannot be followed by other attitude particles (whereas the general nominalization construction modzo can be followed by attitude particles).

The form dzi occurs in one other environment outside of the nominalization construction: it follows the permissive/suggestive auxiliary ta (§7.9.7) and softens the force of the suggestion, as illustrated in (1219). Grammatically it could be left out.

```
(1219) nìy = fá t'úlátḉ bôy, \grave{ = q̌̌ y pù tswé\eta zá tà}
    2=PL Ch:tractor EXIST.POSS 1:EXCL=PL:GEN under pull come can
    dzì, \_û.
    be.CON pine.torch
```

'You have a tractor, (you) can come take pine torches down under our place.'
(CV14.250)
One could say that since it follows dzi auxiliary, it should rather be interpreted as an attitude particle. However, according to my main consultant the normal equational copula dzô is used in the Mùdǐqīng speech variety and his intuition is that $d z i$ is a copular form. Based on these facts, I am tending towards the analysis of $d z i$ as a copular form and its corresponding nominalization construction as an embedded nominalization.

The morpheme $t a$ in the nominalization construction mo ta cannot be interpreted as a form of the equational copula, but what the origin is, is not clear at this point. The
attitudinal situation marker $t a$ ( $£ 8.8 .1 .8$ ) is a dialectal form that is rarely used in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. Other forms such as the suggestive auxiliary tô (§7.9.7), the morpheme ta that appears in plural constructions (§10.7), or the adverb ta 'only' are homophonous, but do not show the palatalization alternation that $t a$ in $m ə t a \sim m ə t^{j} a$ shows. Thus it is difficult to establish whether ta should be taken as an attitude marker of some sort, in which the nominalization is non-embedded, or whether is is verbal, in which case the nominalization is embedded.

Thus a more definitive answer to the question whether Pǔmǐ has non-embedded nominalization awaits further research.

### 8.6.7 Double nominalizations

Double nominalizations occur in two possible orders, [mə dzə] [mə dzi] and [mə dzə] [ $m ə d z a$ ], as shown in (1220) and (1221). The first shows a gnomic statement ( $m ə ~ d z ə$ ) that has just been realized by the speaker ( = daw) who agrees with the previous speaker ( $m ə d z i$ ). Example (1221) is an equative clause with a nominalized constituent (nó-mə) that is presented as a gnomic statement ( $m ə d z \partial$ ) and indicates shared knowledge ( $m ə d z a$ ). The other nominalizations described in $\S 8.6$ do not co-occur.

| (1220) ná | tí | mǽๆ | [má dzà = dàw] | [mò dzì], | ásèy? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thus | one | name | GNOMIC = IPFV:N.EGO | NMLZ.CON | AGR |

'He was really called that, right?' (CV09.69)

|  | tá | dzó = qèj, hòn-dzá | nǒn | ${ }_{0}^{\text {jèè }}{ }^{\text {háa }}$ | [nว́-má] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cordyceps | only | be = EXPT in-location:GEN | so | earring | thus-NMLZ |  |  |

[mà dzà] [mà dzà].
GNOMIC NMLZ.INCL
'It was not only Cordyceps, but also over there (we) ate the 'one like an earring' ( = hazel flower).' (CV21.301)

### 8.7 Co-occurrence of post-verbal marking

This chapter has introduced the different non-egophoric/evidential, epistemic and nominalization constructions and shown their functions indicating involvement of the speaker, source of knowledge, degree of certainty about the truth of the proposition, inclusive versus exclusive knowledge, and speaker attitude. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows a complex layering of the different non-egophoric/evidential markers, epistemic constructions and nominalization constructions. The most elaborate example from the corpus is given in (1222). The different chunks are bracketed.

| (1222) nìj-bú | $\mathrm{q}^{\text {hǒn }}$ | $\underline{d w e ̀ ~}=[q$ ćj $=$ dà $w]$ | [sì dàw] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-household | life | break $=$ EXPT $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | EPIST:probably |
| [t¢̧̀ = dàw] |  | w] [mà tçàw fià]. |  |
| say $=$ IPFV:N.E | GO HS | NMLZ.CONSTR |  |

'(...) your household will probably soon die, (he) said, it is said.' (CV20.47)
This section will illustrate the different combinational possibilities that are attested in the corpus. Subsequent research might show additional combinations.

One of the problems in the combination of different markers is how to interpret the layers. As has already been shown for si $d a w(\$ 8.4 .3$ ) and mə $d z ə q \varepsilon j$ (§8.4.6) above, the combination of these markers forms a construction that is more than the added meaning of the separate parts: both si $\downarrow \alpha w$ and mo dzo qqj should be interpreted as one layer ( [si daw] / [mə dzə qgj]) instead of two layers ( [si] [qaw] / [mə $d z v][q \varepsilon j]) .{ }^{377}$ There is one other combination, $q \varepsilon j$ daw that appears in (1222), that could possibly be seen as a single construction.

The combination $q \varepsilon j \not d a w$ denotes that a speaker has current evidence (expressed by the non-egophoric imperfective marker = $d a w)$ of the initial stages of a development that will result in a future state (expressed by the expectational $=q \varepsilon j$ ). Depending on the action that is portrayed by the predicate, there will be more or less time between the time of speaking and the resulting end point of the action which can render the prospective meaning 'about to', as in (1223) and (1224). The use of $q \varepsilon j \not d a w$ is different from the use of $=q \varepsilon j$, as show in (1225), where no indication is given of current evidence, but only of expectation. In that example, $q \varepsilon j d a w$ could be used as well, since the speaker does have current evidence of the size of the addressee's feet. The use of $q \varepsilon j$ $\downarrow a w$ would denote that the development of the foot has already started, but the endpoint of it being big is still years away.

| (1223) lùn'æ̌ク python | tó- t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wá qèj dàw UP-pass PROSP | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\text { nòy, } \\ & \text { time = only } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { mè jì í }=q^{\text {hù }} & \text { jésèy }=\text { tì }=\text { gòynì, } \\ \text { tail }=\text { on } & \text { arrow }=\text { INDF = INS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fiǎw-ná | pú è-tiò̀w tá | pá = sì | tçàw. |
| this-thus | do in-touch one | do:PFV:N.EGO | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{INF}$ HS |

'When the python was about to pass by, (he) touched the tail a bit with an arrow like this, it is said.' (TC02.63)

[^166](1224) jǎw púqá fǐy fiǒy ná pú nè-dzóy fià púqá ná-tsà again shoe INTJ INTJ thus do DOWN-sit LINK shoe two-CLF:section dzù qèj dâw.
make PROSP
'Hey, hey, (your) shoe; when you sit like this, (your) shoe is about to break in two parts.' (CV13.48.2)

| (1225) thá cé foot be.big | zŭ, very | nǒnjæ̀ <br> so | káw <br> uncle(MB) | $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} i ́ n t s^{\mathrm{h}}}{ }^{\text {ú }}$ <br> T:Phun.tshogs | nà <br> thus | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}, \\ & \text { time }=\text { TOP } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| káw | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {híjits }}$ | gæ̀ | thá | nı̀ $=$ qc̀j. |  |  |
| uncle(MB) | T:Phun | tshogs $=$ | N foot | thus $=$ EXPT |  |  |

'(Your) feet are very big, when (you are) the same as Uncle Phintshu later, (your feet) will be like Uncle Phintshu's feet.' (CV01.41)

Based on the current data, the post-verbal constituent order is laid out in Table 8.10.
Table 8.10 Postverbal marking

| V | Customary marking | Evidential marking (aspect, modality) | Nominalization | Epistemic marking | Attitude marking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | welf (88.5) | (Vinfl) $\varnothing$ (88.3.1) | mo dza (88.6.1) | si daw | mo V |
|  | $q^{h} u(\S 8.5)$ | daw (88.3.2) | mo dzo daw | (88.4.3) |  |
|  |  | $q \varepsilon j(88.3 .3)$ | (§8.7) | so ti | (§8.6.2) |
|  |  | $q \varepsilon j$ daw (§8.7) | mo dzo si (§8.7) | (88.4.4) | mo dzi |
|  |  |  |  | mo dzo q¢j | (38.6.3) |
|  |  | si (88.3.1) |  | (88.4.6) | mo dza |
|  |  | tin (88.3.4) |  | $\downarrow^{\text { }}$ nəпі | (88.6.4) |
|  |  |  |  | (§8.4.5) | mo ta |
|  |  |  |  | cæ ${ }_{\text {ta }}$ | (§8.6.5) |
|  |  |  |  | (88.4.5) | several |
|  |  |  |  |  | markers |
|  |  |  |  |  | (88.8) |

The customary markers $q^{h} u$ and wen (§8.5) still occupy the auxiliary slot, in that wen can be followed by $=d a w$ and $=s i$, as in (1226) and (1227). Both can also be followed by nominalization and attitude markers, as in (1228), and epistemic marking as in (1162) above. The combination in (1227) is not often used: there is only one example in the corpus.
(1226) fǎ, dzíníǽ ə́-qhù khò-tì wèn=dàw. INTJ really that-on out-put CUST.EXCL=IPFV:N.EGO 'Oh, (you two households) really put it on top.' (CV21.571)
(1227) nǐ = bì = bù t tch léj wèn = sî.
spring $=$ DAT $=$ TOP $\quad$ crops sow $\quad$ CUST.EXCL $=I N F$ 'In spring we (start to) grow crops.' (CL03ed.4)
(1228) dàbǔ téćá ts hà̀ì fià $p^{\text {h}}$ ejídéjí tçà fià ná pú then T:bKra.shis T:Tshe.ring LiNK Phajidraji say LINK thus do tì-dz̧̀ tó-tĞ ${ }^{\text {hí }}$ qhù mà dzà mà, ěy!... up-location Up-feed CUST.INCL GNOMIC INFO INTJ 'Then, one says Zhaci Tshering 'Phajidraji' and one goes up (the geneology) like that.' (CV24.47.3)

The evidential markers $=q \varepsilon j$ and $=d a w$ and the construction $q \varepsilon j d a w$ can be followed by several epistemic constructions and attitude markers. See also examples (1143), (1147), (1149), (1159), (1163) and (1206) above.
(1229) jóntçíy = tù nỉ̉ thá cé = dàw sà tì

T:dByangs.cin = on 2SG:GEN foot be.big = IPFV:N.EGO EPIST:most.probably
$k^{h}$ ì?
trail
'Your feet are most probably bigger than Yongjin's?' (CV01.45)
(1230) $q^{\text {hètú }}$
pú $=$ dáw $\quad$ łæ̀ n ǹ̀ǹ̀.
certain.thing do $=$ IPFV:N.EGO seems
'It seems (to me) that (they) do certain things.' (CV21.578.2)

| nè $=$ gá | èkáw-lì | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hínts }}{ }^{\text {hú }}$ | dz z $=\mathrm{q}$ ¢́j | sì dàw. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| brain $=$ DEF | uncle(MB)-dim | T:Phun.tshogs | eat $=$ EXPT | EPIST:probably |

'Young Uncle Phintshu will probably eat the brain.' (CV18.97)
(1232) diǎw $q^{\text {hátçí }}$ è-žwén = qèj bàw.
again Kaji IN-block = EXPT CONTR
'(...) (they) will block (people) at Kaji (I'm afraid).' (CV14.255)
(1233) pì nín tsén pù cà qèj dàw sà tì, ásèn?
belly painful hit do go PROSP EPIST:most.probably AGR
'(He) will most probably get diarrhea, right?' (CV07.81.2)

The evidential markers $=s i(\S 8.3 .1)$ and $=t i n(§ 8.3 .4)$ can only be followed by the epistemic construction $\not \mathfrak{Z}^{æ}$ nəni (§8.4.5), as in (1234) and (1153) above, and different attitude markers and constructions, as in (1235) and (1236).


```
    uncle(MB)=GEN front again go:PFV:N.EGO = INF it.seems DOWN-do
    k
    OUT-put = PFV.EGO
    '(...) but in front of uncle (I) pretended that he had gone (...)' (CV04.24.2)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (1235) wútçí & \(\chi^{\prime}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}}\) æ̀ & Sćj \(=\) tì \({ }^{\text {g }}\) & bàw \\
\hline Ch:Wujin & first \(=\) just & go:PFV.N.EGO = AUD & CONT \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'(...) (I) heard Wujin leave first, (...)' (CV02.86)
```

| (1236) èkáw-lì | tá | cì | sćj=sì | mə̀ tà. |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| uncle(MB)-DIM | 3SG | lead | go:PFV.N.EGO = INF | NMLZ.ALERT |

'Young uncle went to pick (her) up.' (CV21.115)
The general nominalization construction mo $d z ə$ is interesting in that it can both precede and follow evidential markers. It can follow the evidential markers $=q \varepsilon j$ and $=d a w$ and the construction $q \varepsilon j d a w$, and it can be followed by the evidential markers $=q \varepsilon j,=q a w$, and $=s i$. The combination mə dzə qgj has been shown to be an epistemic construction (§8.4.6) and will not be treated here. The other combinations are illustrated below:
(1237) búbúlì
Bubuli
sàjì-swǐ
Ch:eleven-Ch:years.old

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { dzó = qèj } & \text { mə̀ dzò. } \\
\text { be = EXPT } & \text { GNOMIC }
\end{array}
$$

'Bubuli will be eleven.' (CV12.9)
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { (1238) ұwè } & \text { lí = dáw } & \text { mə̀ dzò } & \text { â? } \\ \text { yak } & \text { herd=IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { GNOMIC } & \text { CONF }\end{array}$
'(Their household) is herding yaks?' (CV14.237)

| (1239) jǎw again | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tá = } \mathfrak{\text { ł }}=\mathrm{bì} \\ & \text { this }=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{DAT} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { è }=\mathrm{t} \text { ¢ } \grave{æ}=\mathrm{bù}, \\ & \mathrm{Q}=\text { calculate }=\mathrm{TOP} \end{aligned}$ | tó $h^{\prime} \not{ }^{n t s}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ éj $=$ wù kè <br> Ch:Dongfeng.truck $=$ in afraid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tí | ¢ə́ = qèj = dàw | mə̀ dzò. |  |
| one | $\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{EXPT}=\mathrm{IPFV}: N$ | EGO GNOMIC |  |

'(...) if one calculates these ones (= tractors), a lot more will go in a Dongfeng truck.' (CV19.49)

The combination of the nominalization construction modzo (§8.6.1) and the current evidential $=d a w$ (§8.3.2) indicates that the gnomic situation has just recently been
discovered by the speaker: an instance of mirativity. (This is often followed by the attitude marker $k^{h}$ i, described in §8.8.1.12). This is illustrated in examples (1240) and (1241) where the speaker had not expected that situation (see also example (1049) above). In (1240), the speaker mentions that people from a certain village are taking a different route than in the past, since they recently moved. This is relatively new information that has not been incorporated into the knowledge system of the speaker. In example (1241) the speaker had been told that the addressee was in a certain place, but then he ran into her in a different place.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1240)ěy, zǔ mà = thòn } \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{1} \quad \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \grave{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{gón} \quad \text { è }-\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{u} \quad \text { fià } \\
& \text { INTJ lift NEG=can:N.EGO time head=INS IN-brace LINK } \\
& \text { fécè ఢé cé mà dzà = dàw kì } \\
& \text { packload pack.load go GNOMIC=IPFV:N.EGO TRAIL }
\end{aligned}
$$

'Yes, when (he) was not able to lift (he) used his head to lift and pack luggage, (...)' (CV01.9)

```
(1241) nǒy làmá = gòy nǐy hòn-pú zì tçà= dàw kì
    so Lhamu=AGT 2 SG in-under EXIST.AN say \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO time
    nǐy ̀̀-pú zí mà dzà = dàw.
    2sG this-under EXIST.AN GNOMIC = IPFV:N.EGO
```

'But Lhamu said you were over inwards, but you are actually here!' (PC04w.2.6)

The nominalization construction $\mathrm{mo} \mathrm{dzo}(\$ 8.6 .1)$ can also be followed by the inferential evidential $=s i$ (§8.3.1), but it is not clear how the resulting meaning differs from a plain nominalization construction. In the corpus there are only three examples, listed here for further research.

'As soon as the paddy rice has been planted, the sowing of crops is finished.' (CL03ed.15)

new.year-pine.torch $=$ TOP beginning $=$ on $=$ only pig-heart-pig-tongue $=$ COORD
tsákísźdzı̀ = nòy dò-zá tçàbù $\quad$ cá $=q^{\text {hú ú }} \quad$ mà dzà $=$ sì.
pork.back.limb $=$ COORD TO.SP-carry because go $=$ CUST.INCL GNOMIC $=\mathrm{INF}$
'One needs to go for the new year pine torches only at beginning of the month and carry the pig heart and tongue and the pork back slice and limbs.'
(CV18.54.2)
(1244)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { dzàk }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \not{ }^{\text {® }}=\text { bù } & \text { t } \varphi^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wí } & \text { zù } & \text { mó dzò }=\text { sì. } \\ \text { society }=\text { TOP } & \text { good } & \text { very } & \text { GNOMIC }=\mathrm{INF}\end{array}$
'(...) nowadays our society is very good.' (TC10.56)
The epistemic constructions si $q^{2}(\S 8.4 .3)$, sə ti (§8.4.4) and $m ə d z ə q \varepsilon j(\S 8.4 .6)$ are only followed by attitude markers and constructions, as in (1245) and (1246), and si $d a w$ can also be followed by mo ta (§8.6.5), as in (1247). The epistemic construction $\not \downarrow^{\nVdash}$ nəni (§8.4.5) is only followed by the mə $V$ fa construction (§8.6.2), as in (1195) above, and $\varsigma \mathscr{F}=\not \subset a(\S 8.4 .5)$ is not followed by anything else.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1:EXCL }=\mathrm{PL} \text { old. } \text { woman }=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{TOP} \text { again forty }=\text { COORD-three say }
\end{aligned}
$$

tséy sá tì kì
N.CONTR EPIST:most.probably TRAIL
'We old women most probably say that there are thirty-three (earth gods), (...)' (CV23.18.3)

| (1246) qà-pá | tá $=$ gá $=$ bù | nè-dzóy | mə̀ dzà qèj | bàw. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| down-under:GEN | this $=\mathrm{DEF}=$ TOP | DOWN-be.pierced | EPIST | CONTR |

'The one down there will probably have a leak.' (CV16.12.1)
(1247) ìn-bú
pèj-bú
dzà sì dàw
mò tà.
1:INCL-household older.sibling-household be EPIST:probably NMLZ.ALERT
'(...) so we probably are the older brother's household.' (CV25.20)
The attitude markers and attitudinal nominalization constructions can only be followed by the tag-question like attitude marker ôsen (§8.8.2.6).

### 8.8 Clause-final (attitude) markers

Attitude markers are clause-final particles that have scope over the whole sentence, rather than the predicate. They express the speaker's emotion or attitude about the proposition or towards the addressee, and some can express level of certainty as well. Similar markers can be found in other Tibeto-Burman languages as well. Post (2007:612) calls such markers 'highly pragmatically-oriented, often clause-final functional words'. Matisoff (1973:365,380) talks about final unrestricted particles, of which some function like punctuation marks. He equates the declarative with a full stop [.], the dubitative with suspension points [...], the interrogative with a question mark [?], the persuasive with an exclamation point plus question mark [!?], the quotative with quotation marks [" "] and the interjectory with an exclamation point [!] (1973:366) and notes that their 'only function is to convey a lively, vivid or ejaculatory flavor to the utterances in which they occur'.

Attitude markers do usually not co-occur in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. Exceptions are the marker non ( 88.8 .2 .1 ) which can be followed by $l^{j} \varepsilon j / l^{j} \mathcal{X}$ (§8.8.2.3) and $w \varepsilon j$ (§8.8.2.4), and the tag question marker $\hat{\text { osen }}$ ( 88.8 .2 .6 ) which can follow several other attitude markers. The marker nol developed from a coordination marker into a clause-final attitude marker through a process similar to insubordination (this is discussed in §10.5.3) and can therefore co-occur with the above-mentioned interrogative markers. The marker $\hat{a} s e \eta$ is functionally similar to the other attitude markers, but is structurally more like a tag question: it is often separated from the clause by a pause. The same is true for the confirmation marker $\hat{\rho} \boldsymbol{x}$ (§8.8.2.7), which however does not co-occur with other attitude markers.

The attitude markers will be presented in two parts: declarative attitude markers are dealt with in $\S 8.8 .1$ and interrogative attitude markers in §8.8.1.12. These labels are not to be taken as mood categories: the attitude markers do not really change the mood of the clause from declarative to interrogative, but rather occur with one-way statements (declarative attitude markers), or statements that need some kind of reaction from the addressee or express that some kind of question exists in the mind of the speaker that does not necessarily need an answer (interrogative attitude markers). Two residual markers are explored in §8.8.3.

Roughly half of the attitude particles are toneless. This means that they take on the tone of a preceding tone-bearing element. In reality, since they occur clause-finally and are often preceded by other post-verbal toneless elements, they often appear with a low surface level pitch. Additionally, when they follow a focused tone-bearing element, as in (1263), (1270) and (1272), tone from that element does not spread to the attitude marker, and the attitude marker appears in a low surface level pitch. Attitude markers that are presented without tone marks in this section are analysed to be toneless. Following is a list of attitude markers:

Table 8.11 Attitude markers

|  | Form | Function | Gloss | Section |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | КăW | warning and attention marker | WARN | §8.8.1.1 |
|  | Ћо̌ワ | attention marker | ATtent | §8.8.1.2 |
|  | ma | informative marker | INFO | §8.8.1.3 |
|  | 6a | correct knowledge marker | CORR | §8.8.1.4 |
|  | $d z æ$ | reminder marker | REMIND | §8.8.1.5 |
|  | $d i$ | urging marker | URG | §8.8.1.6 |
|  | haw | situation marker | SITU | §8.8.1.7 |


|  | Form | Function | Gloss | Section |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t a$ | situation marker Labai | SITU | §8.8.1.8 |
|  | baw | negative contrastive situation marker | NEGCON | §8.8.1.9 |
|  | $\hat{\text { êmu }}$ | positive attitude marker | POS | §8.8.1.10 |
|  | ${ }^{1} \check{\varepsilon} ¢$ | dissatisfaction marker | DISS | §8.8.1.11 |
|  | $k^{h}{ }_{i}$ | trailing knowledge marker | TRAIL | §8.8.1.12 |
|  | ela | epistemic certainty marker | CERT | §8.4.1 |
|  | $b a ̆$ | speculative marker | SPEC | §8.4.2 |
|  | nol | question marker | QUEST | §8.8.2.1 |
|  | $\hat{a}$ | confirmative marker | CONF | §8.8.2.2 |
|  | $1^{j} \mathfrak{X} / l^{j} \mathcal{E}$ | rhetorical marker | RHET | §8.8.2.3 |
|  | $w^{\prime} j$ | puzzlement marker | PUZ | §8.8.2.4 |
|  | $t^{j} \boldsymbol{x}$ | repetition marker | REP | §8.8.2.5 |
|  | âsel | agreement marker | AGR | §8.8.2.6 |
|  | $\hat{\text { âS }}$ | confirmation marker | CONFIR | §8.8.2.7 |

### 8.8.1 Declarative attitude markers

### 8.8.1.1 Warning and attention marker hăw

The warning and attention marker $\kappa$ hǎw is used for warning or drawing attention to something, a little bit like a double exclamation mark would function in written English. The particle făw expresses not so much the attitude of the speaker, but rather the interaction between speaker and addressee. When used at the end of a declarative clause, $\overparen{6 a} w$ often changes it into a statement that closely concerns the addressee and will negatively influence the addressee unless some action is taken. The speaker is basically saying: "I know something that will influence you and I want you to pay attention to what I'm saying (or else...)." Some examples are given below:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (1248) jò èdzú }=\text { bì } \quad \text { gwǽ } \quad \text { mé }=\text { hà, } & \text { qà = qع́j } & \text { fǎw. } \\
\text { ear =on } & \text { touch } & \text { NEG:EMPH = ought } & \text { bite = EXPT } \\
\text { WARN } \\
\text { 'Don't touch (the dog's) ear, it will bite!!' (CV16.100) }
\end{array}
$$

Example (1249) is taken from the Deluge story. In this example, the attitude marker hăw is clause-final in the speech clause, but embedded as a quote. The other attitude markers can appear embedded at the end of speech clauses as well.

```
(1249) "sè\etabǔ q}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{q}}{}{\mathrm{ h̀sè̀nnź = njæ̀ tç̀dónmádòy zà qèj dàw}
    tomorrow day.after.tomorrow = just flood come PROS
    fǎw" tçwà= sì tçàw.
    WARN say=INF HSY
```

' "(...) tomorrow or the day after there will be a flood at once!!" (he) said, it is said.' (TC02.13)

In the story of Hare and a trader, the trader is very proud of his features and asks Hare what he thinks:


```
    INTJ 2SG features=CONTR.TOP good=CONTR.TOP good very time=TOP
```



```
    2SG=GEN waist = in ritual take-NMLZ = INDF EXIST:AT WARN say=INF
    tcàw.
    HSY
```

'(Hare) said, "Ai, while your features are very good, your waist needs a little adjustment!!" it is said.' (KZ02.5)

Ћǎw is often used with a prohibitive to mark a strong negative imperative command. The addition of $\kappa$ ת̆w implies that a negative outcome will result if the command is not obeyed. In (1251) the negative result will be a language recording that has Chinese in it.
(1251) nǐy cè-lí tỉ́ $=$ pàw fiǎw, jóydóy nìy = lá

2SG Chinese-language РROH-do:IMP:SG WARN T:Gyung.drung $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ also thóymá-lì pàw dzà.
Pǔmǐ-language do:IMP:SG be
'Don't you speak Chinese!! Yongzhong, you should speak Pǔmǐ too.' (CV21.491)
(1252) tax́ $=$ wù tsén tỉ́-kèj fiǎw.
dirt $=$ in fall.down PROH-let WARN
'Don't let it fall down in the dirt!!' (CV18.149.2)
In the examples following, hǎw is used for drawing attention to a situation, rather than warning the addressee. The situation can be either positive or negative.
(1253) è-bǎ
pèj = nóy

$$
\mathrm{t} \text { ' }=~ q^{\prime}=\mathrm{bù} \quad \text { dǽy }=q^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}
$$

1-household:GEN older.sibling = COORD
$3=\mathrm{PL}=$ TOP raised.platform $=$ on

OUT-sleep time skin-rope-CLF:circle below-on oUT-put=IPFV:N.EGO
mə̀ dzà fiǎw.
GNOMIC WARN
'When my older brother slept on the raised platform, (my father) put a leather rope at the tail-end (of the bed)!!' (CV12.45.1)

Example (1254) is said by a mother who is visiting her daughter. Her daughter is raised by relatives and she has not seen her for a long time.


```
    about so bind good=INF WARN head-hair
    tch\grave{-téjj nè-dò\eta = sì flǎw}
    how.many-be.big DOWN-become=INF WARN
```

'In that case, (you) did (it) pretty well!! (Your) hair. How big (you) have grown!!' (CV04.5.2)

In example (1255) Bear tastes the candy that Hare gave him and he is under the impression that he is eating Hare's eye. The flavour is surprisingly good and he utters the example in (1255).

'(...) (Hare) gave (him) a round candy, "Iii...! That's really delicious!! (...)"
(Bear) said, it is said.' (TC06.25)

### 8.8.1.2 Attention marker Ћǒy

The attention marker $\kappa \check{r} \eta$ is used for alerting people about a fact, or telling people to pay attention to what they are doing:

| (1256) $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{z}^{\text {e }}$ | èmá = gòynì | nì $=$ dzǽy $=$ bì | SWíkú = nòy | nó = tí |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2SG:GEN | mother $=$ AGT | $2=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{DAT}$ | Ch:fruit $=$ COORD | thus $=$ INDF |
| k ${ }^{\text {hò-jwčj }}$ |  | ¢ǒy |  |  |
| OUT-brin | :PFV:N.EGO | ATTENT |  |  |

'Your mother has brought some fruit and such for the two of you (...)'
(CV02.50)

### 8.8.1.3 Informative marker ma

The informative marker $m a$ occurs after a wide range of utterances. When following an imperative clause or an obligational construction, it marks a strong suggestion, as in (1257) and (1258).

```
(1257) q}\mp@subsup{}{\mathrm{ hò-dzáw mà!}}{
    OUT-eat:IMP:SG INFO
    `Eat!' (CV20.57)
```


'In that case (you) need to say, the two of us will be friends (...)' (CV07.41.2) When following a declarative clause it indicates that the speaker informs the addressee of the situation and it gives extra force to the utterance: "I'm telling you, I'm informing you (...can you believe it?!)". ${ }^{378}$ Depending on the content of the utterance it can sometimes imply a speaker's superior attitude: ${ }^{379}$ the speaker knows a piece of information that the addressee does not necessarily know. Examples are given in (1259), (1260) and (1261). It also occurs after exclamations, as in (1262) and (1263). The marker has been described as a suggestive marker for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:223), but since in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ it occurs after a wider range of statements than just imperatives, I have chosen to refer to it as informative marker.

```
(1259) dǎwmà = bì kǒy thè-tçóy tà pàw tçà khì=là
    T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma=DAT door FR.SP-open.door one do:IMP:SG say time=also
    tç``̀ pú è-pǽy=dáw mà.
    bashful do IN-flee=IPFV:N.EGO INFO
    '(...) When (I) told Dauma to open the door, (she) bashfully hid.' (CV02.15)
(1260) ní-bà
    jǎw tçínmíy=fià nè-¢ə̌
    LOG-household:GEN again home=even DOWN-go
    t̀̀-tçoóy= sì mà.
    UP-come:PFV:N.EGO= INF INFO
```

'She has even been to her own home and come back.' (CV02.4.2)

[^167]

```
    1SG=AGT 1SG friend=PL 1SG thus one difficult NEG=EXPT
    tçá = sè\eta mà.
    say = PFV:EGO INFO
    B: `I said that my friends will not have it as difficult as I.' (CV21.6)
    ájù, dzín mà...
    INTJ true INFO
    N: Oh! That's true...' (CV21.7)
(1262) tcǔsà láw mà.
    Ch:right Ch:PFV inFO
    'That's totally right!' (CV14.209)
(1263) ájòn, k}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}wǐ mà
    INTJ cute INFO
    `Oh, so cute.' (CV21.130)
```


### 8.8.1.4 Correct knowledge marker fa

The correct knowledge marker $\AA a$ is used for expressing agreement with the previous speaker, based on assessment of the previous speaker's correct knowledge of the situation. The marker $6 a$ often fuses with the preceding syllable, as in (1264). It is the same marker used in the nominalization constructions $V$ mo dza (§8.6.4) and possibly $V$ mo $V$ fa (88.6.2). It is different from the ablative/clause linker $h a($ ( $\quad$ onni) ( $\$ 6.2 .9, \S 10.2, \S 10.4 .3$ ) and the intensifier $\kappa a$ (tcobu) 'even' ( $\S 6.5 .2$ ).

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1264) } \text { mǎ }=\text { dzà } & \text { qæ̀̀-mǽy-bá= bù } & \text { píymá-tsh̀̀̀̀ } & \text { dzà. } \\
\text { NEG }=\text { be } & \text { down-below-household:GEN = TOP } & \text { Pingma-T:Tshe.ring } & \text { be }
\end{array}
$$

S: 'That's not right, the (Pingma) from downstairs is Pingma Tshering.'
(CV24.46)

| qæ̀y-mǽn-bá = bù | píŋmá-tshə̀łì, | píŋmá-dzæ̀... |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| down-below-household:GEN = TOP | Pingma-T:Tshe.ring | Pingma-Dzjae |

píymá-tsh̀̀ł̀̀ ì dzà.
Pingma-T:Tshe.ring be:CORR
N : ‘The (Pingma) from downstairs is Pingma Dzjae... Pingma Tshering.'
(CV24.47.1)
Sometimes a combination of the correct knowledge marker $\kappa a$ and the equational copular $d z \hat{\mathfrak{\imath}}(\$ 7.5)$ is used as a complete utterance confirming the statement or question
of a previous speaker, as in (1265), where the previous speaker just recounted something about an experience they had together when they were young.
(1265) dzâ.
be:CORR
'That's right!' (CV22.46.1)
In (1266), the use of $d z a$ marks politeness, by implying that the speaker is not stupid, but knows this information already. The use of a plain $d z \hat{\rho}$ would be more curt.
(1266) jǎw gí çá dzà, wùc̀̀ $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{u} u} \quad$ mí= gí= mà dzò. again collect go be New-Year-pine.torch NEG:PFV = collect = NMLZ be B: '(I) am going to collect (pine torches) again, the new year pine torches have not been collected yet.' (CV18.52)

| nǒy | tá $=$ gá | míy | ňù | dzà? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| so this $=$ DEF | what | pine.torch | be |  |

W: 'In that case, what kind of pine torch is this?' (CV18.53)
tá $=$ gá $=$ bù jìpǽ $=$ gá fá tà dzâ.
this $=$ DEF $=$ TOP Ch:normal $=$ GEN pine.torch only be:CORR
B: ‘This is just a normal pine torch.' (CV18.54)
There are also a few examples where $f a$ functions as an attitude marker indicating anger and curtness, as in (1267), where the speaker feels that others misunderstand her. Note that the utterance is referring to self, and thus instead of stating that the previous speaker is correct, it implies that the current speaker said something before which she now states is correct, whatever other people might say.

$$
\begin{array}{rllllll}
\text { (1267) mǎ }=\text { dzà, } & \text { ə́-qª̀ } \mathrm{u} & \text { lì̀-tóy } & \text { ť́j } & \text { tç̀̀ } & \text { dòn } & \text { fà. } \\
\text { NEG }=\text { be } & \text { that-on } & \text { recite-NMLZ } & \text { EXIST.H } & \text { say } & \text { IPFV:EGO:1sG } & \text { CORR }
\end{array}
$$

'No, what (I) am saying is that there is a reciting machine on top of that!' (CV21.466)

Another example is the situation in (1268), proferred by my main consultant, where a speaker feels treated improperly by an impolite question that assumes they are eating meat. (Note that the attitude marker $\hat{a}$ (88.8.2.2) implies that the speaker is sure of a confirmative answer). The addressee's answer does not indicate whether or not they are eating meat, but rather curtly indicates that since the speaker assumes something, the addressee will leave them under that assumption. The use of the marker fia might be seen as sarcastically affirming the previous speaker's wrong assumption of the situation.

```
(1268) nǐ\eta tsá dzá dù à?
    2SG meat eat IPFV:EGO:2SG CONF
```

'So you are eating meat?'
é tsá dzá dón fià
1SG meat eat IPFV:EGO:1SG CORR
'Indeed, I'm eating meat.' (CV21.466EL)

### 8.8.1.5 Reminder marker dzce

The attitude marker $d z \neq$ 'but you said/did...' is used for reminding somebody of something they have said or done, and have forgotten or are denying now:

```
(1269) é q}\quad\mp@subsup{q}{}{h\grave{j}}\mathrm{ -dzá = sêy.
    1SG OUT-eat= PFV:EGO
```

J: ‘I have eaten.’ (CV19.60)

| nǒy | nǐy | mà $=$ dzàw | tç̀ $=$ dù | dzæ̀. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| so | 2 2SG | NEG $=$ eat:IMP:SG | say $=$ IPFV:EGO:2SG | REMIND |

S: ‘But you said you did not eat.' (CV19.61)
(1270) could be said when somebody is looking for their keys and the speaker had visual evidence of the addressee's carrying of the keys.

```
(1270) nǐy tènóy d\grave{-zqwǎ dzà}
    2SG just.now TO.SP-carry:PFV:N.EGO REMIND
```

'But you just carried them!' (CV16.84EL)
In (1271) the child is disgusted with the suggestion that he wants to be a land-plower, but he is reminded that he said so himself a few years before.

```
(1271) jàjín-má
    pù é = {ù?
    land.plow-NMLZ do Q=vol:SG
```

N : ‘Do you want to be a land-plower?’ (CV11.7)
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ê!
INTJ
C: ‘Ugh!’ (CV11.8)
$\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{éi}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$, hêy.
INTJ REP INTJ
N: ‘Did you say ‘Phei’? Hehehe!’ (CV11.9)


W: 'But when you started to celebrate your birthday (a few years ago), when you were asked, "What do you want to do (in the future)?" you said, "I want to plow land." ' (CV11.10)

Note that in both (1269) and (1271) the second person singular egophoric marker $=d u$ is used to comment on the addressee's action (and not the current evidential $=d a w$ as would be expected with a second person statement, cf. §8.3.2). This expresses the speaker's viewpoint that the addressee was consciously and volitionally involved in the action and thus should know about it, and makes it even more strange that he has now forgotten it or is now denying the fact.

Note also the interesting (non-egophoric/evidential versus egophoric) difference between example (1272) and example (1273) below. (1272) can be said when somebody is looking for a piece of meat that he has just eaten, or when somebody exclaims that he has not had meat in a long time. (1273) can be said when the addressee is sick and is not supposed to eat meat or the particular meat that the addressee ate should not have been eaten. The focus of example (1272) seems to be on the the speaker and the fact that he had visual evidence of the action the addressee is now denying, whereas the focus of (1273) is on the addressee and conscious involvement in the action which he has now forgotten or is now denying.
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { (1272) nǐy } & \text { tsá } & \text { q}^{\text {h } ̀ \text {-dzwó }} & \text { dzæ̀ } \\ \text { 2SG } & \text { meat } & \text { OUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { REMIND }\end{array}$
'But you ate (the) meat!' (CV11.10EL)
(1273) nǐy tsá $q^{\text {h̀̀ }}$-dzə̀ = dú dzæ̀?

2SG meat OUT-eat:IPFV:EGO:2SG REMIND
'But you ate (the) meat (you shouldn't have).' (CV11.10EL)

### 8.8.1.6 Urging marker di

The urging marker $d i$ is used after imperatives to remind people of an action they should be taking. It is said for example to guests who are not eating, as in (1274), to people who are lingering behind talking when the whole group is heading somewhere else, as in (1275), or to tell a child to do what she has already been told to do, as in (1276).


```
    out-eat:IMP:PL URG pickles \(=\mathrm{INDF}=\) also
```

    '(Y'all) eat, also some pickles....' (CV21.240.1)
    ```
(1275) édiæ̀ pix́jón jí dì.
    grandmother Pjaejong come:IMP:SG URG
    'Grandmother Pjaejong, come on!' (CV21.418)
```

(1276)

| －dzá | édíæ̀ $=$ bì $\quad$ tǐ | tó－zú |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| up－in：GEN | grandmother＝DAT one | UP－bring：IMP：SG | URG | grandmother |


LOG $=$ only only EXIST.AN $=$ EXPT
＇Let me，grandmother（ $=\mathrm{I}$ ）wants to roast（meat）；take a bit up to the grandmother from up there，grandmother will be all by herself．＇（CV19．97．2）

## 8．8．1．7 Situation marker haw

A marker faw（different from the rising tone attention／warning marker făw described in §8．8．1．1）is used clause－finally to indicate that a situation is the way it is．It might mark a neutral statement，or indicate that the speaker is slightly disappointed with the situation．
（1277）èş́ tçìnhú＝qêj，tşóy tç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wí pú thè－dzú mà dzà fàw． Ch：still Ch：warm．house＝EXPT house good do FR．SP－make GNOMIC SITU ＇（They）will give a housewarming party，（they）built a very good house．＇ （CV14．144．1）

| （1278）łèqá $=$ gá $=$ bù | t＇ón | tà | nè－qà $=$ séy | fiàw |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bone $=$ DEF $=$ TOP | one：CLF：thing | only | DOwN－bite $=$ PFV：EGO | SITU |

＇（I）only gnawed one bone；（．．．）＇（CV14．277．3）

```
(1279) è-bóybéj = sì fiàw, tǎ tó-cæ̀ = sì.
    IN-old.and.stiff = INF SITU now UP-old=INF
    '(She)'s old and stiff, (she's) old now.' (CV14.152.1)
```


## 8．8．1．8 Situation marker ta（Lābǎi speech variety）

The corpus has a few examples of the attitude marker ta that is used in the Lābǎi Pǔmǐ speech variety（and according to my main consultant it is used in Mùdǐqīng Pǔmǐ as well）．It is not normally used in Wǎdū，and all but one of the occurrences in the corpus are by a single speaker who is visiting from Lābǎi．According to my main consultant， ta could be an areal feature，since the Chinese in the Yǒngníng area use it as well，as in ş lâW ta（是了嗒）＇that＇s right！＇
$t a$ appears after a description of a situation. Three examples are given below; (1282) by the speaker from Wǎdū:

'This period of time we all only get up when the sun is high in the sky.' (CV04.54)
(1281) tó-bù $=$ bù $\quad$ fə́ $=n^{j} \grave{\nless}$ kǐ kwæ̀ má dzà tà

3-household = TOP front=just sell can GNOMIC sITU
'Their household could have sold it before, (...)' (CV14.58.1)
(1282) pú-dzàw-pú-lù dzà tà.
self-authority-self-work be SITU
'It was total freedom.' (TC10.52)
The attitudinal $t a$ is different from the permissive/suggestive auxiliary $t \hat{a}$ (§7.9.7). This can be clearly seen from example (1281) where the Lābǎi Pǔmǐ variant of the auxiliary is used ( $k w \ddot{x}$ ) in the same clause as the attitudinal particle $t a$.

### 8.8.1.9 Negative contrastive situation marker baw

The toneless marker baw marks the discrepancy between the speaker's or addressee's presupposition of a situation or their desire for a situation to be a certain way, and the real situation. It often appears with slightly negative situations.
$b a w$ is used when a speaker presupposes a situation and then discovers that the actual situation is the opposite from what he thought. In (1283) the speaker expected the candy he ate to be sweet.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1283) "nǒy qhæ̀ = tá bàw," t ţwò = sì tçàw. } \\
& \text { so bitter }=\text { SVM CONTR say:PFV:N.EGO }=\mathrm{INF} \text { HSY }
\end{aligned}
$$

' "But it's bitter," he said it is said.' (TC06.27)
$b a w$ is also used when a speaker reacts to an addressee to adjust the preconceived notions of the addressee that are not in line with reality. The use of baw indicates that the actual situation is opposite to what the addressee thinks:

L: ‘Feed those big bellies, the big bellies, hahaha!’ (CV21.81)

$1=\mathrm{DU}=$ TOP $\quad$ OUT-eat $=\mathrm{IPFV}: E G O: N . S G \quad$ CONTR
B: ‘But the two of us are eating.' (CV21.82)

| (1285) ̀̀-pú | zèņù $=$ tí | têj. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| here:under | hand. $\mathrm{pad}=$ INDF | EXIST.H |

S: 'There is a hand pad here.' (CV14.30)
zèñǔ mǎ=tèj bàw.
hand.pad NEG = EXIST.H CONTR
Y : 'There is no hand pad though.' (CV14.31)
In (1286) one of the previous speakers has just stated that the dog does not eat. The speaker in (1286) then responds:
(1286) nǒy míy dzà wèj, èmá t chìì $^{\text {hin }}$ híbù dzá= dáw bàw?
so what be PUZ aunt feed time $=$ TOP eat $=I P F V: N . E G O$ CONTR
'What's the matter? When aunt (=I) feed him, he eats...' (CV14.19)

The use of baw implies that she has a certain view of reality that clashes with the view that was just proposed.
$b a w$ is also used when a speaker would prefer reality to be different. This discrepancy between desire and reality often carries overtones of unhappiness (in the case of the speaker's desire or wrong presupposition) or empathy with the addressee (in the case of the addressee's desire or wrong presupposition). This can be seen in example (1287) which portrays an irrealis situation; the real situation is that the speaker lives in the countryside and the child is only in second grade. Adding baw to the clause implies that if the speaker could choose, he would choose a different situation.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { (1287) jìpǽn ts } & \text { tènš̌ }=\text { wù } & \text { é }=\text { dzò }=\text { bù, } & \text { sǽn-nìntçì } & \text { swéy }=\text { qéj } \quad \text { bàw. } \\
\text { Ch:in.general } & \text { Ch:city }=\text { in } & Q=\text { be }=\text { TOP } & \text { Ch:third-grade } & \text { study }=\text { EXPT } \\
\text { cONTR }
\end{array}
$$

'In general, if this was in the city, (he) would be in third grade.' (CV12.19)
Example (1288) indicates that the speaker wishes the situation was different.

'Ugh! There is all this salt in my mouth.' (CV18.93)
There are several examples where the use of baw does not carry such a strong sense of discrepancy, but is rather similar to the use of faw (\$8.8.1.7) in presenting a situation. Unlike hăw and Kaw, there are no two clearly separate morphemes. Two examples are given in (1289) and (1290). It might be that the cultural context, the conversational
context or the speaker's unexpressed presupposition does carry some kind of discrepancy similar to the examples above.
$\begin{array}{rllll}\text { (1289) qà-pú }=\text { bù } & \text { sěy } & \text { Łwénò } & \text { zù }=\text { qéj } & \text { bàw? } \\ \text { down-under = TOP } & \text { firewood } & \text { close } & \text { very = EXPT } & \text { CONTR }\end{array}$
'Down there the firewood is probably very close?' (CV04.59)
(1290) də̀bǔ á-wù khò-zí pù kèj fà, dàbǔ tè-tsčj dóy then there-in out-be.born do let LiNK then one-CLF:section become

time $=$ TOP then LOG $=$ PL:GEN interior FR.SP-go FR.SP-go $=$ EXPT
sà tì tçà fià ná tçáw bàw.
EPIST:most.probably say LINK thus say:IPFV:N.EGO CONTR
'It is said that (they) will let (her) give birth there (in Lijiang), and after a while then probably let (her) go back to their own home.' (CV15.62)

### 8.8.1.10 Positive attitude marker êmu

The use of the marker $\hat{e} m u$ indicates the positive attitude of the speaker in regard to the addressee. There is only one attestation in the natural corpus:
$\begin{array}{rllll}\text { (1291) dàbǔ màgé }=\text { tçàmə̀ } & \text { thàzǽ } & \text { láwláw } & \text { émù } \\ \text { then } & \text { old.man }=\text { TOP } & \text { thank.you } & \text { INTJ } & \text { POS }\end{array}$
'Then the old man said, "Thank you so much! (...)" ' (CV09.110)

### 8.8.1.11 Dissatisfaction marker ličj

The attitude marker $1 \bar{\varepsilon} \check{j}$ with a rising tone is usually used in a negative situation and expresses that the speaker is not satisfied with a situation. The marker only appears in declarative clauses and is not interchangeable with the toneless $l^{j} \mathcal{E} j \sim l^{j} \mathfrak{x}$ (§8.8.2.3) which only appear after questions.
(1292)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { tá } & \text { má = Łò } & \text { sè = qéj } & \text { ľ̌y̌j } \\ \text { 3SG } & \text { person= PL:AGT } & \text { hit = EXPT } & \text { DISS }\end{array}$
'He will be beaten by other people, (...)' (CV14.221)
(1293)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { é } & \text { tsháдıí } & \text { tí-pá } & \text { tḉtò }=\text { pù } & \text { nè-qá } \\ \text { 1SG } & \text { T:Tshe.ring } & \text { up-under:GEN } & \text { water.place }=\text { under } & \text { DOWN-fall.down }\end{array}$ mà dzà lǐ̌j

GNOMIC DISS
'(...) my (brother) Tshering fell down under the water place up there.' (CV21.304.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { then person = PL:GEN person }=\text { TOP solution NEG }=\text { EXIST.AB DISS }
\end{aligned}
$$

'Then that person had no solution.' (TC02.74)
In example (1295), which I overheard a speaker saying when another speaker asked him whether he had checked on a certain pig, the marker $l^{j \varepsilon} j$ expresses that the speaker had not done as he ought to have done.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (1295) mì }=\text { tú } & 1^{\mathrm{j} \varepsilon ̌ j} \\
\text { NEG:PFV }=\text { look } & \text { DISS }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(I) haven't looked.' (W-C13.9)

### 8.8.1.12 Trailing knowledge marker $k^{h i}$

The trailing knowledge marker $k^{h} i$ has developed through insubordination (§10.5.1) from the temporal subordinator $k^{h} i$ into a clause-final attitude marker, which denotes that speakers have more knowledge about a situation than what they express in the utterance. The reader is referred to $\S 10.5 .1$ for a more substantial treatment and illustrative examples.

### 8.8.2 Interrogative attitude markers

In addition to the use of the pre-verbal question marker $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ (§7.3) which is the most neutral way of asking questions, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ employs several clause-final attitude markers with interrogatives that denote speaker-attitude and several degrees of epistemic certainty.

### 8.8.2.1 The question marker non

The clause-final question particle nol is used when the speaker is over fifty percent sure of a confirmative answer. (1296) is said after the current speaker asked the addressee whether her foot was painful or not (using the neutral pre-verbal interrogative marker $\hat{\mathcal{e}}=$ ) and the addressee responded that her foot was not painful. The speaker is not totally convinced of the truthfulness of the answer, but more than fifty percent sure that the addressee will confirm her (negatively phrased) question.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (1296) mà = dáw } & \text { nòy? } \\
\text { NEG = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { QUEST } \\
\text { 'Not (painful)?' } & (\text { CV14.200 })
\end{array}
$$

non can also be used when a speaker knows certain things from the background context, but does not derive any clues from the immediate context. Thus, in (1297), the speaker does not see anything in the addressee's expression, but knows from the context (maybe the addressee just had an operation) that he could be in a lot of pain. (1298) is asked
in a situation where the addressee is expected to feed the dog and the time for feeding is already past．There are no clues from the immediate context．

| （1297）nǐy | níy | zù | nóy |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SG | painful | very | QUEST |

＇Are you in a lot of pain？＇（EL：B318）

food feed $=$ INF QUEST
＇Did（you）feed（him）food？＇（CV14．99）
As is shown in §10．5．3，this marker developed from the coordination marker $=$ non through a process similar to insubordination．

## 8．8．2．2 Confirmative marker $\hat{a}$

The use of the clause－final question particle $\hat{a}$ implies that the speaker is a hundred percent sure of a confirmative answer based on clues from the immediate context．So （1299）will be said when the speaker can clearly see that the addressee is in a lot of pain．（1300）is said in response to the context provided by the addressee．
（1299）nǐy níy zǔ $\hat{a}$
2SG painful very CONF
＇You＇re in a lot of pain，aren＇t you？＇（EL：B319）

```
(1300) níyzì-bà tsú = gò\etanì ní= gæ̀ tchíntçáa}\mp@subsup{}{}{380
    Ninzi-household:GEN son=AGT LOG=GEN Ch:Nuoosū.friend
    dz⿱亠乂}=\mathrm{ dàw tçò fià è-cé é è-cì séj=sí
    be=IPFV:N.EGO say LINK IN-pack.load IN-lead go:PFV:N.EGO = INF
    mà sì fià.
    NMLZ.CONSTR
```

G：＇（．．．）this son of the Ninzi household said they were his Nuòsū friends and went to bring them over．＇（CV09．85．3）
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { níyzì－bà } & \text { tsú＝gòn } & \text { è－cWǐ } & \text { â？} \\ \text { Ninzi－household：GEN } & \text { son＝AGT } & \text { IN－lead：PFV：N．EGO } & \text { CONF }\end{array}$
Y：‘The son of the Ninzi household brought（them）over？’（CV09．86）

[^168]
### 8.8.2.3 The rhetorical markers $l^{j} \mathfrak{x}$ and $I^{j} \varepsilon j$

The markers $l^{j} \mathcal{X}$ and $l_{\mathcal{E} j}$ 'what about?' (different from the rising tone dissatisfaction marker $1 \not \subset j$ described in §8.8.1.11) are alternate forms that can (mostly) be used interchangeably in questions that express that the speaker has no access to the information he wants to know. The markers can follow verbless clauses: noun phrases and adverbial phrases:
(1301) jǎw zégì liæ̀?
again later RHET
'What about later?' (CV11.15)
(1302) khí liæ̀?
key RHET
'What about the key?' (CV21.337)
(1303) ìg = ¡ǽ lèjjwæ̀ŋ lŷ̂̀j dàbǔ.
1:INCL = PL:GEN Ch:source RHET then
'So what about the source of our (money)?' (CV19.14)
They also appear after verbal clauses (only content questions).

| (1304) híy = gæ̀ | dz̧ù = gónní | $q^{\text {hà̀-dzw}}$ ( | $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| who $=$ GEN | soul $=$ AGT | OUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO | RHET |

'By whose soul has it been eaten? ${ }^{381}$ (EL:B1252)

'Now how is aunt (Yongjin)'s pig fodder?' (CV03.1.3)
Example (1306) clearly shows that both markers appear in the same position. Whereas in (1301) and (1303) an answer is expected, in (1305) and (1306) the speakers ask themselves a rhetorical question that does not need an answer.
(1306) wû, wû, tçá $=$ tìn míy dzà $l^{j}$ c̀j $\quad$ cì $=$ sèn
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}$
INTJ INTJ say=AUD what be RHET think=PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP
'I heard 'Wu,wu!' and wondered what that could be, (...)' (CV04.19.1)

[^169]$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { pèilálá } & \text { wû, wû, tç̀̀ fà ná pú } \\ \text { Ch:without.rhyme.or.reason } & \text { INTJ } & \text { INTJ say LINK thus } & \text { do }\end{array}$

shout $=$ IPFV:N.EGO what one be RHET Nuòsū child $=$ INDF again
$t_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ón $=$ sì $\quad$ दì $=$ sèり.
come: $\mathrm{PFV}:$ N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ think $=$ PFV:EGO
'Without rhyme or reason he shouted, 'Wu, wu!' like this. I wondered what that could be and thought that it was a Nuòsū child again.' (CV04.19.3)
$l^{j} \mathfrak{Z}$ (but not $l^{j} \mathcal{E} j$ ), is also used in certain types of questions that function as a narrative device to introduce a (procedural) story. ${ }^{382}$ The clause functions as a rhetorical question which the narrator answers by telling the story. Two examples are given below. In (1307) the narrator is about to describe the planting of rice seedlings. In (1308) the narrator follows the rhetorical question by laying out his arguments for stating that the society is good. These introductory questions are a powerful device to draw the listeners' attention; they do not need a response.

```
(1307) tə̀ = gæ̌ Zégì = bù cú t jáw wèn, dàbǔ cú tª́w tç hànə́
this \(=\) GEN later \(=\mathrm{TOP}\) rice plant CUST.EXCL then rice plant how
    \(\mathrm{pá}=\mathrm{wèn} \quad \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}\) æ̀?
    do \(=\) CUST.EXCL RHET
```

'After this (we) will plant paddy rice seedlings. So how do (we) plant rice seedlings?' (CL03ed.12)

then why society be.good say RHET
'Why am (I) saying that (such a) society is good?' (TC01ed.9)

### 8.8.2.4 Puzzlement marker wej

The toneless marker $w \varepsilon j$ 'what on earth?' marks questions that express the puzzlement of a speaker over a situation for which he has no clues or knowledge whatsoever. Often these are rhetorical questions that the speaker asks himself.

'What's the matter? When aunt ( $=\mathrm{I}$ ) feed him, he eats...' (CV14.19)

[^170]$\begin{array}{rll}\text { (1310) kí } & \text { z̀̀ } & \text { wèj } \\ \text { where } & \text { EXIST.AN } & \text { PUZ }\end{array}$
'(...) where on earth is he?' (TC02.35)
$\begin{array}{rlllll}\text { (1311) nòy } & \mathrm{t}^{\text {hè- }} \text { - } \mathrm{fwáw} & \text { tcâw, } & \text { nòy } & \text { tí } & \text { híy= gòn } \\ \text { so } & \text { FR.SP-buy:IMP:SG } & \text { say:IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { two:CLF:thing one } & \text { who=AGT }\end{array}$
gù $=q$ qèj wéj tçá fià, ná tcá $=$ sèy khì.
wear $=$ EXPT PUZ say LINK thus say $=$ PFV:EGO TRAIL
'(You) told him to buy another outfit. "Who on earth will be able to wear two (outfits)?" (I) told (Aunt Sanong and Dauma).' (CV15.18.2)

### 8.8.2.5 Repetition marker tix

The repetition marker $t^{j} æ$ is used when a speaker has not heard clearly what the other person just said and wants to confirm that what they thought they heard was indeed what the other said:
$\begin{array}{cll}\text { (1312) tỉ̌̌̌èy } & \text { è-zó }=\downarrow \text { Ł́ } & \text { sèn } \\ \text { recent.morning } & \text { IN-come }=\text { GEN:PL } & \text { morning }\end{array}$
M: ‘The morning (he) came a few days ago (...)’ (CV07.75)
nùséy tiæ̀? そènə̀sén tiæ̀?
morning REP yesterday.morning REP
G: 'In the morning, did you say? Yesterday morning, did you say?'
(CV07.76.2,3)
ว̌y,...
INTJ
M: ‘Right, ...’ (CV07.77)
When a speaker does not like a certain suggestion, they can pretend not to have heard it, to indicate that they are not happy with the suggestion. In (1313) the speaker does not want to go.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (1313) } \text { ఢə̀ }=\text { gí } & \text { tjæ̀? } \\
\text { go }=\text { voL:INCL } & \text { REP } \\
& \text { 'Let's go, did you say?' (EL) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$t^{j} \mathcal{X}$ can also be used jokingly, as in (1314) where the speaker (who could also be referred to as $v k \hat{a} w-l i$ 'young uncle') asks whether the addressee meant him. From the context it was very clear that the addressee was referring to somebody else.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { (1314) èkáw-lì = gòn } & \text { t'ón } & \text { zà = q̌̌j. } \\
\text { uncle(MB)-dIM = AGT } & \text { one:CLF:thing } & \text { carry = EXPT }
\end{array}
$$

J: ‘Young uncle will take one ( $=$ a piece of kidney to eat).' (CV17.28)
é $\quad$ é = dzà?
1SG $Q=$ be
P: ‘Is that me?’ (CV17.29)
mê?
what
J: ‘What?’ (CV17.30)
é tiæ̀
1SG REP
P: ‘I, did you say?’ (CV17.31)

### 8.8.2.6 Agreement marker âseŋ

The agreement marker $\hat{\text { ôen ' 'right?' is used by speakers to express something that they }}$ assume the addressee knows as well and will agree with. The use of ôsen draws the addressee into the conversation, and invites them to agree, but an explicit answer is not necessarily needed. $\hat{s}$ en is a sort of tag-question and is often separated from the clause by a pause. In fast speech it can be shortened to sên and the pause can be left out, as in (1315). It can follow clauses that contain any of the other clause-final markers, one example is given in (1316). In these two aspects it is slightly different from the other attitude markers. It is possible that this marker is clausal in origin. $\hat{\rho} s e \eta$ is not a real question marker, in that it does not mark the clause as an interrogative, but rather enhances the interaction between speaker and addressee. Therefore, even though it is structurally different from the other markers, I treat it with the clause-final attitude markers. Example (1317) shows ôsen following a declarative clause and (1318) following an interrogative clause.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { tá }=\text { tù } & \text { dzàk }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \dot{\text { }} & \text { t } 6^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wí}=\text { bú } & \text { mà }=6 \check{1} & \text { sêy? }  \tag{1315}\\
\text { this }=\text { on } & \text { society } & \text { good }=\text { TOP } & \text { NEG }=\text { EXIST.AB } & \text { AGR }
\end{array}
$$

'(...) there is no better society than this, right?' (TC01ed.12)
(1316)
fán tí mà =tcín bâw, ásèn?
long one NEG=see CONTR AGR
'(We) have not seen (each other) for a long time, right?' (CV02.67)

```
(1317) 賭 mǎ = diòn, ásèn?
    tooth NEG = EXIST.AT AGR
```

    '(We) don’t have teeth, right?' (CV02.54.2)
    | ébæ̀y, | púnỉ̀ | そèníæ̀ | nà = wèn | â, | ásèy? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INTJ | last.year:GEN | year.before.last:GEN | thus = CUST.EXCL | CONF | AGR |

'Bah, like in these recent years, isn't it, right?' (CV22.40)

### 8.8.2.7 Confirmation marker ôsæ

The confirmation marker $\hat{\text { âs 'okay?' expresses the speaker's own opinion and asks for }}$ the addressee's agreement. It is not sure that the addressee will agree; often there is an expectation that the addressee will not agree with the proposition. The confirmation marker is usually set apart from the clause by a pause, but in fast speech the pause disappears and $\hat{\jmath} s æ$ can be shortened to $s \hat{\mathcal{X}}$, as in (1320). It is however not a real tagquestion as no answer is expected from the addressee (and in most cases in the corpus no answer is given, but the conversation just carries on). Even though it is structurally different from the other attitude markers, it is functionally similar and I treat it with the attitude markers. Unlike ôsel (§8.8.2.6) it cannot co-occur with other attitude markers.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { (1319) è }=\text { ní } & \text { t́́-cwéy }=\text { sù, } & \text { ə́sæ̀ } ? & \text { ว́sæ̀? } \\
1 \mathrm{SG}=\text { AGT } & \text { UP-send }=\text { EXPT } & \text { CONFIRM } & \text { CONFIRM }
\end{array}
$$

'I will send (you) up, okay? Okay?' (CV20.41.1,2)


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { tçà }=\text { dàw } & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{l}=\mathrm{bù} \\
\text { say }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { time = TOP }
\end{array}
$$

'Yes, when (he) said to me, "Aunt, tell (Pali Tshering) (I) am going inwards (to the new house), okay?" (...)' (CV04.24.1)

### 8.8.3 Other undefined markers

A few other particles appear in the corpus, but more research needs to be conducted on their function and semantics. The two particles mentioned here are dissimilar from the clause-final attitudinal markers described in the rest of this section, but data is too sparse to make definite claims about their position and function.

The particle $t^{j} æ$ is a negative particle that appears right at the end of reported speech and just before the quotation marker $t 60 . t^{j} w$ is not part of the reported speech, and has
a very abstract meaning which expresses that what was heard was not positive. More research needs to be done. Examples are given in (1321) and (1322):

```
(1321) thè-zæ̀zǽ tá pàw tjæ̀ tç̀ = tî\eta.
    FR.SP-mix one do:IMP:SG ? say=AUD
```

'(I) overheard (her) say to mix (it) a bit.' (CV18.29)

| (1322) t $\mathrm{c}^{\text {hr }}$ | tjǽ-dzàw | tiæ̀ | tçà = tîy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| food | PROH-eat:IMP:SG | ? | say = AUD |

'(I) overheard (her) say that (I) should not eat.' (CV18.29EL)
The particle $t_{6}{ }^{h} u$ seems to function as a discourse particle in some instances and as a clause-final attitudinal particle in other instances. I have listed its occurrences in the examples below, but more research is needed. In examples (1323-1325) it seems to function as a clause-final attitude marker. In (1326-1329) it seems to function as a discourse marker (similar to the topic marker $b u$ ).
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (1323) tù }=\text { lá } & \mathrm{t}^{\dagger} \text { æ̀-tì } & \text { t } \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} \\ \text { anything }=\text { also } & \text { PROH-say:IMP•SG } & \text { UND }\end{array}$
'Don't say anything.' (Let's see what they will do...) (CV21.415.2)
(1324) hòn-qhú hìteóy = gá gòynù tá = qá nǒnjæ̀ tǐ
in-top shrine.room $=$ GEN rear this = PL:GEN later one
è-léj $=$ gì $\quad t \epsilon^{h}$ ù.
IN-SOW = VOL:INCL UND
'Let's sow some at the rear of the shrine-room up there later.' (Let's see whether it grows) (CV14.83)
(1325) phélí-bà pù lèj=tí tź-jéj = gì tç ${ }^{\text {hù }}$.

Phali-household:GEN lower.part seed=INDF UP-get = vol:INCL UND
'Let's go to the Phali household to get some (chrysanthemum) seeds.' (Let's see whether it grows) (CV14.85)
(1326) tçító - jì tç ${ }^{\text {hù }} \quad$ mà $=$ ç̌
hungry-NMLZ ? NEG = EXIST.AB
'(I)'m not hungry yet.' (EL:B170)
(1327) tá púnà cwín mì=dzwà

3SG today lunch NEG:PFV = eat:PFV:N.EGO ? hungry = EXPT
'If he has not eaten lunch today, (he) will be hungry.' (EL:B141)


```
    3SG food ouT:Q-eat:PFV:N.EGO ? hungry NEG = EXPT
```

'If he has eaten, (he) won't get hungry.' (EL:B145)

```
(1329) é
1 sG look time ? beautiful-NMLZ NEG = EXIST. AB
```

'As for me, I don't think it that beautiful.' (EL:B152)
The questions that remain to be answered are what the exact function and meaning of $t 6^{h} u$ is, whether the two occurrences are a case of homophony or not, and whether the second syllable of nontc ${ }^{h} \hat{u}$ 'in that case' (<nǒn 'in that case'), that occurs as an afterthought in (1330), is related to $t_{6}{ }^{h} u$. In this example, $t \epsilon^{h} u$ cannot be used instead of nontc ${ }^{h} \hat{u}$.

```
(1330) ájòn, dǔ zù kéj = dàw bàw, nòntç \({ }^{\text {ha }}\).
    INTJ destetable very let=IPFV:N.EGO CONTR in.that.case
    ‘Oh! (You) make people detest (you).' (CV21.91)
```


### 8.9 Conclusion

This chapter discussed the verbal system of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ and found that only controllable verbs show inflection of the stem. The basic notion underlying verbal inflection is not person-number agreement or actor-agreement, but rather egophoricity: the distinction between 'self-person' and 'other-person'. When reporting on other people, evidential marking (expressed by post-verbal markers) is used to mark the source of information. Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has several ways of marking epistemic certainty, and uses various nominalization constructions to express speaker attitude. Speaker attitude is also expressed by a whole range of clause-final attitude markers. Interestingly, a large part of this chapter would not have been written if not for the conversational data included in the research. Most of the speaker attitude marking (including various nominalization constructions) only appear in conversations. The analysis of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ would certainly benefit from more proper conversational analysis in the future, something that lies outside the scope of the present study.

## Chapter 9.

## Ideophones and interjections

One of the striking features of Pǔmǐ and many other languages in the area (Matisoff 1994, Sūn 2004) is the use of ideophones. ${ }^{383}$ Pǔmǐ has a whole range of ideophones that regularly come up during conversations and narratives and that colour and intensify the flavour of the expression and interaction. ${ }^{384}$ The power of these words is that, because it limits the interpretation to certain very specific situations, a single ideophone can conjure up a complete mental image in the addressee's mind.

A recent definition of ideophones can be found in Dīngemanse's work: "Ideophones are marked words that depict sensory imagery" (2011:25). They are marked in that they stand out from the rest of the language in terms of phonology, morphology, syntax and semantics. Languages in general use the normal phonemic inventory for ideophones ${ }^{385}$ (Diffloth 1994), and they can use sounds or tones with a lower functional load for symbolic purposes (Matisoff 1994:121), cf. §9.2. Ideophones can be iconic and often show sound symbolism. ${ }^{386}$ They depict a situation, presenting it as a complete mental picture. The sensory imagery that they depict includes sounds, movements, and feelings. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, ideophones can depict all the different types of imagery that are laid out in the implicational hierarchy given by Dīngemanse (2012:663): sounds < movement < visual patterns < other sensory perceptions < inner feelings and cognitive states. This states that ideophones depicting sound are most common across languages and ideophones that depict cognitive states are least common. When a

[^171]language has imagery that ranks higher up the hierarchical scale, it will have the lower ranking imagery as well.

In terms of structure there are three different types of ideophones in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ: onomatopoeic ideophones (§9.2), ideophones proper (§9.1) and expressives (§9.2). The chapter will also treat interjections and expletives (§9.4).

### 9.1 Onomatopoeic ideophones

Onomatopoeic ideophones are to a greater or lesser degree sound-symbolic, and can show reduplication, vowel lengthening or special intonation. Often low tone is used. Low tone does not normally appear on monosyllables, and thus has a low functional load in Pǔmǐ. Some examples are given in (1331).

| (1331) jîlúwèlú |
| :---: |
|  |
| túútíú |
| mòjánfôoon |
| kìqwà kìqwà kíqwà |
| $p \hat{a}$ |
| tè |
| táw |
| qútòう̀ |
| $t^{h} a^{\prime}$ |

'Rumble-tumble!' (sound of object rolling down a slope) 'Fffff! ' (sound of wind)
'Toot-toot!' (sound of trumpet-like blowing)
'Miaow!' (miaowing of cat)
'Quack!' (quacking of ducks)
'Pa!' (sound of falling object hitting the ground)
'...!' (sound of choking)
(sound of loud talking)
(in a flash)
(sudden jerking movement)
More research needs to be done on iconicity, especially in relation to onomatopoeic ideophones that express things other than sound, like the last two examples in (1331). One interesting pair that might display iconicity is given in (1332). The voiced consonant is used to express a softer, more subtle image; the voiceless aspirated consonant conveys a harsher and more abrupt depiction. ${ }^{387}$
(1332) bèxèj $\quad$ 'flickering' (of candle light) $p^{h} \grave{\text { èxèj }} \quad$ 'flashing' (of lightning)

A single ideophone can sometimes combine a range of images that share a core sensation (Diffloth 1976:257). An example is given in (1333) with the onomatopoeic ideophone swa which expresses a core sensation of a smooth and unobstructed movement. Through different tone and the amount of repetition, various images expressing that core sensation are conveyed (the symbol * behind a syllable indicates that the syllable can be repeated multiple times):

[^172]```
(1333) swà** 'Shwa! Shwa!' (water falling heavily)
swà* \({ }^{\text {SWá }}\) 'Shwa! Shwa!' (vomiting)
swá 'Shwa!' (rustling of paper)
swá 'Shwa!' (people smoothly springing into action)
\(s_{\text {sá }}{ }^{*} \quad\) 'Shwa!' (object cut in half in one smooth movement)
```

When uttered in a low tone, swa conveys the image of heavily falling water, for example rain or a waterfall. Depending on what duration or intensity the speaker wants to convey, it can be repeated multiple times, but always with a low tone. A related image is vomiting, for which swa is usually reduplicated (vomiting normally happens in multiple stages). Its tone is slightly different: all non-final swa are uttered in low tone; the final $s w a$ is uttered in a high tone.
$s w a$ with a high tone conveys the image of rustling paper, especially money, and the movement of freely giving it out. It implies that a person gives money generously and without hesitation in a smooth and quick movement. swa with a high tone also depicts the movement of many people smoothly springing into action without hesitation or disunity. The ideophone is usually not reduplicated in these contexts, because that seems to take away from the force of the image. The other context where swa with a high tone is used, is to denote a cutting action. The two parts of the object that is cut are cut clean and totally separate without any hindrance. In this context it can be reduplicated with pauses between the different swa to denote multiple objects that have been smoothly cut.

The multiple realizations of this onomatopoeic ideophone share the core sensation of a smooth movement without any obstructions. Tone seems to have a iconic function: the low tone in the first two contexts indicate a heavy downward movement; the high tone in the last three contexts indicate a quick movement and positive outcome. Whether tone in Pǔmǐ is indeed used iconically on a wider scale is a topic for further research.

Additionally, the presence or absence of repetition is iconic, depicting extended duration through repetition. Onomatopoeic ideophones can be reduplicated to express multiplicity or continuous sound or movement. An example is hihà, the sound of laughing, which can be reduplicated as hihihhàhà to express that multiple people are laughing.

Syntactically, onomatopoeic ideophones precede the main verb that they complement and are followed by the verb 'to say' tç̌, as in (1334). The ideophone constituent in this construction can be left out without any instability in the syntactic structure, that
is, if it is left out, the clause is still syntactically sound. ${ }^{388}$ Occasionally tç̌ is missing, as in (1335). The reduplication of bibæ̀ in that example indicates that the referent fell down multiple times.

| (1334) fú = gà | nè-dǐ | nè-cà | kéj | khí=là, | "phétètù | phétètù, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chicken = DEF | DOWN-throw | DOWN-go | let | time =also | IDEO | IDEO |

'When (he) threw the chicken down, (it) went 'Flutter, flutter! Plopplop!' down into the water.' (TC02.28)
(1335) bìbìbæ̀bæ̀, bìbìbæ̀bæ̀ nè-qâ

IDEO IDEO DOWN-fall.down
'(He) fell down 'Bingbing bangbang!' (CV21.307.1)
An onomatopoetic ideophone can also function in a light verb construction (§7.7) with the verbs $p \dot{甘}$ 'to do' (IDEO pt ), as in (1336), or tccž 'to say' when a directional prefix is added (IDEO DIR-tç̃), as in (1337), in which case it forms the predicate of a clause. $t \epsilon^{h} W E$ is used for a fire that suddenly splutters and stops burning, or water for irrigation of the fields that suddenly splutters and stops flowing. The light verb can show inflection, as in (1338). $j \hat{x}$ is used for a continuous movement passing by in front of one's eyes.
(1336) èkáw-bà gwé-mæ̀y = tù CÉmæ̀ étht $^{\text {hù }}$ èxìxì uncle(MB)-household:GEN fire.place-lower.end =on very.early IDEO

| pú-má $=$ tì | z̀̀ $=$ bù, | Łú | yù | mà dzà. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| do-NMLZ $=$ INDF | EXIST:AN = TOP | chicken | crow | GNOMIC |

'A being who very early giggles at the lower end of the fireplace of uncle's household, is the rooster who crows.' (PC05w.14.2)

'Oh, my pig fodder, the fire will have spluttered and gone out.' (CV14.30.2)
(1338) tá tç̌̌y já nè-tçwà = sì
this child IDEO DOWN-say:PFV:N.EGO $=I N F$
'This child is nowhere to be seen.' (EL)

[^173]
### 9.2 Ideophones proper

The onomatopoeic ideophones described in $\S 9.2$ can form a collocation with $d^{j} \mathfrak{x}$-, ${ }^{389}$ in which case monosyllabic onomatopoeic ideophones are reduplicated. It is a highly productive process, but whether it is completely productive is a subject for further research. Most ideophones with $d^{j} \mathfrak{x}$ - attested in the corpus are trisyllabic, but there is one quadrisyllabic example: $d^{j} æ t c ̧ i ̂ t s i p æ ~ ' s o u n d ~ o f ~ c r a c k l i n g ~ f i r e ~ w i t h ~ n o i s e s ~ o f ~ w o o d ~$ popping'. These are the ideophones proper in that they are the most clear examples of depictions (Dīngemanse 2012). For example, the onomatopoeic ideophone twé expresses a movement that can be observed for a small amount of time because of a small frame of vision (such as observing someone pass by through a crack in a wall). The corresponding ideophone $d^{j} \dot{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{f} W \hat{e} . f W e$ denotes the movement of a person that can be observed in instances (for example a person walking in the woods and disappearing and re-appearing among the trees). Most of the ideophones express some kind of movement, sometimes in combination with sound (i.e. diæswâswa), sometimes in combination with feeling (i.e. $d^{j} x t s^{h} w a ̂ t s^{h} w a$ ), and sometimes in combination with a cognitive state, like $d^{j} æ \phi \notin \dot{\psi} \neq$, which depicts a cognitive state of anger and its resulting movements. This ideophone is derived from an onomatopoeic ideophone $\phi \ddot{t} \phi \ddot{t}$ for the sound of wind. The ideophone is interesting in that the association between the onomatopoeic ideophone and the ideophone proper is not so straightforward. The sound and continuous movement of wind $\phi \grave{t} \phi \grave{t}$ is applied to persons who, out of anger, conduct their daily chores without heeding other people in the household, but keep moving continuously like the wind.

A partial list of ideophones is given in Table 9.1 (syllables with the symbol * after them can be repeated multiple times). All ideophones are derived from corresponding onomatopoeic ideophones, and some also have a corresponding expressive form (§9.2). The meaning of corresponding onomatopoeic ideophones is only given when they express something slightly different. Except for a few exceptions, all ideophones show a L-H.L surface tone pattern.

Table 9.1 Ideophones

| Ideophone | Description | Onomatopoeic ideophone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sound of heavily falling rain or waterfall | swà, cf. (1333) |
| $d^{j}$ æwи̂wu | Sound of a blazing fire, burning lustily | wù wù* wû |
| $d^{j} æ p \hat{\nsim p æ}$ | Sound of a tractor | $p \grave{\text { ¢ }} p$ æ $^{*} p \hat{\mathfrak{x}}$ |

[^174]| Ideophone | Description | Onomatopoeic ideophone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d^{j} æ t^{h} \hat{1} \eta l i \eta$ | Movement of fat people while walking with the fat moving up and down | $t^{\text {hinpliñ }} t^{\text {hinglìn }}$ |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{x} t^{h} \hat{\not C} \eta l x \eta$ | Walking with a bent waist, carrying lots of things that are dangling on one's back or hanging from one's neck |  |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{x t s}{ }^{h} \hat{a} W_{-}{ }^{\text {a }}$ W | Movement of a skirt that is pulled up high so that it does not touch the ground; the rim of the skirt is moving faster than normal; it also describes walking faster than normal; person with small stature |  |
|  | Movement of a skirt that is too long or piece of clothing that is too big on a small person | $p^{h}$ æ̀ $\eta n æ ̀ \eta * p^{h}{ }^{h} \neq n n æ ̀ \eta$, <br> $p^{h}$ án $n \hat{x ̂} \eta n \not ̂ \nmid y$ |
|  | The swaying gait of a tall person | dzıìlà* dzıílà̀, džĭŋlâlâ |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{x} h^{i} \hat{a} w l a$ | Swaying of a tree or unsteady walking of people who are drunk or have no strength left | $h^{j}$ àwlà ${ }^{*} h^{j}$ áwlà |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{x j} \hat{x} j \mathfrak{x}$ | Movement passing by in front of one's eyes and that can be seen continuously | $j \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{x q} q$ êtoŋ | A very short person walking very fast with fast-moving legs | qètòn qétòn, qÉtônlî~ qétồtôn'very short' |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{X t} S^{h} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} . \mathfrak{\not C}$ | Movement of rags fluttering in the wind |  |
| $d^{j} æ \emptyset \eta \hat{x} l i$ | Small stature, the chest is sticking out and the head is looking up to the sky |  |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{x t s}{ }^{h} \hat{E} l^{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | Nimble or agile movement of a small person working the land |  |


| Ideophone | Description | Onomatopoeic ideophone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d^{j} æ k \hat{1} l^{j} u \sim$ <br> $d^{j} æ k \hat{x} l^{j} \dot{x}$ | Wiggling of tooth that is still attached by its root $\sim$ Wiggling of a tooth when it is about to fall out | kùl $1 \grave{u}$ * kúlì̀̀ 'action of grabbing and holding on to something bigger and stronger than oneself, while pulled along with it in a swaying movement' |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{x} t s^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ âts $s^{h} w a$ | Walking without stopping, fluent movement | $t s^{h} w \grave{a}^{*} t s^{h} w a ́$ |
|  | (Of angry people) doing things very fast without paying attention to others, not wanting to talk to others | $\phi \ddot{t} \phi \dot{t}$ 'sound of wind' |

Additionally, some partial reduplication denoting a description of many people has been observed, as given in Table 9.2. A syllable with the initial consonant of the onomatopoeic ideophone followed by the high vowel /i/ is inserted between the stem $d^{j} \mathfrak{x}$ - and the onomatopoeic ideophone. Under influence of the high vowel /i/, some
 and the retroflex $/ \mathrm{s} /$ of $s w a$ changes to $[\epsilon]$ in the form $d^{j} \mathfrak{z} c i \hat{s} s w a$.

Table 9.2 Ideophone reduplication

| Ideophone | Reduplication | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d^{j} \mathfrak{x j} \hat{x} j \mathfrak{x}$ | $d^{j} \mathfrak{j}$ jî̀j | 'multiple people walking steadily' |
|  | $d^{j} \mathfrak{x j i ̂ l w e ~}$ | 'multiple people flitting by' |
| dixswâswa | $d^{\text {j}} \mathfrak{\text { ch }}$ cisswa | 'multiple people conduct a fluent movement' |
| $d^{j} \not t^{h} \hat{x} \eta l æ \eta$ | $d^{j} x t^{h} \hat{1} t^{h} æ \supseteq l æ ŋ$ | 'multiple people walking carrying lots of things' |
| $d^{i} æ t^{h}$ îplin | $d^{j} \mathfrak{x} t^{h} \hat{t} t^{h}$ iplin | 'multiple fat people walking' |

Syntactically, ideophones usually function as adverbial modifiers, preceding the verb:

| (1339) tènóy = bú | diæ̀wúwù | dzóy = dáw | k $^{\text {hì }}$. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| just.now $=$ TOP | lustily | burn = IPFV:N.EGO | TRAIL |

'Just now the fire was burning lustily.' (CV14.34.1)
$\begin{array}{cll}\text {（1340）dj} \text { d̀ts }{ }^{\text {háw }} \text { à̀w } & \text { nè－tç }{ }^{\text {hón }} & \text { fà } \\ \text { quickly } & \text { DOwN－come：PFV：N．EGO } & \text { LINK }\end{array}$
＇（．．．）（she）came down with her skirt moving quickly and（．．．）＇（CV14．265）
（1341）qéjíy＝wù diæ̀jíjæ̀ è－sêj．
Karong $=$ in steadily IN－go：PFV：N．EGO
＇（．．．）（they）went up the valley from Karong without stopping．＇（CV02．81）
They also function as a predicate of a clause，but without the normal postverbal marking：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1342) swé }=\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\text { bù } \quad \mathrm{l}_{0}^{\mathrm{j}} \text { é }=\text { tù }=\text { bù } \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{æu}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wáts }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wà } \\
& \text { read }=\text { time }=\text { TOP } \quad \text { tongue }=\text { on }=\text { TOP fluently }
\end{aligned}
$$

＇When（I）was studying，（I）could read fluently（．．．）＇（TC10．12）

## 9．3 Expressives

In Pǔmǐ the most common structure of expressives is a trisyllabic word with identical second and third syllables（ABB）．${ }^{390}$ Expressives are marked ${ }^{391}$ because of their reduplication and their tonal melody：the second and third syllables show two falling tones which causes a downstep（§3．3．6）．Whereas the first syllable is usually a free lexical word that expresses the basic semantic meaning，the second and third syllables are often reduplicated ideophonic words that express the semantic intensity and colouring．I use the term expressives ${ }^{392}$ rather than ideophones for these words，since only the second and third part of the word can be said to be truly ideophonic in many cases，but as seen below，not all expressives consist of an ideophonic part．There does not seem to be a very clear link between onomatopoeic ideophones（§9．2）and expressives．

Even though most expressives have three syllables of which the second and third are identical，the way of constructing them is variable．The most common way is with a stative verb followed by an ideophone．The choice of ideophone is lexically determined， but sometimes a verb can take different ideophones，depending on the particular colouring the speaker wants to give it，or the particular situation it describes．Colour terms in particular have several options．For example，the stative verb $n^{j} \check{\mathfrak{X}}$＇black＇can

[^175]be followed by different ideophones, all describing different shades of black or different situations:
(1343) næ̌bôpbôt n'a้ㄱôn $n$ lion
n'ăq̌ûqû
 $n^{j} \mathfrak{x} h w \hat{x} \eta h w \hat{\not x} \eta$
n'ǎ.ḷtutltut 'angry-looking'
$n^{j} \check{x} \not t \hat{t} t \hat{t} \quad$ 'very black' (animals)
n'ǎmûmû 'scarlet'

A single ideophone can also be used to modify different lexical parts. An example is the ideophone $-l^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} l^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}}$ which occurs as the second part of an expressive in the following examples: ${ }^{393}$
(1344)

```
\(b \hat{t}^{j} \hat{x} \hat{x}^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}}\)
```



```
\(t s^{h} \hat{o} \eta^{j} \hat{x}^{j} l^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} \quad\) 'very short' (length) ( \(<t s^{h}\) ôn 'short')
\(d z w \hat{x} l^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} l^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} \quad\) 'very short' (stature) ( \(<d z w \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\) 'short')
\(p \hat{\boldsymbol{t}} \hat{l}^{j} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} l^{j} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \quad\) 'very soft' ( \(<p \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}^{\prime}\) 'soft')
sǔtl \(l^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} l^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} \quad\) 'hot (temperature of food)' (<s \(\check{4}\) 'warm' )
ts \(\grave{u t}^{j} \hat{\hat{x}} l^{j} \hat{\mathfrak{x}} \quad\) 'very small' ( \(<t s \hat{\psi}\) 'son, child'?)
```

A distinction can be made between intensifying and restrictive expressives. In (1344), the ideophonic element only intensifies the basic meaning of the word but does not necessarily limit the circumstances in which it can be used. In (1343), the ideophonic element clearly semantically specifies the use of the word to certain situations. Within the semantic domain of black, the different ideophones occupy certain areas and almost act as subcategories: the ideophones encompass different parts of the whole expressed by the stative verb.

Colour terms often only appear as an expressive. ${ }^{394}$ There are two basic colour terms in Pǔmǐ: $n^{j} \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ 'black' and $t^{h} o ́ \eta$ 'white', which can appear by themselves without ideophones. Other colour terms are only found in expressives: nî'red, unripe, green,

[^176]blue ${ }^{\text {395 }}$, bě-- 'colourless, pale, gray, white', and hǎ̌ $\eta$ - 'yellow' ( $<\eta$ Ǿ 'gold'?), as in (1345).

```
(1345) nís\varepsilon̂js\varepsilon̂j 'red'
    nín̂̂\nnê\eta 'very green/blue'
```



```
    bètc\hat{x̂tç{ 'very white'}
    bèhîuhhîu 'gray'
    Kæ̀\etaqûqû 'very yellow' (butter)
```

Apart from stative verbs followed by an ideophone, it is also possible for a noun to be followed by an ideophone, like nóntềt $\hat{\varepsilon} j$ 'emaciated’ which describes the bareness of the land after the flood has swept it clean: the hills stick out like the ribs of an emaciated person. This expressive is built on the noun nón 'rib'. Some other examples:

```
(1346) pèkw\hat{x}kw\hat{x} 'plastered with tsampa' (<p\check{er 'tsampa flour')}
    ts\hat{b}h'ûh'\hat{u}
```

Sometimes the first syllable of the expressive is opaque:

```
(1347)
    k}\mp@subsup{}{}{h
    kájô\etajôn 'bald-headed'
    kwéplîplî\eta 'empty-handed' (of a visitor)
```

In some cases the second and third syllables are not true ideophones, but derive from lexical words, like nínर̂jŋघ̂j which describes the glowing of the embers in the fire, where the reduplicated second syllable is derived from the noun $\eta \varepsilon j^{\prime}$ gold' ${ }^{397}$ The same is true for $t \epsilon^{h} w \not{ }^{h} s w a ̂ s w a ̂ ~ ' d i r t y ~ a s ~ a ~ p i g-s t y ', ~ w h i c h ~ d e r i v e s ~ f r o m ~ t c ̧ ~ h a ̌ ~ ' p i g ' ~ a n d ~ s w a ̂ ~ ' n e s t ' ~(i t ~$ almost looks like an extended compound). The fact that lexical items form a source for ideophones raises the question whether some of the now unanalyzable 'true ideophones' originally derive from lexical words as well.

Sometimes the structure of the expressive is completely different, as in mádîdî which describes an expression of either surprise or lost hope in someone's eyes. This expressive consists of the stative verb módi 'to be surprised' where the second syllable [di] is repeated. A similar example is $\epsilon a w_{\overparen{f}} w \hat{a}_{l}$ bâ 'crooked, gnarled (of tree); swaying

[^177]back and forth (because lack of strength)' which derives from the verb cáw.f.wâ 'to wring, throw (when wrestling)' (cf. [1350]).

Two more examples are given here. Note that in these examples the tonal template is different from the normal L.F.F template of expressives. The form béjlàlà ~ bèjlầlâ describes someone's mouth plastered with food. This expressive derives from the noun $b \hat{\varepsilon} j$ 'fodder' ('food' in some Mùlĭ speech varieties). The form màlilioli is used to describe the purring sound of a cat as well as the sound somebody makes when saying a rosary. ${ }^{398}$ This is not a real expressive, but rather the noun-verb combination maní $1 \hat{\imath}$ with regressive assimilation of [1], maní being the shortened way of referring to the mantra om mani padme hum, and $l{ }_{0}$ 'to narrate'.

The expressives in (1348) do not show the normal structure in terms of reduplication and tone (some have the same tonal template, but not the ABB syllable structure; some are alternative forms of canonical expressives; some show a different tonal template and syllable structure altogether, like the final five expressives with an AABB structure):
(1348) tónbâlâ
nikônliôn
cètâlâ
qétồlî ~ qétôptôn
qétsôl̂̂ ~ qétsôtŝ̂
qóndónlà ~ qónlôŋ(lồ) 'empty' (containers, bags, pockets) ${ }^{401}$
$k^{h}$ ǽlájì
tc ${ }^{h}{ }^{h} p^{h} a ̀ l a ̂ a ~$

qồqоŋтmáma
sûsugǽgæ
tontonlíli
tsatsalíli
'empty-handed' (visitors) ${ }^{399}$
'stark naked'
'very big' ( $<\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{\varepsilon}$ 'big') $)^{400}$
'very short' (stature)
'relatively small'
'slighty drunk'
'soaking wet' (people) ${ }^{402}$

'flighty' (<qópma ‘crazy person’)
'extremely happy' ( < sú = noŋ gá 'happiness') ${ }^{403}$
'in small crumbs' (<-tồ ‘clF:piece', -li ‘DIM')
'many small sections' ( $<-t s$ â ‘CLF:section', -li ‘DIM')

[^178]Expressives are more integrated in the syntax than onomatopoeic ideophones, and can occupy a wider range of positions than ideophones proper. In general, they function like stative verbs: they can be used in predicate position, as in (1349), ${ }^{404}$ followed by the current evidential $=d a w$ ( $\$ 8.3 .2$ ) as in (1350), or the stative verb marker $=t a$ (§8.2), as in (1351); they can be nominalized (§5.2), as in (1351); they can be modified by cici 'a little bit’ (§4.7), as in (1352); they can modify nouns as adjectives, as in (1353); and they can appear as an argument of the light verb pt́ 'to do' or, dzǔ 'to make', as in (1354).
$\begin{array}{clll}\text { (1349) làdzú }=\text { tç̀mə̀ } & \text { nè-púlíx́ } & k^{\text {h}} \text { ì }=\text { tç̀mà } & \text { bètwâtwâ } \\ \text { head.cover = TOP } & \text { DOWN-turn.upside.down } & \text { time = TOP } & \text { irregular }\end{array}$
'When the head cover was upside down, (it) was all irregular (...)' (CV22.7.2)
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { (1350) gwěy }=\text { sà } & \text { cá thóy é }=\text { qèj, } & & \text { cáw.̧̧wâdáwá }=\text { dàw } \\ \text { horse }=\text { CONTR.TOP } & \text { go can } & \mathrm{Q}=\text { EXPT } & \\ \text { swaying }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO }\end{array}$
'(...) the horse, however, will (it) be able to go? (It) is swaying back and forth (from lack of strength), (...)' (CV14.244)

very.soft $=$ SVM $\quad 1: I N C L=P L \quad$ very $\cdot$ soft-NMLZ $=$ DEF $\quad$ delicious $=S V M$
'(It)'s very soft, we (...) like to eat soft things.' (CV21.240.3,4)
(1352)
) ((jòntçín)) kánpâpá cị̀ì = tà, dǎwmà
T:dByangs.cin Ch:very.thin a.little.bit=SVM T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma
bádôydóy दìç̀ = tà, ásèn?
short.and.stocky a.little.bit $=$ SVM $\quad$ AGR
'((Yongjin)) is very thin, Dauma is short and stocky, right?' (CV01.54)
(1353) gúmíy tásênsǽy = gà = bù
body tall.lanky $=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{TOP}$
'(...) the one with the tall body (...)' (CV07.18)
(1354) $e^{\prime}=n^{\mathrm{j}} \grave{æ}^{405} \quad$ ník $\hat{\boldsymbol{x} k} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$-pù $=$ sèg

1 SG $=$ AGT $\quad$ very.firm FR.SP-make PFV:EGO
'(...) I already made that very firm (...)' (CV14.146.1)

[^179]The main way expressives are different from stative verbs is that they do not take directional prefixes，and a change of state is rather expressed in a light verb construction with the verb dǒn＇to become’（§7．1．2）．

```
(1355) pálí bètwæ̂\wǽ nè-dò\eta=sì
    jacket colourless DOWN-become=INF
    'The jacket has lost its colour.' (EL)
```

An expressive can also be used as an adverbial modifier，as in（1356），whereas a stative verb has to use $p \notin ' t o ~ d o ' ~ i n ~ a n ~ a d v e r b i a l ~ m o d i f y i n g ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~(§ 7.10 .1) . ~ . ~$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { èmá＝bì } & \text { bètâtâ } & \text { dò－lŏwě } & \text { mó lôê } \\ \text { aunt DAT } & \text { wide．open．eyed } & \text { TO．SP－gaze } & \text { NMLZ．CONSTR }\end{array}$
＇（The girl）（．．．）kept looking at aunt with wide－open eyes．＇（CV06．2．1）
There is one example of an expressive in a nominal position，where the expressive is followed by the postposition $=w u$＇in＇：

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
\text { (1357) èmá } & \text { cé = nòy } & \text { tə́ = 〔ə́ } & n^{j} \text { æ̀qûqú = wù } & \text { tó-mə̀ = dàw } \\
\text { aunt } & \text { big = COORD } & 3=\text { PL } & \text { pitch.black = in } & \text { UP-get.up = IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
$$

＇（．．．）oldest aunt and others get up when it is still pitch black（．．．）＇（CV04．56）
Expressives are reduplicated in the following manner：the first syllable is reduplicated and followed by the syllable $p t$（thus $A B B>A-p t-A B B$ ）．It is not clear whether $p t$ is related to the verb $p$ t＇to do＇．Reduplication indicates that the expressive describes more than one person．So，semantically，not all expressives can be reduplicated in this manner．Only those expressives that are used for describing the appearance or manner of people or things can be reduplicated．The corpus has only one example，but elicitation shows that it is a productive process．

| （1358） tèt $^{\text {h }}$ ì $=~ b u$ |  | bèpúbètwæ̂twæ̂ | gwěy＝là |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a．while |  | empty．expression：PL | horse $=$ also | NEG：PFV＝ride：PFV：N．EGO |
| Zégì | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}$－ | 门才 mò | mà，nó－ | ＝gə̀ |
| behind | OUT－ | ome：PFV：N．EGO GNOM | INFO two | F：people $=$ DEF |

＇After a while，those two people，who had not ridden horses，came behind with empty expressions．＇（CV22．1．5）

## 9．4 Interjections and expletives

Interjections are usually single words that are not part of the syntax and can be left out without any syntactic reorganization．They often appear by themselves as a full utterance．Watters（2002：188）describes interjections as ‘emotive outbursts’．A partial list of interjections is given in Table 9．3．Some interjections show reduplication of the
second syllable for a more intensive meaning. The non-reduplicated form can also be said repeatedly with a similar effect.

Table 9.3 Interjections

| Interjection | Meaning and use |
| :---: | :---: |
| afěy | 'No' |
| ĕn | 'Yes' |
| Gว̆ | 'Oh' |
| îii...!! | 'Gee!' (expresses sharp surprise at something unexpected) |
| Wî | 'Gee!' (expresses surprise) |
| êmi/̂̂mimimi ~ <br> êm'æ | 'Good grief, yikes!' (expresses surprise, fright upon hearing some piece of news) (accompanied by a wide-open-eyed expression on someone's face) |
| จ̂jogmâ | 'Whoa!' (expresses mild surprise) |
| ว̂ju/ôjuju/ôjujuju | 'Oh!' (expresses a slight shock at hearing a piece of news, or seeing a situation) |
| $\hat{e} p a W \sim E ́ b a \check{W} \sim$ ह̂baw/̂̂bawbaw | 'My oh my!' (expresses discomfort, disgust or dismay at a mildly negative situation) |
| $\hat{e} b æ \eta / \hat{e} b æ \eta b x \eta b x \eta$ | 'Bah!' (expresses disgust at someone's behaviour) |
| têi | 'Wow!' (expresses surprise and admiration) |
| $p^{h} \hat{e} i$ | 'Ugh!' (expresses disgust) <br> (accompanied by a movement that is almost like spitting) |
| âwhaw/âwhawhaw | 'Uh-oh' (expresses that something goes wrong) |
| Geıfienfén? | 'Oomph!' (used when conducting strenuous effort, like climbing a steep slope) |
|  | 'Ouch!' (when touching something hot or when the weather is hot) |
| $\hat{e} t t^{h} u t G^{h} u$ | 'Ouch!' (when touching something icy or when the weather is cold) |
| nǒgməda | 'That's right, exactly!' (expresses agreement; mostly used by older women; now often replaced by the Chinese 就是 jiùshi) |
| $d_{\text {lin }}{ }^{j} \mathfrak{X}$ | 'Really!' (expresses confirmation of a statement, or amusement at somebody else's statement or action) |


| Interjection | Meaning and use |
| :---: | :---: |
| $t^{h}$ əẑ̧ | 'Spare my life!/Beg your pardon!/Thanks' (expresses a request for consideration, or gratitude) |
| $t^{\text {hazâ }}$ láwlaw | 'Thank you so much' (expresses intense gratitude) |
|  | 'Nanananana' (expresses mockery; found in Trickster stories) |
|  | 'Njam njam' (expresses enjoyment of food while others look on jealously; found in Trickster stories) |

Some other interjections rather function as an interactive device to make the addressee act, and can be seen as one-word commands.

| (1359) $n^{j} \hat{\mathcal{x}}$ | 'Here, take it!' (when handing somebody something) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d^{j} \check{\mathfrak{x}} \sim j \check{z}$ | 'Let me (look, do it, pass)!' or 'Go away!' |
| dzWă | 'Let it be!' |
| $\varphi \hat{x}$ | 'Let's go!' |
| $t^{\text {figj }}$ | 'Look!' |
| Wêj | 'Hey!' (to draw people's attention) |
| hât ${ }^{406}$ | 'Huh?' (asking people to repeat what they said) |
| wи̂ | 'Hey!' (in order to locate people; often first a name is called) |
| âW | 'Here!' (in answer to wût) |

Since keeping animals is a big part of Pǔmǐ daily life, it is not surprising that the language has a wide range of interjections for handling animals. These can be divided into three categories: calling animals towards the speaker; shooing them away from the speaker or from a certain place or driving them when herding; cursing them for doing something they should not do (for example a dog stealing food). The interjections are listed in Table 9.4 and the curses are listed in Table 9.5.

Note that many of the interjections for calling animals end in the syllable $n^{j} \mathfrak{x}$. This is the same as the first interjection listed in (1359), since these interjections are mainly used when feeding animals. The interjection for calling yaks is the word for salt: a speaker will usually simultaneously call and hold out some salt on their palm. Some

[^180]interjections have various forms and most interjections can be repeated multiple times depending on the urgency or intensity expressed by the speaker.

Table 9.4 Interjections for handling animals

| Animal | Call | Shoo (away) or herd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dog | PâW $\sim$ Pâwn'̇̀ | $k^{h} \hat{a} k^{h} \hat{a} k^{h} \hat{a}$ (commanding dogs to attack somebody) |
| cat | $\grave{\text { èn }}{ }^{j}$ Ór刀n' ${ }^{j}$ \||||| 407 | - |
| chicken |  |  |
| pig | wǎwnǰ̆ | sû sû ~ Páwşû |
| goat (sheep) | ?jă:wn' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | RjâW ?jâw ?jâW |
| bovine |  | Wăw? wăw? wăw? |
| horse, mule | WăWGg ~ Wăwçàwcag w | $t c^{h} \hat{u}$ |
| yak | $t s^{h_{1}}$ ts ${ }^{h_{1}}$ ts ${ }^{h_{i}^{\prime}}$ ts ${ }^{h_{i}^{\prime}}$ | Wăw? wăw? wăw? |

Animal curses are mostly nouns or nominal compounds expressing various plagues and predators that pose a danger to livestock and pets.

Table 9.5 Animal curses

| Animal | Curse | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dog | $s W \hat{i}\left(t c^{h}{ }^{i}\right) \sim t^{\text {b }}$ ənín | 'leopard (food)' ~ 'dog plague' |
| cat | meníp | 'cat plague' |
| chicken | ket ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ $\sim$ ~ funín | 'wildcat' ~ 'chicken plague' |
| pig | $t ¢^{h}$ Wæníg $\sim$ lêgon $q^{h}$ ıntáji | 'pig plague' $\sim$ 'food for wolves ${ }^{409}$ |
| goat | $t s^{h}$ əníp | 'goat plague' |
| bovine | putitc ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 'wild dog food' |
| horse |  | 'old horse' ~ 'crow food' |
| mule | tidǐ $\sim$ qujǐtc ${ }^{\text {h }}{ }_{i}$ | 'old mule' ~ 'crow food' |
| yak | qujǐt ${ }^{h}{ }_{i}$ | 'crow food' |

[^181]The corpus has one example of an expletive (or swear word), probably from Tibetan:
(1360) fǎnjì, lámásóndzínt Ĝ̣̂̀n $^{\text {h }}$

INTJ my.god
‘Wow, my god!' (CV21.203)

### 9.5 Conclusion

This chapter described onomatopoeic ideophones, ideophones proper, expressives and interjections. Onomatopoeic ideophones are often sound symbolic and some seem to show a certain amount of iconicity. Apart from sound, they might express movement and visual patterns as well. Ideophones proper are built on a template that incorporates onomatopoeic ideophones, and and are most clearly depictions of sounds, movement, visual patterns, other sensory perceptions, inner feelings and cognitive states. They have a partial reduplication template to depict multiple people. Expressives are trisyllabic structures that are often built from a semantically meaningful first syllable and a reduplicated ideophonic second and third syllable. Many colour terms are expressives.

This thesis only started exploring the substantial wealth of ideophones and expressives found in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. The great number of ideophones and expressives present in the language certainly deserve further research. A possible outcome could be an illustrated dictionary of ideophones and expressives.

## Chapter 10.

## Complex constructions

This chapter deals with complex structures that are the result of coordination ( $\$ 10.1$ ), clause linking and verb concatenation (§10.2), complementation (§10.3), and subordination (§10.4). It discusses insubordination (§10.5), one of the topics that has recently received attention in the literature. The chapter also discusses several continuous action constructions (§10.7) and comparison and equation structures (§10.6). It ends with sections on the predicate-focus construction (§10.8) and discourse features ( $\S 10.9$ ). Relative clauses were discussed in §5.3.2.

One of the characteristic areas of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is the use of discourse markers (§6.5) in a whole range of complex constructions, mainly predicate-focus constructions ( $\$ 10.8$ ) and subordinate clauses (§10.4). The use of discourse markers as subordinators has been discussed since Haiman's work on conditionals as topics (Haiman 1978). Some work on Tibeto-Burman languages is Matisoff (1973) which deals extensively with unrestricted particles in Lahu, Genetti (1991) and LaPolla (1995) on the development of semantic role markers to clause subordinators, and Mazaudon (2003) which discusses topic markers and intensifiers used as subordinators. Since in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the extent of discourse markers used in complex constructions is quite substantial, I will illustrate their use with multiple examples in §10.4 and §10.8.

### 10.1 Coordination and disjunction

The coordination marker $=$ non can link phrases as well as clauses and mark conjunction as well as disjunction. Noun phrase coordination is described in §5.7; in this section clausal coordination will be illustrated. An example of clausal coordination and noun phrase coordination is given in (1361).

 need pig-food-wooden.bucket $=$ COORD thus lift time $=$ TOP
'It is hard, (I) need to feed the pigs and lift wooden buckets; when lifting the pig fodder bucket and actions like this (...)' (CV02.48)

When $=n o \eta$ links two non-identical parallel clauses, it has a disjunctive meaning 'whether X or Y'. Examples are given of two slightly different parallel clauses, as in (1362), a positive and a negative parallel clause, as in (1363), or a declarative clause
followed by a parallel interrogative, as in (1503). Note that $=$ non can follow both clauses, as in (1363), which is an argument to analyse it as an enclitic rather than a proclitic.

```
(1362) cwè-tsá tcàw = nòy gá-ts\grave{ }
eight-CLF:person say:IPFV:N.EGO = COORD nine-CLF:person
tcàw wèj?
say:IPFV:N.EGO PUZ
```

'(...) was it said (that it was) eight people or nine people?' (CV07.65.2)
(1363) tá tón zı̀n = nóy mà = zìn = nóy
this speak can $=$ COORD NEG $=c a n=C O O R D$
'Whether or not he could narrate this, (...)' (CV13.114.1)
(1364) dùt $\epsilon^{h} \grave{æ ̀}$ píymá dzə̀= nòn híy dzà lỉ̀?

Dutchae Pingma be=COORD who be RHET
'(...) it's Dutchae Pingma or who?' (CV02.93.1)
A non-identical (disjunctive) clause coordination construction with $=n o \eta$ is often used as a complement clause of $t_{6}{ }^{h} \hat{e} m i{ }^{\prime}$ not sure, don’t know’ (§10.3.1.1), as in (1365) and example (1403).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (1365) nǒy }=\text { sà } & \text { técámà = bì } & \text { dádwè = nòy } \\
\text { in.that.case }=\text { CONTR.TOP } & \text { T:bKra.shis.ma = DAT } & \text { ask = COORD } \\
\text { mí }=\text { dádwè }=\text { là } & \text { t } \epsilon^{\text {héémì }} \\
\text { NEG:PFV }=\text { ask }=\text { also } & \text { not.sure }
\end{array}
$$

'In that case, (I) don't know whether or not (they) have asked Zhacima (...)' (CV02.93.1)

When verbs linked by $=n o \eta$ are completely identical, the meaning is one of intensity, as in (1366), or prolonged duration or repetition, as in (1367). It is often used with reported speech.


```
    1SG \(\quad\) IN-flee \(=\) time \(=\) only \(\quad\) LOG \(=\) also \(\quad\) afraid \(=S V M=C O O R D \quad\) afraid \(=S V M\)
    tç̀
    say
```

'(...) when I fled inside, (she) said that she was very much afraid as well (...)' (CV06.10)

$$
\begin{array}{llcl}
\text { (1367) sénóy = sà } & \text { jǎw } & \text { tà = dzǎy } & \text { è-lú = qèj = nòy } \\
\text { Sanong = CONTR.TOP } & \text { again } & 3=\text { DU } & \text { IN-pass.out.of.sight = EXPT = COORD } \\
\text { 文-lú = qèj } & \text { tçàw } & \text { mà. } \\
\text { IN-pass = EXPT } & \text { say:IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { INFO } \\
\text { 'But Sanong said repeatedly that the two of them would have passed out of } \\
\text { sight.' (CV02.82) }
\end{array}
$$

### 10.2 Verb concatenation and clause chaining

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has various constructions for expressing events that include multiple actions, namely verb concatenation, non-finite clause chains, finite clause chains and separate clauses. 'Finite' is defined as being marked for person, aspect and evidentiality. The various constructions show different levels of integration on a scale ranging from the relatively tightly integrated verb concatenations to the less tight non-finite clause chain, to the even less tight finite clause chain, to non-integrated separate clauses.

The various constructions can be described using the layered clause structure approach laid out in Van Valin and LaPolla (1997). In this approach, a distinction is made between the Nucleus, the smallest layer which contains the predicate; the Core, the intermediate layer which contains the predicate and its core arguments; and the Clause, the largest layer which contains the predicate, its modifiers and its arguments.

The combination of the different elements (Nucleus, Core and Clause) can be described with the terms Nuclear juncture (Nucleus + Nucleus); Core juncture (Core + Core); and Clause juncture (Clause + Clause). The various manners in which these elements are joined together are Coordination, Subordination and Cosubordination. Cosubordination is intermediate between coordination and subordination: it does not involve embedding (like coordination), but one of the elements is dependent on the other (like subordination).

Verb concatenation (term from Matisoff 1969) in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is defined as a multipleverb chain in which all verbs share the actor and the patient arguments, and which often, but not necessarily, forms a single predicate. ${ }^{410}$ No constituents are allowed to come between the different verbs, and no pause can be observed between the different verbs. However, the clause linker $Ћ a$ can often be inserted, turning it into a non-finite clause chain (exceptions to this are some of the versatile verb concatenations that have

[^182]been discussed in $\S 7.8$ in which the second verb has a grammaticalised meaning). Inflection is only marked on the final verb. The different verbs show the same polarity: when negation is present, it has scope over the whole concatenation. The different verbs in the concatenation form separate tone groups (§3.2). Verb concatenation can be analysed as involving Nuclear juncture.

Non-finite clause chains are marked by non-finite verb forms in all but the final clause. The clauses share the same actor argument, but not necessarily the same patient argument; when no overt patient argument is expressed in a clause, the patient is taken to be the same as the patient of the preceding clause. When the patient is different between the clauses, a patient argument needs to be expressed. Different polarity is possible. Non-finite clause chains can be strung together without any clause linkers, in which case the different verbs express simultaneous actions. When no clause linker is present, a pause is possible between the different verbs in the clause chain. Sequentiality can be expressed through the use of the clause-final clause linker $\kappa a$ (or
 Depending on the relationship between the clauses that are linked, the clause linker $\kappa a$ can also mark cause-and-effect. This ties closely and is an extension of the sequential meaning. Non-finite clause chains can be analysed as involving Core juncture.

Finite clause chains are linked by the clause linker $\AA a$ and display finite verb forms in their non-final clauses. These chains can share the same actor and patient arguments, but this is not obligatory; when no overt actor or patient argument is expressed in a clause, the actor or patient is taken to be the same as the actor or patient of the preceding clause. When the actor or patient is different between the clauses, an overt actor or patient argument needs to be expressed. Different polarity is possible. Finite clause chains can be analysed as involving Clause juncture.

Separate clauses are not linked and show finite marking. Arguments are not necessarily shared, but can be. Different polarity is possible. Separate clauses do not involve any juncture.

Table 10.1 shows the different constructions. ' + ' indicates that something needs to be the same; '-' indicates that something is not necessarily the same; ' $\varnothing$ ' indicates that no clause marker is present.

Table 10.1. Concatenation and clause chains

|  | actor <br> argument | patient <br> argument | polarity | clause marker <br> present |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| verb concatenation | + | + | + | $\emptyset$ |
| non-finite clause chain | + | - | - | $\emptyset / \hbar a / d ə b \check{l}$ |
| finite clause chain | - | - | - | ha |
| separate clauses | - | - | - | $\emptyset$ |

The clause linker $f a$ seems to be related to the ablative marker $\operatorname{fi} a$ (§6.2.9). Ablative markers in many Tibeto-Burman languages are used as causal subordinators (Genetti 1986, 1991; LaPolla 1995a, 1995b, 2004) and in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ fa can mark causal subordination as well as general clause linking. Causal subordination is discussed in §10.4.3.

### 10.2.1 Verb concatenation

Verb concatenation in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is defined as a construction of two or more verbs that occur in adjacent positions and often function as a single predicate. Thus no constituents come between the verbs. The whole construction can denote a single event in which the different verbs constitute sub-events. Verb concatenation appears as a single intonation unit. This means that a pause cannot be inserted without breaking up the unit. The unit is, however, not a single tone group (§3.2), but all verbs keep their own lexical tone. Inflection (aspect and evidential marking) is realized on the final verb of a unit.

Verb concatenation shows a gliding scale of integration. The most tight integration happens with some constructions (described in §7.8) in which the second verb is drawn from a limited group of verbs and usually functions as some kind of aspectual modifier to the first verb. ${ }^{411}$ In some of those constructions the clause linker fa cannot be inserted without changing the meaning of the whole construction. Most other verb concatenations appear to be what Matisoff (1969:72) calls 'fortuitous concatenations', that is, even though they superficially look like a single predicate, the verbs belong to

[^183]different clauses. ${ }^{412}$ In these concatenations (described in this section) all verbs are drawn from an unrestricted group of verbs. The order of the different verbs reflects the order of the actions they denote. The clause linker $\AA a$ can always be inserted without substantial change in meaning; it does break up the different actions more clearly. However, when verbs concatenate, both agent and patient roles (if specified by the verb semantics) and polarity are necessarily the same, whereas when $f a$ is present, the patient argument and polarity do not have to be the same. This can be explained as the difference between nuclear juncture and core juncture (Van Valin and LaPolla 1997).

A few examples are given in (1368), (1369), (1370) and (1371). Whereas one could still interpret (1368), (1369) and (1370) as a single predicate, the two verbs in (1371) clearly represent two separate actions. Note that in all these examples fa can be inserted without problem. In (1369) the verb kwধj modifies both tsén 'to fall down' and $s$ š'to die'.
(1368)

| nìùkǽntçìn | dzà | tçà fì̀ | mǐ | á-tèj = mà |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ch:king.boletus be | say LiNK | edible.fungus | that-be.big=NMLZ |  | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { tín } & \text { è-pútú } & \text { q}^{\text {hà-dz-dzwó }=\text { sì. }} \\ \text { one:CLF:thing } & \text { IN-roast } & \text { out-eat:PFV:N.EGO }=\mathrm{INF}\end{array}$ '(...) (they) roasted and ate a mushroom this big called 'king boletus'.' (YJ01.20)

 father then out-direction OUT-flee:PFV:N.EGO time=also hèsž $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \dot{e}=$ tù nè-tsèn nè-sà kwéj

Ch:still spike=on DOWN-fall DOWN-die let:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) when the father fled outwards, (Hare) also caused (him) to fall down on the spike and die.' (TC04.36)

[^184]| (1370) jǎw again | tè- $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \check{\text { æ̈ }}$, one-ClF:piece | tè- ${ }^{\text {h }}$ æ̀ one-clf:piece | pú dàbǔ jǎw <br> do then again |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nè-gén |  | jǎw, èt-tiě | $\mathbf{k}^{\text {hà̇-tǐ. }}$ |
| Down- | with.scissors | again IN-fold | out-put |

'(...) after having cut (it) piece by piece, (we) would fold (it) and put (it) away.' (SN01.5)
(1371) dàbǔ cwíg qhà-dzá tá-çà khì=bù dàbǔ tí-qhú tshí-téj then lunch out-eat UP-go time $=$ TOP then up-on meadow-big tá-tâ.

UP-arrive
'After having eaten lunch and having gone up, (we) arrived at a meadow.' (YJ01.4)

Because the order of the different verbs reflects the order of the actions they denote, verb concatenation often displays a cause-effect relation, as in (1372).

| nè-ņon ${ }^{\text {j}}$ é | tó-sú | kèj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Down-pour | UP-be.full | let:IMP |

'Pour (it) until (it's) full!' (CV19.107)
Some verb concatenations show more specific semantics. In (1373) and (1374) $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ is a verb of motion ('to get, to bring, to go, to come') and $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is a verb of manner conducting the motion. Again, the order of the two verbs reflects the order of the actions they denote.
(1373) tçáw-thóy wúş̀ tcín çá= mò nè-kú

Ch:rubber-barrel Ch:fifty Ch:pound go=nMLZ DOWN-carry.on.back
tź-z̧â
UP-carry
'(...) so (we) brought a barrel that held 50 pounds carrying (it) up on our backs (...)' (SN02.21)

| (1374) dàbǔ è-sç̀j | è-š̀ | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}$, | nè-dzéj | è-sè̀j |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| then IN-go:PFV:N.EGO | IN-go:PFV:N.EGO | time | DOWN-ride | IN-go:PFV:N.EGO |
| $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\mathrm{bù} \quad$ dàbǔ |  |  |  |  |
| time $=$ TOP then |  |  |  |  |

As stated above, some verb concatenation is rather loose in integration. With tail-head linking ( $\$ 10.9 .2$ ), it is not always the case that the whole construction is repeated, as is illustrated in (1375):


```
    female-DIM=INDF be=IPFV:N.EGO = just UP-raise out-be.big let:PFV:N.EGO
    '(...) it turned out to be a girl, and (so) (he) raised (her) till (she) was big.'
    (CV07.11)
    kh\grave{-tçè kwèj khì = bù dz̀bǔ}
    out-be.big let:PFV:N.EGO time=TOP then
    'When (he) had let (her) become big, (...)' (CV07.12)
```


### 10.2.2 Non-finite clause chains

In non-finite clause chains only the final verb is inflected. The verbs in a non-finite clause chain obligatorily share their S or A arguments, but O arguments do not need to be shared and can be overtly expressed between the different verbs. Clause linkers are optionally used and if no clause linker is present, a pause may be inserted between the different clauses. Non-finite clause chains can be analysed as core junctures.

An example of a non-finite clause chain without overt clause linkers is shown in (1376). Even though no clause linker is used, the chain can be distinguished from verb concatenation by the pauses between the different clauses and the fact that the argument tçxtç̌ 'alcohol' and the adverbial modifier ne-gəgwě pt 'drunk' is overtly expressed between the verbs.

The verbs dz' 'to eat' and $t^{h}$ '̆p 'to drink' are not marked for person, aspect or evidentiality. Only the final verb dzón 'to sit' is marked by the customary marker wen ( 88.5 ), but the scope of wer is over the whole clause chain. Note that non-finite verbs are able to take a directional prefix.


Non-finite clause chaining using dəbǔ 'then'413 is often found in procedural texts and can include larger sections of text of which multiple non-finite clauses are only wrapped up by one finite clause at the end of the text. ${ }^{414} \mathrm{An}$ example of this is a prescription of how to make butter tea. Since it is a short text, it is given in its entirety in (1377). The finite predicates are given in bold font. As can be seen, only the first two and last two lines contain finite predicates.

The middle part of the text is one long non-finite clause chain that is wrapped up by $t^{h} b-d z \hat{u}$ wer in the second to last line.

```
(1377)
    tàcǽ= bú,
    è = nî ì̀-bá
    now =TOP 1SG=AGT 1:INCL-household:GEN Pǔmǐ-household:GEN
    mú-dqú tçà̀ná pú qú=wèy à tá tè-tsá= gá
    butter-tea how do cook=CUST.EXCL INTJ this one-CLF:section=DEF
    èljžtì nè-tòy = sû.
    a.little DOWN-speak = voL:SG
```

'Now I will tell you a bit about the part of how our Pǔmǐ household makes butter tea.' (PC01.1)
dàbǔ, mú-dž́ t ţ̌̀̀̀ná pú qú=wè̀ liæ̀?
then butter-tea how do cook=CUST.EXCL RHET
'So how to make butter tea?' (PC01.2)
fá = bù dàbǔ, dž̀ sá è-ť̌; džǐ dàbù Cè-díy=wà dz̧ĭ
first $=$ TOP then tea first in-put tea then Hàn-area $=$ in:GEN tea

tó-jéj = mà dàbǔ | á-pù $\quad$ è-tǐ; tó-lì̀ $\quad$ kèj.$~$ |
| :--- |

up-get $=$ nMLz $\quad$ then that-under IN-put up-boil let
'First of all, put tea on first; the tea... put the tea that has been brought in from the Hàn Chinese area on under there; let (it) come to a boil;' (PC01.3)

UP-boil time $=$ TOP then this churn $=$ in DOWN-churn
'when (it) is boiling, pour (it) into this tea churn;' (PC01.4)

[^185]| (ว̀ |  | tá | qóy $=\mathrm{q}^{\text {h }}$ wá | $\mathfrak{Z W E}=\mathrm{g} æ$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTJ butter | 1:INCL = PL:GEN | this | highland = on:GEN | yak $=\mathrm{GEN}$ |

də̀bǔ nčj dò-tsə́ = mə́= gæ̀ t̂̀ mú $t^{\text {h}} \mathrm{e}$-dzú $=$ mə̀
then milk TO.SP-syphon $=$ NMLZ $=$ GEN this butter $\quad$ FR. $\mathrm{SP}-=$ make $=$ NMLZ
dàbú ə́-wù nè-dî;
then that-in DOWN-throw
'then put in butter that has been made from our highland yak milk that has been milked;' (PC01.5)
dàbù, ts ${ }^{\text {hìì }}=$ lá nè-dî;
then salt = also DOWN-throw
'then also put in some salt;' (PC01.6)
dàbǔ nè-dzôŋ;
then DOWN-churn
'then churn (it);' (PC01.7)
də̀bǔ, nó pú thè-dzú wè̀.
then thus do FR.SP-make CUST.EXCL
'then...(it) is usually made like this.' (PC01.8)
nə́ pú thè-dzú dàbǔ, $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù} \mathrm{dàbǔ}, \mathrm{thǐy} \mathrm{tá} \mathrm{dzô}$.
thus do FR.SP-make then time $=$ TOP then drink can be
'After having made (it) like this, (one) can drink (it).' (PC01.9)
An example of sequential non-finite clause chaining with the clause linker $6 a^{415}$ is given in (1378), where multiple non-finite clauses precede the final clause. Person, aspect and evidentiality are only marked on the final clause, but non-final verbs can take directional prefixes.

[^186](1378) dàbǔ tá mògéy = bù [tǐ = qhù nè-dzéj] fià [ná pú then this old.man $=$ TOP mule $=$ on DOWN-ride LINK thus do
$t^{\text {hè̀-khí }}$ ] fià ["wû wû" tç̀̀] fià [ná pú è-tçoóy FR.SP-grab Link inTJ intu say link thus do in-come:PFV:N.EGO
 NMLZ.CONSTR pitch.black = in
'This old man came riding on a mule, holding on like this, and calling out "Wu, wu!," in the pitch-blackness.' (CV06.8)

Non-finite clause chains can have different O arguments, as in (1379) where the object of $\mathfrak{e}-\underset{\sim}{l} \hat{c} \eta$ in is the non-expressed 'bread', whereas the object of $q^{h} \partial$ - ťư is 'glowing embers'. This is not possible in a verb concatenation. If $h a$ is left out in (1379), the meaning of the resulting verb concatenation is strange; it would denote that the 'glowing embers' are the object of the baking. Since only the final verb carries inflection, inflection holds scope over all non-final clauses and thus the S/A arguments are obligatorily the same.


```
    tong=INS that-location:GEN glowing.ember=INDF OUT-dig LINK
    ऐ-\̧êy.
    IN-bake:IMP:PL
```

'Dig some glowing embers over there with the fire tongs and bake (the bread).' (CV21.100)

One often finds clauses in which the utterance verb tç 'to say' is linked by ha to a following manner of speaking verb or clause, as in (1380) and (1381). This is true as well for clauses in which tçz means 'so-called', as in (1382). In Tibeto-Burman languages a common extension of the ablative is to mark (manner) adverbials (LaPolla 1995), and this seems to be happening here.

```
(1380) pèilálá
    wû, wû, tçà fià ná pú
    Ch:without.rhyme.or.reason INTJ INTJ say LINK thus do
    qwéféǵj = dàw
    shout = IPFV:N.EGO
```

'Without rhyme or reason he shouted, ‘Wu, wu!' like this. (...)' (CV04.19.3)

| (1381) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { káw }=\text { c̀̀ } \\ & \text { uncle }(\mathrm{MB})=\text { LIM. } \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | nǒy "ว́-qhù TOP so that-on | $z i ́$ <br> EXIST.AN | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { khì," }^{\text {jǎw }} \\ \text { time } & \text { aga } \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "nǐn } \\ & 2 S G \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | jǎw hò̀-q ${ }^{\text {hú }}$ | è-š̀j | tì," |  | ná | tçá $=$ nò ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |
|  | again in-on | IN-go:PFV:N.EGO | say:IMP:SG | say Link | thus | say $=$ | COORD |
|  | "nìn-bá | ¢á = ní |  |  | $k^{\text {hì, }}$ " | tçà | fià |
|  | 2-household:GEN | $\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{ADD} . \mathrm{FOC}$ | $\mathrm{gO}=$ EXPT $=$ IPFV: $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{EGO}$ TRAIL |  |  | say |  |
|  | cwépà = dàw | mà dà | jǎw. |  |  |  |  |
|  | scold = IPFV:N.EGO | NMLZ.CONSTR | again |  |  |  |  |

'So uncle was scolding Aunt (Sanong), "When (Pingma) was up there (in his bedroom), he still let you say that he went up there (to the new house), even though (Pingma) wanted to go up there, (he still acted like that, i.e. told Aunt Sanong to say it like that)." ' (CV04.20)
(1382) łə̀-pùqá có-fó-pùqà tç̀ fià ná= má púqá té-thù skin-shoe muntjac-skin-shoe say LINK thus = NMLZ shoe one-ClF:pair dò-k ${ }^{\text {h }} w e ̌ y ~ m a ́ ~ d z a ̂ . ~$ TO.SP-give:PFV:N.EGO GNOMIC
'(My family) gave (me) a pair of leather shoes like this, so-called 'muntjac skin shoes'.' (TC10.24)

A direct quote linked by $f a$ to an action can express the reason for the action, as in (1383). This is similar to what Genetti (2006:15) mentions for Dolakha Newar.
 what be not.sure person( $=\mathrm{DEF}$ ) $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=$ TOP speech speak=EXPT zèmí = bù tsú dzá bǎ, é kè=tá" tçà fià è-phín=sèy. tonight $=$ TOP ghost be SPEC 1SG afraid=SVM say LINK IN-flee=PFV:EGO '(...) saying, "What it is I don’t know, if it were a person, he would be able to speak; tonight it is maybe a ghost; I am afraid", (I) fled in here.' (YJ01.30)

### 10.2.3 Finite clause chain

In finite clause chains the clause linker $\kappa a$ is obligatorily used. All verbs have a finite form and do not need to share the same arguments and polarity. Finite clause chains can be analysed as clausal junctures. Example (1384) shows two different actor arguments linked using a finite clause chain:

```
(1384) "mín tç\partial̀= dwèn m@̂?" tç̀ khì tà á-pù
    what say =IPFV:EGO:N.SG what say time all that-under
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline tá-tço \({ }^{\text {º́y }}\) & fià, & โִéŗæ & \(\mathrm{q}^{\text {hù }}=\mathrm{gòm}\) & nè-sž. \\
\hline UP-come:PFV:N.EGO & LINK & laugh:COLL & CUST.INCL \(=\) AGT & Down-die \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

‘Continuously saying, "What are you saying, what?" (he) came up from under there; (we) died with laughter.' (CV08.20.6)

In example (1385) the finite form tcaw is used in the first main clause. This allows the actor of the first main clause to be different from the actor of the second main clause. If the non-finite t $\epsilon>$ had been used instead, the actor arguments of both main clauses would be interpreted as referring to the same person.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1385) jí fiàw jî, mǎ= zǎ dǒy mà = qéj } \\
& \text { come:IMP:SG WARN come:IMP:SG NEG=come be.okay NEG = EXPT } \\
& \text { tçáw fià èmá gònnỉæ-bá wú tà-ç̀ = sèy, } \\
& \text { say:IPFV:N.EGO LINK aunt Nuòsū-household:GEN interior UP-go = PFV:EGO }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(She) said, "Come, come! It won’t be good to not come"; so aunt (=I) went to the Nuòsū household, hahaha!' (CV14.287.1-2)

Example (1386) shows a speech quote that includes a non-finite clause chain. The quote is marked with square brackets and the non-finite clause chain is highlighted. The form tco in the non-finite clause chain marks that the actor argument of the speaking and the actor argument of the fleeing are necessarily the same.

The whole utterance is a finite clause chain (with embedded speech quotes). This can be seen by the use of the finite form tcaw, which marks the speaker of the first speech quote as different from the speaker of the second speech quote. If the non-finite form $t \varphi \sigma$ had been used instead, the speaker of the first speech quote would be interpreted as the same person as the speaker of the second speech quote.
(1386) də̀bǔ èmá nǽydzúmà è = bí, "míy dzà," tc̣àw fià, then aunt Naedzuma 1SG=DAT what be say:IPFV:N.EGO LINK

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { what be not.sure person=(DEF) } \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=\mathrm{TOP} \text { speech speak=EXPT } \\
& \text { zèmí=bù tsú dzá bǎ, "é kè = tá" tçà fià } \\
& \text { tonight }=\text { TOP ghost be SPEC } 1 \text { SG afraid }=\text { SVM say LINK } \\
& \text { è-phíg = sè̀."] } \\
& \text { IN-flee }=\text { PFV:EGO }
\end{aligned}
$$

‘Then aunt Naedzuma asked me, "What is it?"; (I said), "What it is (I) don't know, if it were a person, he would be able to speak; tonight it is maybe a ghost; (I) said "I am afraid" and fled in here." (YJ01.30)

Verbs in a finite clause chain can share the same actor argument, as illustrated in (1387), which is the climax of a trickster story. Trickster Hare is caught and tied up by the couple whose child he killed, and he now sets his plan in motion to kill them as well. All the actions, which ultimately lead to the death of the couple, are performed by Hare. ${ }^{416}$

'When they said, "It's boiling," LOOK! (Hare) used the knife, LOOK! to cut the bottom of the leather bag in just one cut, LOOK! let the pestle fall down; (he) let their cooking pot with boiling water break; (he) let all the glowing embers jump up.' (TC04.36)

Clauses in a finite (as well as a non-finite) clause chain can have different polarity, as in (1388) where the first clause is negative and the second positive. Note that the actor

[^187]in both clauses is the same（although functioning as $S$ argument in the first and $A$ argument in the second clause）．
\[

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\text { (1388) tsèmí }=\text { gón } & \text { mí }=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { £́y } & \text { fià } \\
\text { female.deer }=\text { AGT } & \text { NEG:PFV = flee:PFv:N.EGO } & \text { LiNK }
\end{array}
$$
\]

$$
\text { tçá } \quad k^{\text {hò }} \text { - } t^{\text {h }} w e ̂ y
$$

water out-drink:PFV:N.EGO
＇（．．．）the female deer did not flee，but drank the water．＇（TC07．6）
Thompson et al．$(2007: 237,242)$ note that coordination and subordination form a continuum and in some languages the same morpheme can function as a coordinator and a subordinator．When the clause linker $\kappa a$ links two clauses that stand in a cause－ and－effect relationship to each other，as in（1389），a causal subordination effect can be observed．However，this is an implicature，rather than real subordination．The latter is discussed in §10．4．3．

```
(1389) tşž nè-sá fià, tsó\eta zóy=mà cǐ mà=dáw.
    dog DOWN-die LINK house guard= NMLZ EXIST.AB NEG = IPFV:N.EGO
＇Because the dog died，there is no one to guard the house．＇（TC05．8EL）
```


## 10．3 Complementation and complementation strategies

A complement clause is a clause that functions as a nominal argument of another clause （Dixon 2006：4；Noonan 2007：52）．Following Dixon（2006），I distinguish between complementation and complementation strategies．Wǎdū Pǔmǐ shows three complementation types and several complementation strategies．Complementation types，described in §10．3．1，include sentence－like（S－like），non－finite and nominalized complement types．Complementation strategies，described in §10．3．2，include relative clause constructions，apposition and purposive linking．

## 10．3．1 Complementation

Semantically，the following complement－taking predicates（CTPs）can be distinguished in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ（terms from Noonan 2007：120ff）：the utterance predicate tøč＇to say＇； the propositional attitude predicates $\varphi I^{\prime}$＇to think＇and $s \hat{a} w d^{j} a W^{\prime}$＇to consider，think＇；the pretence predicate $f^{æ}$ nə $n \varepsilon-p \neq$＇to pretend＇；the commentative predicates $c \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$＇to


 want＇（§7．9．5）；the manipulative predicates $k \varepsilon \varepsilon j$＇let，cause＇（§7．9．8），$k^{h}$ émin pt＇no

[^188] $q^{h} \check{u}$ 'need' and $h \hat{a}$ 'ought' (§7.9); the achievement predicate dǒy 'to end up, become', $\eta{ }^{\prime}$ 'dare'; the phasal predicates $t s^{h}$ á 'to be exhausted, be finished' and $t c^{h}$ or $\eta$ 'to complete'; the immediate perception predicate tcín 'to see, observe, watch'.

In terms of structure, Dixon (2006:9) distinguishes between different complementtaking verbs: verbs he calls 'Primary-B verbs', which can take a clausal argument, but can also take only NP arguments; and 'Secondary verbs', verbs which need to take at least one clausal argument. Most modal auxiliaries are Secondary verbs.

Complements can be divided into sentence-like complements, non-finite complements, and nominalized complements. There is a tendency for Primary-B verbs to take a sentence-like complement and for Secondary verbs to take a reduced (non-finite or nominalized) complement.

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has three complementizers $l a, K a$ and $n^{j} \mathfrak{X}$ that probably derive from the intensifiers 'also', 'even’ and 'just’ respectively (§6.5.1, §6.5.2; §6.5.4), and that are only used optionally with some complement-taking predicates.

The different predicates and the types of complements they take are illustrated in the following subsections. In the example sentences, complement clauses are marked by angular brackets. Multiple complements can be present in a sentence; in (1390) n̂̂ $m a=d z \delta$ is the complement of $k^{h}$ émin $n e-p \not t$, which in its turn is the complement of $k w \varepsilon j$, which in its turn is the complement of tcaw.

```
(1390) <ní mà = dzá> > k kémí\eta nè-pù> >2 kwèj> >
    LOG NEG=eat not.possible DOWN-do let:PFv:N.EGO
    tçàw mà dàw fià.
    say:IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
    '(...) and (he) said that (they) caused him to have no choice but to eat it.'
    (CV09.150)
```


### 10.3.1.1 Sentence-like (S-like) complements

Sentence-like complements are complements in which the verb inflection (including person, aspect and evidentiality) and argument structure is the same as in an independent clause. Sentence-like complements are the most independent complement type in the language. All sentence-type complements in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ are Fact-type complements (Dixon 2006:23). Some take optional complementizers and appear in S or O argument slots.

Utterance predicates take only sentence-like complements (similar to rGyalrong, see Sūn 2012:481). The verb tça 'to say', which normally is a three-place verb with an optionally agentive-marked A argument, an obligatorily dative-marked E argument and
a non-marked O argument, as in (1391), takes quotes as complements in place of the normal O argument NP. Thus the quote comes between the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{E}$ arguments and the verb, as in (1392). Both direct and indirect speech can function as a complement, with the only distinction being the difference in pronouns which reflect the point of view of the original speaker in direct speech or the point of view of the current speaker in indirect speech. The verb endings reflect the deictic system of the original speaker in both direct and indirect speech. This has been described in §8.3.5.
'When I told this one ( = the Na riddle on distilling liquor) to the children today, (...)' (CV08.3.2)

```
(1392) [dǎwmà \(=\) bì \(]_{\mathrm{E}} \quad<\) kǒy thè-tç \(^{\mathrm{h}}\) óy tà pàw \(>_{0}\) tç̀̀
    T:rDo.rje.Dre.ma \(=\) DAT door FR.SP-open.door one do:IMP:SG say
    \(k^{\text {hì }}=\) là
    time \(=\) also
    '(...) When (I) told Dauma to open the door, (...)' (CV02.15)
```

 call out' cannot directly take a complement. Instead the verb tçǎ 'to say' needs to be present, as in (1393). Since these verbs do not take O arguments, the presence of $t \not \subset \check{z}$ allows the relationship between the two clauses to be expressed.
fià lićj l líj" > tçà dú=sí tçə̀= dàw.

$$
\text { INTJ } \quad \text { say call }=\text { INF } \quad \text { say }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO }
$$

'He himself (Hare) (...) called out, "Eat child meat nanana, wear child skin nanana!" ' (TC04.20)

I do not analyse tçž as a complementizer, however. It is possible to insert the clause linker $\kappa a$ between the two verbs, just as in other verb concatenations (as illustrated in examples (1380) and (1381) in §10.2.2). The verb tç̌ 'to say' is not used in combination with verbs of cognition or emotion (as in Dolakha Newar [Genetti 2006:149]), but it is often used in a non-finite clause chain with $6 a$ to link a quoted speech proposition to a resulting action (§10.2.2, example [1383]).

Verbs of utterance can be extended to other perception or cognition concepts, such as 'think' or 'realize', as in (1394), but this is rare, since there is a propositional attitude predicate $\boldsymbol{c}^{1}$ 'to think'. This verb behaves like an utterance verb (§8.3.5), taking

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1393) ní = bù dàbǔ (...) <"tç̀̀-ş́ dzá fà lićj l ľ̌j, tçìn-łá gú } \\
& \text { LOG }=\text { TOP then child-meat eat INTJ child-skin wear }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT} \text { today child }=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{DAT} \text { this }=\mathrm{DEF} \text { say time }=\mathrm{TOP}
\end{aligned}
$$

sentence-like complements, often direct and indirect quotes, that fill the O argument slot, as in (1395) and (1396).

'(...) the one who was riding realized it was a tiger and (he) immediately fled.' (KZ03.9)

```
(1395) mó = tì zì khì = bù dàbǔ, <í... \partiaĺ-wù mó zì
person=INDF EXIST.AN time=TOP then INTJ that-in person EXIST.AN
\partiaĺsèy>o cì fià, dòbǔ tá jèmá=gó\eta tá-càw.
AGR think LINK then this monk=AGT UP-raise
```

'When (he found out that) there was a person, (he) thought, "Iii! There is a person inside, right?", so this monk raised (it).' (TC09.10)

child $=$ PL:AGT morning $=$ just drink $=$ IPFV:N.EGO think $=$ EXPT
'The children will think that (I)'m drinking so early in the morning.'
(CV02.24)
Other complement-taking predicates that take sentence-like complements are the propositional attitude predicate $s \hat{a} W d^{j} a W$ 'to think, consider' and the knowledge predicate nǔ 'to know (facts)'. Both can take an NP argument as well as a sentencetype complement, which can be phrased like direct speech. Complements appear in the O argument slot. Here only examples of complements are given:
$\begin{array}{clll}\text { (1397) <nǐy } & \text { míg } & \text { pù }=\text { sù }>_{o} & \text { nè-sáwdjàw } \\ \text { 2SG } & \text { what } & \text { do }=\text { VOL:SG } & \text { DOwN-think:IMP:SG }\end{array}$
'(...) "Think what you want to do," (...)' (CV11.14.3)
(1398)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { <"ěy, tá-bù } & \text { má = nòy } & \text { má }=\text { tì } & \text { tà dzá kì̀ }=\text { bù, } \\ \text { INTJ } & \text { 3-household } & \text { mother =COORD } & \text { daughter }=\text { INDF } \text { only be time }=\text { TOP }\end{array}$
tà-bǎ má=bù ná pú t ch $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w}$ ǽ pù = dàw
3-household:GEN mother = TOP thus do kowtow do=IPFV:N.EGO
sì dàw," $>{ }_{0}$ thè-nù pâ.
EPIST:probably FR.SP-know do:PFV.N.EGO
'And he knew, "Oh, this household has only a mother and a daughter, so the mother probably often kowtows like that." ' (TC08.7ed)

None of the above-mentioned verbs take complementizers.
The knowledge predicate $Ћ æ \eta j \check{c} \grave{c} i ̀ ~ \eta æ \eta j \check{c ̌ c i ~ ' t o ~ k n o w ' ~ t a k e s ~ i n t e r r o g a t i v e ~ s e n t e n c e-l i k e ~}$ complements as well as NP arguments in the O argument slot. It optionally occurs with the complementizer la, as in (1399). This predicate is a denominal verb (87.7). In
 are separated by negation.


```
    1.INCL \(=\) PL front-time letter \(=\mathrm{INDF}\) exist.H-NMLZ \(\quad \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=\) TOP
```



```
    where EXIST.AN \(=\) also know \(=\) EXPT
```

    'If we had books in the past (to write down the history), (we) would know
    where (we) were (before).' (CV25.37)
    (1400)

INTJ story how how FR.SP-become GNOMIC knowledge=even
$m a ̀=$ cì má dzâ.
NEG $=$ EXIST.AB GNOMIC
'My oh my! (I) don't even know how the beginning (part of the story) goes.' (CV13.106)

The knowledge predicate $t \underline{c}^{h} \hat{e ̂ m i}$ 'not sure, don't know' occurs after a sentence-like complement clause and an optional complementizer, either $\kappa a$ or $l a$. The use or nonuse of a complementizer renders a slightly different meaning. According to my main consultant, a sentence without a complementizer is more straightforward and direct, using the complementizer la implies that the speaker does not care too much about the real situation, and using the complementizer $h a$ implies that the speaker is worried about the situation.

The form $t_{6}{ }^{h} \hat{e} m i$ is impersonal. It is not possible to add a human referent argument, but the complement rather functions as the $S$ argument.

Complements of $t^{h}{ }^{h} \hat{e} m i$ are often, but not necessarily, interrogative clauses, as in (1401) and (1402). A complement can only be perfective aspect when it appears as an interrogative clause, as in (1403), since in general one would expect a perfective situation to be known information.
(1401) < míy dzà $>_{s}$ t ch $^{\text {hémì }}$
what be not.sure
'(...) what it is (I) don't know (...)' (CV09.18)
(lit. what it is is not sure)

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
\text { (1402) <jájá } & \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { íntsth } & \text { dzá }=\text { qèj } \gg_{\mathrm{s}}=\text { fià } & \text { t } 6^{\mathrm{h}} \text { émì. } \\
\text { T:older.brother } & \mathrm{T}: \text { Phun.tshogs } & \text { be }=\text { EXPT }=\text { even } & \text { not.sure }
\end{array}
$$

'Whether older brother Phintshu will eat (it), (I) don’t know.' (CV04.42) (lit. whether older brother Phintshu will eat is not sure)

```
<thè-són = nóy mí \(=\) són \(>{ }_{s}=\) là \(\quad\) t \({ }^{\mathrm{h}}\) émì, fámázà \(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}\)-tséj
FR.SP-clean = COORD \(\quad\) NEG:PFV = clean = also not.sure messy \(\quad\) FR.SP-wash
tà \(\quad \mathrm{pù}=\) sèn \(\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\) bù.
one do \(=\) PFV:EGO time \(=\) TOP
```

'Whether it has become clean or not (I) don't know, (I) casually washed it a bit.' (CV17.1) (lit. whether it has become clean or not is not sure...)

The epistemic stative verb $\epsilon \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ 'to resemble' (\$8.4.5) can take NP arguments as well as a sentence-like clausal complement, as in (1404), and the complementizer la can optionally be added. Another epistemic construction, the impersonal fx nə(ni) 'it seems' (§8.4.5) takes a sentence-like complement, as in (1405). In both the complement appears in the $S$ argument slot. In combination with the verb $p$ t'to do', which functions as a sort of causativizer, $\downarrow^{æ} n \ni(n i)$ 'it seems' means 'to pretend', and the complement fills the $O$ argument slot, as in (1406).

old.man a.little false do $=$ EXPT resemble $=$ sVM AGR
'It looks like the old man will have been lying a bit, right?' (CV07.71)
(1405) $<$ qhètí $\quad$ pú $=$ dáw $>_{s}$ łæ̀ nànì.
certain.thing do =IPFV:N.EGO it.seems
'It seems (to me) that (they) do certain things.' (CV21.578.2)
 uncle(MB) $=$ GEN front again go:PFV:N.EGO $=$ INF it.seems DOWN-do $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h} ̀ ̀ ~}-\mathrm{ti}=$ séy
out-put $=$ PFV.EGO
'(...) but in front of uncle (I) pretended that he had gone (...)' (CV04.24.2)
The immediate perception verb 'to see' takes sentence-like complements with an optional complementizer $1 a$, as in (1407). Just like an NP argument, a complement appears in the O argument slot.


```
    INTJ back vomit do OUT-go:PFV:N.EGO=also see
    mà = dáw ásèy?
    NEG = IPFV:N.EGO AGR
```

'That's right, (Ahwa'aji) didn't even notice that (he) went to (his) back to vomit.' (CV09.50)

### 10.3.1.2 Non-finite complements

Non-finite complements are reduced predicates that lack full person, aspect and evidential marking. All the modal auxiliaries take non-finite complements. The question of whether auxiliaries take complements, or whether they should be analysed as part of a serial verb construction has been discussed for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ by Dīng (1998:326) and can be applied to Wǎdū Pǔmǐ as well. Ding's main argument is that verb concatenation involves nuclear juncture (cf. §10.2) and the juncture of an auxiliary verb with its complement involves a higher juncture.

Auxiliaries were discussed in $\S 7.9$, so I will only give a few examples here that illustrate complementation. Most modal auxiliaries are what Dixon (2006) calls "Secondary Verbs", verbs that take at least one clausal argument. The examples show ťêt.zè 'to like’ (§7.9.5), hâ 'ought’ (§7.9.10), and kwæ̌, a dialectal variant of tâ 'can’ (§7.9.7) in a correlative construction (§4.2.3).


```
    pig-tongue make can NEG = EXPT = IPFV:N.EGO
```

'(We) won't be able to make pig tongue, (...)' (CV18.49)
(1409) khว̀- $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{hj}} \mathrm{ó}_{\mathrm{y}}$,
out-drink:IMP:SG
'Drink, this is medicine, (you) ought to drink (...)' (CV06.3)
(1410) èkáw bálì = gònnì yón $\quad \dot{e}=$ è $=\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{e} \grave{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{bù}, \quad$ púnà zènà,
uncle(MB) Bali $=$ AGT money $\mathrm{Q}=$ EXIST. $\mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{DIS}=$ TOP today yesterday

what eat like what eat can
'Uncle Bali said that whatever (you) like to eat (you) can eat these days if there is a bit of money. (...)' (CV14.52.2)

The knowledge predicate $m \check{ }$ 'to forget' takes activity or potential type complement clauses (Dixon 2006:25), in which the verb is not inflected for person, aspect or evidentiality. The meaning is 'forget to $\langle\mathrm{X}\rangle$ ', where $<\mathrm{X}>$ is the complement clause.

The complement clause cannot refer to a fact. Fact-type complements are expressed by nominalized complement, as will be discussed below (§10.3.1.3).

```
(1411) tá Cè-mádæ̀-lì = gòn, <ní= gæ̀ tìgnáw zǎ \(>_{0}\)
    this Hàn-female-DIM = AGT LOG = GEN Ch:computer carry
    nè-mó = sì
    DOWN-forget \(=\mathrm{INF}\)
    '(...) this Hàn Chinese girl (said that) she had forgotten to carry her computer
    (...)' (PC06w.7)
```

The phasal predicates $t s^{h}{ }^{\alpha}$ 'to be exhausted, be finished' and $t t^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{\prime} \eta$ 'to complete' (§7.8.6) take non-finite complements, and cannot usually occur with an NP (Dixon (2006) "Secondary Verbs"), but when the action is clearly known to the addressee, a complement clause verb might be left out (see Dixon 2006:14). Thus one can say $t s a ́$ $n \grave{b}-t s^{h} \mathscr{a}^{\prime}$ 'the meat is finished' which could be understood as $t s \alpha ́ d z a ́ n e ̀-t s^{h} \dot{a}$ 'the meat is finished (eating)' è̀ $^{h}$ ón $t^{h}$ è- $t_{6}{ }^{h} \hat{O} \eta$ 'the blanket is completed' which could be understood as $\subset \grave{e} p^{h}$ ón tç̌̌ $t^{h} \grave{仑}-t \epsilon^{h} \hat{o} \eta$ 'the blanket is completed (weaving)'. This raises the issue of whether the argument of $t s^{h} a ́$ and $t t^{h} \check{o ̌ \eta} \eta$ is ever a true NP, or if it is always a complement clause where the NP may be omitted.

```
(1412) <d\noţ\partial̀d\noţì dq́> ts'á mà=dáw \hat{a}?
    letter write be.finished NEG=IPFV:N.EGO CONF
    '(You) haven't finished writing yet?' (CV13.15)
```

```
(1413) < gǔ> tçǒy mà = dáw bǎ
    wear complete NEG=IPFV:N.EGO SPEC
```

    '(He) maybe isn't dressed yet.' (EL)
    The denominal verb (§7.7) $k^{h}$ émin $p \#$ 'have no choice but to' takes a negative nonfinite complement, as in (1414) and (1415).
(1414) ní <mà=dzá> kémíy nè-pù kwèj

LOG NEG = be not.possible DOWN-do let:PFv:N.EGO
tçàw mà dàw fià.
say:IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
'(...) and (he) said that (they) caused him to have no choice but to eat it.'
(CV09.150)
(1415) <pèzóy = tì mà = dzá> k kémíy pù wèn
roast.tsampa $=$ INDF NEG $=$ eat not.possible do CUST.EXCL
'(In the past) there would be no choice but to eat roasted tsampa (...)'
(CV14.168)

There are several impersonal constructions，borrowed from Chinese，that take a non－ finite clausal complement with the optional complementizers $n^{j} \mathfrak{X}$＇just＇or la＇also＇：$k^{h}$ uiji可以＇it is possible，it＇s okay＇，as in（1416）and（1417），and hwatejtsty划得着＇it is worth to＇or hwatejswäg 划得算＇it pays to＇，as in（1418）．The latter can also take a nominal argument，as in（1419）．

```
(1416) nì\eta-bú-sòy = gò\eta
    2-household-PART = AGT UP-bring time 1SG air ouT-eat just
    khújí làw.
    Ch:be.okay Ch:PFV
```

＇When several of you bring up（the food），it＇s okay for me just to eat air．＇ （CV21．92）


```
    that-on 1.INCL \(=\mathrm{PL}\) raised.platform \(=\) on \(\quad \mathrm{NEG}=\) feed also \(\mathrm{Ch}:\) still
    khújí mà dzà.
    Ch:be.okay GNOMIC
```

＇（．．．）even when we don＇t pour out libation on the upper fireplace，it is also okay．＇（CV24．52．2）

| 18）＜má＝¢æ̆ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ ¢́ts ${ }^{\text {hì }}$－pì $=$ nòy | tá $=$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ sèn | kí＞o lá |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| person＝PL：GEN | Tuōqī－clan＝COORD | 3 ＝PL firewood | sell also |

    hwàtèjtşı̀ = qéj = dâw.
    Ch:be.worth \(=\) EXPT \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
    ＇For the people of Tuōqī etcetera it will be worthwhile to sell firewood．＇ （CV19．58）

```
(1419) ná
    thus do then Ch:itemized.account Q=calculate=DIS = CONTR.TOP
    [tá]_ hwàtèjtsù má dzá k
    this Ch:be.worth GNOMIC time=TOP
```

    'If it is calculated one by one, this is worth it....' (CV19.12)
    The verb dǒn＇to be okay，to become＇is a verb that has both monovalent and bivalent uses．As a monovalent verb，it functions as a stative verb（§8．2）with the meaning＇to be okay＇，as in（1420），and when a directional prefix is present，it has an inchoative meaning（§7．1．2）＇to become better＇，as in（1421）．As a bivalent verb，dǒn can take a nominal O argument with the meaning＇to become NP＇，and when that is the case，it usually appears with a directional prefix，as in（1422）．

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
(1420) \text { mà } & =q^{\text {hǔu }}, & \text { tǎ } & \text { dòy }=q \text { q̌̌j. } \\
\text { NEG } & \text { need } & \text { now } & \text { become }=\text { EXPT }
\end{array}
$$

'No need, (it)'s okay for now.' (CV02.18)

| (1421) tá | $\mathrm{q}^{\text {hò-dzá }} \mathrm{k}^{\text {híli }}$ bù, | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hè- }}$ dón | wèn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| this | out-eat time= ${ }^{\text {top }}$ | FR.SP-become | cust.excl |

'(I said to her), "When (you) eat this, (you'll) get well." (...)' (CV09.106)

be.okay $=I N F$ corn.cob.inside all flour DOWN-become $=$ EXPT CONTR
'Okay, the corn cob insides will all have become flour.' (CV13.46)
Both the monovalent and bivalent forms of the verb can take clausal complements: nominalized clausal complements, discussed in §10.3.1.3, and non-finite clausal complements, discussed here.

When the monovalent form of the verb takes a clausal complement, it functions like an impersonal verb dǒn 'to be okay to $\langle\mathrm{X}\rangle$ '. The complement appears in the Sargument slot. This is a topic-comment structure in which the sentence-like complement is the topic and can be followed by a topic marker, as in (1423) (cf. Qiāng [LaPolla with Huáng 2003:229]) or the intensifiers $=l a$ 'also' and $=n^{j} \mathfrak{X}$ 'just', as in (1424). When both an intensifer and a topic marker are present, the topic marker follows the intensifier, as in (1425).

$$
\begin{array}{rlllll}
(1423) "<\text { jèhǎ tá-ç̀ } & \text { kèj }>=\text { bù } & \text { dǒy } & \text { mà }=\text { qéj } & \text { ásèy," cì. } \\
\text { all } & \text { UP-go } & \text { let }=\text { TOP } & \text { be.okay } & \text { NEG }=\text { EXPT } & \text { AGR }
\end{array} \text { think }
$$

، "To let them all go by will not be okay, right?" (he) thought.' (TC02.62)

```
(1424) <ná tçź thè-tçǎ> = niæ̀ dò =qčj.
thus do FR.SP-say=just be.okay = EXPT
```

'Telling (her) like this, that's good.' (CV14.149)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { medicine }=T O P \text { now this.period.of.time }=T O P \quad \text { NEG }=\text { buy }=a l s o=T O P \\
& \text { dòn }=q \text { č } j \\
& \text { be.okay }=\text { EXPT } \\
& \text { 'It will be okay to not buy medicine now during this period of time, (...)' } \\
& \text { (CV02.44) }
\end{aligned}
$$

When the bivalent form of the verb dǒg takes a clausal complement, a directional prefix is always present and the meaning is 'to end $u p<X>$ ', as in (1426) and (1427). Interestingly, when the clausal complement involves an existential verb (§7.6) or a modal auxiliary (§7.9), as in (1426), the complement is actually sentence-like in that
it can function as an independent clause. When the clausal complement involves a normal verb, like dzón 'to sit', as in (1427), the complement cannot function as an independent clause, but is non-finite.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a.little }=\text { also do } \text { NEG }=\text { can } \quad \text { FR.SP-become }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(...) (the situation) has become (that I'm) not able to do even a little bit, (...)' (CV02.38)


```
    recent-daytime 1SG Yǒngníng go= PFV:EGO time Ch:tricycle=in
    dò\eta dzó\eta> thé-dòn mò dò\eta fà.
    together sit FR.SP-become NMLZ.CONSTR
```

'Recently when I went to Yǒngníng, (we) ended up sitting together in the same tricycle.' (CV21.248)

### 10.3.1.3 Nominalised complements

Nominalised complements are very common in Tibeto-Burman languages (Genetti 2011:172ff; Sūn 2012:481ff), but Wǎdū Pǔmǐ displays only a few complements that involve nominalization. The agentive nominalizer $=m ə$ ( $\$ 5.2 .3$ ), also used in relative clauses ( $\S 5.3 .2),{ }^{418}$ is used to express fact-type complements of the knowledge predicate mə̌ 'to forget', as in (1428); compare this with example (1411) above.

```
(1428) tá \(\quad\) ¢̀̀-mə́dæ̀-lì = gòy, \(\quad<n i ́=\) gæ̀ tìŋnáw \(\quad\) zǎ \(=\) mə \(>_{0}\)
    this Hàn-female-DIM = AGT LOG \(=\) GEN Ch:computer carry = NMLZ
    nè-mə́ = sì
    DOWN-forget \(=I N F\)
```

'This Hàn Chinese girl (said that) she had forgotten (the fact) that she carried her computer' (PC06w.7EL)

Another nominalizer used for complementation is the purposive nominalizer $-j i$ (§5.2.2). The verbs that have been attested with nominalised complements are dǒ $\eta$ 'to be okay, become' and the equational copula $d z \hat{\imath}$ 'to be'. As described in $\S 7.9 .11$, the constructions $<X>-j i \quad d \check{o} \eta$ and $<X>-j i \quad d z \hat{o}$ function as deontic modality constructions. $<X>-j i ~ d \check{\eta} \eta$ literally means 'be good to $X$ ' which implies the need to conduct a certain action and having no choice but to do it, as in (1429), or $<X>-j i$

[^189]DIR-dǒy which literally means 'become to X ' which implies that one could only conduct a certain action, as in (1430).

| (1429) dàdzá | $k^{\text {hù }}$-qhú $\quad$ tò-sèj $=$ sì | ţàw | bàw, ì = dzán |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dezhi | out-on UP-go:PFV:N.EGO = INF | HSY | CONTR 1:INCL = DU |
| $¢ \partial{ }^{\text {a }}=\mathrm{jí}$ | dò $=$ q q j $=$ dà w | bǎ. |  |
| $\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{NML} 2$ | Z be.okay = EXPT $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | SPEC |  |

'It is said that Dezhi went down the valley up (to Tuōqī); the two of us maybe will need to go (pick up Duoji).' (CV21.331)

| tçì $=\mathrm{g}$ g $=$ bù | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {j}}$ ¢̀-sò | $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{u}}$, | mò̀géy $=\mathrm{g}$ = $=$ dè | dzwæ̀ | t¢̧́-jì |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| child $=$ DEF $=$ TOP | PROH-die | POL | old. man $=$ DEF $=$ DIS | let.it.be | say-NMLZ |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hè-dòn }}$ | sèn |  |  |  |  |
| FR.SP-become | GR |  |  |  |  |

'(We) could only say, "We hope that the child will not die, but as for that insignificant old man, let it be," right? (...).' (CV09.133.1)

The construction $\langle X\rangle-j i d z \hat{o}$ with the equational copula $d z \hat{z}$ 'to be' indicates that there is a need to do the action indicated by the complement, as in (1431).

'So if (one) would want to let him narrate, (one) would first need to give (him) liquor, right?' (CV13.113)

### 10.3.2 Complementation strategies

A complementation strategy used in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is apposition. This is shown in example (1432) where both nǒy $v n i ́ n c-m \check{o}$ ti $p t=s u$ and $q \check{n} \eta \hat{\varepsilon}=q \varepsilon j$ are complete clauses. Normally, the commentative predicate dǒg 'to be okay' takes a non-finite complement (see (1423-1425) above).

'When (Bear) said, "In that case, I want to blow for a bit, will (that) be okay?" (...)' (TC06.3)

## 10．4 Subordinate clauses

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has several subordinate clause constructions．Apart from their role in the predicate－focus construction described in §10．8，discourse markers（§6．5）play an important role in subordinate clauses．The semantics of the particular discourse marker indicates the relationship between the subordinate clause and the main clause． Conditional clauses are treated in §10．4．1，temporal and concessive clauses are discussed in §10．4．2，and causal clauses are dealt with in §10．4．3．

## 10．4．1 Conditional clauses

In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ there are two ways to form conditional clauses：the first uses the interrogative marker $\hat{\varepsilon}=$（§7．3），either by itself or fused with the directional prefix， both illustrated in（1433），and usually followed by one of the discourse markers described in §6．5；the second uses the conditional subordinator satç＇if＇，as in （1434）．${ }^{419}$

```
(1433) dòy pútú pù-jì tà, èpú = gá ó-dł̣̀
    together roast do-NMLZ can grandfather=GEN that-location
```



```
    \(\mathrm{Q}=\) EXIST. \(\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad \mathrm{FR} . \mathrm{SP}: \mathrm{Q}\)-complete \(=\mathrm{TOP}\)
```

＇If there is（meat）at grandfather＇s over there，if it is done，（you）can roast it together．＇（CV18．30．2）

```
(1434) ì\eta=dzáy pètsá dì zó\eta thè-cà sátḉ⿱㇒⿸⿻一丿又土 \partiaĺ-wù thè-tà =qêj
    1:INCL=DU flower throw SIM FR.SP-go if that-in FR.SP-arrive=EXPT
```

    '(...) If the two of us go throwing flowers, we will reach that place (...)'
    (TC07.26)
    The conditional clauses differ slightly in interpretation．A clause with sotcæ，as in （1435），is a relatively neutral statement of the situation（＇if it rains，the logical result is that the clothes will get wet＇），but（1436），which has the interrogative marker $=\mathrm{e}$ （fused with the directional prefix），implies that the speaker is very much concerned with the possibility that it will rain and the clothes will get wet，and is expressing his hope that that will not happen．

[^190]```
(1435) gwí né-tç'ò\eta sàtç̀, tcò\etagú nè-dzǽ\eta= qèj
    rain DOWN-appear if clothes DOWN-wet=EXPT
```

'If it rains, the clothes will get wet.' (EL)
(1436) gwí nǽ-tç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ òy = bù, tc̣òygú nè-dzǽŋ = qèj
rain DOWN:Q-appear $=$ TOP clothes DOWN-wet $=$ EXPT
'If it rains, the clothes will get wet.' (EL)
The general topic marker $=b u$ is the only one that can follow the conditional subordinator sotcæ 'if', as in (1437).

| , | t ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}=\mathrm{m}$ ' | nìn = góynî | $t^{\text {hè }}$-pá | sə̀tçæ = bù dàbǔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1SG | say $=$ NMLZ | $2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ | FR.SP-do:PFV:N.EGO | if $=$ TOP then |

'(...) if you do what I say, (...)' (TC02.48)
The other conditional subordinate clause type can also be followed by $=b u$, as in (1436), but can additionally be followed by a whole range of discourse markers (§6.5), as illustrated in examples (1438-1440). This is in line with Haiman (1978) who states that conditionals are basically topics. The various discourse markers link the conditional clause topics to their comments and the semantics of the discourse markers denote the relationship between conditional topic and comment. When a conditional clause is marked with the topic marker $=b u$ (§6.5.6), it is linked in a fairly neutral way to the comment, as in (1433) above.

When a conditional clause is marked with the disjunctive topic marker $=d i(\S 6.5 .7)$, the comment has an adversative meaning, as in (1438).
(1438) ə́jù $\quad$ ¢wépà $=$ mə̀ $\quad$ é $=$ dzə̀ $=$ dì $\quad$ tçì ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \not{ }^{2}$ INTJ scold = NMLZ $Q=$ be:N.EGO = DISJ.TOP embarrassing.situation

'We moaned that, "Ojo, if we had scolded them, it would have been a very embarrassing situation." ' (CV08.20.4)

When the conditional clause is marked with the contrastive topic marker $=S \boldsymbol{\rho}$ (§6.5.8), the comment has an contrastive meaning, as in (1439), where it is contrasted with the situation that it does not snow.

```
(1439) é-bù = là
                    tè-dzú
                                दə́ dò̀ = q ćj bǎ,
    1-household = also one-CLF:time go be.okay=EXPT SPEC
```



```
    snow \(\quad \mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{Q}=\) appear \(=\) TOP snow \(\mathrm{Q}=\) appear \(=\) CONTR.TOP
    də̀bǔ jǎw tí-qhú ḉ fácì mà =q q́j bǎ.
    then again up-on go can NEG=EXPT SPEC
```

'Our household maybe needs to go one time as well, if it does not snow; if it snows however, one can maybe not go up there.' (CV18.71)

When the conditional clause is marked with $=l a$ 'also' (§6.5.1), the comment has an additive meaning, as in (1440).
(1440) fǎw, tò = gǒy é= lí = là zò = qéj mò dzì.

INTJ this = AGT $Q=$ recite = also come=EXPT NMLZ.CON
'Oh, if it recites, (the sound) can also come out.' (CV21.467)
No conditional clauses followed by $=6 a$ (§6.5.2), $=n o \eta(\S 6.5 .3),=n^{j} \mathfrak{\not}$ (§6.5.4), $=$ gədi $(\S 6.5 .10),=$ tcomə $(\S 6.5 .10)$ or $=\epsilon i(\S 6.5 .11)$ have been attested in the corpus. It is possible for no discourse marker to be present, as in (1441).
 now this-under out:Q-eat one-clF:meal very.well To.sp-feed out:Q-eat
tì = ұǽ $=$ wú té-kù $=$ là dzá tsén mà $=q$ êj.
other $=$ PL:GEN $=$ in one-CLF:mouthful $=$ also eat N.CONTR NEG $=$ EXPT
'(...) if (I) eat here now, if (I) eat one meal of good food, (I) won't even eat one mouthful of food at other's.' (CV21.273.4)

Negative conditional clauses are marked with both the negation marker $m \check{a}=$ and the interrogative marker $\hat{\mathcal{e}}=$, as in (1442).

now age small $=$ NMLZ $=$ PL:AGT think $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{Q}=$ can do

NEG $=\mathrm{Q}=$ can $=$ TOP home:GEN person $=$ PL=also heart
nè-kón wèn.
Down-lose.heart cust.excl
'If young people are not able to think, are not able to act, the people at home are disappointed; (...)' (TC01ed.18)

The markers are fused and auditorily [mǎ:] with a long vowel and a rising-falling pitch is observed. That this is indeed a merger of the negation marker and the interrogative
marker can be seen from example (1444), when compared to (1443). ${ }^{420}$ That example (1443) is a normal negative clause used as general topic, and not a negative conditional clause, can be seen from the tonal pattern and the optional addition of the topic marker $=b u$. Example (1443) expresses a realis situation: the eye of the slaughtered pig has not been pushed in yet, and without a knife this will prove impossible. Example (1444) on the other hand shows a counterfactual situation: the eye of the pig has been pushed in and this would not have been possible without a knife.


```
    eye \(=\) TOP \(\quad\) INTJ 1:INCL \(=\) DU knife NEG \(=\) EXIST. \(A B=\) TOP blow.up
    tc̣̀ mà =q £́j bâw)).
    can:EGO:1 NEG = EXPT CONTR
```

'Mind you, if we two don't have a knife, (we) won't be able to push in the eye.' (CV18.133)


```
    eye \(=\) TOP \(\quad\) INTJ 1:INCL \(=\) DU knife NEG \(=\mathrm{Q}=\) EXIST. \(A B=\) TOP blow.up
    tç̀ mà = qéj bâw)).
    can:EGO:1 NEG = EXPT CONTR
```

'Mind you, if we two had not had a knife, (we) would not have been able to push in the eye.' (CV18.133EL)

The presence of the negation marker $m \check{a}=$ blocks the tone of the preceding directional prefix or verb from spreading, as in (1445), (see also §3.4.5).

| (1445) tá | mì = gá | ně-mǎ = dù = bù, | zègànón | jǎw |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this | edible.fungus = DEF | DOWN-NEG:Q = poison= TOP | day.after | again |

łèndí $ఢ$ ¢́ = qèj bàw nǐy.
seek go = EXPT CONTR INTJ
'If (they) had not been poisoned by the mushroom, the day after (they) would have gone seeking (the yak corpse), mind you.' (CV09.151)

Conditional constructions can be repeated with the clause coordinator $=n o \eta$ in between ( $\hat{\mathcal{E}}=V=n o \eta \hat{\mathcal{E}}=V=b u$ ) to render a more intense meaning, as in (1446), (cf. §10.1). This example implies that the speaker thinks she knows better than the addressee and is proudly making a suggestion. If only a single conditional construction had been used, the meaning would be more neutral.

[^191]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1446) } \text { é }=\text { dzú }=\text { nò } \quad \hat{e}=\text { dzù }=\text { bù t } c^{h} \text { wí pú } \\
& \mathrm{Q}=\text { make }=\operatorname{COORD} \quad \mathrm{Q}=\text { make }=\mathrm{TOP} \text { good do }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

'"If (you) really build it, do it well..." ' (CV14.144.6)

### 10.4.2 Temporal and concessive clauses

Speakers of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ use the same mechanism to express both temporal and concessive clauses; it depends on the combination of the individual clauses whether the subordinate clause should be interpreted as temporal or concessive.

Temporal clauses are followed by the subordinator $k^{h} i$ (the nominal $k^{h}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ time' ${ }^{421}$ ), as in (1447). When the first clause, subordinated by $k^{h} j$, has the opposite polarity from the second clause, the meaning of the subordinate clause is a concessive 'even though, ...but', as in (1448).

```
(1447) nìy-bú-sòy = gòy
    2-household-PART=AGT UP-bring time 1SG air oUT-eat=just
    khújí làw.
    Ch:be.possible Ch:PFV
```

'When several of you bring up (the food), it's okay for me to just eat air.'
(CV21.92)

| (1448) nǐy |  |  | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$, dàbǔ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2sG | see $=$ EXPT | say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | time then |
|  | $6^{\text {hón }}$ | mə̀ t ch $^{\text {hò }}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{V}=$ come:P | :N.EGO NMLZ.C | NSTR |

'Even though (he) said that you will see (him), (he) did not come.'
(CV07.26.2)
Various discourse markers (§6.5) can follow $k^{h} i$ to connect the subordinate clause to the following main clause in more specific ways. The semantics of the particular discourse marker indicates the relationship between the subordinate clause and the main clause. The fact that discourse markers can follow temporal subordinate clauses is not surprising if one analyses these clauses as relative clause constructions headed by the nominal $k^{h}{ }^{h}$ time'. ${ }^{422}$

[^192]The most frequently used discourse marker in temporal clauses is the general topic marker $=b u$ (§6.5.6). ${ }^{423}$ It has a fairly neutral meaning 'when $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ', as in (1449). Occurrence of the additional topic marker $=$ t¢əmə ( 86.5 .10 ) is shown in (1450). It is not clear at this point what the exact difference is between clauses with $k^{h} i=b u$ and $k^{h} i=$ tcəmə. A temporal clause marked by the contrastive topic marker $s$ ( $(\S 6.5 .8)$ indicates a contrast with a previously mentioned time, as in (1450). The discourse markers $=d i(\S 6.5 .7),=n i(\S 6.5 .9),=g ə d i(\$ 6.5 .10)$ and $=c i(\$ 6.5 .11)$ have not been attested in temporal clauses in the corpus and their occurrence in this construction is deemed unacceptable by my main consultant.
 OUT-go $=$ PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP $\quad$ aunt $=$ AGT $\quad$ IN-flee $=$ PFV:EGO NMLZ.CONSTR 'When (we) went outside (to look), aunt fled inside (again).' (CV09.35)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { loc̀dzú = tç̀̀mə̀ nè-púlỉ́ } \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ì}=\text { tçàmà bètwâtwâ }  \tag{1450}\\
& \text { hear.cover }=\text { TOP DOWN-turn.over time }=\text { TOP irregular }
\end{align*}
$$

'When the head cover was upside down, it was all irregular, (...)' (CV22.7.2)

pig-food feed $=$ COORD NEG $=$ feed $=$ COORD this.time cold
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ sò $\quad$ t $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w}$ æ̀ $=\Varangle \partial ́=$ bì $\quad \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wá mé $=$ dàw
time $=$ CONTR.TOP pig $=$ PL $=$ DAT be.of.use NEG:EMPH $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'Whether or not one feeds (the pigs) pig fodder, at this period of time when it is cold however, it is no use at all to the pigs, (...)' (CV14.36)

In most of the examples in the corpus, an subordinate clause with $k^{h} i$ and the intensifier $=1 a$ 'also' (§6.5.1) marks a temporal concessive clause '(even) when X , but Y '. Usually the actor (S/A) argument of the subordinate clause is different from the actor (S/A) argument of the main clause, as in (1452), and often the polarity is different as well. There are a few examples where the actor (S/A) argument of both clauses is the same (but note that there the polarity is different), as in (1453). In a few examples, however, $=l a$ is used in its original meaning of 'also', and the subordinate clause has a temporal and not a concessive reading, as in (1454). The particular reading depends on the discourse context.

[^193]
'Even when I said that that was a person, they came over to me and said, "(You) are still going out, you are very bold." ' (CV09.34)

| iêj, | mùjù | péj $=$ sú | ţàw | $k^{\text {hì }}=$ là |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INTJ | Ch:konjac | simmer = vOL:SG | say:IPFV:N.EGO | time=also |

dzú mé = thòy.
grind NEG:EMPH = can:N.EGO
'Hey, when (aunt Sanong) said that (she) would boil some konjac, (she) had no time to grind it.' (CV21.242.2)
(1454) dàbǔ ts ${ }^{h}$ èlə̀lí = gá dàbǔ tçá = wù nè-dǐ nè-cà
then little.dog=DEF then water=in DOWN-throw DOwn-go
kwéj $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù $\quad$ dàbǔ
let:PFV:N.EGO time=TOP then
'Then when (he) threw the small dog downwards into the water, (...)'
(TC02.27)

| àw | fú $=$ gə̀ | nè-dǐ | nè-cà | kéj | $k^{\text {hí }=\text { là }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INTJ | chicken = DEF | Down-throw | Down-go | let | time= also |

'When (he) also threw the chicken down, (...)' (TC02.28)
Subordinate clauses followed by $k^{h} i$ and = $k a$ 'even' (§6.5.2) have either temporal or concessive readings, depending on the discourse context, as in (1455) and (1456) respectively.

INTJ IN-come time $=$ even one-ClF:run one-ClF:run $=$ LIM. $T O P=T O P=T O P$

$1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad$ walk $=$ also $\mathrm{NEG}=$ can FR.SP-become INFO foot
'My oh my! After (I) came back (from Yǒngníng) (I) was continuously running back and forth, until I could not even walk any more, (my) foot (was so painful).' (CV21.248)
(1456) zée-phà $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{dzu} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ ì $=$ fià
four-CLF:part FR.SP-make time $=$ even
è-lóy = dàw tदàw nǐy
IN-become.full.of.maggots = IPFV:N.EGO say:IPFV:N.EGO INTJ
'(Wujin) said that even when it was made into four parts, it became full of maggots, mind you!' (CV18.118)

Subordinate clauses followed by $k^{h} i$ and $=n o \eta$ 'only' (§6.5.3) have the meaning 'only when $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$, as in (1457). Subordinate clauses followed by $k^{h} i$ and $=n^{j} \boldsymbol{\not}$ ' just, already, right then' (§6.5.4) have the meaning 'when X , right then/already Y ', as in (1458), or a concessive meaning, as in (1459).
(1457) mò jí $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ nòy tù wèy
sky be.clear time =only dig CUST.EXCL
'(...) only when the weather is clear (we) will harvest.' (CL03ed.23)
 front-time uncle $(\mathrm{MB})=\mathrm{AGT}$ pour time $=$ just thus do pour CUST.EXCL
'In the past when uncle poured out libation, (he) would already do (it) like this.' (CV23.23.2)

then INTJ small very time $=$ just $g o=T O P$ go $=$ NMLZ
dzà = dàw $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}$
be $=$ IPFV:N.EGO TRAIL
'(...) then, mind you, even though (he) was very small, (he) went (...)'
(CV01.7)
The general topic marker $=b u$ can follow the intensifiers $=l a$ 'also', $=n o \eta$ 'only' and $=n^{j} \not{ }_{\mathcal{X}}$ 'just', as in (1460), (1461) and (1462). Other discourse markers cannot co-occur in temporal clauses.

| $\begin{aligned} & (1460) \text { mə́ }=\Varangle æ ̀ ~ \\ & \text { person }=\text { PL:GEN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { məə́= } \begin{array}{l} \text { ł̀̀ } \\ \text { person }=\text { PL } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} i ̀ n} \\ & \text { flee } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\text { lá }=\text { bù } \\ & \text { time }=\text { also }=\text { TOP } \end{aligned}$ | kè <br> tough |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kí = sép | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì. }}$ |  |  |  |
| chase $=$ PFV:EGO | TRAIL |  |  |  |

'Even when other people fled, I toughly chased after them.' (CV14.183)

four-CLF:generation $=$ in $\quad$ time $=$ only $=$ TOP $\quad$ FR.SP-come. undone $=I N F \quad$ CONTR
'(...) we spread (from them) only four generations ago.' (CV25.48.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { person }=\text { PL } \quad \text { person }=\text { INDF } \quad \text { FR.SP-see } \quad \text { time }=\text { just }=T O P
\end{aligned}
$$

'As soon as one sees other people, (...)' (CV14.172.1)
The intensifiers =la'also', =n'æ્æ'just, already, right then', =nog'only' can also appear as clausal subordinators by themselves. When $k^{h} i$ is present as a subordinator, as in the examples above, the emphasis of the utterance is more on the temporal aspect of the situation 'at the time when $X$ ', whereas when $k^{h} i$ is absent, the emphasis is more on the situation itself, presented as a topic for the rest of the utterance, as in (1463), (1464) and (1465). The general topic marker $=b u$ can follow the clause, as in (1466).

| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wì | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hèèpú }}$ | m ¢ $=\mathrm{q}^{\text {hù }} \mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}$ - -tì $=$ là | nè-sò = wên |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pity | FR.SP-do | sky $=$ on out-put $=$ also | DOWN-die = CUST.EXCL |

'(There are some children) even when (you) care for (them) till the heavens, (they) will die.' (CV07.1)

| (1464) ¢ègò-sén = bù | ¢ú | ŋú $=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}}$ æ̀ | d ǽg $=\mathrm{q}^{\text {h }}$ ù | són |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| later-morning $=$ TOP | chicken | crow $=$ just | raised.platform $=$ on | incense |

tòn $\quad q^{\text {hú }}$ wèn
burn.incense need CUST.EXCL
'Early morning the next day, as soon as the rooster crows one needs to burn incense at the raised platform; (...)' (CL02ed.16)
(1465) tí-qú tá-cò = nòn ní nè-gæ̀ tí khź-ţ̧ hòn tçàw.
up-on UP-go =only LOG DOWN-happy one out-appear say:IPFV:N.EGO '(She) said that only when (the old man) had gone up (into the mountains), she was happy.' (CV14.226)
(1466) pи́pù zèpù = s̀̀ ìy = ұ́ ásèn, ó-dzæ̀
this.year last.year $=$ CONTR.TOP 1:INCL $=$ PL AGR that-location:GEN
nè- $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ lá $=$ bù, $\quad$ mín $\quad$ dzà $=$ là dzó-jí $\quad$ cì $=$ dâw.
DOwn-be.tired $=$ also $=$ TOP what eat $=$ also eat-NMLZ EXIST.AB $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'These recent years, however, even though we were tired, but whatever (we wanted) to eat was there, right?' (CV21.263)

### 10.4.3 Causal clauses

Both the agentive/instrumental marker $=g o \eta(n i)(\S 6.2 .1, \S 6.2 .4)$ and the ablative marker $\AA a$, which also functions as a subordinate clause linker ( $\S 6.2 .9$; §10.2), are used as causal subordinators. Agentive, instrumental and ablative markers function as causal
subordinators in many Tibeto-Burman languages ${ }^{424}$ (Genetti 1986, 1991; LaPolla 1995a, 1995b, 2004, Bickel 1999a).

One form of causal subordination ${ }^{425}$ is done by means of the instrumental/agentive marker $=g o \eta(n i)$ ( $\$ 6.2 .1$ ). The subordinate clause marked by the agentive indicates the cause; the following main clause indicates the result (often a feeling the referent experiences as a result of the action), as in (1467) and (1468). Often a negative result is implied, but a positive result is possible as well. In most cases in the corpus, the result of the action is not expressed, but left implicit (see §10.5), as in examples (14711474).

```
(1467) tó = gá dq̧àdz̧̀̀ swéy = góy mògé\eta ní= bù némíy nè-tç hóy
    this=DEF letter study=AGT old.man LOG = TOP torment DOWN-appear
    tcàw.
    say:IPFV:N.EGO
```

    'Because this one ( = child) was going to school, the old man said that he
    himself had a hard time.' (CV07.60.1)
    (1468) é = tçámà
kè = gónní nè-sǎ
$1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad$ afraid $=$ AGT $\quad$ DOWN-die
'I was so afraid, (I thought) I'd die.' (CV22.20.9EL)

There is a clear distinction between 'self-person' and 'other-person' situations (see Chapter 8 for a discussion of these concepts as expressed in the verbal system of the language). The marker $=g o \eta(n i)$ is used in 'other-person' situations, as in (1467), and 'self-person' situations where the verb is not controllable, as in (1468). The construction $q^{h} u=$ gonni ${ }^{426}$ is used in 'self-person' situations: first person declarative clauses, as in (1384), and co-reference between main clause and embedded speech clause where the verb is controllable, as in (1470). Note that in the last example the verb dǔ'to be detestable' is not controllable, thus $=g o \eta$ is used, whereas the verb $弓 \omega \check{\eta} \eta$ 'to block' is controllable, thus $q^{h} u=$ gonni is used. Another example of self-person (marked by $q^{h} u=$ golnit) and other-person (marked by $=$ gol) is given in (1471).

[^194]

```
    laugh: RECP CUST.INCL = AGT DOWN-die
```

'(...) we died with laughter.' (CV08.20.6)

```
(1470) ní = dì dù = gǒy nè-sǎ, zwèy qǔ ǔ zwèn
    LOG \(=\) DISJ. TOP detestable \(=\) AGT DOWN-die block CUST.INCL block
    \(\mathrm{q}^{\text {hù }}((=\) gónní \())\)
    CUST.INCL = AGT
```

'(...) she herself died with detestation and tried to block (him).' (CV14.218)
 laugh CUST.INCL $=$ AGT $=$ TOP laugh take $=$ AGT person $=$ PL all laugh
k $\varepsilon$ j $=$ gònnì $=$ bù.
let $=\mathrm{AGT}=\mathrm{TOP}$
'We laughed (so hard that....), he was joking so that he made everybody laugh (so hard that...).' (CV14.146.5)

The causal subordinate clause marked by the agentive often expresses a continuous action; the verb, and the inclusive knowledge marker $q^{h} u$ if present, is reduplicated, as in (1472) and (1473).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { last.year year.before.last this }=\text { PL: } \operatorname{GEN}=\text { CONTR.TOP } \quad \text { IN-shut.up } \\
& \text { è-tæ̀ }=\text { gôy. } \\
& \text { in-shut.up = AGT }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(...) last year and the year before last (she) was often shut up, so...' (CV12.27.1)
(1473) ìy = $\neq$ b́ $=$ bù, dàbǔ màgéy $=$ gá = dè dzwǎ, tç̀̀y = gá
$1: \mathrm{INCL}=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{TOP}$ then old. $\mathrm{man}=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{DIS}$ let.it.be child $=\mathrm{DEF}$

'We were continuously moaning, saying, "As for the insignificant old man, let it be ( = let him die), (but we) hope that the child will get better." ' (CV09.96)

The agentive use and the subordinating use of $=g o \eta$ can appear in the same clause, as in (1474), where $=g o \eta(n i)$ appears on the verb tǎg and on the noun láwsə.

```
(1474)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
è－tǎy & è－tè \(=\) góynî， & têj， & jæ̀ & tş̀ & láwś＝gò \\
IN－shut．up & IN－shut．up＝AGT & INTJ & Ch：Yang & Ch：Zhi & Ch：teacher＝AGT
\end{tabular}
    è-tæ̀り = góynî, há
    IN-shut.up = AGT INTJ
```

＇Wow，（I was）often shut up，（I was）often shut up by teacher Yang Zhi，so．．． hahaha！＇（CV12．26）

The topic markers $=b u$ and $=$ tcomo can follow the causal subordinate clause，as in （1475）and（1476）．${ }^{427}$ Other discourse particles have not been attested in this position．
（1475）

| tǐntshə̀pǽn | sóy＝dáw＝gòynì＝bù， | tsžtòy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ch：battery．pack | Ch：be．loose＝IPFV：N．EGO＝AGT＝TOP | Ch：automatically |
| kwǽytć́＝dàw． |  |  |
| Ch：turn．off＝IPFV：N．EGO |  |  |

＇Since the battery pack is loose，（it）will turn off automatically．＇（CV15．40）

```
(1476) 軠 nè-dzàdzà = gónní = tç̀mə̀ nè-qá thóv
    tooth DOWN-be.tightly.closed \(=\) AGT \(=\) TOP \(\quad\) DOWN-open can:N.EGO
    mà = dâw
    NEG \(=\) IPFV:N.EGO
```

＇（．．．）because（her）teeth were tightly closed，（her mouth）could not be opened．＇（CV09．64．2）

Another way of forming causal subordinate clauses is using the ablative marker／
 as in（1477）．The latter seems to derive from the marker $\kappa a$ ，the verb $t \varsigma \check{2}$＇to say＇and

[^195]the topic marker $=b u$. The ablative function of $\hbar a$ is treated in $\S 6.2 .9$ and its clause linking function in $\S 10.2$. All three forms can be used for causal subordination as well as for more neutral sequential clause linking, but the form $\kappa a$ is used most frequently. ${ }^{430}$
(1477) n ${ }^{j}$ ǽ-bà
Njae-household:GEN
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { mèymə̀ }=\text { nó }=\text { bú } & \text { bùlly̌ } \\
\text { Ch:younger. } . \text { sister }=\text { TOP }=\text { TOP } & \text { kidney }
\end{array}
$$
\]



four-CLF:day move $=$ also $\quad$ NEG:PFV $=$ can: N.EGO $\quad$ FR.SP-become
pà))
do:pfv:n.ego
'The younger sister of the Njae household could not move for three or four days, because she ate and ate the kidneys and finished eating them all.'
(CV17.18)
Causal subordination using the agentive = gonni is slightly different from causal subordination using the ablative fa(folni) or intensifier fa (tcabu). My main consultant's intuition is that with $=$ gonni as subordinator, the result is more severe than with $\kappa a\left(\right.$ ( $\quad$ onni) or $\kappa a(t \epsilon \partial b u)$ where the result is a general situation. ${ }^{431}$ In examples (1467) and (1472) $\operatorname{fa}($. (togni) or $\kappa a$ (tcəbu) could also be used. With stative verbs, such as in (1468), only the agentive =gonni can be used.

A third way of expressing causal subordination is using a reported speech clause with egophoric marking. The 'speech clause' (which in this construction does not mark real speech) is linked by the verb 'to say' to the resulting action. ${ }^{432}$ The construction $V=$ don

[^196]tco (or $V=$ dwen tco for plural referents) can be translated as 'as X was/were V-ing, (something happened)' and implies that a certain situation arose during or from that action. The construction appears with the temporal subordinator $k^{h} i$ and the topic marker $=b u$, or with the clause linker $f a$ which has a stronger causal effect 'because X was/were V-ing, (something happened)'. This construction is not only used with selfperson, as in (1478), but also with other-person, as in (1479). Singular referents are marked with the singular egophoric imperfective marker = don (88.3.2); plural referents are marked with the non-singular egophoric imperfective marker $=d$ wen (§8.3.2), as in (1479) and (1480).

mǎ $=$ zing
NEG $=c a n$
'(I'm not used to working the land any more nowadays, and) so since (I) went to help the household in Mudiqing to dig potatoes, (I) was not able to go up and down the stairs of that place ( = my job) (...).' (CV21.261.2)

three-CLF:jump FR.SP-do $=$ IPFV:EGO:1SG say time $=$ TOP bridge

| thè-déy $^{\text {en }}$ | nè-sćj | fià |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FR.SP-break | DOWN-go:PFV:N.EGO | LINK |

'(...) as (he) did three jumps, the bridge broke downwards and (...)' (TC06.19)

then $3=\mathrm{PL}$ home speech talk:RECP do=IPFV:EGO:N.SG say
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù, $\quad$ fǒy $=$ pà $\quad$ wúzò $\quad$ è-tæ̀ $=$ má $=$ gón
time $=$ TOP animal.pen $=$ under:GEN ox.for.plowing $\quad \mathrm{IN}$-shut. $\mathrm{up}=\mathrm{NMLZ}=\mathrm{AGT}$

| $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hè- }}$-1í | pà | sćj = sì | tçà = dàw. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FR.SP-escape | do:PFV:N.EGO | go:PFV:N.EGO = INF | say = IPFV:N.EGO |

'(He) said that as they were talking at home, the ox for plowing that was shut up in the animal pen escaped and went.' (PC04w.1.2)

The difference between a normal temporal subordinate clause (§10.4.2) and the reported speech construction described in this section can be illustrated by two elicited examples. (1481) is a normal straightforward way of saying, using a temporal subordinate clause. (1482) uses the reported speech construction and indicates that there is a deeper layer of meaning that implies that 'we did not need to go to Tuōqī,
but since we did go, something happened' or 'we did not know the way, and so then we lost the way'.

'When we went to Tuōqī, (we) lost our way.' (S11.9.6)

| 82) é = ¢ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {húuts }}{ }^{\text {hì }}$ | ¢ə̀ = dwèn | t¢̧̀ | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}$, | ¡Wè |  | nôy. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1=\mathrm{PL}$ | Tuōqī | go = IPFV:EGO:N.SG | say | time $=$ TOP | road |  | own-lose |

'As we went to Tuōqī, (we) lost our way. (S11.9.6)

### 10.5 Insubordination

'Insubordination' is a term coined by Nicholas Evans to describe the process whereby formally subordinate clauses are reanalysed as independent main clauses. His definition of insubordination is 'the conventionalised main clause use of what, on prima facie grounds, appear to be formally subordinate clauses' (2007:367). The process is triggered by the ellipsis of the main clause, after which the formal subordinate (in Tibeto-Burman context, non-final) clause is left hanging and the addressee has to reconstruct the rest of the utterance, based on what is expected after a specific subordinate clause. The reconstruction of the elided main clause is constrained by the original function of the clausal subordinator, but the absence of the main clause leaves more room for interpreting the rest of the utterance depending on conversational inference. With an elided main clause, the subordinate clause is then reanalysed as the main clause and the clausal subordinator as a sentence-final particle.

This section will describe a similar process for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ ${ }^{433}$ in which temporal subordinate clauses ( $\$ 10.4 .2$ ) marked by the subordinator $k^{h} i$ and causal subordinate clauses (§10.4.3) marked by the clause linker $f a$ or the agentive $=g o \eta(n i)$ are reanalysed as main clauses, and $k^{h} i$ and $h a$ are reinterpreted as clause-final attitude markers. ${ }^{434}$ A similar process happens with the coordinator $=$ non, which coordinates NPs as well as clauses $(\$ 5.7, \S 10.1)$ and which has been reanalysed as a question

[^197]marker (§8.8.2.1). Strictly seen, this is not insubordination, since coordinated clauses are not subordinate to each other. But since the process of reanalysis is the same, I will discuss it in this section as well. ${ }^{435}$

The process shows the following steps: firstly, the (non-final) subordinate and (final) main clause are switched, or alternatively the main clause is not expressed; secondly, the subordinate or non-final clause is reinterpreted as the main clause while the presence of a subordinator still formally marks it as a subordinate clause; thirdly, the subordination marker or non-final clause linker is reinterpreted as an attitude marker.

The conventionalizing of insubordination is a continuum (Evans 2007:386). This can be clearly seen in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ where subordinate clauses with the temporal subordinator $k^{h} i$ and coordinate clauses with the coordinator $=n o \eta$ have been clearly reinterpreted as main clauses, in that the ellipsed main clause cannot always be added and the dependent clauses are complete in themselves, and the markers $k^{h}{ }_{i}$ and $=n o \eta$ have been reinterpreted as attitude markers. Causal subordinate clauses with $\kappa a$ and = gonni are becoming more conventionalised as main clauses, but in all cases a main clause can still be supplied. In some examples fa has clearly been reinterpreted as an attitude marker, but $=$ gonni has not yet been reinterpreted as an attitude marker. Subordinate clauses ending in la 'also' or $n^{j} \mathfrak{X}$ 'already, just, right' seem to be on their way to reinterpretation through the same insubordination process.

### 10.5.1 Temporal clauses

One clear example of insubordination in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is found with the temporal subordinator $k^{h_{i}}{ }^{\text {'time'. As discussed in }} \S 10.4 .2$, this subordinator marks temporal and concessive clauses (optionally followed by the various discourse markers). Normally, the subordinate clause precedes the main clause and the clausal subordinator occurs at the end of the subordinate clause. However, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ conversation also shows many cases where the main clause and the subordinate clause have switched order and the subordinate clause now appears after the main clause. As a result the clausal subordinator now appears sentence-finally, as in (1483).
 sleep want very CUST.EXCL HSY NMLZ.CONSTR brain out-eat time 'It is said that (one) will want to sleep very much, when (one) eats (pig's) brain.' (CV18.3)

[^198]The subordinator also occurs sentence-finally when the main clause is elided, for example when speakers do not finish their sentence. In that case, the actual main clause should be inferred by the addressee. Example (1484) gives a clear example of a subordinate clause (in bold). The main clause is not expressed by speaker N , who does not finish her sentence. The addressee (speaker C) infers that speaker N wants to know why he (speaker C) poured out the beverage when Nima gave it to him (speaker C), and answers accordingly.

| ) jæ̀nnə̀má $=$ gón | kwì | kĥ̂, | jèhǎ | tí-pú |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ch:Yang.Nima =AGT | give.drink:PFV:N.EGO | time | all | up-under |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { tà }=\text { ¡æ̀ } & \text { nè-tç̀̀ }=\text { sèy. } . \\
\text { this }=\text { PL:GEN } & \text { DOWN-pour }=\text { PFV:EGO }
\end{array}
$$

C: 'Yang Nima gave it (to me), and (I) poured it all out up there.' (CV11.70)
(2 lines following a related thread of conversation)

| mź = .. | pèjpéj | nə̀má = góg | kì |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| person = PL:GEN | older.sibling | Nima $=$ AGT |  |

N : ‘Older brother Nima gave it... (why did you pour it out?).' (CV11.73)
tù = lá mà = tsóy = tâ.
anything $=$ also $\quad$ NEG $=$ have.flavour $=S V M$
C: 'It does not have any flavour at all.' (CV11.74)
The ellipsis of main clauses has been grammaticalised in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ to the extent that there are many clauses that end in $k^{h} i$ that should be interpreted as main clauses rather than subordinate clauses: they form complete utterances that are not subordinate to other main clauses.

One of the pragmatic effects of a subordinate clause that has been reinterpreted as a main clause is that information-wise there is something trailing, some knowledge that has not been expressed by the speaker, but that provides the background to the utterance. The use of $k^{h} i$ in a reinterpreted main clause indicates that speakers have more knowledge about a situation than what they express in the utterance. Thus the difference between tó $c ́=q \varepsilon j$ 'he will go' and tó $c \dot{́}=q \varepsilon j k^{h}{ }^{\text {' }}$ 'he will go' is that in the second clause the speaker has some unexpressed background information that leads him to that conclusion.

The two speakers in (1485) use a formally temporal subordinate clause as a main clause. That these are complete utterances can be seen from the use of the sentence-final attitude marker ôsen in the first line, by which speaker Y asks speaker S to agree with her statement. The unexpressed background knowledge is that even though the speakers should tell stories slowly, they did it rather quickly. This fact is then overtly
stated by speaker Y in the third line. The intuition of my main consultant is that when the general topic marker $b u$ follows $k^{h} i$, the main clause can often be added, whereas when $k^{h}$ is used on its own, as in several examples below, no addition of main clause is possible any more.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1485) mǎ = dzà, tìtí tìtí pù }=\text { jì dzà } \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\text { bù, ásèn? } \\
& \text { NEG }=\text { be slowly slowly do }=\text { NMLZ be time }=\text { TOP AGR }
\end{aligned}
$$

Y: ‘That’s not so, (we) should do it slowly, right?' (CV09.12)
nǒnmàdà, dùtí dù.̧í pú=jì dzà $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù.
right leisurely leisurely $d o=$ NMLZ be time $=$ TOP
S: ‘That’s right, (we) should do it leisurely.' (CV09.13)

| èmá $=$ gònnì | qútə̀łə̀ | tç̀ | nè-ts ${ }^{\text {háá }}$ | pú | tsèy $=$ sì. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aunt $=$ AGT | in.a.flash | say | DOWN-be.finished | do | N.CONTR $=I N F$ |

Y: ‘Unfortunately, aunt was finished in a flash.' (CV09.14)
In example (1486) the second clause is a syntactically independent main clause which still formally looks like a temporal subordinate clause. The unexpressed background information is that the speaker knows the second part of Druthjae's name, but forgot.

```
(1486) dq́thj`́ǽ míy tçàw, quètí mǽy má dzà
    Druthjae what say:IPFV:N.EGO certain.thing name GNOMIC
    tç̀ = dàw k
    say=IPFV:N.EGO time
```

'Druthjae what (is his name again)? He is called a certain name (but I forgot).' (CV13.81.3)

Another pragmatic effect is that $k^{h}$ is reinterpreted as an attitude marker with an exclamatory effect. The presence of $k^{h} i$ in (1487) implies that the speaker should not be sitting, but do something about the situation. My main consultant likened the function of $k^{h}$ to that of the negative contrastive situation marker baw(88.8.1.9). baw is used when the situation is different from the speaker's expectations or wishes, and could replace $k^{h} i$ in this example.

INTJ 1SG pig-food=DEF fire splutter DOWN-say EPIST
ná pú nè-dzóy = séy $k^{\text {hì }}$.
thus do DOWN-sit=PFV:EGO time
'Ojo, my pig fodder, the fire will have gone out. And I'm here sitting like this!' (CV14.30.2-3)

This exclamatory effect of $k^{h_{i}}$ is especially clear in a mirative situation where a speaker finds out about something (thus it often occurs with = daw, §8.3.2.1), as in (1488).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1488) è = nání má = ¡æ̀ má dzâ, má dzá tçà = sè̀ } \\
& 1 \text { SG }=\text { AGT } \text { person }=\text { PL:GEN person be person be say }=\text { PFV:EGO }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { time }=\text { TOP then } 1 \mathrm{SG} \text { out-go }=\text { PFV:EGO time }=\text { TOP } \\
& \text { tátá má dzá = dàw kì. } \\
& \text { exactly person be }=\text { IPFV:N.EGO time }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(...) when I said, "It is a person, it is a person", then when I went outside, it was exactly a person.' (CV09.36)

It also regularly occurs with epistemic uncertainty constructions (§8.4.3, §8.4.4), as in (1489) and (1490), and is sometimes reinterpreted as a question particle, as in (1490).
(1489) də̀má-lí jǎw èlǐ̌tì tsàtsà sćj $\quad$ sá tì $\quad$ khì.

Drema-DIM again a.little grope.for go:PFV:N.EGO EPIST:most.probably time
'Little Drema probably went looking for (some firewood).' (CV09.77)


```
    today yesterday = CONTR.TOP now give NEG=IPFV:N.EGO EPIST:probably
```

    \(k^{\text {hì. }}\)
    time
'In recent years however, (one) now probably doesn’t give (anything)?'
(CV16.23)
When the temporal subordinate clause involves the verb of speaking tç̌'to say' and it is reanalysed as a main clause, the implied reading is often annoyance: 'when I said "...", (you did not listen or act)'. Insubordinated main clauses ending in tco $k^{h i}(b u){ }^{436}$ are used when a speaker has to repeat themself at an addressee's lack of reaction. In (1491), the clause tçin $=b u n \widehat{~} p \neq m \hat{e}=h a t c o ~ k^{h} i=b u$ 'when I already told the child he should not behave like this!' is not subordinated to the following clause $t^{h} \mathbf{v - j w z}$ xi 'he's too excessive', but is rather a stand-alone main clause with the implied pragmatic inference 'but he did it again'. The speaker is confident that the addressee will be able to infer the negative evaluation of the situation.

[^199]```
(1491) \(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{j}\), tçı̌y \(=\) bù ná \(\mathrm{pú} \quad \mathrm{mé}=h a ̀ \quad\) tç̀ \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\) bù,
    INTJ child = TOP thus do NEG:EMPH=ought say time= TOP
    \(t^{\text {h }} \mathrm{e}\)-j \(j w \not ́=~ s i ̂ ~\)
    FR.SP-be.excessive \(=\) INF
```

'(...) Bah! (I) already told the child that (he) shouldn't behave like this, (he's) too excessive, (...)' (CV01.49)

The utterance in (1492) is either directed to a dog or a child. It is too impolite to use when addressing adults, and implies severe annoyance.
(1492) tiæ̀̀-jì
tçá $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$
PROH-come:IMP:SG say time
'Don’t come (...)' (lit. When (I) said 'Don’t come'.) (CV20.78)
Alternatively, an insubordinated clause ending in tco $k^{h} i(=b u)$ can also be used positively to express that a speaker agrees with a previous speaker, as is shown in (1493), where the current speaker repeats what the previous speaker has said and adds $t \varphi \partial k^{h} i(=b u)$ at the end (repetition in bold). There is no annoyance involved. The use of tco $k^{h} i(=b u)$ does not necessarily mean that a speaker has stated the utterance before, but just implies strong agreement with a previous speaker in the sense 'that's what I was thinking as well', as in (1494).


```
    no.wonder aunt big filled.pig.stomach make time that-location:GEN
    tà=gǎ dzì tçǐ̀ mà=dzwá zù u}\mathrm{ tí t'é-qòn
    this=GEN location bind NEG=good very one FR.SP-become
    tc\grave{ = dàw.}
    say = IPFV:N.EGO
```

    W: 'No wonder, when first aunt made filled pig stomach, (she) said that over
    there it was very hard to tie.' (CV16.54)
    | sóyqù | dzù | $\mathbf{k}^{\text {hì }}$ | t $\boldsymbol{c}^{\text {hǐ }}$ | mà $=$ dzwá | zù $=$ dáw | ţ̧̀̀ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| filled.pig.stomach | make | time | bind | NEG $=$ good | very $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | say |

    \(k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\) bù.
    time \(=\) TOP
    S: '(That's right), when making filled pig stomach, that is very hard to tie.' (CV16.55)
(1494) nǒymə̀dà tçà $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì. }}$
right say time
'That's right!' (CV09.148)

### 10.5.2 Causal clauses

The marker ha has been analysed as a clause linker that links non-final clauses to a final clause (§10.2) and as a causal subordinator (§10.4.3). However, in the corpus there are multiple attestations in which ha occurs utterance-finally. ${ }^{437}$ This is either because the final clause, which normally follows the fa-clause, precedes it, as in (1495), or because the final clause is absent, as in (1496). There the unstated implication is that therefore the referents did not come home.

```
(1495) mésə́mæ̀\, zù zí\eta mà = qćj = dâw, nì\etagž nè-đé\eta
    wait.a.bit lift can NEG = EXPT = IPFV:N.EGO basket DOWN-break
    S\varepsilońj fià.
    go:PFV:N.EGO LINK
```

    'Wait a bit, it cannot be lifted, because the basket broke.' (CV16.71)
    ```
(1496) tcí\etamí\eta zǎ mà=cí\eta tḉ fà.
    home go NEG=VOL:PL say LINK
```

'(...) (he) said (they) would not come home.' (CV09.117)
That a clause ending in $h a$ can indeed be reinterpreted as an independent main clause can be seen in (1497). In that example, $h a$ is followed by $\hat{\partial} s e \eta$, the attitude marker that invites the addressee's agreement with the statement (§8.8.2.6).

```
(1497) "wǔ, wǔ" tà tఢ̌̌ fià, \partiaĺsè\eta?
    INTJ INTJ only say LINK AGR
    '(He) only said, "Wu wu!" right?' (CV09.33.1)
```

Often the final clause to which the subordinate ha-clause is linked is missing and another unconnected clause follows, as in (1498). The unexpressed result of bringing along friends was that the household needed to buy bedding. This is expressed a few lines later with two causal subordinate clauses marked by fa linked to a main clause.

[^200]\[

$$
\begin{array}{rllllll}
\text { (1498) tà = gǒynì } & \text { zépù } & \text { dù } & \text { dá-cwì } & \text { fà, } & \text { ádzæ̀tá... dǔ } \\
\text { 3SG =AGT } & \text { last.year } & \text { friend } & \text { TO.SP-lead:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { LINK } & \text { INTJ } & \text { friend }
\end{array}
$$
\]

| tçh̀̀-tsá | tcàw, ádž̀̀tá... | cwè-tsá | tçàw = nòy |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| how.many-CLF:person | HSY | INTJ | eight-CLF:person | HSY = COORD |  |
| gá-tsə̀ | tçàw | wと̀j? |  |  |  |
| nine-CLF:person | HSY | PUZ |  |  |  |

'It is said that this (son) brought many friends along last year, so... uhm...was it said (that it was) eight people or nine people?' (CV07.65.2)
(2 lines of conversation)


In most of the attestations, as the result is still expected to follow, the addressee will mentally draw the conclusion. Sometimes cultural factors are in play. In (1499), the rest of the sentence is not expressed as the conversation took place in the main room and the topic of death is taboo around the hearth. Note also the use of the demonstrative $t \hat{\jmath}$ 'this' referring to 'death'.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1499) } \text { á-khì }=\text { bù pèilálá ìy }=\text { łá wú } \\
& \text { that-time }=\text { TOP } \quad \text { Ch:without.rhyme.or.reason } 1: I N C L=\text { PL:GEN interior } \\
& \text { '(...) at that time without rhyme or reason, (they) got sick at our place and } \\
& \text { became like this (= died), right? (...)' (CV04.78) }
\end{aligned}
$$

In a more conventionalised construction, fa appears with the prohibitive negation marker $t^{j} \mathfrak{x}=(\S 7.2 .3)$, as in (1500). Even though interpreted as a rhetorical question,
the construction has a deontic modal meaning 'you should have exchanged it'. ${ }^{438}$ This construction can be rephrased as mîl.fon $t^{h} \check{\ddot{E}}-t^{\prime} \hat{\mathscr{x}}=g \sharp$. 'Why wouldn't (you) exchange (it)?' with the interrogative mîp.foy 'why?', which turns it into a more direct, and therefore more threatening, speech act. The formal non-completeness of the utterance in (1500) avoids this directness and gives face to the addressee. Evans (2007:390) notes that ellipsed result clauses are often a source of polite requests.

```
(1500) thě-ti`́ź = gù fià?
    FR.SP-PROH-exchange LINK
    'Why wouldn't (you) exchange (it)?' (CV11.76)
```

In more than half of the occurrences with the causal subordinators $q^{h} u=g o \eta(n i)$ and $=g o \eta(n i)$ (§10.4.3), these subordinators occur utterance-finally. A result can always be added. It is not clear whether a different pragmatic effect is present. An example is given in (1501) with both $=g o \eta(n i)$ and $f a$ clauses.

```
(1501) ébàw, ìy={\partiaĺ= bú dàbǔ jǎw ònmádjæ̀æ mà=lóy pú cà
    INTJ 1:INCL = PL = TOP then again self NEG=free.time do go
    q}\mp@subsup{}{}{h
    CUST.INCL = AGT
```

B: ‘My oh my! Since we continuously make ourselves so busy (we have no time to sit together like this normally).' (CV21.1)
ébæ̀n, mà = lón pú fià, ásèn?
INTJ NEG = free.time do LINK AGR
Y: ‘Bah! (We) don’t make time, right?’ (CV21.2)

### 10.5.3 Coordinated clauses

The coordinator $=n o \eta(\$ 5.7, \S 10.1)$ is additionally used as a question marker (§7.3, §8.8.2.1). These double functions come about through a process similar to insubordination. Even though Evans (2007:384) does not deal with independently-used coordinated clauses, he mentions that they might have similar functions to insubordinated clauses. But if coordination is treated as a cosubordination construction (i.e. dependent coordination, in which at least one part cannot occur by itself (Foley

[^201]and Van Valin 1984), it makes sense to treat independently-used coordination under insubordination as well.

In conversation, the second part of a coordinated question is frequently left out, so that the coordinator appears clause-finally, as in (1502). A full coordinated question is shown in (1503). In the former example, it is perfectly possible to add ból mǎ = $d a W$ '(or) don't they?'

```
(1502) nowé\eta 439 bóy dàw = nò\eta?
    sister EXIST.POSS IPFV:N.EGO = COORD
    'Do (they) have sisters?' (CV07.21)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 03) dùt \(\underline{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \grave{\text { ¢ }}\) & píymá dzò = nòy & híy & dzà & \(\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}\) æ? \\
\hline Dutchae & Pingma be = COORD & who & be & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
    '(...) it's Dutchae Pingma or who?' (CV02.93.1)
```

The question ending in the coordinator is then conventionalised and reinterpreted as a complete question, and the coordinator is reinterpreted as an interrogative attitude marker. Formally the construction looks like the initial half of a coordinated pair of clauses, but functionally it is used as an independent main clause question. This can be seen in (1504) where the question is directly followed by the interrogative attitude marker wej (§8.8.2.4). Attitude markers only follow complete utterances. One would not expect an attitude marker to follow a coordinator, unless it marks a complete utterance.

| (1504) dò-zá | zìn | wén = nò | wèj? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TO.SP-carry | can | CUST.EXCL=COORD | PUZ |

'Can we carry it?' (CV21.450)
Attitude markers do not co-occur generally (§8.8). The fact that $=n o \eta$ is one of the few attitude markers that co-occurs with other attitude markers (wej, $l^{j} \mathfrak{Z} \sim l^{j} \varepsilon j$ ) supports the analysis of a different origin of $=$ non.

Another factor that supports the reinterpretation analysis of $=$ non is example (1505) where negation is present. Generally in coordinated clauses with opposite polarity, the first clause is positive and the second negative. If (1505) had been a case of simply leaving out the second coordinated clause, no negation would be present in the resulting truncated clause. The presence of negation in (1505) points to a reinterpretation of $=n o \eta$ as a more normal question marker which can appear with positively as well as negatively phrased clauses. The speaker in (1505) might have seen

[^202]that the object she is referring to was in that location before, but it is also possible that she did not have any pre-knowledge.
\[

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { (1505) д́-dzı̀ } & \text { mǎ }=\text { t } \grave{j}=\text { = nò ? } \\
\text { that-location } & \text { NEG }=\text { EXIST. } \mathrm{H}=\text { COORD }
\end{array}
$$
\]

'Is it not over there?' (CV19.37.1)
Some other dependent structures that might be in the process of being reinterpreted as independent main clauses are subordinate clauses ending in $=n^{j} \mathfrak{F}$ 'just, already' ( $\S 6.5 .4, \S 10.4 .2$ ) and $=l a$ 'also' ( $\S 6.5 .1, \S 10.4 .2$ ). There are a few instances in the corpus where a main clause precedes a subordinate clause with $=n^{j} \mathcal{X}$, as in (1506) and some instances where only the subordinate clause is present, as in (1507); the main clause is left out. The presence of $=n^{j} \mathfrak{x}$ implies that there is some result that is not overtly expressed 'so now your joints are big' (because of hard work). An example with $=l a$ is given in (1508). Further research will need to show to what extent reinterpretation is taking place.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1506) sèntç }{ }^{\text {ȟi }}=\text { là dzá mà = dóy = má dìy tçà } \\
& \text { breakfast }=\text { also eat } \mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{IPFV}: E G O: 1 \mathrm{SG}=\text { NMLZ be:EGO:1 say } \\
& \text { sćj mò sèj fià, džǐ khò-thíg = niæ̀. } \\
& \text { go:PFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR tea out-drink=just }
\end{aligned}
$$

'(...) he said he was not eating breakfast, but as soon as he had drunk tea, he went.' (CV07.74.5)

'In the past it was a hard life, so... right?' (CV02.47)

Ch:still.not Down-be.poisoned = even not.sure Ch:king.boletus be=also
'Even though (it) was a king boletus, (I) don't know whether or not (they) were poisoned.' (CV09.159)

### 10.6 Comparison and equation

The most common comparative construction $X$ Y tû $V$ uses the postposition tî́ 'on top' (§4.6.3) to mark the standard of comparison. The normal order of this construction is the compared item (X) followed by the standard of comparison (Y) marked with $t \hat{u}^{440}$

[^203]followed by the quality of comparison (V) (expressed by a stative verb), as in (1509). Interestingly, (1510) shows its origin as a locational noun. However, it does not totally behave like a noun: it has lost its tone and behaves as part of the genitive construction tone group.
\[

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
\text { (1509) 仓̀ }=\text { dzǎy } & \text { jǎw } & \text { tà }=\text { dzæ̀y }=\text { tú } & t^{\text {hồ }} \\
\text { 1.EXCL }=\text { DU } & \text { again } & 3=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{on} & \text { fast }
\end{array}
$$
\]

'(...) the two of us were faster than the two of them (...)' (YJ02.17)

be.excessive do feed=NMLZ be.okay=IPFV:N.EGO AGR
'(...) in recent days, however, one needs to feed the pigs more than people (were fed in the past), right?' (CV03.10.3)

Comparison can also be expressed by juxtaposing two clauses, as in (1511). This construction has the form $X V, Y$ hâ ti $V$, where X and Y are the items that are compared, V is a the quality of comparison expressed by a stative verb, $h \hat{a}$ is a verb that means 'to be excessive' and $t$ tr is the numeral 'one'.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1511) tá pì = gá t } \text { ch }^{\mathrm{h}} w i ̂ \text {, tá pì = gá há tì t } \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathrm{h}} w i ̂ \\
& \text { this pen=DEF be.good this pen= DEF be.excessive one be.good } \\
& \text { 'This pen is good; this pen is even better.' (CV04.32.2EL) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Equative constructions are expressed by the standard of comparison followed by the bound demonstrative $\hat{\jmath}$ - 'that' prefixed to a stative verb (the quality of comparison), as in (1512). The standard of comparison (nǐy) keeps its own tone and the tones of the demonstrative and the stative verb are suppressed, and surface with low tone [̀̀-ť̀j].
(1512) èpú nǐy ̀̀-tèj $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}} \grave{\text { æ̀ }} \quad$ tshónpǽy pù sə̀ $=$ sì tcàw. grandfather 2SG that-be.big time=just T:trader do go:PFV:N.EGO=INF HSY 'When grandfather was as big as you now, (he) already went trading, it is said.' (CV01.13)

For more emphasis, the stative verb can be reduplicated, as in (1513), (see also §4.2.3; 4.6.2).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (1513) tá = gá } & \text { ว̀-lı̀j } & \sim \text { tá = gá } & \text { ò-l̀̀l̀̀j } \\
\text { this = DEF } & \text { that-be.heavy } & \text { this= DEF } & \text { that-be.heavy } \\
\text { 'As heavy as this.' (CV21.386EL) }
\end{array}
$$

Another equative comparative construction (similarity construction) is expressed with the verb $q \dot{x}$ 'to resemble'. When both the compared item (X) and the standard of comparison (Y) are overtly expressed, the construction is: $X Y=n o \eta q x$, where the coordination marker noŋ is used to mark the standard of comparison, as in (1514). In (1515) only the standard of comparison is expressed. When X and Y are expressed as one argument, the verb dá is reduplicated and no coordination marker is used, as in (1516). However, the reduplicated form also appears when the X and Y arguments are distinct and the coordination marker is present, as in (1517).
(1514) té-çì
one-ClF:village one-clF:household=COORD resemble do
'(...) one village is like one household (...)' (TC01ed.6)
(1515) $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}$ é = nòy dá
spike $=$ COORD resemble
'(Sharp) as a spike.' (TC04.31EL)
(1516) tá fátsá nòy = gá dádæ̀
this knife two $=$ DEF resemble
'These two knives are the same.' (EL:S11.7.2)

$1 \mathrm{sG}=$ COORD resemble do that-on DOWN-sit come:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) (he) came and sat up there just like me.' (CV09.126.1)
A similar but more colourful construction that is seldomly used, is $X=$ noŋ jǒnmázə or $X=$ non jǒnmóta 'totally the same'. Only one example is attested in the corpus:

jǒymózá dzà, dwìlóy $=$ bú èlľ̌̌tì $=$ là $\quad$ mí $=p^{\text {hé }}$
totally.the.same be custom=TOP a.little=also NEG:PFV=throw.out mà dzà.
GNomic
'Our Wǎdū is now totally the same as in the past, the customs have not been lost one bit.' (CL02ed.9)

The construction $D I R_{X}-k^{h}{ }_{D I R_{X}}-V$ with a stative verb, $k^{h}{ }_{i}$ 'time', and a repeated directional prefix has the meaning of 'more and more V '. The construction is similar to the reduplication constructions described in $\S 10.1$. Only one example is attested in the corpus:
 what be Pali Tsheri ouT－time OUT－be．big＝COORD what be
dè\èj tóy（lú）＝nòy è－dzว̀dzæ̀ŋ Gí申í＝gæ̀
speech speak（work）＝COORD IN－be．clogged．up：COLL a．little．bit＝GEN
ł઼ Z Záw zǔ．
laugh want very
＇Tshering of the Pali household is more and more strange；（his）manner of speaking is all stuffed up；（I）really want to laugh．＇（CV04．18）

## 10．7 Continuous action constructions

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has several constructions that express continuous action．The most straightforward construction is a simple repetition of the verb，either with or without directional prefix，as in（1520）and（1521）．


```
    OUT-go:PFV:N.EGO again go go go time=TOP then pass=INDF:GEN
    wù tà \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} i ̀}=\) bù \(\quad\) wù \(=\) tí \(=\) gón \(\quad\) 孔wè \(=\) wù
    interior arrive time \(=\mathrm{TOP}\) tiger \(=\mathrm{INDF}=\mathrm{AGT} \quad\) road \(=\) in
    è-žwæ̀り = sì tçàw.
    IN-block:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY
```

＇They went on again and as they went for a long time，they arrived at a mountain pass and were blocked by a tiger on the road．＇（TC03．8）

```
(1521) qà-qhú zà-tó\eta k
    down-on sleep-NMLZ OUT-sleep time all DOWN-cry
    nè-qwéj mə́ dz\grave{ mò dzà}
    DOWN-cry GNOMIC NMLZ.INCL
```

＇（She）（．．．）continuously slept on the sleeping place down there and cried continuously（．．．）＇（CV12．44）

Another construction is $[V=\downarrow \quad n e-d i]$ ，with the plural marker $=\neq \downarrow$ following a controllable verb，and a prefixed form of the verb dǐ＇to throw＇，which expresses a continuous or often repeated action，as in（1522）and（1523）．The verb can be repeated， as in（1524）．

| (1522) tènóy | ţ̧x $=$ wù è-qwá | sì dàw | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| just.now | dirt $=$ in IN-bite:PFV:N.EGO | EPIST:probably | TRAIL |
| nìy = gón | kí = ¢ ¢́ $^{\text {nè-dì }}$ | fià. |  |
| $2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ | chase $=$ PL down-throw | Link |  |

'Just now (the pig) probably bit in some dust, since you continuously chased after it.' (CV19.26.2)

```
(1523)
fǎ, tcǔg\partial̀, 白 
è-phín= dò\eta tcà mà dzà.
IN-flee = IPFV:EGO:1SG say NMLZ.INCL
```

'Yes, right, (because) I was often beaten by them, (I) fled home.' (CV22.18)

nè-dwì.
DOWN-throw:PFV.N.EGO
'Right, the child holding (the bottle with yoghurt) like this was continuously looking (at us).' (CV09.138.1)

The construction $V k^{h} i V=X$ where X can be the topic marker $=b u$, the marker $=l a$ 'also' or the coordination marker $=$ nol is a reduplication construction that can be compared to the reduplication constructions discussed in §10.1. $V k^{h} i V=l a$ has a continuous meaning, as in (1525).
(1525) jèmá $=$ bù dàbǔ, zégì tú kì tú-là ní = bì lì̀wǔ
monk $=$ TOP then behind look time look=also LOG=DAT YN:again
qhò-lè̀ tí=lá mí=pâ.
OUT-gaze one =also NEG:PFV=do:PFV:N.EGO
'(...) even though the monk watched (them) continuously from behind, she did not even gaze back at him one time.' (TC07.33)

The temporal adverb $t^{h} \hat{E}$ 'all the time' can be used in the same clause, as in (1526). Note that only the first syllable of the verb $q W \dot{e}_{.} \varepsilon j$ is reduplicated. It is also possible to

(1526) tà = Łǒynì thé qwé-khí qwétéj = là áw tçà mà= dáw $3=$ PL:AGT all.the.time shout-time shout = also INTJ say NEG = IPFV:N.EGO
'(...) even though they were all continuously shouting, but he did not even reply "Here!" (...)' (CV09.25.2)

The constructions $V k^{h} i V=b u$, with the topic marker $=b u$, and $V k^{h} j V=n o \eta$, with the coordination marker $=$ nol, are also used. The latter is illustrated in the riddle in (1527) and has the meaning 'more and more V '.
(1527) sápúsálàdzádzádzâ, dzá khí dzə=nóy nóntềtêj, míp dzà? guess.this.riddle eat time eat = COORD emaciated what be 'Guess this riddle, what is emaciated even though it eats more and more? ${ }^{\text {'441 }}$ (KZ04ed.12.1)

The construction $V k^{h i}$ ta has a continuous meaning, as illustrated in (1459). The origin of the morpheme $t a$ is opaque and needs more research. It also often occurs with the simultaneous action marker zo刀 (§7.8.8).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1528) ní̉ tá lǔu }=\text { bù mà =tç }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \text { wí tçá kì tà, } \\
& \text { 2SG:GEN this timber }=\text { TOP NEG }=\text { good say time all } \\
& \text { gǒy }=\text { wù mà = } \text { cá }^{\text {tçá }} \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }} \text { tà } \text {. } \\
& \text { body }=\text { in } \text { NEG }=\text { go say time all } \\
& \text { '(She) constantly said, "Your timber is not good, it's not satisfying." ' } \\
& \text { (CV14.144.5) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The various constructions described in this section often occur in the same sentence, for example the constructions $k^{h i} t a$ and $V=\not \imath_{\partial} n \varepsilon-d i$ in (1524), and the construction $k^{h} i t a$ and verb repetition in (1521).

### 10.8 Predicate-focus construction

The predicate-focus construction deals with focalizing or de-focalizing specific parts of the predicate, as a means used by speakers to assert the factuality of the utterance or to express their attitude toward the proposition. In terms of information structure, the predicate is usually associated with focus. If any constituent in the predicate needs to receive special focus, or is already established as topic of the discourse and needs to be defocalized, the predicate-focus construction is used.

The predicate-focus construction works in the following way. A verb is normally part of the predicate and is associated with direction, person, aspect, polarity and evidentiality. But apart from these grammatical specifications, a verb also has a semantic component: its lexical content. When the lexical content of a verb needs to

[^204]receive special focus，the basic form of the verb is copied out of the predicate complex to the pre－predicate position and followed by one of the discourse markers（§6．5）．The choice of a specific discourse marker depends on the role of the focused predicate in the immediate discourse environment，and is similar to the roles of the nominal constituents as described in §6．5．Apart from the verb，the directional prefix and the negation marker can also be focused．

As far as I know，this construction has not been described for Tibeto－Burman languages， but according to Pavel Ozerov（p．c．）a similar construction can be found in Burmese， and Randy LaPolla（p．c．）came across an example in his Rawang corpus recently．${ }^{442}$ It would not be surprising if other languages in the area display predicate－focus constructions too．A similar construction has been described for Classical Biblical Hebrew（Goldenberg 1971，Kim 2009）where the focused verb form is referred to as the＇tautological infinitive＇．

The predicate－focus construction shows several basic templates as outlined in Table 10．2．These will be illustrated by the examples in this section．Not all discourse markers appear in the predicate－focus construction．The possibilities in Table 10.2 have been established from attestations in the corpus combined with elicitation．In the table，＇ V ＇ stands for the verb root；＇ N ＇stands for the nominal part of some verbs that have developed from a noun－verb combination；＇DIR＇stands for directional prefix；＇NEG＇ stands for negation marker；＇$x$＇stands for the slot in which the discourse marker appears．

Table 10．2．Predicate－focus templates

|  | Verb focus |  |  |  | NEG focus \#5 <br> NEGxNEG－V | Prefix focus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \# 1 \\ \mathrm{VxV} \end{gathered}$ | \#2 <br> NxNV | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#3 } \\ \text { VxDIR-V } \end{gathered}$ | \#4 <br> VxNEG－V |  | \＃6 <br> DIRXDIR－V | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#7 } \\ \text { DIRXNEG-V } \end{gathered}$ |
| $=b u$ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| $=S \boldsymbol{\partial}$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| $=n i$ | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| $=d i$ | X | ？ | ？ | X | X | X | X |
| $=g ə d i$ | － | X | － | X | X | X | X |
| ＝tcomo | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| $=6 i$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| $=1 a$ | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |

[^205]|  | Verb focus |  |  |  | NEG focus | Prefix focus |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\# 1$ | $\# 2$ | $\# 3$ | $\# 4$ | $\# 5$ | $\# 6$ | $\# 7$ |
|  | VxV | NxNV | VxDIR-V | VxNEG-V | NEGXNEG-V | DIRXDIR-V | DIRXNEG-V |
| $=$ ha | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| $=$ nol | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $=n^{j} \mathfrak{X}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Seven different patterns have been attested. In the most basic pattern, \#1, no directional prefixes or negation markers are involved and verbs are simply repeated with a discourse marker in between. An example is given in (1529).

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
\text { (1529) } \text { mə́ }=\text { Łæ̀ } & \text { màgéy }=\text { bù } & \text { dú }=\text { bù } & \text { dú }=\text { sì. } \\
\text { person }=\text { PL:GEN } & \text { old.man }=\text { TOP } & \text { frightened }=\text { TOP } & \text { frightened = INF }
\end{array}
$$

'That old man was indeed frightened.' (CV22.25)
Pattern \#1 applies to disyllabic verbs that consist of an originally nominal part, as in (1530). The nominal part tçi- of tçílon 'to be hungry', and not the verbal part -ton, is repeated.
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { (1530) tçí-ní-tcí́ıôy, } & \text { t }^{\text {hú }} & \text { mà = cì } & \text { né-dôy. } \\ \text { hungry-ADD.FOC-hungry } & \text { solution } & \text { NEG = EXIST.AB } & \text { DOWN-become }\end{array}$
'(...) on top of that he was hungry; (he) ended up having no solution any more.' (PC07w.6)

The pattern also applies to lexicalized noun-verb combinations. This can be seen in (1531) as well. While gйt 'money' and bôn 'Exist.poss' can also appear as independent words, the form gubón 'to be rich' forms a lexical compound with a slightly derived meaning. Thus the originally nominal part is repeated in the predicate-focus construction.


The pattern does not apply to non-lexicalized noun-verb combinations like $\tau e d \not \subset z ̌ t^{h}$ in 'to drink liquor' in (1532), which does not form a single lexical compound. As can be
seen, the verb is reduplicated and the topic marker appears between the two verbal parts.

mé = hà
NEG:EMPH = ought
'(You) can drink liquor, but (you) are not allowed to get drunk.' (TC06.10EL)
Pattern (2) also appears with disyllabic Chinese loanwords, as in (1533), where the first syllable of the stative verb hŵ̂np ${ }^{j} \mathfrak{x g}$ 'convenient (方便 făngbiàn)' is reduplicated.

```
(1533) hwǽy = bù-hwǽnpi`æ̀\eta = dàw,
    convenient=TOP-convenient = IPFV:N.EGO eat=on appear NEG=IPFV:N.EGO
    má dzà.
```

    GNOMIC
    '(Grease) is useful, but doesn't last long.' (CV20.98)
When directional prefixes or negation markers are present, several patterns are possible. Pattern (3) and (4) show verb focus, as in (1534) where a directional prefix ${ }^{443}$ is present, and in (1535), where negation is present. Pattern (5) shows focus of the negation marker, as in (1536). Patterns (6) ${ }^{44}$ and (7) show directional prefix focus, as in (1537) and (1538). When both a directional prefix and a negation marker are present, no reduplication of any part takes place, but the discourse marker is inserted between the directional prefix and the negation marker, as in Pattern 7 and example (1538).

```
(1534)
```



```
2SG Q = make=ADD.FOC FR.SP-make 2SG:GEN one-CLF:lifetime= DEF only
tá pù=sù cì
this do=vOL:SG think
'(...) since you have already started building (the house) (why didn't you build it a bit better?); (you) only think of your own lifetime, (...)' (CV14.144.3)
```

[^206]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1535) tá tsá dzá=bù } \quad \mathrm{mí}=\mathrm{dzw} \text { d }=\mathrm{sì} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\text { bù, } \\
& \text { 3SG meat eat }=\text { TOP } \mathrm{NEG}: P F V=\text { eat:PFV:N.EGO }=\mathrm{INF} \text { time }=\mathrm{TOP} \\
& \text { łə́-kwì thè-t } \text { ć }^{\mathrm{h}} \text { ó }=\text { sì } \\
& \text { pot-cover FR.SP-open }=I N F
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

'Even though he has not eaten the meat yet, the pot cover is already open.' (CV09.67EL)
(lit. He, as for eating meat, did not eat, the pot cover is open)
(1536) də̀bǔ ní = dzæ̀ŋ tó-ní tá-phêe ásèn, ə́-dz̧æ̀ tə̀ = gǒynì then LOG $=$ DU UP-ADD.FOC UP-vomit INTJ that-location:GEN 3SG=AGT
góndwí = qèj kî̀, zə̌ mà = cín tçàw
have.diarrhea $=$ EXPT time come NEG=VOL:PL say:IPFV:N.EGO
'(The old man) said that they vomited, right?, and on top of that he would have diarrhea, so (they) would not come (...)' (CV09.117)

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
(1537) \text { é = tḉmə̀ } & \text { mà = ní } & \text { mà = dzwá } & \text { zǔ } & \text { zæ̀ = góŋnî. } \\
1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP} & \text { NEG = ADD.FOC } & \text { NEG = comfortable } & \text { very } & \text { hand=AGT }
\end{array}
$$

'As for me, my hand was really very uncomfortable.' (CV22.3.3)
(1538) nè-bú-mí = sə́ sì dàw, kh̀̀-cə̀ = gí tç $=$ sè

DOWN-TOP-NEG $=$ die EPIST:probably OUT-go $=$ VOL:INCL $s a y=$ PFV:EGO
'(I) said, "(...) (he) probably has not died yet. Let's go." ' (CV09.67)
So far, I have only shown examples of the various predicate-focus templates listed in Table 10.2. In the examples below I will discuss the pragmatic effect of the various templates.

Verb focus templates (Patterns 1-4) are used to emphasize the lexical content of the verb. This can usually be contrasted with another unmentioned action, as in (1535), where the referent did not eat the meat, but must have done something else which caused the pot to be open. Of all the different templates of predicate-focus, verb focus is most frequently attested in the corpus. A few exampes of verb focus are given here. Responding to a previous speaker's doubtful question whether the horse will be able to go, the speaker in (1539) replies affirmingly using a predicate-focus construction, in which the lexical meaning of the verb is in focus. The implication is that going is no problem for the horse, but he will not be able to carry much.

'As for going, (the horse) will indeed be able to go; just make (the horse) carry a little bit.' (CV14.246)

A similar example is given in (1540). Affirming the previous speaker's epistemically uncertain statement 'the old man will have been frightened', the speaker in (1540) responds with a predicate-focus construction focusing on the lexical meaning of the verb to emphasize that this being frightened was indeed the case.

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
\text { (1540) } \text { mə́ = ¡æ̀ } & \text { mə̀géy = bù } & \text { dú }=\text { bù } & \text { dú = sì. } \\
\text { person = PL:GEN } & \text { old.man = TOP } & \text { frightened = TOP } & \text { frightened = INF } \\
\text { 'That old man was indeed frightened.' (CV22.25) } &
\end{array}
$$

Negation focus and prefix focus have far fewer attestations in the corpus. When negation is in focus, (Pattern 5) and (1537) above, the emphasis is more on the truth value of the utterance than on the action itself. Example (1537) is the only example in the corpus, but elicitation shows the possibility of a focused perfective negation marker $m i ́=$ as well, as in (1541).

| (1541) é | mí = ní | mí = dzá, | mín | tçà = ¢ə̀ | ţ̧̀ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sG | NEG:PFV = ADD.FOC | NEG:PFV = eat | what | say $=$ PL | say |  | IMP |

'I really didn't eat, just let (them) talk.' (EL)
Prefix focus templates (Patterns 6 and 7) focus on the lexical direction implied in the directional prefix, as in (1542) below, or on the completion of the action, as in (1536) and (1538) above, where the directional prefix has a metaphorical sense. In (1538), the downward prefix that appears in collocation with the verb 'to die' is often used for expressing negative situations (§7.1.1). The speaker was under the impression that the referent had already died, but some noise nearby made her believe that this was possibly not the case. She then uttered example (1538) with a focused prefix. The referent (the victim of mushroom-poisoning) was dying, but had not completely died yet, and by using a predicate-focus construction, the speaker focuses on the noncompletion of the situation. In addition, the predicate-focus construction indicates her attitude towards the proposition: the negative situation has not been completely realized and the speaker does not want it to.

In (1542), a situation proferred by my main consultant, the focus is on the lexical content of the prefix. A girl has disappeared and nobody knows where she is. Her household calls some of her friends down the valley to ask if she has gone over to their place, but the answer is negative. At that point they can say (1542), 'She has not gone down there either' with the focus of the utterance on the lexical meaning of the directional prefix.

```
(1542) nè-lá mí= sćj = sì kì̀, nǒ\eta kí
    DOWN-also NEG:PFV = go:PFV:N.EGO = INF TRAIL so where
    thè-sc̀j li
    FR.SP-go:PFV:N.EGO RHET
```

‘(She) has not gone down (there) either, so where did (she) go?!' (CV09.67EL) The various discourse markers that are used after the focused constituent infuse the construction with their particular semantics (as also described in §6.5). The general topic marker $=b u$ ( $\$ 6.5 .6$ ) is used to render a rather neutral expression. It is by far the most frequently used marker in this construction in the corpus.

The disjunctive topic marker $=d i(\$ 6.5 .7)$ has not been attested in the natural corpus; it is possible with the predicate-focus construction, as in the elicited example (1543), but is not used very frequently. This example would be used when a speaker did not know that the referent drank at all and now sees him drinking a lot. The implication is that the reality is different from what the speaker assumed.

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
\text { (1543) tá } & \text { Łèdži } & \text { thì̀ = dí } & \text { thìn= dàw } & \text { kĥ̂. } \\
\text { 3SG } & \text { liquor } & \text { drink = DISJ.TOP } & \text { drink = IPFV:N.EGO } & \text { TRAIL } \\
\text { 'He really drinks a lot.' (TC06.10EL) } &
\end{array}
$$

The contrastive topic marker $=s \partial$ (§6.5.8) marks a contrast to presupposition. In (1544) Hare tricks Tiger by giving him a piece of candy to eat instead of giving him his eye. The emphasis is on the fact that the supposed eye is unexpectedly delicious.

```
(1544) ájù zóy= sá zoó\eta zuù khí= bú, é=gá=là
    INTJ delicious=CONTR.TOP delicious very time=TOP 1SG=GEN=also
    qh\grave{-tù kéj dzà bǎ}
    OUT-dig let be SPEC
    '(...) Oh! It is really delicious! (I) will let (you) dig out mine as well, okay?'
    (KZ03.23)
```

A predicate-focus construction with the additional focus marker $=n i$ (§6.5.9) followed by the expectational marker $=q \varepsilon j$ ( $\$ 8.3 .3$ ) implies certainty, as in (1545). Followed by the customary markers $=$ wel or $=q^{h} u$ (88.5), a predicate-focus construction with $=n i$ implies that a situation happens often, as in (1546) and (1547). The basic meaning of additional focus is also implied, as in (1546) where on top of being poor and living in bad circumstances, they were often provoked by others.
 cí fià.
think LINK
'(Bajin) thinks that collecting pine torches will certainly be his responsibility (lit. appeared in his own neck).' (CV18.63)
(1546) wà-ní
provoke-ADD.FOC provoke very do CUST.EXCL
'(We) were often provoked, (...)' (CV12.33.1)
(1547) á-kì̀ dž̀dẓ̀̀ swén khí tà = łæ̀ = sà,
that-time letter study time this=PL:GEN = CONTR.TOP
tú-nì túcù qù
Ch:cut.class-ADD.FOC Ch:cut.class CUST.INCL
'(...) At that time, when (we) were studying, (we) often cut class, (...)'
(CV12.36)
A predicate-focus construction with the topic marker $=$ gədi ( $(86.5 .10$ ) does not occur in the corpus, but the elicited example in (1548) shows that it can be used. This utterance can be said in a situation where the speaker really has no way to perform a certain action, since it makes them throw up. For example, somebody asks them to throw a dead pig in the ditch, and they cannot do it because of the stench.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { (1548) é }=\text { gádì } & \text { tá-gádì } & \text { tá-phé } \\
\text { 1SG }=\text { TOP } & \text { UP-TOP } & \text { UP-vomit }
\end{array}
$$

'(I haven't been able to complete this), it really made me throw up.'
(CV09.117EL)
In (1549) a predicate-focus construction with the intensifier $=l a$ 'also' is shown. The lexical meaning of $t s^{h} \hat{\jmath}$ 'to slaughter' is contrasted with 'selling' in the previous clause. The speaker's family not only sells chickens, they also slaughter them.
(1549) fú kì çà kèj mà dzò.
chicken sell go let GNOMIC
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { ts } \mathrm{s}^{\text {há }}=\text { là } & \text { ts }{ }^{\text {há }=\text { dwèn }} & \text { mà dzà } \\ \text { slaughter }=\text { also } & \text { slaughter }=\text { IPFV:EGO:N.SG } & \text { GNOMIC }\end{array}$
'(...) (we) let (younger uncle) go sell chickens. As for slaughtering, (we) also often slaughter (chickens), (...)' (CV04.72.1-2)

A predicate-focus construction with the intensifier $=h a$ 'even' indicates that the situation expressed by the lexical meaning of the verb already is the case and cannot be changed any more, as in (1550).

```
(1550) dǎwmà = nòy = bù jóytçín = gæ̀ thá
    T:rDo.rje.Dre. \(\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{COORD}=\mathrm{TOP}\) T:dByangs.cin \(=\) GEN foot
```



```
    T:dByangs.cin = GEN a.little.bit be.big = even be.big = IPFV:N.EGO
    '(Comparing) Dauma's and Yongjin's feet, Yongjin's are already a bit bigger.'
    (CV01.52)
```

When negation is involved, however, the construction indicates that the situation expressed by the lexical meaning of the verb is not a bit the case, as in (1551).


```
\(1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP}\) OUT-run-IN-run do LINK \(3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{GEN}\) listen=even
mí = ségnì.
NEG:PFV = listen
```

'(...) but I was running back and forth and did not even listen to his (talking).' (CV07.24)

When the predicate-focus construction appears in a temporal subordinate clause followed by $k^{h} i(\S 10.4 .2)$, a condition or a counter-result is often expressed in the main clause, as in (1552) and (1553).

dàbǔ, cáw ţ̧̧̉ $=$ bù lúhwá zù = dáw mà dzà lǐ̌j then ritual take $=$ TOP difficult very $=I P F V$ :N.EGO GNOMIC DISS '(...) My oh my, as for the ritual, I am indeed able to do that, but doing the ritual is very difficult as a matter of fact, (...)' (KZ02.6)
(1553) á-qhù zí = bù zí mà dzà qè̀j kì̀, káw = gæ̀ jù lç $^{\text {hí }}$ that-on EXIST.A $\mathrm{N}=$ TOP EXIST.AN EPIST time uncle(MB) $=$ GEN face jǎw ş́j = sì Łæ̀ nò nè̀-pù khò-tì = sén dzà again go:PFV:N.EGO = INF it.seems DOWN-do out-put=PFV:EGO be '(Pingma) would probably have been up there, but in front of uncle (I) pretended that (he) had gone (...)' (CV04.24.2)

This counter-result can also be seen in (1554) where speaker Y finishes the utterance of speaker S, which is why her (speaker Y's) utterance starts with $k^{h} i=b u$. This is not a normal way to start a clause.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
(1554) \text { è }=\text { dzæ̀̀ = lá } & \text { xú = bù } & \text { dòy = bǔ } & \text { dòy = dǎw. } \\
1: \text { EXCL = DU = also } & \text { chicken = TOP } & \text { be.okay = TOP } & \text { be.okay = IPFV:N.EGO }
\end{array}
$$

S: 'We are also doing well in terms of chickens.' (CV04.82)
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù $\quad$ qétsôlí = dàw
time $=$ TOP $\quad$ small $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
Y: ‘But they're just a little bit small.’ (CV04.83)

### 10.9 Discourse features

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ narrative discourse ${ }^{445}$ is a web of interrelated features that structure the narrative in various ways. Tail-head linking, the coding or non-coding of participants, the use of the hearsay marker and the clause linker dəbǔ all work together in a complex way to link or subdivide events in a narrative.

In this section a few features will be outlined briefly (the scope of the present study being too small for a complete discussion of these features and their interrelation): clause-chaining (§10.9.1), tail-head linking (§10.9.2), the function of the marker dəbǔ (§10.9.3), reported speech (§10.9.4) and the role of the hearsay marker (§10.9.5), participant reference (§10.9.6), afterthoughts (§10.9.7), and some discourse functions of demonstratives (§10.9.8).

### 10.9.1 Clause chaining

Expository, procedural, or general historical texts tend to have a clause chaining structure, with sentences often consisting of multiple non-finite clauses linked to a final finite clause. Especially in procedural texts, the whole text can consist of a long chain of non-finite clauses which is only wrapped up in the end by one finite clause. An example of this has been shown in §10.2.2, example (1377). In legends, myths and trickster narratives, the longest sentences (consisting of (non-)finite or subordinate clauses linked to a finite clause) usually appear at the climactic moment of the story (also noted for Tibetan, DeLancey 1991:18), as illustrated in (1555), which is the

[^207]climax of a Trickster story. ${ }^{446}$ The sentence consist of five non-final clauses, of which two are subordinate clauses (clause linkers and subordinators are in bold).
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { boil = IPFV:N.EGO say time=only CMX leather.bag-bottom CMX knife= ins } \\
& \text { té- ¡è }=\text { दì }=\text { bù } \quad \text { gwìd }{ }^{j} \text { ón áwà nè-dî nè-cà } \\
& \text { one-CLF:cut }=\text { LIM.TOP }=\text { TOP pestle } \quad \text { CMX DOWN-throw DOWN-go } \\
& \text { DOWN-break DOWN -go let:PFV: N.EGO LINK }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]



| $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ è-dwéj | $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}$ é $=\mathrm{tù}$ | nè-tsèn | kwéj; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FR.SP-slip | spike $=$ on | DOwn-fall.down | let:PFV:N.EGO |

'When (they) said, "It's boiling," LOOK! (Hare) used the knife, LOOK! to cut the bottom of the leather bag in just one cut, LOOK! let the pestle fall down; (he) let their cooking pot with boiling water break; (he) let all the glowing embers jump up; when the (father and) mother (one of them) fled inwards to the side room door, (he) let (her) slip on the dung pile and fall on the spike. (...)' (TC04.36)

### 10.9.2 Tail-head linking

Tail-head linking is used to perform two different discourse functions in narrative. The first one is to anchor the action following the tail-head linking construction to the time line and indicate a new development in the story. This is exemplified by the first two lines of (1556).

[^208]```
(1556) दèpǔ zèpù \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {hí }}=\) bú \(\quad\) zóymí \(=\) tì \(=\) nò \(=\) bù \(\quad\) zóntsú \(=\) tì
    in.the.past in.the.past time \(=\) TOP \(\quad\) ewe \(=I N D F=C O O R D=T O P \quad l a m b=I N D F\)
```



```
    mineral.water drink go:PFV:N.EGO \(=\) INF HSY
```

'Long, long ago, an ewe and a lamb went to drink sodium bicarbonate water.' (TC03.1)
nú $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {hín }}$ s $\varepsilon$ èj $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} i ̀ ~}=$ bù
mineral.water drink go:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { fáy }=\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{X} & \text { wù } & \text { lé }=\text { gòn } & \text { 孔wè = wù } \\
\text { mountain.pass }=\text { èzwæ̀y }
\end{array}
$$

'When (they) went to drink salt water, (they) were blocked by a wolf on the road through a mountain pass.' (TC03.2)

The second function is as a highlighting device. Tail-head linking is used to build up suspense by slowing down the narrative before a climax. An example is given in (1557). This is the moment that the youngest of three brothers brings home his wife for their wedding. The guests have been waiting in expectation, since it is rumoured that his wife is a toad. But during their journey, the toad changes into a very beautiful girl. Note that in the first line the climax marker (§10.9.8) also appears.
(1557) dàbǔ áwà, $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{hj}}$ æ̌ bú $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} \quad$ Cící $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ ì $=$ bù,
then CMX about sun FR.SP-slant a.little.bit time=TOP
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ - $-\mathrm{c}^{\text {hôony }}$.
OUT-come:PFV:N.EGO
'And so, LOOK! when the sun was a little bit past noon, they arrived.'
(TC09.42)
 OUT-come: PFV:N.EGO time = TOP INTJ female-DIM=TOP beautiful very
'When they arrived, Wow! the girl was very beautiful.' (TC09.43)

### 10.9.3 The marker dəbǔ and its functions in discourse

The clause linker dəbǔ̆ 'then' (§10.2) has important functions in ordering discourse. One of the functions is a time-ordering function: dobǔ denotes that the action that follows is sequential in time to the action that precedes it. In procedural texts with clear sequentiality, dəbǔ has a high rate of occurrence, marking the different steps in a certain process, as shown in the fragment of a butter tea recipe in (1558).

```
(1558) dàbù, tshì = lá nè-dî.
    then salt=also DOWN-throw
    d\grave{bǔ nè-dzôy.}
    then DOWN-churn
    d\grave{bǔ, ná pú thè-dzú wèy.}
    then thus do FR.SP-make CUST.EXCL
'then also put in some salt; then churn (it); then...(it) is usually made like this.'
(PC01.6-8)
```

In narratives, a major function is marking new developments at (foreground) event lines in a story. As such, the new foregrounded element appears at the beginning of a clause, followed by a pause, to mark that an action will take place or that a new phase in the action is about to start. It often marks a new locational or temporal frame in a narrative, as shown in (1559). Trickster Hare has already played two pranks on Bear and the scene of the story moves to another time and location for the third prank.
(1559) dàbǔ, thùlì = góy dàbǔ jǎw, tshítóy=t tiæ̀ qhù jǎw,
then hare $=$ AGT then again swamp = INDF:GEN top again

hemp.stem-bridge $=\operatorname{INDF}$ FR.SP-make that-on jump-ITT:PFV:N.EGO $=I N F$ tçàw.

HSY
'Then Hare again made a hemp stem bridge over a swamp and was jumping continuously on top (of it), it is said.' (TC06.13)

The marker dəbǔ is also used as a spacer following a nominal constituent, and is used to give special prominence to the referent, usually one of the main participants. This is especially clear in the story of Hare and Bear, where at the start of four of the five major developments, trickster Hare is (re-)introduced with a noun phrase followed by dəbǔ. This can be seen in example (1559) above.

The linker dəbǔ is also often used as a hesitation marker, a time-winning device that helps the speaker collect their thoughts before moving on to the next bit of the story, or a gap filler, a device to fill the silence when a narrator is at loss for a word. The first time my main consultant was recorded telling a story, he had to order his thoughts a lot during the narration, and as a result inserted many hesitation markers. The second attempt to tell the same story, the number of hesitation markers had decreased dramatically. An example of dəbǔ used as hesitation marker is given in (1560). In the recording there is a long pause after nǐn tó-jî, a long drawn out dəbǔ, and another long
pause, after which the narrator picks up the storyline again with a repetition of nǐn tójî.


### 10.9.4 Reported speech

The default form to mark reported speech quotes is with an agentive-marked referent preceding the quote and the verb 'to say' following the quote (§8.3.5). At important points in narratives, however, an overt clause ' X said thus' precedes the quote, as in (1561). In this story, a certain man tricks a pious woman into giving her daughter to him in marriage, and in order to succeed, he poses as a god. The quote is sandwiched between the preceding ' X said thus' and the following verb tco 'to say' to highlight the importance of the quotation for the development of the narrative.

```
(1561) dàbǔ tá má=gòy ná tcwá=sì tcàw. "é dàbǔ
    then this person=AGT thus say:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY 1SG then
    hí dîy, púnà = bù hí = gón dè{̌̌j qh\grave{-tón=sú," tçà}
    god be:EGO:1 today = TOP god=AGT speech OUT-speak=vOL:SG say
    k
    time= TOP
```

'then this person said the following. "I am god, today god wants to speak,"
(he) said,' (TC08.11)

### 10.9.5 The discourse use of the hearsay marker

The evidential hearsay marker tcaw (§8.3.5) is not used after every line in a story, but only at the end of a chain of closely associated clauses. This end line tends to be a clause that marks a new development in the story that moves the story along. The function of tcaw is to pause in order to give prominence to this new development. This can be clearly seen in an excerpt from a story given in example (1562). The story is about a monk whose daughter is discovered by a prince on a hunting expedition and taken to be his bride. This causes the monk to die of grief. (For reasons of space, the excerpt is only given in English translation. In the translation the major developments
in the story are marked in italics and the translation of the hearsay marker tcaw 'it is said' is marked in bold.)
(1562) Long, long ago, in a beautiful place a monk practiced Buddhism. As the monk was practicing Buddhism, there was a very good spring below (him). There was a very good spring, and every day (he) practiced Buddhism there. (When he) practiced Buddhism..., then in this good place, the monk washed his own change of clothes [[in the water]] there, (he) washed (them) in the spring. After (he) washed (his change of clothes) in the spring, after (he) washed (them) in the water, (he) practiced Buddhism the whole time. When (he) was washing (his clothes) in the spring there, a female deer drank the water, it is said. (TC07.1-5)

Even though (he) was chasing away the female deer, she did not flee, but drank the water. When (she) was drinking water, and then the monk... the monk had gone to get some clean water, the female deer then excreted/gave birth to something that looked like a wheel of lard, it is said. (TC07.6-8)

When the monk saw (that), he wondered what on earth this thing that looked like a wheel of lard could be, and only when he cut it in half (he found out that) there was a person inside, it is said. (TC07.9)

When (he found out that) there was a person, (he) thought, "Iii! There is a person inside, right?", so this monk raised (it). When (he) raised (it), it was a girl (turned out to be a girl), and (so) he raised her till she was big. When he raised her till she was big, then the whole time...then later... (She) grew big and turned seventeen, eighteen years old. When (she) became seventeen, eighteen years old, (the monk) made (her) the one who got his own clean water. (He made her) get his own clean water (from) inside this spring, and (she) became the monk's servant. (He made her) the one who got and carried clean water (for him). It went like this, and then an official and his household went hunting, it is said. (TC07.10-15)

### 10.9.6 Participant reference

Participant reference is a massive topic, but due to the scope of this study, I will only limit myself to some main observations. Major participants are normally introduced by an indefinite noun phrase, as in (1563), or a numeral classifier, as in (1564), in a presentational clause with an existential verb or a verb of movement (appearing on the scene, as in [1565]). A definite noun phrase is used at their first involvement in the story and often zero anaphor marking is used after that.

```
(1563) दènǎ zènə̀ \(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\) bû, jǎw té-qè \(=\) bù
    in.the.past in.the.past time \(=\) TOP again one-clf:household \(=\) TOP
    má = nòy má = tì zì = sì tçàw. má = nòy
    mother \(=\) COORD daughter \(=\) INDF EXIST.AN \(=\) INF HSY mother \(=\) COORD
    mó = tì zì, má = nòy má \(=\) gà \(=\) bù
    daughter \(=\) INDF \(\quad\) EXIST.AN mother \(=\) COORD daughter \(=\mathrm{DEF}=\) TOP
```



```
    all.the.time \(\operatorname{LOG}=\mathrm{DU}=\) only only be
```

'Long, long ago there was a household that consisted of a mother and a daughter. There were a mother and daughter. All along, it was only the mother and daughter, the two of them.' (TC08.1-2)
(1564) 孔ènǎ zènà $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hí }}=$ bú jǎw, té-qè-bù $=$ bù, in.the.past in.the.past time $=$ TOP again one-clF:household-household $=T O P$ póy té-qè = bù, tsú sòy-pèjkwéy bòy. T:official one-CLF:household=TOP son three-ClF:brother EXIST.POSS tsú sòn-pèjkwéy bòn $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù dàbǔ, sòy-pèjkwéy $=$ gà son three-CLF:brother EXIST.POSS time=TOP then three-CLF:brother $=$ DEF dàbǔ, kh̀̀-tçé = sì tcàw.
then $\quad$ OUT-be.big $=$ INF HSY
'Long, long ago a household, an official('s) household had three sons. He had three sons, and the three siblings had grown up, it is said.' (TC09.1-2)

When, however, the participants are well-known characters, like Trickster Hare and his opponent Bear, they might be introduced slightly differently. In (1565), Hare is introduced with an agentive noun phrase and receives zero marking from then on. Bear is introduced with an indefinite noun phrase and receives zero marking from then on. (In (1571) below, Hare is not even introduced until line 6 of the story, but appears with zero marking in lines 3 to 5 .)
(1565) zènǎ zènà $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{1}=$ bú dàbǔ $\mathrm{t}^{\text {hùlì }=\text { góynî, thùlì }=\text { góyní dàbù, }}$ in.the.past in.the.past time $=$ TOP then Hare $=$ AGT Hare $=$ AGT then $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { mè-tsà-lí }=\text { tí } & \text { dò-zâ; } & \emptyset & \text { túútíú } & \text { tç̀ } & \text { mò } \\ \text { bamboo-CLF:section-DIM }=\text { INDF } & \text { TO.SP-carry } & \text { (Hare) } & \text { IDEO } & \text { say } & \text { blow }\end{array}$
 time $=$ TOP that-location bear $=$ INDF DOWN-come:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ HSY
'Long, long ago, Hare carried a piece of bamboo and when (he) was blowing it 'Toot toot!' over there a bear came down, it is said.' (TC06.1)

Minor participants are often referred to by a full noun phrase throughout the narrative, but can have zero anaphor reference when involved in a conversation with a main character, as in (1566), where Leopard, a minor character, is introduced and then appears as a zero anaphor in conversation with the main characters, the Sheep.

'When (they) went on and arrived at a mountain pass, (they) were again blocked on the road by a leopard who said, "Where are you going? Today I want to eat (you)." ' (TC03.6)

Minor props are not introduced, but enter the narrative as full noun phrases already caught up in the action. They are usually referred to by a full noun phrase at every appearance in the narrative, like the ducks in (1567).
(1567) âw..

|  | ((pàjǐ = wà)) | łèqá | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò-kì-m}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| left-direction = on:GEN | sleeve $=$ in:GEN | bone | OUT-put.in-NMLZ |


| khò-tçí | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hò-¢ə̀ }}$ | kwéj |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| out-move | Out-go | let:PF |  |  |


kìqwà kìqwà kìqwà tçà áwà, k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ wé $=$ wù nè-tshú $=$ sí tçàw IDEO IDEO IDEO say CMX lake $=$ in DOWN-dance $=$ INF HSY
'When she threw out the bones that were put in her left sleeve, they all became ducks in the lake, and the ducks quacking 'Quack, quack, quack!' LOOK! danced in the lake, it is said.' (TC09.54)

Major participants on the main action line generally receive zero expression, as in (1568), as they are active, identifiable topics.

| (1568) $\emptyset$ | "nǐy mín | tsàw $=$ dù, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | t¢à | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}$, | $\emptyset$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Bear) | 2SG what | pound = IPFV:EGO:2SG |  | time $=$ TOP | (Hare) |
| "è = bú | ¢ $=$ gæ̀ | zèj tsáw = dò ${ }^{\text {, }}$, |  | t¢̧̀ | ì = bù, |
| $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TO}$ | P 1SG=GE | drum pound = IPF | GO: | say | = |


| Ø | "nǒy | é | tsàw | zìn | è $=q$ qèj," | tç̀̀ | $k^{\text {hìì }}=$ bù, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Bear) | so | 1sG | pound | can | $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{EXP}$ | say | time $=$ TOP |

'When (Bear) said, "What are you beating?" (Hare) said, "Me, I'm beating my drum," (Bear) said, "In that case can I beat?" ' (TC06.9)

But when there is a paragraph break or a major new development in the storyline, the main characters appear again as full NPs, with the main protagonist receiving agentive marking as well, as in (1569).


दwȩ̀wá-dzóy = tì thè-dzù, ź-qhù tş́-qwà = sì
hemp.stem-bridge $=\mathrm{INDF} \quad$ FR.SP-make that-on jump-ITT:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ ţçàw.

HSY
'Then Hare again made a hemp stem bridge over a swamp and was jumping continuously on top (of it), it is said.' (TC06.13)
dàbǔ jǎw tá gwéy ó-dẓ̀ è ètçôy
then again this bear that-location in-come:PFV:N.EGO
'Then again that Bear came over there (...)' (TC06.14)
With a minor development in a story, a main character can also be coded by a pronoun, as in (1570).
(1570) də̀bǔ tà = gǒynì də̀bǔ, "mésźmæ̀y, mésə́mæ̀n, é dzàdz̧̀̀ =tí sá then $3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ then wait.a.bit wait.a.bit 1 SG letter $=\mathrm{INDF}$ first $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { nè-swà } & \text { kéj, } & \text { lé-łò } & \text { qún'ź, } & \text { wù-łə̌ } & \text { qún'ż, } & \text { swí-łə̀ } \\ \text { DOWN-read } & \text { let:IMP } & \text { wolf-skin } & \text { twelve } & \text { tiger-skin } & \text { twelve } & \text { leopard-skin }\end{array}$ tè-pǎ mí= lión=sì, one-CLF:sheet $\quad$ NEG:PFV $=$ be.enough $=\mathrm{INF}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { flee } Q=\text { have.time }=\mathrm{NEG}: E M P H=\text { have.time WARN say time }=\text { TOP INTJ } \\
& \text { swí = gò=là p péj = sì tçàw. } \\
& \text { leopard }=\text { DEF }=\text { also flee:PFV:N.EGO go:PFV:N.EGO }=\mathrm{INF} \text { HSY }
\end{aligned}
$$

'Then when he ( = the shaman) said, "Wait a bit, wait a bit, let me first read a book. Twelve wolf skins, twelve tiger skins, one leopard skin is lacking, there might not be time to flee!!" Oh! the leopard also went fleeing.' (TC03.20)

### 10.9.7 Afterthoughts

Afterthoughts are frequently used with identifiable, active or accessible topics. This is illustrated in (1571) with line 6 of a trickster story. One of the characters, a Trader, has been properly introduced in the story, but the main protagonist, Hare, who appears in line 3 of the story, has not been mentioned a single time, but has from the start been referred to by zero anaphora. Not until line 6 does the speaker add an explicit reference to this established and active participant in the form of an afterthought. The reason why this is possible is that trickster stories always include Hare as main character, and when telling a trickster story, Hare is thus identifiable and accessible from the start.
 then (trader) 2 SG ritual take $\mathrm{Q}=$ can say time $=$ TOP (hare) INTJ

| é | ¢áw $=$ s ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | tç ${ }^{\text {hà }}=$ s ${ }^{\text {á }}$ | t ch $^{\text {²\% }}$ wén | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù | dòbǔ, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sG | ritual $=$ CONTR. ${ }^{\text {TOP }}$ | take $=$ CONTR.TOP | take can | time $=$ TOP | then |

cáw t ${ }^{\text {hbá }}=$ bù lúhwá zù = dáw mà dzà ljěj,"
ritual take $=$ TOP difficult very=IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC DISS
tçwà = sì tçàw, thùlì = gònnì.
say:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY hare=AGT
‘Then when (the trader) said, "Are you able to perform rituals?" (Hare) said, "My oh my, as for the ritual, I am indeed able to do that, but doing the ritual is very difficult as a matter of fact," it is said, Hare.' (KZ02.6)

Afterthoughts are also frequently employed in narration to add temporal or locational information that the narrator realizes has been left out and needs overt mentioning, as
in (1572) and (1573). Sometimes a clearly observable pause can be heard between clause and afterthought, and if no pause is present, the afterthought is usually pronounced on a very low pitch due to downdrift.

| (1572) nìy-bá | káw | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hínts }}{ }^{\text {h }}$ ú | nànì | nìg $=$ lá |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-household:GEN | uncle(MB) | T:Phun.tshogs | like.this | $2 \mathrm{SG}=$ also |
| sǎş̀̀ú | tçwì | dóy $=$ q $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$, | nǒnj ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {e }}$ = bù | , żjù, thź ¢é |
| Ch:forty.five C | size wear | be.okay $=$ EXPT | later $=$ TOP | INTJ foot be.big |

zù $=$ qéj $=$ dà $w$.
very $=$ EXPT $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'Like your Uncle Phintshu you will also end up wearing size forty-five, later.
Oh! (your) feet will be very big.' (CV01.34)

| (1573) dàbǔ tá | Cè-mádæ̀--lì $=$ gə̀ $=$ là | nè-dú | zù |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | this | Hàn-female-DIM $=$ DEF $=$ also | DOWN-be.frightened | very |

pá = sì, zǎ ná-ph̀ $=$ gə̀ tó-zú s s̀̀ fià,
do:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ hand two-CLF:single $=$ DEF UP-lift go:PFV:N.EGO LINK
"ségwì, ségwì," tc̣à í pu=dàw,
T:earthquake $\mathrm{T}:$ earthquake say INTJ do $=\mathrm{IPFV}:$ N.EGO
nə̀-tsè̀j-tsóy = $\mathbf{q}^{\text {hù }}$.
two-ClF:section-house $=$ on
'The Hàn Chinese girl was also very frightened. Lifting (her) two hands, (she)
cried, "Earthquake, earthquake," on top of the side building.' (PC06w.4)

### 10.9.8 Discourse functions of demonstratives

In her article on the discourse power of demonstratives, Mithun (1987) points out that demonstratives in many languages have special functions in ordering discourse. In this section I will discuss three discourse functions of demonstratives in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ.

The demonstrative construction $\hat{\jmath} W a$, involving the distal demonstrative $\hat{\jmath}-(\S 4.6 .2$ ), the locational postposition $=w u$ (§4.6.3), and the genitive clitic $=\mathfrak{x}$ (§5.3.1) is used as a climax marker in narratives. Demonstratives that are used as climax markers have also been reported for Jarawara (Dixon and Vogel 2004:367). The climax marker $\hat{\jmath} w a$ appears at a point in the story where the actions of a main protagonist increase in intensity or number, and just precedes the climax. It can be seen as a slowing down device that increases the tension and invites the addressees to pay close attention. In the free translation it is translated as 'LOOK!'. The climax marker either appears clauseinitially, clause-finally, or following an NP. Multiple climax markers can be used to announce a climax.

Example (1574) is from a trickster story where Hare ends up killing all the other participants in the story. The example describes the moment he sets his trap in motion.

```
(1574) "lúú= dáw" tcà k'ì=nòy áwà, n'ùcù-pé áwà
boil = IPFV:N.EGO say time=only CMX leather.bag-bottom CMX
```



```
knife = INS one-ClF:cut = LIM.TOP = TOP pestle CMX DOWN-throw
nè-cà kwćjj fià
DOWN-go let:PFV:N.EGO LINK
```

‘When (they) said, "It’s boiling," LOOK! (Hare) used the knife LOOK! to cut the bottom of the leather bag in just one cut, LOOK! let the pestle fall down; (...)' (TC04.36)

Example (1575) is taken from the climax of a wedding where the bride of the youngest brother dances for the guests.


Some demonstratives are used as hesitation markers to help narrators gather their thoughts, especially tô 'this' and $\hat{\partial} d \nsucceq æ$ (tá) 'this one of here', consisting of the distal demonstrative $\hat{\jmath}$ - 'that’ (§4.6.2), the locational postposition $=d \not \subset i$ (§4.6.3) and the genitive clitic $=\mathscr{x}$ (§5.3.1). The marker dəbŭ 'then' is also often used as a hesitation marker or a gap filler (§10.9.3). In (1576) an example with $t \hat{\jmath}$ and in (1577) an example with $\hat{\jmath} d z æ$ tó are given.
(1576) dàbǔ tá thóymá = Łæ̀ tá... tçàmá = bú dàbǔ tç h̀̀ná wén lỉ? then this Pǔmı̌ = PL:GEN this main.room = TOP then how CUST.EXCL RHET 'So what is this central room of the Pǔmǐ like?' (PC03.5)

```
(1577) éy, gæ̌ = tù míy dzà, á-dzæ̀ tá míp diòn
    INTJ cliff=on what be that-location:GEN this what EXIST.AT
    tçà \(\quad\) wèg \(=\) là
    say CUST.EXCL \(=\) also
```

    'Uhm, "What is (the thing) on a cliff, that...uhm...what grows there?" (he)
    would say, (...)" (CV08.13.1)
    The demonstrative tó 'this' (\$4.6.1) often replaces referential constituents, but it can also replace predicative constituents. Sometimes this is done to avoid the mention of specific things like sickness or bad relationships, (as in (1499) above). In (1578) it replaces the verb dzó 'to eat'. In (1579), where the demonstrative refers to the action of cutting, the speaker feels the need to add the verb púto do' to make it more verbal. But in (1580) the demonstrative is simply used instead of a verb and is followed by a nominalization construction.

'So, tomorrow night (or sometime) let's have (them) cook some dinner downstairs and let (you eat) (...)' (CV02.76)

| (1579) ̀̀-dzı́ | tá | pù = dwèn | tçà |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| this-location |  | $\mathrm{do}=\mathrm{IPFV}$ : | say |  |  |  |

'When the two of us where cutting over here, (...)' (CV16.53)
 'It ( = getting the name Eight Pound) was only because he was pulled up in scales for a bit.' (CV24.50.3)

The manner demonstrative ń 'like this’ (§4.6.1) can also be used to replace a verb. In (1581) it replaces níp 'to be painful'.
(1581) nùséy kóy khí= bù jǎw tç hàdzú ná mà=dâw morning cold time $=$ TOP again that.much thus $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{IPFV}$ :N.EGO
'(...) when it is cold in the morning (my hand) does not (hurt) that much (...)' (CV02.44)

Example (1582) has several examples of referential vagueness. The interpretation can be derived from the context.

mà = zìn thé-qóy = dàw, 文-wú tí=tí nè-qèjlì tí NEG $=$ can FR.SP-become $=$ IPFV:N.EGO this-in vein = INDF DOWN-sprain one
dón sì dàw, tǐ̌̌j, tènǎ zǒ tá = tà = dàw become EPIST:probably look otherwise hand this = SVM = IPFV:N.EGO mà dzà, GNOMIC
'(...) when (I) do certain things, (the situation) becomes (that I'm) not able to do even a little bit, it's possible a vein in here became sprained. Look, otherwise (my) hand is this (=okay) (...)' (CV02.38)

### 10.10 Conclusion

This chapter investigated complex constructions. Some interesting characteristics of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ will be noted here.

Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a predicate-focus construction which deals with focalizing or defocalizing specific parts of the predicate. This is done to assert the factuality of an utterance or to express speaker attitude toward a proposition. Discourse markers and intensifiers (described in 6.5) play an important role in these constructions. Predicatefocus constructions are found in other Tibeto-Burman languages in the area (Burmese, Rawang), but have not been described before. A similar construction, the 'tautological infinitive' has been extensively described for Classical Biblical Hebrew (Goldenberg 1971, Kim 2009).

The use of nominal markers for subordination has been attested in many Tibeo-Burman languages. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the agentive and ablative markers are used for causal subordination and many of the discourse markers are used in conditional and temporal or concessive subordinate clauses.

Insubordination, the independent use of subordinate or non-final clauses (Evans 2007), is attested with temporal, causal and coordinated clauses in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. Clause-final subordinate markers are then reinterpreted as attitude markers.

Discourse functions of demonstratives include the use of demonstratives as a means for referential vagueness, and the use of a demonstrative to signal the climax of a story.

This study only made initial remarks on the structure of discourse. Further research is needed to gain a more in-depth understanding of Wǎdū Pǔmǐ discourse.

## Appendix A. Heart phrases

| Heart phrase | Literal meaning | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k^{h} W e ́ t c^{h}{ }^{\text {Win }}$ | heart good | 'to be good, have a good conscience' |
|  | heart bad | 'to be bad, have a bad conscience' |
| $k^{h} W$ É $p$ 亩 | heart soft | 'to be softhearted' |
| $k^{h} W e ́ t s o ̂ \eta ~$ | heart hard | 'to be hardhearted' |
| $k^{h} W E ́ C \hat{E} / t \hat{E} j$ | heart big | 'to be coureageous, bold' |
|  | heart small | 'to have small courage, easily frightened' |
| $k^{h} W$ é $t^{\text {hóón }}$ | heart white | 'to be sincere, transparent (let people know what you think)' |
| $k^{h} W E$ én$n^{\check{a}}$ | heart black | 'to be insincere, non-transparent (not let people know what you think)' |
| $k^{h} W e ́ g$ ź | heart happy | 'to be happy' |
| $k^{h}{ }^{\text {Wé }}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {Wá }}$ | heart relaxed | 'to be carefree' |
| $k^{h}$ WÉ dà | heart slow | 'to be patient' (<dádà 'slow' in Yǒngníng Na and Mùdǐqīng) |
| $k^{h} W$ Wé dzû | heart explode | 'to be angry' |
| $k^{h} W E$ nì | heart aching | 'to be jealous, to be envious' |
| $k^{h} W e ́ ~ d z w a ́ /$ <br>  | heart (not) <br> comfortable | 'to be at peace / to be worried' |

## Appendix B. List of Tibetan loanwords

| Wǎdū Pǔmǐ | Written Tibetan | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ре́wи | dpa. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ o | 'hero' |
| dapú | bdag.po | 'host' |
| pón | dpon.bo | 'official, king' |
| ts ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢орæŋ | tshong.pa | 'trader' |
| fonbettc ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | rin.po.che | 'Rinpoche' (honorific term 'precious one') |
| gagín | dge.rgan | 'teacher (religious)' |
| dawzí | rdo.rje | 'vajra' (sceptre used in rituals) |
| t $\quad$ but | dril.bu | 'bell' (used in rituals with sceptre) |
| $b u t s s^{h}$ Ón | sbug.cal | 'cymbals' (used in rituals) |
| Łæđón | rag.dung | 'long brass horn used in monastic rituals' |
| memó | mar.me | 'butter lamp' |
| tct ${ }^{\text {éertin }}$ | mchod.rten | 'stupa' |
| $g o ̂ \eta b a$ | dgon.pa | 'temple' |
| fǐ̌ | las | 'fate' |
| tíndwi | rten. ${ }^{\text {brel }}$ | 'luck' |
| lá | Iha | 'god' (the main word used in Wǎdū is hî) |
| dôpa | sdig.pa | 'sin, guilt' |
| dzotî̀ | 3ig.rten | 'world' |
| sêgwi | sa.'gul | 'earthquake' |
| mintu | me.tog | 'flower' (only used in a few compounds) |
| $c \hat{\underline{e}-t c^{h}}$ Wi(bi) | shar.phyogs | 'east' |
| $h \hat{u}-t c^{h}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (bi) | Iho.phyogs | 'south' |


| Wǎdū Pǔmǐ | Written Tibetan | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n^{i} \hat{o} \eta-t \underline{c}^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ wi $(b i)$ | nub.phyogs | 'west' |
| $t c^{h}{ }^{\text {on }}$ - $-t c^{h}$ Wi(bi) | byang.phyogs | 'north' |
| séntçin | sems.can | 'animal' |
| séngen | seng.ge | 'lion' |
| lôybutç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i | glang.bo.che | 'elephant' |
| dú | brug | 'dragon' |
| dáda | sbrul | 'snake' (zodiac; the normal word is butiǵ) |
| tá | stag | 'tiger' (zodiac; the normal word is wü) |
| lón | glang | 'ox' (zodiac; the normal word is $q W$ e) |
| $t^{j} \dot{E}$ | rta | 'horse' (zodiac; the normal word is $g$ Wěy) |
| twí | spriu | 'monkey' (zodiac; the normal word is tsÊzi) |
| $p^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | phag | 'pig' (zodiac; the normal word is $t_{6}{ }^{h} W \ddot{\text { a }}$ ) |
| $d z W I ̆ \eta ~$ | bya? | 'rooster' (zodiac; the normal word is . $\quad$ ept(t) |
| $t c^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ bí | byi.ba? | 'rat' (zodiac; the normal word is wû) |

## Appendix C. Text corpus index

| Code | Type | Speaker(s) | Lines | Duration | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CV01 | Conversation | B, D, N, P, Z | 62 | 00:03:23 | Conversation about grass shoes worn in the past and experiences of uncle Z who used to go trading using mule caravans. |
| CVO2 | Conversation | H, N, P, Y | 116 | 00:07:31 | A neighbour comes by to welcome the researcher who returned to the village after a few months at the university. |
| CV03 | Conversation | H, N, P | 26 | 00:03:00 | The same neighbour relates some experiences from the past when food was scarce. |
| CV04 | Conversation | PL, M, P, S, Y | 104 | 00:08:53 | Relative PL comes over for a visit to see her daughter and current news is exchanged. |
| CV05 | Conversation | S | 2 | 00:00:18 | Some additional remarks on personal narrative SNO2. |
| CV06 | Conversation | H, Y | 18 | 00:01:19 | Some additional remarks on personal narrative YJ01. |
| CV07 | Conversation | D, G, M, P, S, Y | 121 | 00:07:27 | Conversation about people from Mùlĭ who spent the night in Wǎdū village on their way home. |
| CV08 | Conversation | $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Y}$ | 35 | 00:02:02 | Conversation recalling some traditional riddles. |
| CV09 | Conversation | G, P, S, Y | 204 | 00:09:27 | Conversation about the mushroom poisoning story (YJ01) that speaker Y told a few days before. |
| CV10 | Conversation | G, P, S, Y | 9 | 00:00:27 | Some additional remarks on folktale KZ01. |
| CV11 | Conversation | C, D, N, P, W | 92 | 00:03:29 | Interaction with a child about what he would like to do in the future. |


| Code | Type | Speaker(s) | Lines | Duration | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CV12 | Conversation | C, D, G, N, P, W | 100 | 00:08:02 | Conversation about the importance of study and the circumstances in schools, now and in the past. |
| CV13 | Conversation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}, \\ & \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | 181 | 00:17:39 | Conversation about various daily things and about the narration of stories. Speaker G tells part of the story of Damasongtsa, a hero of the past. |
| CV14 | Conversation | H, L, P, S, Y, Z | 359 | 00:46:16 | Conversation about daily things: dogs, pigs, making pork back, chrysanthemum tea, building a earthen walls, and potatoes. |
| CV15 | Conversation | D, H, L, P, S, Y | 89 | 00:04:45 | Conversation about buying clothes at the local fair. |
| CV16 | Conversation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B, C, D, J, N, P, } \\ & \text { R, S, W, Z } \end{aligned}$ | 116 | 00:04:26 | Conversation during the yearly pig slaughter in winter: discussing various pig-related topics. |
| CV17 | Conversation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}, \\ & \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{Z} \end{aligned}$ | 33 | 00:01:08 | Conversation during the yearly pig slaughter in winter: talking about the pig kidneys. |
| CV18 | Conversation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B, C, D, J, N, P, } \\ & \text { R, S, W, Z } \end{aligned}$ | 167 | 00:06:16 | Conversation during the yearly pig slaughter in winter: talking about the pig bladder that is used by kids as a balloon. |
| CV19 | Conversation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B, C, D, J, N, P, } \\ & \text { R, S, W, Z } \end{aligned}$ | 127 | 00:05:03 | Conversation during the yearly pig slaughter in winter: discussing the benefits of cooking on electricity as compared to cooking with firewood. |
| CV20 | Conversation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{M}, \\ & \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{Z} \end{aligned}$ | 160 | 00:05:57 | Conversation during the yearly pig slaughter in winter: joking around with a child. |


| Code | Type | Speaker(s) | Lines | Duration | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CV21 | Conversation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A, B, C, DW, E, } \\ & \text { G, J, L, M, N, Q, } \\ & \text { R, W, X, Y, YZ } \end{aligned}$ | 707 | 00:23:58 | Conversation on New Year's Day: four women from the same clan visit each others' households and take the recorder along to record their conversations. |
| CV22 | Conversation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Y}, \\ & \mathrm{Z} \end{aligned}$ | 94 | 00:04:48 | Two women recall some of their childhood memories. |
| CV23 | Conversation | P, Y, Z | 55 | 00:04:42 | Discussion of libation rituals. First libation is poured out to the mountain god, then to other gods and spirits and then to the ancestors. |
| CV24 | Conversation | N, P, S, Y, Z | 86 | 00:04:51 | Discussion of the libation ritual performed for the ancestors and the order of names. |
| CV25 | Conversation | M, N, P, S, Z | 78 | 00:04:14 | Conversation on the origin of the Zjaezjae clan. |
| TC01 | Personal experience | Z | 18 | 00:04:07 | A 58-old man's views on Purmǐ society and his memories of recent history. Edited by my main consultant. |
| TC02 | Folktale | Z | 82 | 00:11:28 | The Deluge: the youngest of three brothers escapes the flood and marries a sky-girl who leaves him with all kinds of grain for cultivation. |
| TC03 | Folktale | Z | 23 | 00:04:11 | The sheep and the shaman: two sheep are blocked on the road by a tiger, a leopard and a wolf in turn. A shaman manages to scare them off. |


| Code | Type | Speaker(s) | Lines | Duration | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TC04 | Folktale | Z | 37 | 00:05:57 | Hare as baby-sitter: a family asks Trickster Hare to baby-sit their child while they go out to work in the field. Hare kills the child and tricks the parents into killing themselves. |
| TC05 | Travelogue | Z | 13 | 00:03:19 | Instructions on which road to take to Yàding, a famous nature reserve. |
| TC06 | Folktale | Z | 34 | 00:05:02 | Hare and Bear: Trickster Hare tricks Bear into sucking a snake out of a bamboo pipe, pounding on a wasp hive, jumping on a hemp bridge, eating his own eye, and rolling off a cliff. |
| TC07 | Folktale | Z | 37 | 00:05:49 | A hermit monk: when the servant of a prince loses his way on a hunting expedition, he discovers the daughter of a Buddhist hermit monk and tells the prince about her. The prince comes to take her to be his wife. The monk is overcome with sadness and disappears into a cliff. |
| TC08 | Folktale | Z | 47 | 00:05:50 | Mother and daughter: a scoundrel poses as a god and deceives a devout woman into giving her daughter into marriage to him. He puts the girl into a chest and leaves her in the forest until night time. A prince finds her and puts a tiger cub and a leopard cub in the chest. When the scoundrel comes to retrieve the girl at night, he is severely scratched by the animals and his cries are not headed by the other villagers. |


| Code | Type | Speaker(s) | Lines | Duration | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TC09 | Folktale | Z | 59 | 00:08:57 | Three brothers take a wife: three brothers go hunting for a wife. The youngest of the three hits a toad who turns out to be the most clever and beautiful of the three girls. |
| TC10 | Personal experience | Z | 56 | 00:10:01 | The speaker shares his memories of childhood and early adulthood. |
| TC12 | Ritual text | Z | 11 | 00:00:55 | Blessing composed for the coming-of-age ritual of a girl. When children are around 13 years of age, a ritual is performed that marks their transition into adulthood. |
| YJ01 | Personal experience | Y | 69 | 00:07:02 | The speaker recalls an incident of how a group of Pǔmǐ rescued three people who were poisoned by a mushroom. |
| YJ02 | Personal experience | Y | 37 | 00:04:07 | The speaker recalls going up into the mountains to pick red snow tea when she was young. |
| SN01 | Procedural text | S | 12 | 00:01:08 | The process of weaving and selling shawls. |
| SN02 | Personal experience | S | 24 | 00:02:41 | The speaker recalls going up into the mountains to dig medicinal roots. |
| KZ01 | Folktale | G | 11 | 00:01:17 | The louse and the flea: a louse and a flea hold a race to see who is fastest. It ends in a fight which results in the flea being rubbed with a clay pot. That explains why fleas are black nowadays. |

$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\hline \text { Code } & \text { Type } & \text { Speaker(s) } & \text { Lines } \begin{array}{lll}\text { Duration }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Description }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { KZ02 Folktale } & \text { G } & 9 & 00: 01: 13 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Hare and a trader: Trickster Hare tricks a proud trader into } \\ \text { performing a ritual which kills him. }\end{array} \\ \text { KZ03 Folktale } & \text { G } & 39 & 00: 04: 22 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Stealing a mule: two thieves go mule-thieving and end up stealing } \\ \text { a tiger who was also out to get the mule. When it is light the } \\ \text { thieves realize they stole a tiger and flee. The tiger then runs into }\end{array} \\ \text { Trickster Hare who tricks him into losing his hand, eating both } \\ \text { his eyes and rolling off a cliff. He dies as a result. }\end{array}\right\}$

| Code | Type | Speaker(s) | Lines | Duration | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC07 | Fictional story | P | 10 | - | A written story about a man who got lost in the forest. |
| PC08 | Fictional story | P | 10 | - | A written story about a Pǔmǐ girl and two Hàn Chinese friends on a holiday to Zhōngdiàn. |
| CL01 | Procedural text | CL | 26 | 00:04:40 | A description of the way people slaughter and butcher fattened pigs in winter. Edited by my main consultant. |
| CLO2 | Procedural text | CL | 23 | 00:09:20 | A description of the way Purmǐ New Year is celebrated. |
| CL03 | Procedural text | CL | 33 | 00:07:32 | A description of the yearly cycle of sowing and harvesting of crops. |
|  |  |  | 3940 | 05:11:41 | $=$ The total amount / duration of recorded data used in the thesis |

## Appendix D. Texts

## TC04: 'Hare as baby-sitter' (folktale)

A traditional Trickster story in which the crafty Trickster Hare manages to kill all the others.
(1) zèpǔ\# 孔èpù khí = bú\# dàbǔ,\# té-qè-bù = bù\# dàbǔ,\#
zèpǔ zèpǔ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ, tǐ-qê-bu $=b u \quad$ dəbǔ,
in.the.past in.the.past time $=$ TOP then one-clF:household then
mànín $=$ tí\# liétì $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù\# dàbǔ,\# lú pú cà $^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}=$ bù\# dàbǔ, \#
mənín=ti liêti $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hi }}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ, lú pú cá $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hi }}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ,
child $=$ INDF be.born time $=$ TOP then work do go time $=$ TOP then
tçìn-tín-má\# mà = cǐ. \#
tç̌y tî̀-mə mǎ = ¢
child take.care.of-NMLZ NEG $=$ EXIST.AB
'A long time ago, a child was born to a family, but when they went out to work in the field, there was no baby-sitter.'
(2) tç̀̀-tíy-má\# mà = द̌̌\# khì = bù\# dàbǔ, \# méqé-bù $=$ bù\#
tç̌y-tî̀-mə mǎ= $\quad$ ǐ $\quad k^{\text {h }} \mathbf{i}=b u \quad$ dəbǔ, méqe-bu $=b u$
child-take.care.of NEG = EXIST. AB time $=$ TOP then family-household $=$ TOP
dàbǔ, \# "tç̀̀--tín-má = tì\# ts"wǽ dòn qèj dàw"
dəbǔ, "tç̌̌y-tîn-mə =ti ts ts wá dòn = q $\varepsilon j=$ daw"
then child-take.care.of-NMLZ $=$ INDF ask.for.help be.okay $=$ EXPT $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
ţว̀.\#
tçz.
say
'When there was no baby-sitter, the family said: "We should ask a baby-sitter."'
(3) tc̣ìg-tín-má\# ts ${ }^{\text {h }}$ w $\hat{\text { x }} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù\# dàbǔ, \# ná-tsə̀ $=$ gà\#
tçǐy-tî̀-mə ts ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wá $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ, nǎ-tsə̂= gə
child-take.care.of-nMLZ ask.for.help time $=$ TOP then two-CLF:person $=$ DEF
nè-wùwǎ\# dàbǔ,\# "qùjì = nón = bú\# á-dzææ\# thùlǐ = bì\#
ně-w廿wǎ dəbǔ, "qujǐ=noy=bu $\hat{\partial}$-dzææ $\quad t^{\text {h }}$ uľ̌ $=b i$
DOWN-discuss then crow $=$ COORD $=$ TOP that-location:GEN hare $=$ DAT


tà = dzǎg\# tón\# tíg kèj = gì" tçwà = sì. \#
tá= dzæŋ t'ôy tîn kéj=gi tçwǎ=si.
$3=$ DU one:Clf:thing take.care.of let=VoL:INCL say:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$
'When asking the help of a baby-sitter, the two people deliberated. "Let's let the crow and that hare take care of the child; whoever of the two of them will take good care, let's let that one baby-sit," they said.'

dəbǔ tə́= əə qujǐ=bi sə də̂dwe =si tçaw.
then $3=\mathrm{PL} \quad$ crow $=$ DAT first ask $=\mathrm{INF}$ HSY
'They asked the crow first.'
qùjì = góyní = bù\# dàbǔ, \# "mú-tóy pùpù\# è = bí dzâ, \#
qujī = goŋni=bu dəbǔ, "mú-tôy pupú é=bi dzá,
crow $=\mathrm{AGT}=$ TOP then butter-piece chewed.piece $1 \mathrm{SG}=$ DAT eat

tshǐ-tôn pupú tç̌̌y = bi tç ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wǐ," t $\epsilon$ wž $=$ si tçaw.
salt-piece chewed.piece child=AGT feed say:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY


sheep-skin sheep-skin soft? 1sG = DAT pad goat-skin soft?
tçìy = bí nû" tçwz̀ = sì tçàw; \#
tçin $=$ bi ñǔ," tçǎ $=s i \quad$ tçaw.
child $=$ DAT pad say:PFV:N.EGO $=$ INF HSY
'The crow said, "A chewed piece of butter I will eat, a chewed piece of salt to the child I will feed. With soft sheep skin I myself will pad, with soft goat skin I the child will pad."
(6) dàbǔ\# thùlǐ = bì\# tçà kwéj = sì tçàw; \# thùlì = gónní\# dàbǔ,\#
dəbǔ thulǐ=bì tçǎ kwéj=si tçaw. thulǐ=goŋni dəbǔ,
then hare=DAT say let:PFV:N.EGO=INF HSY hare=AGT then
"mú-tóy pùpù\# tç̀̀ = bí tçî̀, \#
"mú-tôy pupú tçǐy=bi tchǐ̌,
butter-piece chewed.piece child=DAT feed
tshì-tóy pùpù\# (è = ní...) è = bí dzô; \#
tshǐ-tôn pupú (é = ni...) é = bi dzá.
salt-piece chewed.piece $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ 1SG=DAT eat


sheep-skin soft? child=DAT pad goat-skin soft? 1sG=DAT pad
ţ̧ẁ̀ = sì. \#
tçwǎ = si.
say:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'Then (they) let Hare speak. Hare said, "A chewed piece of butter to the child (I) will feed, a chewed piece of salt I will eat. With soft sheep skin the child (I) will pad, with soft goat skin I myself will pad.",
"ó\# dò $=$ sí\# dòy = sí, \# thùlǐ = bì\# tíy kèj = gì"

| "ó | dò $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{si}$ | dò $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{si}$, | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{l}^{\text {\% }}=\mathrm{bi}$ | tîy | k ¢́j $=\mathrm{gi}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTJ | be.okay $=$ INF | be.okay $=$ INF | hare $=$ DAT | take.care.of | let $=$ vol:INCL |

t ¢wò = sì. \#
tçwž = si.
say:PFV:N.EGO = INF
'(They) said, "Okay, okay, let's let Hare baby-sit." '
(8) "thùlĭ = bì\# tín kèj = gì, \# thùľ̀\# tçìn tín\#
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { "thulǐ=bi } & \text { tîn } & \text { kéj = gi, } & \text { thulǐ } & \text { tç̌y } & \text { tîn } \\ \text { hare= DAT } & \text { take.care.of } & \text { let=vol:INCL } & \text { hare } & \text { child } & \text { take.care.of }\end{array}$

$t^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{wí}=\mathrm{q} \varepsilon j=$ daw" tçwž=si.
good $=$ EXPT $=$ IPFV:N.EGO $\quad$ say:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$
، "Let's let Hare baby-sit, Hare taking care of the child will be good," they said.'
(9) də̀bǔ\# thùlǐ = bì\# tín kwèj.\#
dəbǔ $t^{\text {h}} u l i ̌=b i ̀ ~ t i ̂ \eta ~ k w e ́ j . ~$
then hare $=$ DAT take.care.of let:PFV.N.EGO
'Then they let Hare baby-sit.'
(10) $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ùľ̌}\left(\left(=\right.\right.$ bì) )\# də̀bǔ\# tíy kwèj $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù\# də̀bǔ\# ní = dzæ̀n\#
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ulǐ}=((\mathrm{bi}))$ dəbǔ tîy kwéj $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\text {hi }}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ nî=dzæy
hare $=$ DAT then take.care.of let:PFV:N.EGO time $=T O P$ then LOG $=D U$

lú pú $\quad$ ŝ̂j $=s i$ tçaw.
work do go:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY
'Then, when (they) had let Hare baby-sit, the two of them went off to work in the field.'
(11) lú pú sèj k $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù\# dàbǔ, \# dàbǔ\# swǽy = nòy\#
lú pú sêj $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu} \quad$ dəbǔ, dəbǔ $s w \hat{\not ̂ y ~}=$ noŋ
work do go:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP then then father $=$ COORD
má = nò \#\# dàbǔ\# (lú pú...) \# lú pú $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù\# də̀bǔ, \#
mâ = non dəbǔ (lú pú...) lú pú kihi=bu dəbǔ,
mother $=$ COORD then work do work do time=TOP then


person = PL:GEN child out-slaughter child ouT-slaughter one-cooking.pot
thè̀-pù\# è-qú\# kh̀̀-twǐ.\# $^{\text {the }}$
$t^{\text {thě-pú é-qû k}}$ kž-twǐ.
FR.SP-do IN-cook OUT-put:PFV:N.EGO
'When (they) had gone (to the field) to work, when the father and mother were working the field, (Hare) slaughtered those people's child, he slaughtered the child and cooked a pot full.'
(12) də̀bǔ, \# má = Łæ̀\# má = bù\# dàbǔ,\# ("î...\# tçǐy = bì\# niò̀ s ś...") \#
dəbǔ, $m \hat{\jmath}=\ddagger$ mâ = bu dəbǔ, ("î... tç̌y $=b i \quad$ n'ǒy sə...") then person=PL:GEN mother $=$ TOP then $\operatorname{INTJ}$ child= DAT breast first lú pú\# tá-tç ${ }^{\text {hón }}=$ sì tçàw, \# lú pú\# tá- $-\epsilon^{\mathrm{h}}$ ôy, \#
lú pú tó-tç̣ hôy=si tçaw, lú pú tá-tç hôy,
work do UP-come:PFV:N.EGO = INF HSY work do up-come:PFV:N.EGO
"tç̌y = bì\# niòn sá\# kì cá = sù̀, \# niòn sá kì
"tç̌y $=b i \quad$ n'ǒy sə kǐ $\quad$ cá $=s u$, niǒy sə kǐ
child $=$ DAT breast first give.drink go = vol:SG breast first give.drink
¢ = sù̀" tçwò.\#

go = voL:SG say:PFV:N.EGO
'Then that mother said, "Iii... first feed the child...," she came back from working the field, she came back from working the field and said, "I will first go and feed the child, I will first go and feed it." ,
(13) "áw\# niò̀ kí\# mà = qhǔ\# mà = qǔ̌\# mà = qhǔ, \# é\# cwín \#
"aw niǒy kǐ mǎ=qǔ mǎ=qǔ mǎ=qhǔ, é Gwîy
INTJ breast give.drink NEG $=$ need NEG $=$ need NEG $=$ need 1 SG lunch
è-wè = séj, \# ¢wíg sə̀\# qhə̀-dzá\# nǒnnòn\# njǒy\#
と̌-wě=sey, cwîy sə qȟ̌-dzá nǒynòy niǒy
IN-prepare.food $=$ PFV:EGO lunch first out-eat and.only.then breast
kì cá tà" ţ̣wà= sì. \#
kǐ ఢə́ ta" tçwž=si.
give.drink go can say:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$
'(Hare) said, "Oh, no need, no need, no need, I have already cooked lunch, finish eating lunch first and then you can go feed the child." '


then child=GEN skin $=$ in $=$ TOP then chaff OUT-stuff that-under $k^{\text {h }}$ ว̀-z̀̀ $k w \hat{j} j . \#$
khž-孔ว̌ kwéj.
out-sleep let:PFV:N.EGO
'As for the child's skin, he had filled that with chaff and let it sleep under there.'
（15）
dàbǔ，\＃dzwź＝sì tçàw；\＃dzwź＝sì k ${ }^{\text {hì }}=$ bù\＃dàbǔ，\＃
dəbǔ，dzwâ＝si tc̣aw．dzwâ＝si $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ
then eat：PFV：N．EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ HSY eat：PFV：N．EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ time $=$ TOP then

$\mathrm{mâ}=\mathrm{g} æ \quad \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} w a \check{c}=\mathrm{wu}=\mathrm{bu} \quad$ dəbǔ，tçı̌y $=\mathrm{g} æ \quad$ latsž tiôy
mother $=$ GEN bowl $=\mathrm{in}=$ TOP then child $=$ GEN finger one：CLF：thing

 OUT－appear INTJ 1SG bowl＝in finger＝INDF out－appear what be
t ṭwà＝sì tçàw．\＃
tçwǎ＝si tçaw．
say：PFV：N．EGO＝INF HSY
＇So（she）ate．When（she）was eating，one of the child＇s fingers turned up in mother＇s bowl．＂iih！．．a finger turned up in my bowl，what is that？！＂she said．＇
dàbǔ\＃q⿳亠口冋丸－dzwź＝sì tç̀̀＝dàw．\＃
dəbǔ qhə̌－dzwô＝si tç̌＝daw．
then out－eat：PFV：N．EGO $=$ INF $\quad$ say $=$ IPFV：N．EGO
＇Then（she）finished eating，it is said．＇


oUT-eat:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ only $=$ TOP then child breast give.drink


DOWN-go:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ only LOG one-CLF:jump $=$ LIM.TOP flee:PFV:N.EGO
sćj\# fià, \# thùlì = gôy.\#
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { ŝ̂j } & \text { fia, } & \text { thulǐ }^{\text {chegoy }} . \\ \text { go:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { LINK } & \text { hare=AGT }\end{array}$
'When (she) had eaten, when (she) went to feed the child, he himself fled in just one jump, that Hare.'
(19) dàbǔ\# má\# thè-tçín,\# thú\# mà = cǐ,\# "áwhǎw, \# tç̌̆y\#
dəbǔ mâ thě-tçín, thû mǎ= Č̌, "áwhǎw, tç̌̌n
then mother FR.SP-see solution NEG = EXIST.AB INTJ child
$q^{\text {h }}$ - $-s^{\text {h }} w z ́=s i ̀ " ~ t c ̧ \grave{.} . \#$
$q^{\text {ȟ̌ }}-\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} w \hat{\partial}=\mathrm{si}$ " tçə̌.
OUT-slaughter:PFV:N.EGO = INF say
'Then mother saw (her child) but there was no solution, "Oh! My child has already been slaughtered," she said.'

 LOG $=$ TOP then UP-go:PFV:N.EGO then up-on:GEN flat.stone $=$ INDF:GEN top

 then child-meat eat INTJ child-skin wear INTJ say
dú = sí tç̀̀ = dàw. \#
dú $=\mathrm{si} \quad \mathrm{t}$ ¢̧̌̌ $=$ daw.
call $=\mathrm{INF} \quad$ say $=\mathrm{IPFV}:$ N.EGO
'He himself ( = Hare) went upwards to the top of a flat rock and called out, "Eat child meat nanana, wear child skin nanana!",
(21) dàbǔ\# méqé-bà\# lítç h̀̀ pà, \# dàbǔ\# thú\# mà = cî.\#

then family-household sad do:PFV:N.EGO then solution NEG = EXIST.AB
'Then that household was very sad, but there was no solution.'


magpie $=$ DAT $\quad$ divinate $g o: P F V: N . E G O=I N F$
'Then (they) went to the magpie for divination.'


magpie $=$ AGT $\quad$ then prayer.flag DOWN-divinate time $=T O P$

î nǐy-bu dəbǔ, さֻúdعj dəbǔ, sǒy-ç̌ jěj
INTJ 2-household then pine.resin then three-CLF:liter bring
kíg\# é = qèj" ṭ̆wò = sì. \#
kǐn $\hat{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{q} \varepsilon \mathrm{j}, " \quad$ tढ़wž $=$ si.
can:EGO:2PL $\quad$ Q = EXPT say:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$
'When the magpie practiced divination (he) said, "Iih!...Will your household be able to bring three liters of pine resin?",
(24) "sòn-ఢ̌̌\# jèj łə́cì\# mà = q́́j" tçwź.\#

three-CLF:liter bring can $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{EXPT}$ say:PFV:N.EGO
" "(We) won't be able to bring three liters," (they) said.'
"nǒy\# sòn-dwěn\# jèj kíy\# é = qèj"
"nǒy sǒn-dwěn jěj kǐn $\hat{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{q} \varepsilon j$,"
so three-CLF:measuring.cup bring can:EGO:2PL $Q=E X P T$
t6wə̀.\#
t ṭWž.
say:PFV:N.EGO
'"In that case will (you) be able to bring three measuring cups?" (the magpie)
said.'


three-CLF:measuring.cup $=$ also find can NEG $=$ EXPT say:PFV:N.EGO
' "(We) won't be able to bring three measuring cups either," (they) said.'
(27) "nǒn\# sòn-q" ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ \# jèjj kíy\# é = qèj" tçà kì̀ = bù, \#
"nǒy sǒy-q" ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ǎ jěj kǐy $\hat{e}=q \varepsilon j$," t ţ̌̌ $k^{h} i=b u$,
so three-cle:bowl bring can:EGO:2PL $\mathrm{Q}=$ EXPT say time=TOP
"sòy-q" ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wà = bú\# jèj đə́cì = qと́j" t ţwò. \#

three-cle:bowl = TOP bring can=EXPT say:PFV:N.EGO
'When (he) said, "Will (you) be able to bring three bowls then?" (they) said, "( We ) will be able to bring three bowls." ,
(28) dàbǔ\# sòn-q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wǎ\# də̀bǔ, \# də̀-jwčj $k^{\text {hì }}=$ bù, \# sòn-q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wǎ\#
dəbǔ sǒy-q ${ }^{\text {h }} w a ̌$ dəbǔ, dy̌-jwと̌j $k^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$, sǒy- $q^{\mathrm{h}} w a ̌$
then three-clF:bowl then TO.SP-get time=TOP three-clF:bowl
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ è-ıèn dí\# dàbǔ,\# "fǎw-tá\# sòn-q $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wà = bú\# dàbǔ\# sègbǔ\#
thě-ұeŋdí dəbǔ, "fiǎw-tá sǒn-q"wǎ=bu dəbǔ seŋbǔ FR.SP-seek then that-this three-clf:bowl $=$ TOP then tomorrow nùség = bù\# dàbǔ, \# thùlǐ\# ně-\#mí = ६á $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ì}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}}$ æ̀\# ə́-q $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wà, \#
 morning $=$ TOP then hare DOWN-NEG:PFV = come time=just that-top:GEN gùtàpǽy = gá qhù\# kh̀̀-tçí cìn\# ŝ̂" tçwò= sì.\#

flat.stone $=$ GEN top out-pour go:IMP:PL CONFIRM say:PFV:N.EGO $=$ INF 'Then when they had sought and brought three bowls (of pine resin), (the magpie) said, "As for these three bowls, go and pour them on top of that flat stone over there early tomorrow morning when Hare has not come down yet, okay?" '

 then that-top out-pour out-go let:PFV:N.EGO then that-top

 OUT-pour:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP then child-meat eat INTJ child-skin

 wear INTJ say that-top jump $=$ IPFV:EGO:1sG say EPIST:probably


time $=$ TOP jump $=I P F V: E G O: 1 \mathrm{sG}$ say time $=$ only that-on IN-stick
pà.\#
pâ.
do:PFV:N.EGO
'Then they poured (the pine resin) on top (of that flat stone). After (they) had poured it on top, when (Hare called out), "Eat child's meat nanana, wear child's skin nanana!," (he was) jumping on top. As (he) was jumping, (he) got stuck on top.'
dàbǔ\# t̀̀-bǒy\# tó-sèj\# fià\# də̀-zá kh̀̀-twǐ;\#
dəbǔ tó-boŋ tó-ŝ̂j fia dǎ-zá k ǩ̌-twǐ;
then 3-household:AGT UP-go:PFV:N.EGO LINK TO.SP-catch out-put:PFV:N.EGO
d̀̀-zá\# kh̀̀-twǐ kì̀ = bù\# də̀bǔ,\# "ájù,\# tǎ = bù\#
dǎ-zá kǎ-twǐ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ "âju, tǎ=bu
To.SP-catch OUT-put:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP then INTJ now=TOP
nìn-bónní\# dàbǔ\# é khùdú\# pú $=$ ní\# pú $=$ q̂̂̀j, \# dàbǔ\# jǎw\#
nǐy-bonni dəbǔ é khuqú pú=ni pú=qej, dəbǔ jǎw
2-household:AGT then 1 sG torment $\mathrm{do}=$ ADD.FOC do=EXPT then again
pú $=$ lá $=$ bù\# dàbǔ\# thú\# mà $=$ दǐ kìì $=$ bù\# dàbǔ,\# é $=$ bú\#

$\mathrm{do}=\mathrm{also}=\mathrm{TOP}$ then solution NEG $=$ EXIST. AB time $=\mathrm{TOP}$ then $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{TOP}$


then 2-household:GEN drying.rack $=$ on UP-suspend POL leather.bag $=$ INDF:GEN

 interior DOWN-put.in that-in knife $=\mathrm{INDF}=\mathrm{COORD}=$ TOP INTJ pestle $=\mathrm{INDF}$ nè-kǐ, \# dàbǔ\# pépù = bù\# dàbǔ\# đə́gì = tì è-tì\# dàbǔ, \#
ně-kǐ, dəbǔ pêpu=bu dəbǔ fôgi=ti ě-tǐ dəbǔ, DOWN-put.in then bottom = TOP then big.wok=inDF IN-put then

 boiling.water in-put UP-boil=just then 1SG that-under Down-drop pù\# nè-sà pú = sư" tçwò.\#
pú ně-sž pú=su tçwǎ
do DOWN-die do=VOL:SG say:PFV:N.EGO
'Then that household went and caught him. When they had caught him, (Hare) said, "Oh, now your household will certainly give me a lot of trouble, and then I have no solution. So please hang me from the top of your drying rack (above the fireplace), put (me) inside a leather bag, put a knife and a pestle in there, and then put a big wok on the fire under it, put water on the boil, and as soons as it boils, I will drop myself and make myself die under there." '
(31)
"dàbǔ\# tsù-kóy = gǽ\# pépù = bù\# dàbǔ,\# qhé = tì nè-tsì, \#
"dəbǔ tsǔ-kǒy=gæ pêpu=bu dəbǔ, q'êeti ně-tsì, then side.room-door $=$ GEN bottom $=$ TOP then spike $=$ INDF DOWN-erect
qé-bù = tì k h̀̀-tì;\# (ţ̧̀má-kón= gæ̀ mæ̀...) \#

dung-pile $=$ INDF OUT-put central.room-door $=$ GEN ...
tçàmá-kóy= wù = là\# hèsž\# ná\# thé-pú\# kh̀̀-ť̌;\# də̀bǔ\# ní = bù\#
tçmá-kǒy $=w u=l a \quad$ heš̌ ná thě-pú ky̌-tǐ; dəbǔ nî=bu
central.room-door $=$ in $=$ also still thus FR.SP-do OUT-put then $\quad$ LOG $=T O P$

 then boiling.water cooking.pot = in Down-drop outside out-go = also Ch:still tsǎwjæ̀ŋ\# qée = tù nè-tsèn\# nè-sə̀ = q̌́j" tçẁ̀. \#
ţǎwjæ̀ $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{e}=\mathrm{tu}$ ně-tséy ně-sǎ = qعj" tçwž.
Ch:as.before spike=on DOWN-fall.down DOwN-die = EXPT say:PFV:N.EGO
، "Then put a spike at the bottom of the side room door and also a pile of dung, and do the same for the door of the main room. Even though I myself drop in the pot with boiling water and (manage to) get out, I will still fall on the spike and die.",
dàbǔ,\# dàbǔ\# sùnjá súnj̀̀\# tè-q${ }^{\text {h}} w a ̌ \# ~\left(t c^{h i ̀ . . .) ~}\right.$
dəbǔ, dəbǔ sǔ-nǰ̌ sǔ-nǐ̌ tǐ-qhwǎ (tçī1...) then then hemp-roasted.grain hemp-roasted.grain one-clf:bowlfeed də̀-tç ȟ̌;\# də̀bǔ,\# sùn'ว́ súnì̀\# tè-q ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ wǎ\# dàbǔ\# dǎ-ţ̧ ${ }^{\text {hǐ; } \text { dəbǔ, sǔ-nǰ̌̌̌ tǐ-qhwǎ dəbǔ }}$ To.SP-feed then hemp-roasted.grain hemp-roasted.grain one-ClF:bowl then


$1 \mathrm{SG}=$ DAT TO.SP-feed leather.bag $=$ INS then drying.rack $=$ on UP-suspend
quù" tढ̣ẁ̀.\#
qữ" tçwž.
POL say:PFV:N.EGO
' "Then give a bowl of roasted hemp seeds, give me a bowl of roasted hemp seeds, and tie me onto the rack with a leather bag/in a leather bag, please," he said.'
(33)

 then INTJ INTJ INTJ boiling.water boil $\mathrm{Q}=$ IPFV:N.EGO say time $=$ also
"lú\# mà = dáw" tç̀. \#
" i ú mǎ=daw" ţ̧̌.
boil NEG=IPFV:N.EGO say
'Then when (Hare) said, "Njam, njam, njam, is the water boiling?" (they) answered: "It's not boiling.",

 then INTJ INTJ INTJ boiling.water boil Q=IPFV:N.EGO say time=also
"lú\# mà = dáw" tç̀. \#
" i ú mǎ=daw" t ${ }^{\text {çǎ. }}$
boil NEG=IPFV:N.EGO say
'Then when (Hare) said, "Njam, njam, njam, is the water boiling?" (they) answered: "It's not boiling." ,

 then three-CLF:phrase interior time $=$ TOP INTJ INTJ INTJ


boiling.water boil $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{IPFV}: \mathrm{N} . E G O$ say time $=$ TOP now boil=IPFV:N.EGO
t ¢wà = sì. \#
tçwǒ = si.
say:PFV:N.EGO
'Then when he said for the third time, "Njam, njam, njam, is the water boiling?" they answered: "It's boiling now." "


boil $=I P F V: N . E G O$ say time $=$ only $C M X$ leather.bag-bottom CMX knife $=$ INS
té-fè $=$ cì $=$ bù\# gwìd'ón\# áwà\# nè-dǐ\# nè-ç̀
tǐ- $-\check{e}=6 i=$ bu $\quad$ gwid ${ }^{j}{ }^{\prime} y$ âwa ně-dǐ ně-cá
one-ClF:cut $=$ LIM. TOP $=$ TOP pestle CMX DOWN-throw DOWN-go

 let:PFV:N.EGO LINK person = PL:GEN boiling.water-cooking.pot DOWN-break nè-çà kwéj\# fà\# jèhǎ\# lỉwètsə̀,ón\# tá-ţà kwèj\#
ně-cá kwéj fia jehǎ lỉwetsə孔óy tá-ţ̧̂ kwéj
Down-go let:PFV: N.EGO LINK all glowing.ember UP-jump let:PFV:N.EGO
fià\# méqé-bà\# (swáy = nòn) \# má( = nò 1 ,\#
fia méqe-ba (swæ̂y = noŋ) mâ( $=$ noŋ,
LINK family-household:GEN father = COORD mother = COORD
t'ón) $((=$ bù $))$ \# tsù-kóy = wù è-phìn\# è-ś́j kì $=$ là\#
tiôn $)=((b u)) \quad$ tsǔ-kǒy $=w u \quad$ ě-phìy ě-s $\hat{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e} j \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{la}$
one:CLF:thing $=$ TOP side.room-door $=$ in IN-flee IN-go:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ also
qé-bù $=$ tù\# thè-dwéj\# qhé $=$ tù nè-tsèn \# nè-sà kwéj, \#

dung-pile $=$ on FR.SP-slip spike $=$ on DOwn-fall.down let:PFV:N.EGO


two then central.room-door = in out-location out-flee out-go:PFv:N.EGO


time $=$ also father then out-direction out-flee:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ also
hèsž\# qée $=$ tù nè-tsèn \# nè-sò kwéj. \#
heşǎ $q^{\text {hée }}=$ tû ně-tsén ně-sǒ kwéj
Ch:still spike=on DOwn-fall down-die let:PFv:n.Ego
'When (they) said, "It's boiling," LOOK! (Hare) used the knife, LOOK! to cut the bottom of the leather bag in just one cut, LOOK! let the pestle fall down; (he) let their cooking pot with boiling water break; (he) let all the glowing embers jump up; when the (father and) mother (one of them) fled inwards to the side room door, (he) let (her) slip on the dung pile and fall on the spike. When [two (of them) fled outwards to the door of the central room...] when
the father fled outwards，（Hare）also caused（him）to fall down on the spike and die．＇
də̀bǔ，\＃méqé－bù\＃nə̀－tsə́＝gà\＃nè－sə̀ kwと́j，\＃dàbǔ\＃
dəbǔ，méqe－bu nə̌－tsə̂＝gə ně－sž kwéj，dəbǔ then family－household two－CLF：person＝DEF DOWN－die let：PFV：N．EGO then ní\＃té－tš̀ $=$ cì\＃kǒy＝wù\＃qhò－sćj＝sì tçàw．\＃
 LOG one－CLF：jump＝LIM．TOP door $=$ in OUT－go：N．EGO PFV $=$ INF HSY
＇Then（he）let the two people of that household die，and he himself went in just one jump through the door，it is said．＇

## YJ01：‘Mushroom－poisoning’（personal experience monologue）

A personal experience in which the speaker recounts the time she and a group of friends saved the lives of three people who were poisoned by a mushroom．

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { łว́-kì̀ də̀bǔ\# èmá\# mì qhá cá kì̀ = bù, \# } \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { front-time then aunt edible.fungus pick go time }=\text { TOP } \\
& \text { sว̀qú\# ŋwé kù tù\# ఢ̧́ = sêŋ. \# } \\
& \text { səqú } \quad \text { ŋwê-kû = tû } \quad \text { द́ = seŋ. } \\
& \text { thirty five-CLF:year }=\text { on } \mathrm{go}=\mathrm{PFV}: \text { EGO }
\end{aligned}
$$

＇In the past，when aunt（ $=\mathrm{I}$ ）went mushroom picking，（she）went at 35 years （of age）．＇


edible．fungus pick up－go time $=$ TOP then $1=$ PL provision four－CLF：day
りwé－nò̀＝gæ̀\＃nè－kú\＃tź－¢ə̀．
りWê－nôy＝gæ ně－kù tź－¢ə́．
five－CLF：day＝GEN DOWN－carry．on．back UP－go
＇When（we）went mushroom picking，we went carrying provisions for four or five days．＇
(3) də̀bǔ\# tá-¢ə̀\# gònn ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ æ̀-bá dzí tà $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù\#

then UP-go Nuòsū-household:GEN location arrive time $=$ TOP

dəbǔ-njə ว̂-dzi $\quad$ ¢Wîn $q^{\text {hy̌-dzá. }}$
then-near that-location lunch out-eat
'Then (we) went up and arrived at the Nuòsū household's (we) ate lunch there.'


then lunch out-eat up-go time=TOP then up-on meadow-big
tá-tâ.\#
tá-tǎ.
UP-arrive
'After having eaten lunch and having gone up, (we) arrived at a meadow.'
tshíttéj\# dàbǔ\# jèhhǎ\# bú\# mí = lú\# jǎw\# mǐ tǐ\#
tshí-téj dəbǔ, jehǎ bú mí=lù jǎw mǐ tǐ
meadow-big then all sun NEG:PFV=set.of.sun again edible.fungus one
thè̀-q $^{\text {há }}$ ç̀. $\#$
thě-qhà çá.
FR.SP-pick go
'At the meadow, when the sun had not set yet, everybody went picking mushrooms.'
(6) dàbǔ\# dà-zá\# nǒnnòn\# màtç̣ ${ }^{\text {hí\# }}$ thè-dzú\# qhà-dzá.\# $^{\text {hen }}$

then TO.SP-come and.only.then dinner FR.SP-make out-eat
'Then, only after coming back from that (we) cooked dinner and ate.'


then dinner out-eat then again night $=$ TOP again
gwæ̀ = dwèn t $t$ ̂. $\#$
gwǎ = dwen tçž.
sing $=$ IPFV:EGO:N.SG say
'After having eaten dinner, in the evening, (we) sang.'
(8) tión\# tióy\# ŋòŋbá pú\# gwǎ,\# èmá = bù\# dàbǔ\# gwæ̀ tiôn tiôn łoŋbá pú gwǎ, emâ=bu dəbǔ gwǎ one:ClF:thing one:CLF:thing in.turn do sing aunt=TOP then sing ( $\left.\mathrm{t}^{\text {hón }}\right)((\mathrm{t}$ ṭá $)$ ) \# mà = dáw. \# (thǒy) ( t ) $\left.\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{č})}\right)$ mǎ=daw. be.able:N.EGO be.able:EGO:1 NEG = IPFV:N.EGO
'One by one (we) sang in turn; aunt ( $=$ I) did not dare to sing.'
(9) èmá\# dàbǔ\# gwǎ\# (mà = thǒn) ((mí=tçâ)); dàbǔ\# àwá\# èmá = nòn\# emâ dəbǔ gwǎ mǎ=thǒn dəbǔ ž-wa emâ=non aunt then sing NEG=dare:N.EGO then this-in:GEN aunt = COORD
tə̀ = Łǒŋnì, \# "nìg = bú\# dàbǔ\# thóy\# gá = tá = là\# gwæ̌\# tá= ¡oŋnì, "nǐy=bu dəbǔ t"ôy gá=ta=la gwæ̌ this = PL:AGT $2 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{TOP}$ then voice beautiful $=\mathrm{SVM}=$ also sing mà = thǒy, \# ľ̌̌mǎtà," tçà, \# è = bí\# ná tçá = dàw, \#
mǎ = thǒn lǐ̌mǎtà," tç̌̌, é = bi ná t ṭ̆ = daw,
NEG $=$ dare:N.EGO useless say $1 \mathrm{SG}=$ DAT thus say=IPFV:N.EGO
də̀bǔ\# gwæ̀ $\downarrow$ ŋ̌ = mí= cî.\#
dəbǔ gwæ̌ $\check{\text { º }}=\mathrm{mí}=$ Č̌.
then sing can=NEG:PFV=can
'Aunt ( = I) did not dare to sing, so this aunt here and the others said (to me), "Your voice is beautiful, but (you) don't dare to sing, that's of no use." Thus they spoke to me, but I could not sing.'
dàbǔ\# ə́-kì $\#$ tè- -d zú $=$ gá $=$ bù\# dàbǔ\# thútù\# tçínmíy\#
dəbǔ $\hat{\text { ô-ki }}$ hǐ-dzú $=$ gə $=b u \quad$ dəbǔ thêtu tçínmig
then that-time one-clF:times $=\mathrm{DEF}=$ TOP then all.the.time home

ně-mí = zว̌.
DOWN-NEG:PFV = come
'That particular time (we) did not come (down) home at all.'

emâ sénoy=noy dəbǔ qǎ-m̂̂刀 wútçi =bi hatç̣í tź-jěj
aunt Sanong = COORD then down-below Ch:Wujin=DAT provisions up-get kéj.
let
'(We) let Aunt Sanong and Wujin from downstairs bring up provisions.'


that-on twenty-more.than-CLF:night sit = PFV:EGO
'(We) spent more than twenty nights up there.'


then that-top sit time = top then that-top Nuòsū yak


herd-NMLZ $=$ PL:GEN yak female.yak two DOWN-mislay $=\mathrm{INF}$ time $=\mathrm{TOP}$
gwén = góy nè-swè = sì. \#
gwéy $=$ goy ně-swě $=$ si.
bear $=$ AGT $\quad$ DOWN-kill:PFV:N.EGO $=I N F$
'Then when we were hanging out up there, two female yaks of the Nuòsū yak herders up there got loose and were killed by a bear.'
 gwéŋ = goŋ ně-sě dəbǔ Łeŋdí $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$, dəbǔ Łeŋdí, ťôŋ=bu bear $=$ AGT DOWN-kill then seek time $=$ TOP then seek one:CLF:thing $=$ TOP


TO.SP-find time $=$ TOP OUT-eat DOWN-be.finished do:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$
'They were killed by a bear and then when (people) were looking (for them) (they) found one, but (it) was already completely eaten.'
(15)
tión= bù\# də̀bǔ\# zégì\# mǔ\# téj\# dà-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ wé kìì $=$ bù, \# tiôy=bu dəbǔ zêgi mǔ t̂̂j dǎ-th $w e ́ \quad k^{h} i=b u$, one:ClF:thing $=$ TOP then later corpse EXIST.H TO.SP-find time $=$ TOP

 Nuòsū-household IN-come LINK then slaughter come:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP

 UP-smelly $=\mathrm{INF}$ then slaughter $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{can}$ LOG $=$ PL smelly-NMLZ eat
mǎ = wèn tçàw. \#
mǎ = wên tçaw.
NEG = CUST.EXCL HSY
'When the other corpse was found later, and the Nuòsū household came up the valley to butcher it, it had become smelly, so they could not butcher it, they said that they are not able to eat smelly (meat).'
dzá\# mǎ= wèn tç̀̀\# dàbǔ\# è = Łæ̌\# wù\# cwín = tì\# qhò-dzá\#

eat NEG $=$ CUST.EXCL say then $1=$ PL:GEN interior lunch $=$ INDF out-eat
$q^{\text {h }}$ ว̀- - $\hat{j}$ j.
$q^{\text {h}}$ ว̌-s $\varepsilon$ êj.
OUT-go:PFV:N.EGO
'They could not eat it, so (they) had some lunch in our midst and then went down the valley.'

'After (they) had eaten some lunch and left, there were two people from the lowland, two or three people who were picking gentian.'
(18)
dàbǔ\# tà-bù-sèn = bú\# dàbǔ\# tióy= bù\# dàbǔ\# ní = $\ddagger \grave{~}=$ bù\# dəbǔ tá-bu-sey = bu dəbǔ tiôy $=b u \quad$ dəbǔ nî $=\nsupseteq=b u$ then 3-household-PART $=$ TOP then one:clF:thing $=T O P$ then $L O G=P L=T O P$

łənǽn-mə dzá wên ţ̧ž, tó= bu fwé-mǔ=gə łendí
smelly-NMLZ eat CUST.EXCL say 3 SG $=$ TOP yak-corpse $=$ DEF seek
sर̂̀. \#
s $\hat{\varepsilon} \mathrm{j}$.
go:PFV:N.EGO
'Then, one of their group said that they were able to eat smelly meat, and he went off to look for the yak corpse.'
łèndí č́j $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù} \mathrm{\#}$ dàbǔ-ná\# tá\# đwémú\# th$w e ́ \#$

seek go:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP then-near this yak-corpse find

mí= thǒy, dəbǔ ně-¢wí $n^{j}$ æ̀qûqû thě-dòn.
NEG:PFV = can then DOWN-dark pitch.black FR.SP-become
'When (he) went to seek (the corpse), (he) could not find the yak corpse and then it became dark.'
(20) dàbǔ\# dò-tç ${ }^{\text {hón }} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù, \# n ${ }^{\text {jùkǽntçwìn dzà tç̀\# fià\# }}$

then TO.SP-come:PFV:N.EGO time = TOP Ch:king.boletus be say LINK
mǐ\# ź-tèj-mà\# tióy\# è-púuú\# q ${ }^{\text {hò-dzwź }=\text { sì. \# }}$

edible.fungus that-big = NMZL one:CLF:thing IN-roast out-eat $=\mathrm{INF}$
'After (he) returned, (they) roasted and ate a mushroom this big called 'king boletus'.'
(21) dàbǔ,\# mó = nòy\# swǽy = gònnì\# də̀bǔ\# qhù $=$ gá\# qh̀̀-dzá.\#
dəbǔ, mô $=$ noy $\quad s w \hat{\nsupseteq y=g o n n i ~ d ə b u ̌ ~} q^{\text {hy }}=$ gə $q^{\text {ȟ̌ }}$-dzá.
then daughter $=$ COORD father $=$ AGT then head = DEF out-eat
'Then the daughter and the father ate the head [of the mushroom].'

dəbǔ mə̂＝ææ zêg ${ }^{j} æ$ də̌－दว̌－mə $=g ə=b i=b u \quad$ dwæ̌y $=g ə$
then person＝PL：GEN later TO．SP－come－NMLZ $=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{DAT}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad$ trunk $=\mathrm{DEF}$
$q^{\text {hà }}$－dzá $q^{\text {hú }}$ tçwà＝sì，\＃＂é\＃mǎ＝（dzìy）（（dzàw））＂tçà kì＝là，\＃
 out－eat POL say：PFV：N．EGO＝INF 1SG NEG＝IMP：PL IMP：SG say time＝also ＂qخ̀̀－dzáw，\＃zóy\＃zǔ，＂\＃tçwò＝sì tçàw．\＃

| ＂qȟ̌－dzáw， | zón | zǔ，＂＂ | tçwǎ＝si | tçaw． |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| out－eat：IMP：SG | delicious | very | say：PFV：N．EGO＝INF | HSY |

＇And they offered the stem to the other person who came later．When he said， ＂I won＇t eat it，＂it is said that they said，＂Eat，it＇s delicious！＂，
dàbǔ\＃q⿳亠口冋丸－dzá khì＝bù\＃dàbǔ\＃má＝Łæ̀\＃tá\＃sòn－tsá＝gà\＃
dəbǔ $q^{\text {ȟ̌ }}$－dzá $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ mə̂＝ææ tá sǒy－tŝ̂＝gə then out－eat time $=$ TOP then person＝PL：GEN this three－ClF：person＝DEF
tèt ${ }^{\text {hì }}=$ bú\＃mì $=$ gón\＃nè－dǔ．$\#$
tet ${ }^{\text {ȟi }}=\mathrm{bu} \quad \mathrm{mǐ}=\mathrm{go} \mathrm{\eta} \quad$ ně－dǔ．
after．a．while $=$ TOP edible．fungus＝AGT DOWN－be．poisoned
＇When they had eaten，these three people were poisoned by the mushroom．＇
mò̀qæ̀lí＝gá\＃sà fá\＃níg né－pà；\＃dàbǔ\＃孔égì\＃tà－bǎ\＃
məədælí＝gə sə Ł̂̂ níy ně－pâ；dəbǔ zêgi tá－ba
$\operatorname{girl}=$ DEF $\quad$ first front sick DOWN－do：PFV：N．EGO then later 3－household：GEN swáy $=$ gá\＃níy thé－tsèy，\＃dàbǔ\＃má＝łæ̈\＃tá\＃孔ég ${ }^{\text {jo }}$ \＃
swây＝gə níy thě－tsén，dəbǔ mô＝ұæ tá zêg ${ }^{j} æ$ father $=$ DEF sick FR．SP－hit．target then person＝PL：GEN this later：GEN
$q^{\text {ȟ̀ }}$－dzá－má $=$ gə̀\＃zégì $=$ nòn \＃níy\＃thé－tsèy $=$ sì．\＃

oUT－eat－NMLZ $=$ DEF later $=$ only sick FR．SP－hit．target $=\mathrm{INF}$
＇First the little girl got sick；then her father got sick；only later the person who had eaten later got sick．＇
（25）
dàbǔ，\＃＂孔èmí＝bù\＃ìn－bú－sè̀\＃ə̀－pú\＃nè－sà q̂̂j＂＂
dəbǔ，＂zemî＝bu ǐn－bu－sen $\quad$ ə̌－pu ně－š̌＝q $\varepsilon$ j＂
then tonight $=$ TOP 1：INCL－household－PART this－under DOWN－die $=$ EXPT

tçž，dəbǔ ě－孔 $\quad \hat{e}=\downarrow æ \quad$ tshí－téj $\quad \hat{\partial}-q^{h} u \quad \downarrow w e ́-h w a ̌=w u$
say then IN－come $1=$ PL：GEN meadow－big that－top yak－shack $=$ in
è－zá khí＝bù，\＃è－zá\＃mí＝thóŋ；\＃dàbǔ\＃
ě－६ว̌ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$ ，ě－孔ǎ mí＝thǒy；dəbǔ
IN－come time $=$ TOP IN－come NEG：PFV＝be．able then

$t^{\text {h }}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w} \hat{\text { ®．－přy }}=$ t $^{\mathrm{j}} æ \quad$ wu $\hat{\partial}-\mathrm{pu}$ dəbǔ
oriental．arborvitae－grove $=$ INDF：GEN interior that－bottom then

gwěy $=$ noŋ mô $=$ non $\hat{\partial}-p u \quad$ dəbǔ ně－t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ət $\epsilon^{h} \hat{\partial}$
horse $=$ COORD person $=$ COORD that－under then DOWN－stand：COLL
dzón $=$ sî，\＃gwèn $=$ gǽ $\#$ cí $=$ là $\# q^{\text {hy̌－}} \# \mathrm{mí}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ ．$\#$
dzón $=s i \quad$ gwén $=$ gæ $\quad \epsilon \hat{\imath}=l a \quad q^{\text {ȟ̌ }}-\mathrm{mí}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{z}$
sit $=\mathrm{INF}$ horse $=$ GEN sadle $=$ also out－NEG $=$ take. off
＇Then they said，＂Tonight the three of us will die here，＂and then when they made their way to the yak herders＇shack on our meadow，they could not make it，so the horse and the people（father and daughter）stayed down there in a conifer grove；the horse＇s saddle had not even been taken off．＇
（26）dàbǔ\＃tó＝gá＝bù\＃nè－sò qśj＝dàw tç̀̀\＃fià\＃də̀bǔ\＃tǐ＝qhù\＃nè－dzéj\＃ dəbǔ tá＝gə＝bu ně－sǎ qej daw tç̌ fia dəbǔ tǐ＝q ${ }^{\text {hu }} u$ ně－dzèj then this $=$ DEF $=$ TOP DOWN－die PROS say LINK then mule $=$ on DOWN－ride


LINK 1＝PL：GEN meadow－big that－top in－arrive do come：PFV：N．EGO
＇Then this one（the one who came later）said that（they）were about to die，so he rode a mule and came to arrive at our meadow up there．＇
(27)


then INTJ INTJ say IN-come:PFV:N.EGO then $1=\mathrm{PL}=$ also INTJ say
khì = là\# dè̀čj\# tóy zín\# mà = dáw. \#
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{la} \quad$ deyčj tón ž̌y mǎ=daw
time $=$ also speech utter can NEG $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'Then he came calling out "Wu, wu!" and we also called out "Wu!", but he could not speak.'
(28) dəbǔ\# "wû\# wû" tç̀ = sèn kī̀ = bù, \# zèmí = bù\# èmá = bù\# dəbǔ\#
dəbǔ "wû wû" tç̌=sen $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=b u, \quad$ zemî=bu emâ=bu dəbǔ then INTJ INTJ say $=$ PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP tonight $=$ TOP aunt $=$ TOP then nè-qû, \# tsú dzá\# bǎ ((ç̀ = sèn)), \#
ně-dû, tsú dzâ bǎ $\quad\left(\left(\epsilon_{1} i=s e \eta\right)\right)$,
DOWN-frightened ghost be SPEC think = PFV:EGO
zèmí\# má\# dè $£ \grave{y}$ j tón \# mà = dáw $((=$ má $))=$ gǽ\# ná\# "wû\# wû"
ъemî mô deyčj tóy mǎ=daw=gæ ná "wû wû"
tonight person speech speak NEG=IPFV:N.EGO = GEN thus INTJ INTJ
tçə̀ = dàw\# fià\# èmá\# dəbǔ\# hwǎ= wù\# è-p ${ }^{\text {hín }}=$ sèn.
tçə̌=daw fia emâ dəbǔ hwǎ=wu ě-phìy =sen.
say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO LINK aunt then shack $=$ in IN -flee $=$ PFV:EGO
'Then when we also said "Wu, wu!" ..... That night aunt was frightened; "It's maybe a ghost" (I thought), "this person who does not speak tonight, but called out 'Wu!"' so aunt fled into the shack.'
(29) èmá\# nǽydzúmà\# ̀̀-pú\# nè-dzôŋ, \# gònn ${ }^{\text {jo }}$-mágín $=$ tì\# ó-pù\# emá nǽydz̧uma ž-pu ně-dzóy, goŋnǐ̌̌-məgíy = ti ô-pu aunt Naedzuma this-under DOWN-sit Nuòsū-old.man= INDFthat-under nè-dzóy = sí.
ně-dzóy = si.
DOWN-Sit $=$ INF
'Aunt Naedzuma sat over here, the old Nuòsū man sat over there.'
(30) dəbǔ\# èmá\# nǽydzúmà\# è = bí,\# "míg dzà," tçàw\# fià,\# dəbǔ emâ nǽndzuma é= bi, "mîy dzô," ṭ̣aw fia, then aunt Naedzuma 1SG=DAT what be say:IPFV:N.EGO LINK


what be not.sure person=(DEF) $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=\mathrm{TOP}$ speech utter=EXPT
zèmí = bù\# tsú dzá\# bǎ,\# é\# kè= tá" tç̀̀\# fià\#
zemî=bu tsú dzâ bǎ, "é kě=ta" tçǎ fia
tonight $=$ TOP ghost be SPEC 1 SG afraid $=$ SVM say LINK
è-phín = sè̀. \#
ě-phìn = sey."
IN-flee $=$ PFV:EGO
‘Then aunt Naedzuma asked me, "What is it?"; (I said), "What it is (I) don't know, if it were a person, he would be able to speak; tonight it is maybe a ghost; (I) said 'I am afraid' and fled in here." '


after.a.while $=$ TOP this person $=$ DEF UP-come:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP then


down-below T:SKal.bzang $=$ COORD then Nuòsū-boy $=I N D F=T O P \quad$ then
"nǐn\# míy thè-dòy," tçà kì̀ = là\# mó = ¡æ̀\# dəbǔ\# dètèj

2SG what FR.SP-become say time=also person=PL:GEN then speech
tón\# mà = ž̌̆ , \# tá\# mì né-dù\#\# fà.\#
tón mǎ=zǐy, tá mǐ ně-dǔ fia.
speak NEG = can 3SG edible.fungus Down-be.poisoned LINK
'After a while, when this person came up, and Kizu from downstairs and the one Nuòsū boy asked him, "What's up with you?" he was not able to speak: he got mushroom poisoning.'


lúlú-mə̀gìn\# nè-dzóy = má = tù thè-tsèn pà. \#
lúlu məəgíy ně-dzóy-mə = tû thě-tséy pâ.
Lolo old.man DOWN-sit-NMLZ=on FR.SP-fall.down do:PFV:N.EGO
'Then (he) came in; (he) fell down on top of the old Lolo man who was sitting there.'

 then speech speak NEG=can that-location:GEN Ch:milk.pail=DAT then

ná pú zǎ ě-tjǎw, dəbǔ ̂̂-dz̧æ tşúł̣æ kǐ qǔ̌ thus do hand in-point then that-location:GEN buttermilk give.drink POL
tद̆̀. \#
tçã.
say
'He could not speak, but he pointed his hand like this at the milk pail over there and requested to give him some of that buttermilk over there to drink.'
dàbǔ\# tşúfáŋ\# tà = Łǒynì\# jìpǔ = wù\# thè-kwì khí = nóy, \#
dəbǔ tşúłæŋ tá = ұoŋni jipǔ=wu thě-kwǐ $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hi }}=$ noŋ, then buttermilk $3=$ PL:AGT ladle $=$ in FR.SP-give.drink:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ only nǒynòn\# tá-p ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ é pà $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ nòy \# nǒnnòy\#
nǒynòn tó-phě pâ $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ noŋ nǒnnòn
and.only.then UP-vomit do:PFV:N.EGO time=only and.only.then
dè.cèj $=$ tí\# q h̀̀̀-tóv. \#
deyčj $=$ ti $\quad$ qȟ̌-tóy.
speech $=$ INDF $\quad$ OUT-speak
'Then, only after they gave him buttermilk in a ladle to drink he vomited, and only then (he) spoke a little bit.'
（35）
＂hèjǔ，\＃é\＃孔èmí＝bù\＃mì né－dù，\＃khù－pú\＃
＂hejǔ，é zemî＝bu mǐ ně－dǎ，kǔ－pu INTJ 1SG tonight＝TOP edible．fungus DOWN－be．poisoned out－under
swáy＝nòn\＃mó＝tì\＃jǎw\＃è－zá\＃mí＝thồ，\＃

father $=$ COORD daughter $=I N D F$ again IN－come NEG：PFV $=$ can：N．EGO

nǐy $=$ Łonni ţ̧úłæŋ $=$ ti qhǎ－zǎa tǐ pîn，＂tçaw．
$2=$ PL：AGT buttermilk $=$ INDF out－carry one do：IMP：PL say：PFV：N．EGO
،＂Oh，tonight（I）was poisoned by a mushroom，lower down the valley there are also a father and daughter who are not able to come westwards，could you bring them a little buttermilk？＂he said．＇

dəbǔ emâ＝la 孔ว̌ qǔ tçaw，emâ＝la $\quad$ द́ $=$ sen
then aunt＝also go POL say：IPFV：N．EGO aunt＝also go＝PFV：EGO
＇Then（they）invited aunt（＝I）to come too，so aunt（＝I）went too．＇
（37）dàbǔ\＃é\＃jǎw\＃tá＝Łá\＃jèhǎ\＃mě\＃té－qæ̀n\＃té－qæ̀ŋ\＃
dəbǔ é jǎw tá＝ұə jehǎ mě tǐ－qæ̂刀 tǐ－qख̂刀 then 1SG again this＝PL all fire one－cle：handful one－clF：handful （（d⿱̀－zá kèj）），\＃nó－qæ̀ŋ\＃dò－zá kèj．\＃
（（dž－zà kéj）），nž－qâŋ dǎ－zà kéj．
To．sp－carry let two－ClF：handful To．sP－carry let
＇I had them all carry one or two handfuls of fire（torches？）．＇
（38）è－bù－sěy\＃ŋwé－tsə̀\＃kh̀̀－cə̀＝séŋ．\＃
é－bu－sey jwê－tsô khy̌－có＝sey
1－household－PART five－ClF：people OUT－go＝PFV：EGO
＇Of our household five people went．＇


aunt $=$ TOP then that－on shingle FR．SP－take＝NMLZ $=$ GEN
gázálì\＃ná\＃tè－cú\＃d̀̀－z̧â．\＃
gúzæli ná tǐ－cú dž－zà̀．
shingle－small．wood．chips thus one－ClF：armful To．SP－carry
＇Aunt carried an armful of small chips from the left－over shingles like this．＇
(40)
dàbǔ\# è-bù-sěn\# kh̀̀-¢à khí $=$ bú\# té-ngò $=$ gà\# tá\#
dəbǔ é-bu-sey $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}$ ว̌-ḉ $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu} \quad$ tǐ-nồ $=$ gə $\quad$ tá
then 1 - household-PART out-go time $=$ TOP one-ClF:night $=$ DEF this
má = gà\# nè-qwécéj\# nè-qwéféj = là\# "áw," tç̀̀\# mà = dáw.\#

person = DEF DOWN-shout DOWN-shout = also INTJ say NEG = IPFV:N.EGO
'Then when several of our household went, and spent a long time calling and calling this person, he did not even answer "Here!",
dàbǔ\# á-dzì\# kh̀̀-¢ə̀ khí = bú\# də̀bǔ\# è = ní\# qæ̀n-mǽn\#

then that-location OUT-go time=TOP then $1=$ AGT down-below
kízú\# dàbǔ, \# "èmá = nòn\# ì $=$ dzáy $=$ bù\# kè $=$ tá, \# tá\# má\#
kízu dəbǔ," "mâ=noŋ ǐy=dzæy=bu kě=ta, tá mô T:sKal.bzang then aunt $=$ COORD 1.INCL $=\mathrm{DU}=$ TOP afraid $=\mathrm{SVM}$ this person nè-sž\# bǎ, \# ì $=$ dzán = bù\# zégì\# dádà pù\# ḉ = gî,\# ne-sž bǎ, ǐy $=d z æ \eta=b u \quad$ zêgi dâda pú cá $=g i$ DOWN-die SPEC 1:INCL=DU=TOP later slowly do go=vol:INCL
nè-sə̀ $=$ sí tç̀̀ = dàw sàtçæ̀\# ə́-dzì\# cá\# mà = gí,\#
ně-sž=si ţ̧̌ = daw sətçæ ô-dzi cá mǎ=gi,
DOWN - die $=$ INF say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO if that-location go NEG = VOL:INCL
kè = tá, \# è-gì = gí," tç̀ = sèn. \#

afraid $=$ SVM $\quad$ IN-turn.around $=$ VOL.INCL $\quad$ say $=$ PFV:EGO
'When we were walking eastwards, I said to Kizu from downstairs, "Aunt (=I) the two of us are afraid and this man has maybe died. Let the two of us go slowly behind (the others). If it is said that he has died, let's not go there, it's frightening, let's turn around." '

$k^{h} i=b u \quad d ə b u ̌ t a ́ \quad k^{h} u ̌-p u=n o \eta, \quad$ "n̂y, $\hat{m} \eta, "$ ṭ̣aw,
time $=$ TOP then 3SG out-under $=$ COORD INTJ INTJ say:IPFV:N.EGO
ª́q nè-dzàdzà sá fià.\#
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { fִû } & \text { ně-dzədzǎ } & \text { ŝa } & \text { fia. } \\ \text { teeth } & \text { Down-be.tightly.closed } & \text { go:PFV:N.EGO } & \text { LINK }\end{array}$
‘Then he called "Hng, hng!" from below, his teeth were tightly closed.'
(43)
 dəbǔ, "m̌ŋ, m̌y," tçaw,
 then INTJ INTJ say:IPFV:N.EGO INTJ INTJ INTJ say AUD out-go=vol:INCL
 tçž fia khว̌-ḉ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ, "ě-cá=gi," tçว̌ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$, say LINK out-go time $=$ TOP then IN -go $=$ VOL:INCL say time $=$ TOP


person $=$ PL $=$ TOP UP-vomit UP-vomit do go:PFV:N.EGO
'(He could only) say, "Hng, hng!" (I) said, "Oh, (I) hear (someone) saying 'Hng, hng!', let's go down the valley," and when we went down the valley, (when I said to him), "Let's go up the valley," the people were vomiting heavily.'
"hèjù,"\# è-द̧́ tçá mà = qc̀j dàw," tçàw; \# dàbǔ\#
 INTJ IN-come be.able:EGO:1 NEG = EXPT = IFPV:N.EGO say:IPFV:N.EGO then

 that-location:GEN Nuòsū-household boy=AGT horse $=$ on IN-bind:CNT
è-zá = cìy, \# nǐy\# è-ź tà, \# kě\# mà = qhû,\# bàbú\# (kǔ\#
ě-z̧à = cin, nǐy ě-孔ž tâ, kě mǎ=qhǔ, babú (kù
IN-carry = VOL:PL 2 SG IN-come can afraid NEG = need things carry.on.back


NEG $=$ be.finished $\quad 1=$ PL $\quad$ carry $\quad$ NEG $=$ be.finished $=$ NMLZ $\quad 1=$ PL


DOWN-carry.on.back = VOL:PL say time=also INTJ thank.you this-under


sit $=$ vOL:PL $2=$ PL:GEN shack $=$ in $\quad$ LOG $=$ PL vomit $=$ EXPT


come can NEG = EXPT say:IPFV:N.EGO
' "Oh, we won't be able to come up the valley." Then when that young Nuòsū boy said, "We will tie you on the horse and carry you, just come up the valley,
don't be afraid, the things that (the horse) [can't carry on the back...] can't bring, we will carry on our backs," he said, "Oh, thank you! We will stay here. We are afraid that we will throw up in your shack, we cannot come." '



Pumi $=$ also one-CLF:group EXIST.AN what be say LINK $1=$ PL=DAT
dò-ľ̌̌\# fà, \# èmá\# nǽy = tì\# nè-gú kì̀ = bù;\#
dǒ-ľ̌ fia, emâ nêy $=t i \quad$ ně-gù $\quad k^{\text {h }} i=b u ;$
TO.SP-gaze LINK aunt skirt = INDF DOWN-wear time $=$ TOP
"èmá\# ť̌̌̌j\# thónmá dzà, \# nǽg nè-gù = mà = bù\# ìg = ұə́\#
emá tiěj thóymə dzâ, næ̂y ně-gù-mə=bu ǐy = əə
aunt INTJ Pǔmǐ be:N.EGO skirt DOWN-wear-NMLZ = TOP 1:INCL = PL


all Pǔmǐ friend only be:N.EGO 2SG this buttermilk OUT-drink:IMP:SG
tçà kì̀ $=$ là\# thé\# ná pá\# tè-çú pú\# dà-zâa,\#

say time =also all.the.time thus do one-ClF:armful do To.SP-carry
qùbǔ\# dò-z̧á\# thǐǹ\# mà = dáw.\#
qubǔ dǒ-zà thìn mǎ=daw.
bottle TO.SP-carry drink NEG=IPFV:N.EGO
'Then this tiny little girl said, "Oh, there is another group Pumi, what's up?" and she looked at us; aunt was wearing a skirt, so when (I) said, "Aunt is indeed Pumi, all the ones among us that are wearing skirts are only Pumi friends. Drink this buttermilk," she held the bottle like this in her arm all the time and did not drink.'

 then OUT－drink：IMP：SG say time $=$ TOP then OUT－drink time $=$ TOP then mòdæ̀lín èlỉ̌̌̌ì\＃thè－dóy pà．\＃
məədælí eljæ̌九̌tì thě－dòn pâ．
girl a．little FR．SP－be．okay do：PFV：N．EGO
＇When（I）said，＂Drink，＂and after she drank，the little girl was a little bit better．＇
də̀bǔ\＃ó－pù\＃gùtálú＝nò̀\＃tá＝łá\＃jèhǎ\＃nè－lín \＃qà－pú
dəbǔ ə̂－pu gutálu＝noŋ tá＝əə jehǎ ně－lî̀ qǎ－pu
then that－under rock $=$ COORD this $=$ PL all DOWN－roll down－under


out－put down－below T：sKal．bzang $=$ COORD $=$ TOP this Nuòsū－child $=$ PL：AGT
＇Kizu from downstairs and the Nuòsū children put that rock and all these things under there．＇
（48）dàbǔ\＃ə́－pù\＃dàbǔ\＃孔ว̀－tóy\＃thè－dzû\＃khว̀－̨ว̀ kwêj
dəbǔ ̂̂－pu dəbǔ zǒ－ton thě－dzù khy̌－孔ə̌ kwéj then that－under then sleep－NMLZ FR．SP－make out－sleep let：PFV：N．EGO

 time $=\mathrm{TOP}$ then $1=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{DAT}$ thank．you $2=\mathrm{PL} \quad$ tonight $=T O P \quad$ LOG $=\mathrm{PL}$
tènə̀＝bú\＃ว́－pù\＃nè－sà qćj dáw，＂tc̣àw，\＃dàbǔ．．．．\＃
tenə̌＝bu ə̂－pu ně－sž qej daw，＂tc̣aw，dəbǔ．．．．
otherwise $=$ TOP that－under DOWN－die PROS say：IPFV：N．EGO then
＇（They）made a sleeping place and let（them）sleep there．Then（they）said to us，＂Thank you！If not for you，we would have died here tonight．＂，
(49)
mě = bù\# cé\# zǔ̆\# tè-qwá\# nè-thêj, \#
$m e ̌=b u \quad$ ¢̂e $\quad$ zǔ tǐ-qwá ně-thêj,
fire $=$ TOP big very one-CLF:pile DOwn-light.a.fire

sěy-jípmu $=$ noŋ $\quad$ jípmu $=$ noŋ $\quad$ tá $=\downarrow ə \quad$ jehǎ
firewood-tree.trunk $=$ COORD tree. $\mathrm{trunk}=$ COORD this $=$ PL all
də̀-kàwtá\# è--ı̂̂.\#
dž-kawtá ě-ұì.
To.sp-collect IN-burn
'(We) lighted a huge pile and collected firewood, tree trunks etcetera and burned them all.'
(50) dàbǔ\# è-thêj,,\# dàbǔ\# è-bù-sén\# è-cà = sén k ${ }^{\text {hì }}=$ bù; \#
dəbǔ é-thêj, dəbǔ é-bu-sey ě-có $=$ sey $\quad k^{\text {hi }}=b u$;
then IN-light.a.fire then 1-household-PART IN-go $=$ PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP
tçínmín\# dàbǔ\# è-tà = má = gónnì = bù\# phè pû,\# á-dł̀̀\#
tcíymin dəbǔ ě-tǎ $=\mathrm{m} ə=$ goŋni $=b u \quad \mathrm{p}^{\text {hě }} \quad \mathrm{pú}, \hat{\partial}$-dzi
home then IN -arrive $=\mathrm{NMLZ}=\mathrm{AGT}=\mathrm{TOP}$ vomit do that-location
nè-dzón\# mà = thǒn\# qòn.̧ǎ\# $\mathrm{p}^{\text {hě } \# ~} \mathrm{p}^{\text {hě. }}$.
ně-dzón mǎ=thǒy qoŋłə̌ $\mathrm{p}^{\text {ȟe }} \mathrm{p}^{\text {hé }}$.
DOWN-sit NEG = can:N.EGO outside vomit vomit
'Then (we) lighted a fire, and then several went back. The one who had arrived at home was vomiting, he could not sit down there, but was vomiting outside.'

 vomit do $=$ IPFV:N.EGO then this Nuòsū-child=AGT $=$ TOP aunt $=$ PL


$2=$ PL sleep come:PFV:N.EGO NEG=EXPT LOG=AGT down-location:GEN
hwǎ = wù thè-hì̀j ç̀ = sù̀,\# qà-dqǽ\# hwǎ=wù

shack $=$ in To.SP-take.along go = vol:SG down-location:GEN shack $=$ in
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{\varepsilon} \mathrm{j} j$ sèj. \#

FR.SP-take.along go:PFV:N.EGO
'Then the Nuòsū boy said, "Aunties, you will not be able to sleep, I will take him to the lower shack." So he went taking (him) along to the lower shack.'
(52) qà-dzǽæ hwǎ = wù\# thè-h ${ }^{j}$ ćj č́j $^{\text {k }} \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù, \#
qǎ-dææ hwǎ=wu thě-híg $\quad$ sêj $\quad k^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$,
down-location:GEN shack $=$ in FR.SP-take.along go:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP

 then UP-come:PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP that-time $=$ TOP $1=$ PL front $=$ TOP


1 = PL laugh = also NEG:PFV = laugh:COLL DOWN-be.frightened
má = ¡æ̀\# má\# nè-sà qćj dáw tçà, \# fǎw-khì = bù\#

person = PL:GEN person DOWN-die PROS say that-time $=$ TOP
dàbǔ\# đુéfુæ̀ŋ = sèy, \# ájôn, \# ná thè-dòy mì

then laugh:COLL=PFV:EGO INTJ thus FR.SP-become edible.fungus
nè-dù $=$ sì tç̀̀. \#
ně-dǔ $=s i \quad t \not \subset z ̌$.
DOWN-be. poisoned $=$ INF $\quad$ say
'After (he) ( = the Nuòsū boy) went to put (him) in the lower shack and had come up again, we... before we had not laughed, we were frightened, "That man is about to die," but this time we laughed, "Oh, he was poisoned by a mushroom and became like this!",
dàbǔ, \# "tá\# màdæ̀lı́ $=$ gá $=$ bù\# tìæ̀ $=$ sà $q$ hû, \#
dəbǔ, "tá mədælí= gə=bu tiæ-sž quy̌, (...)"
then this girl $=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad$ PROH-die need
mògín $=$ dzáy $=$ dè\# dàbǔ\# dzwǎ," tçə̀ $=$ sèn\# è-bù-sèn $=$ bú.\#

old. $\mathrm{man}=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{DIS}$ then let.it.be $\mathrm{say}=\mathrm{PFV}$ :EGO 1 -household-PART $=$ TOP
'Several of our household said, "(We) hope this little girl will not die, but these wacky old men, let it be."'


girl $=$ TOP then-near morning $=$ TOP $1=$ PL breakfast out-eat LINK


edible.fungus pick go=vol:PL say time=TOP IN-come:PFV:N.EGO INTJ


aunt $=$ PL $1=$ PL $=$ DAT again buttermilk $=$ INDF give.drink POL
è-bá\# épò = $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}}$ æ̀\# thè-dóy\# mà = dáw," t tcâw.

1- household:GEN father = AGT FR.SP-be.okay NEG = IPFV:N.EGO say:IPFV:N.EGO
'In the morning as we had eaten breakfast and were preparing to go and pick mushrooms, the little girl came. She said, "Oh, aunties, please give us some buttermilk again. My dad is still not well.",
dàbǔ\# tsúfúg\# khìn= gí,\# nǐn\# è = ¡æ̌ wù\#
dəbǔ tsúưæy khǐy=gi, nǐy é = ұæ wu
then buttermilk give.drink=voL:INCL 2SG 1=PL:GEN interior

 breakfast $=$ INDF out-eat:IMP:SG food $=$ INDF out-eat:IMP:SG say out-eat
kéj = sèg. \#
k $\varepsilon$ j $=$ sen.
let:PFV:EGO
'Saying, "Let's give (you) buttermilk, but you eat some breakfast with us, eat some food!" we had (her) eat.'


out-eat let $=$ PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP then-near this buttermilk $=$ DEF child

dəbǔ ně-dzóy, ně-dzón tǐ pú qhǎ-z̧wà,

then DOWN-sit DOWN-sit one do OUT-carry:PFV:N.EGO walk be.able:N.EGO
mà $=$ dâw. \#
mǎ = daw.
NEG = IPFV:N.EGO
'After we had made her eat, the child took this buttermilk back and sat down again and again, she couldn't walk.'
(57)
dàbǔ\# tà = ¡æ̌\# t ch $^{\text {híntçá dzà tçàw\# fà\# }}$
dəbǔ tá= ææ t ${ }^{\text {hínttça }}$ dzâ tçaw fia
then $3=$ PL:GEN Ch:Nuòsū.friend be:N.EGO say:IPFV:N.EGO LINK
tióy $=$ tì $=$ gòn \# dàbǔ\# cì s séj $=$ sì, \# khù-pá\#
tiôy $=\mathrm{ti}=\mathrm{go} \mathrm{\eta} \quad$ dəbǔ $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c} \quad$ sêj $=\mathrm{si}, \quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ǔ}-\mathrm{pa}$
one:CLF:thing $=\mathrm{INDF}=$ AGT then lead go:PFV:N.EGO $=\mathrm{INF}$ out-under:GEN
ní-bù\# swǽy $=$ nò $\eta$ \# má $=$ nòy. .
nî-bu $\quad s w \hat{\nexists y}=n o \eta \quad$ mô $=$ noy.
LOG-household father $=$ COORD daugther $=$ COORD
'It is said that it was their Nuòsū friends; one of them went to lead (them) [to the shack], that father and daughter from down the valley.'
(58) è-cǎ\# dàbǔ\# è-bù-sèn= lá\# jǎw\# nè-č̌\# fà\# hwǎ= wù\#
ě-cá dəbǔ é-bu-sey=la jǎw ně-cá fi hwǎ=wu
In-go then 1-household-PART=also again DOWN-go LINK shack = in
è-tú tà pù ç̀..\#
ě-tû tǐ pú cá.
in-look one do go
'After having gone westwards, several of us also went downwards again to have a look in the shack.'
"èlǐ̌̌tì\# thì̀-dón," tçà khì = bù, \# "éjû,\# thàz $\hat{x}$,\# pù = gě\# thónmá\#
 a.little FR.SP:Q-be.okay say time=TOP INTJ thank.you self=GEN Pumi jǎw\# kó-dzì = nò̀\# nè-húhú = nòy\# tégù tà
jǎw kî-dz̧i $=$ noy ně-húhu $=$ noy têgu tǎ
again were-location $=$ COORD DOWN-arrange $=$ COORD together arrive
mà dzà qèj; \# nìy = ұə́\# mà = é = dzà = bù, \# é\# tshǔ\# nè-sz̀, \# thàzá

EPIST $\quad 2=\mathrm{PL} \quad$ NEG $=\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{be}=$ TOP 1SG almost DOWN-die thank.you
láwláw," tç̀̀\# fà\# tèmǎ = là\# nè-tsí = dàw.\#
lawlaw," tçǎ fia temǎ=la ně-tsì= daw.
very.much say LINK thumb=also DOwN-erect=IPFV:N.EGO
'When we asked (the old man), "Are you a little bit better?", he said, "Oh! Thank you! It will be our own Pumi arriving again, from where it was arranged I don't know. If it wasn't for you, I would have died. Thank you so much!" and even stuck up his thumb.'

 then $\operatorname{girl}=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{DAT}=\mathrm{TOP}$ then $1=\mathrm{AGT}$ Ch:apple $=$ COORD peach $=$ COORD

Cets ${ }^{\text {hôtstsj tçž fia diôn wêy } \quad m a, ~ n i ́ s e ̂ j s c ̂ ̂ j ~}=m ə$, dəbǔ Chinese.plum say Link exist.at cust.excl info very.red=nMLZ then


| t $\hat{\varepsilon} j$ | fia | tá = gə | dž-zà | fa | mədælí $=$ gə $=$ bi, "q |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EXIŠ-dzáw, |  |  |  |  |  |



this out-eat time $=$ TOP FR.SP-be.okay CUST.EXCL say $=$ PFV:EGO
'I gave the little girl some apples and peaches, and something called 'Chinese plum' that is grown (you know), a very red one that I had and had carried, and said to the girl, "Eat! When you eat this you will feel better." '
"fî̂w,\# èmá\# th̀̀zâ," ṭ̆̀\# q ${ }^{\text {hà }}$-dzwâ.\#
"fî̂w, emá thəẑ̂," tçž qhž-dzwô.
INTJ aunt thank.you say out-eat:PFV:N.EGO
' "O, aunt, thank you!" she said and ate it.'
(62) q$^{\text {hò- }}$ dzwá\# dàbǔ\# tà = gæ̌\# đégì $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù\# dàbǔ\#

| qhà-dzwâ | dəbǔ | tá = gæ | zêgi | $k^{\text {hi }}=\mathrm{bu}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| out-eat:PFV:N.EGO | then | this=GEN | later | dəme |

é-bù-sén\# tó-¢ə̀\# də̀bǔ\# tí-dzí\# nè-dzádzòn tà pù = sèn
é-bu-sen tá-ḉ dəbǔ tí-dz̧i ně-dzôdzon tǐ pú=sen
1-household-PART UP-go then up-location DOWN-sit:COLL one do $=$ PFV:EGO
khì = bù, \# fiǎw-\#tá\# màgín\# jǎw\# Łǽ\#
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$, fiǎw-tá məgín jǎw £̂̂
time $=$ TOP that-this old.man again front:GEN
仓̀-Zó $=$ mó $=$ gò $=$ bì $=$ bù\# tú $=$ m cì $=$ là\#
č-孔ว̌ $=\mathrm{m} \partial=\mathrm{g} \partial=\mathrm{bi}=\mathrm{bu} \quad$ tû $=\mathrm{m} \partial \quad$ č̌ $=\mathrm{la}$
$\mathrm{IN}-\mathrm{come}=\mathrm{NMLZ}=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{DAT}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad$ look $=$ NMLZ EXIST. $\mathrm{AB}=$ also


NEG = IPFV:N.EGO person = PL Ch:Nuòsū.friend = also NEG=EXIST.AN
mà dzà = dàw.\#
mə dzə = daw.
GNOMIC $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'After having eaten, when several of our household went up to sit together for a while up there, that old man came again, that one who came first and had no person to look after him, and even had no Nuòsū friend.'
(63) dàbǔ\# tó $=$ gá $=$ bù\# dàbǔ\# zégì $=$ bù\# tìtí pù\# thè-dàdǽn\#

then this $=\mathrm{DEF}=\mathrm{TOP}$ then later $=$ TOP slowly do FR.SP-walk
tź-tc ${ }^{\text {hôong.\# }}$
tá-tç ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \hat{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{y}$.
UP-come:PFV:N.EGO
'After that this [old man] came up walking slowly.'
(64)
é $=$, $\grave{2}=\mathrm{bì}=\mathrm{bù} \mathrm{\#}$ tù $=$ lá\# tçǎ\# mà = dáw.\#
$\dot{e}=\downarrow ə=\mathrm{bi}=\mathrm{bu} \quad \mathrm{tǔ}=\mathrm{la}$ t t ǎ mǎ $=$ daw.
$1=$ PL $=$ DAT $=$ TOP $\quad$ anything $=$ also say NEG $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
'He did not say anything to us.'
(65)
èmá\# nǽndzúmà = bì = bù\# d ̀̀bǔ,\# "źjù,\# èmâ\# é = bú\# tcígmín\# emâ nǽydžuma $=\mathrm{bi}=\mathrm{bu}$ dəbǔ, "âju, emâ é $=$ bu tçínmiŋ aunt Naedzuma $=$ DAT $=$ TOP then INTJ aunt $1=$ TOP home

 Ch:difficult very this yak=TOP smelly=also UP-smelly=also $1=$ PL dzá wề, \# é\# tá\# ұwé-mú = gà\# thè-̌èndí\# qh̀̀-ts há\# fià\#
 eat CUST.EXCL 1SG this yak-corpse = DEF FR.SP-seek OUT-slaughter LINK


1SG this Chinese.gentian pick NEG=voL:SG DOWN-go=vol:SG say
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}, \#$ é\# mà $=$ cǐ\# tǎ\# mì né-dù,",
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$, é mǎ= łì tǎ mǐ ně-ď̌,",
time $=$ TOP 1SG NEG $=$ find now edible.fungus DOWN-be.poisoned
tcàw.\#
tçaw.
say:IFPV:N.EGO
'But he said to aunt Naedzuma, "Oh! Aunt, as for me, our family has a lot of difficulties. As for this yak, even though smelly... even though it has become smelly, we eat it. I sought the yak corpse to butcher it, saying that I did not want to go pick gentian, but I did not find it and now I got poisoned by a mushroom."'
"tǎ = dì\# é = dî,\# dòdǽn = lá\# thǒn\# mà = qćj dáw,"
"tǎ = di $\quad$ é = di, dədǽn = la thǒn mǎ = q $\varepsilon j=d a w "$
now = DISJ.TOP $1 \mathrm{SG}=$ DISJ.TOP $\quad$ walk $=$ also can:N.EGO $\quad \mathrm{NEG}=$ EXPT $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
tcàw.\#
tçaw.
HSY
' "As for now, I won't even be able to walk." '
(67) də̀bǔ\# る́-wà\# hwǎ = wù\# dzón kwêj, \# ə́-mì\#
dəbǔ ̂̂-wa hwǎ=wu dzón kwéj, $\hat{\text { ô-mí }}$
then that-in:GEN shack = in sit let:PFv:N.EGO that-night
tè-mì = gá....\#
tǐ-mí $=$ gə....
one-clF:night $=$ DEF
'Then (we) let him stay inside that shack, that one night...'
(68) dzón kwéj\# dàbǔ\# tá\# gònnị̀ = Łóyní\# dàbǔ\# nว̀-mǐ\#

| dzón kwéj | dəbǔ tá | goŋn ${ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{ær}^{\text {- }}$ ¢оŋni | dəbǔ | nı̌-mî |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sit let:PFV:N.EGO | then this | Nuòsū = PL:AGT | then | two-ClF:night |


$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { sòy-mǐ } & \hat{\text { andzi }} & \text { ě-wúmæŋ } & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}, & \text { dəbǔ } & \text { ně-ć } \\ \text { three-cle:night } & \text { that-location } & \text { IN-care.for } & \text { time }=\text { TOP } & \text { then } & \text { DOwn-go }\end{array}$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bú} \#$ jǎw\# qà-pú\# té-cì $=$ t t̀̀ $w u ̀ \#$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$ jǎw qǎ-pu ť̌-cî= $\mathrm{t}^{j} æ \quad$ wu
time $=$ TOP again down-under one-CLF:village $=$ INDF:GEN interior
nè-tà $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bú, \# th ${ }^{\text {h }}$ í kh̀̀-thìy \# jǎw\# dò-nǽy = sì tçàw.\#

DOWN-arrive time $=$ TOP ale OUT-drink again TO.SP-severe $=I N F$ HSY
'After having let him stay, those Nuòsū people took care of him there for two, three nights, but then when he went down and arrived in a village and drank ale, he relapsed, it is said.'
(69) tà = gæ̌\# 孔égì = bù\# dàbǔ\# é = ұ̀̀\# mà = tḉtçìn. \#

this $=$ GEN later $=$ TOP then $1=$ PL NEG $=$ see:RECP
'After that, we didn't see each other again.'

## CV09: 'Mushroom-poisoning' (conversation)

An excerpt of a conversation about the mushroom-poisoning story that speaker Y told a few nights before and the events that happened during that trip in the mountains.
(8.1) S: tìtí\# tìtí pù\# fià\# n̉ǽ\# gǒy= wù\# kwí= mə̀\# ((tǐ\#
tití tití pú fia n ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ ǽ gǒy=wu kwî=mə ((tǐ
slowly slowly do LINK 2SG:GEN body=in EXIST.IN = NMLZ one
nè-tón tá pàw), \# tèt hǐ\# jǎw\# sáwdà w tà, \#
ně-tóy tǐ pâw)), tetlǐ ǰ jǎw sâwd ${ }^{j}$ aw tâ, DOWN-speak one do:IMP:SG after.a.while again think can

S: 'Slowly narrate what you remember, and after a while (you) can think again.'
(8.2) è = dzæ̀り = bí\# tjæ̌-mì\# tó q qú tçàw\# hì, \# è = dzæ̀ŋ

$1=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{DAT}$ recently-night speak need say:IPFV:N.EGO LINK $1=\mathrm{DU}$


say $=$ NMLZ edible.fungus pick go $=$ NMLZ $=$ COORD up-top:GEN


Ch:goldthread.root dig go = NMLZ = INDF $1=$ AGT DOWN-speak $=$ PFV:EGO INTJ
'(They) told the two of us in recent nights to tell stories, so the two of us told a (story) about going mushroom picking and I a (story) about going goldthread root digging, hahaha!'
(9) G: há....\#
ha....
INTJ

G: 'Hahaha!'
(10) P: nǐy\# tèt ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ò} \eta=$ cí\# nè-ts ${ }^{\text {há }} \mathrm{pú}=$ dàw kìì, \# há.... \#
nǐy $\operatorname{tet}^{\text {hǒ̌y }}=\boldsymbol{i} \mathbf{i} \quad$ ně-ts ${ }^{\text {há }} \quad$ pú $=d a w \quad k^{\text {hi }} \mathbf{i}$, há....
2SG after.a.while=LIM.TOP DOWN-be.finished do=IPFV:N.EGO TRAIL INTJ

P: 'You purposely finish in just a while, hahaha!'
(11) $S:$ èmá $=$ dzæ̀ŋ $=$ bù, $\#$ mǎ $=$ dż̀.... \#
emâ $=$ dzæŋ $=b u, \quad m a ̌=d z o ̂ . . .$.
aunt $=\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{TOP} \quad$ NEG $=$ be

S: That's not so, (we) two aunts...'
(12) $\mathrm{Y}:$ mă $=$ dzà, \# tìtí" tìtí pù $=$ jì dzà $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù, \# ásèn? \#
$m a ̌=d z \hat{a}$, tití tití $\quad \mathrm{p} u ́=j i \quad d z \hat{\partial} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=b u$, $\hat{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{sen}$ ?
NEG $=$ be slowly slowly do = NMLZ be time $=$ TOP AGR
Y: 'That's not so, (we) should do it slowly, right?'
(13) S : nǒymàdà,\# dùuí\# dùtí pú $=$ jì dzà $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù. \#

right leisurely leisurely do = NMLZ be time $=$ TOP
S: 'That's right, (we) should do it leisurely.'
(14) Y: èmá = gònnì\# qútə̀ł̀̀ tç̀\# nè-ts ${ }^{\text {há }}$ pú tsèn $=$ sì. \# emâ $=$ gonni qûtəəə tçž ně-tshá pú tsen $=$ si. aunt $=$ AGT in.a.flash say DOWN-be.finished do N.CONTR $=$ INF

Y: ‘Unfortunately, aunt was finished in a flash.' (CV09.14)
(15) S: há....\#
ha
INTJ
S: ‘Hahaha!’
(16.1) Y: dàbǔ\# nìy = dzán\# dà džwá = là\# mà = qéj bâw,\# ásèy,\#
dəbǔ nǐy = dzæ dǔ dzwá=la mǎ=qعj baw, ôsey,
then $2=\mathrm{DU}$ write comfortable $=$ also $\mathrm{NEG}=\operatorname{EXPT}$ CONTR AGR
ná = má\# thó $=$ má = tì = bù. \#
nə́= mə $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h} o ́ y=}=\mathrm{m} ə=\mathrm{ti}=\mathrm{bu}$.
thus $=$ NMLZ fast $=$ NMLZ $=\mathrm{INDF}=$ TOP
Y: 'When it is one that short, it won't be convenient for the two of you to write, right?'
(16.2) mæ̂?\#
mê?
what
'What?'
(17) P: 孔̌̌\# é = dàw?\#
ž̌ $\hat{\mathrm{e}}=$ daw?
come $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{IPFV}$ :N.EGO
P: 'Is (he, it) coming?'
(18)

d ǔ = sə tá $\quad \mathrm{mǎ}=\mathrm{q} \varepsilon j \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu} \quad$ ǐg $=$ əə $\quad$ dəbǔ
write $=$ CONTR. $\operatorname{TOP}$ this NEG $=$ EXPT time $=$ TOP 1.INCL $=$ PL then
tón $=$ jí $=$ tì\# tè ${ }^{\text {hì }}=$ cín nè-ts ${ }^{\text {háa }}=$ dáw
tó $=\mathrm{ji}=\mathrm{ti} \quad$ tet ${ }^{\text {ȟi }}=$ ci $i \quad$ ně-ts ${ }^{\text {há }}=$ daw
speak $=$ NMLZ $=$ INDF after.a.while $=$ LIM.TOP DOWN-be.finished $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
khì,\# mín dzà\# tç ${ }^{\text {hémì, \# nè-má ş̀ fià.\# }}$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$, mîy dzâ tç ${ }^{\text {hêmi, ně-mə̀ sə fa }}$
TRAIL what be not.sure DOwn-forget go:PFv:N.EGO LINK
S: ‘The writing however won’t be (too difficult), what we were narrating was finished in just a while; what it is I don't know, I forgot (those stories).'

G: mì $q^{\text {há }}=$ má $=$ nò $=$ bù\# ìy $=$ qǽ\# tá\# súzù
mǐ $\quad \mathrm{q}^{\text {hà }}=\mathrm{m} ə=\mathrm{noy}=\mathrm{bu} \quad$ ǐj $=\neq æ$ tá sûzu
edible.fungus pick $=$ NMLZ $=$ COORD $=T O P$ 1.INCL $=$ PL:GEN this Ch:wages

$t$ й $=\mathrm{m} \partial=$ noŋ tó $=\nsupseteq=\mathrm{la} \quad$ jehǎ tóy kéj = daw mə dzə â? $\operatorname{dig}=$ NMLZ $=$ COORD this $=$ PL $=$ also all speak let $=$ IPFV:N.EGO GNOMIC CONF

G : '(He) let (you) narrate the picking mushroom (story) and the (story) of us digging for wages/medicinal herbs?'
(20) P: fǐ, \# jèhǎ\# tóy kéj = sèn.\#
fiž, jehǎ tóy kéj=sen.
INTJ all speak let:PFv:EGO
P: 'Right, (I) let (them) tell that all.'
(21) S: fǎ.\#
fiǎ.
INTJ
S: ‘Right.’
(22) Y: á-q ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ wà\# mì né-dù $=$ mə̀ = nò $\#$ \# n $=$ tí\#
̂̀-q ${ }^{\text {h} w a ~ n i ̌ ~ n e ̌-d u ̌ ~}=m ə=n o \eta \quad$ n'ź $=\mathrm{ti}$
that-top:GEN edible.fungus DOWN-be.poisoned = NMLZ $=$ COORD thus $=\mathrm{INDF}$
nè-tón\# \# thè-k hìn khíl $=$ bù, ..... \#
ně-tón $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {hé-khǐy }} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}, \ldots .$.
DOWN-speak FR.SP-give time $=$ TOP
Y: 'I told to them the things about the mushroom poisoning up there and those kinds (of stories).'
（23）P：孔ènà lí $q^{\text {h }}$ ú tçà $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ là\＃lí wén\＃mà＝dáw\＃fà
zenž lí qǔ̌ ţ̧̌ $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}} \mathrm{i}=$ la lí wêy mǎ＝daw fia story narrate POL say time $=$ also narrate be．able NEG $=$ IPFV：N．EGO LINK
tçàbù\＃nìg＝¡ǽ\＃mì né－dù，．．．\＃gònnỉ̀ $=$ nóy．．．．，\＃
tçəbu nǐy＝Łæ mǐ ně－dǔ，．．．gonnjॅæ̌＝noŋ．．．，
because $2=$ PL：GEN edible．fungus DOWN－be．poisoned Nuòsū $=$ COORD
âw，\＃ə́－dzææ\＃〔óydíy－mə̀＝ґว̀．．．．\＃
âw，̂̀－dz̧æ Łóydiŋ－mə＝ұə．．．．
INTJ that－location：GEN lowland－person $=$ PL
P：‘Because when（I）asked them to please tell a story they couldn’t tell it， your mushroom poisoning．．．the Nuòsū and．．．oh，that lowland person．．．＇
（24．1）S：孔ènǎ\＃nǒy\＃míy dzà\＃Łá－khì\＃nǒy\＃sápúsólàdzá tç̀̀，\＃ zenǎ nǒy mîy dzâ f̂̂－khi nǒy sápusâladzâ tçǎ， in．the．past so what be front－time so guess．this．riddle say
mín＝bù\＃mín tç̀̀\＃nǒy\＃kè pú\＃lí wén má wèク fià．\＃
mîn＝bu mîp tç̌̌ nǒy kě pú lí wêy mə wey fia．
what $=$ TOP what say so afraid do narrate CUST．EXCL NMLZ．CONSTR
S：＇In that case in the past（they）often told riddles，＂Something is something， what is it？＂，
（24．2）míy dzà wèj，\＃nè－má\＃nè－ts ${ }^{\text {háá }}=$ sî．\＃
mîy dzâ wej，ně－mà ně－tshá＝si．
what be PUZ DOWN－forget DOWN－be．finished $=I N F$
＇What on earth is it？（I）＇ve totally forgotten（them）．＇（CV09．24．2）
（25．1）Y：fiǎw－kî̀＝bù\＃ìn＝\｛る́ dzà mà dzà fià，\＃ásèn？\＃

that－time $=$ TOP 1：INCL $=$ PL be NMLZ．CONSTR AGR
Y：＇At that time it was us，right？＇
(25.2) èmá = gònnı̀̀\# thé\# nìy = bî,\# tà = Łǒnnì\# thé\# qwé-khí\#
emâ=goŋni thé nǐy=bi, tá= ŋoŋni thé qwé-k hi
aunt $=$ AGT $\quad$ all.the.time 2 SG $=$ DAT $3=$ PL:AGT all.the.time shout-time
qwéféj = là\# áw tç̀̀\# mà = dáw\# fà, \# ìn = dzáy = gònnì\# q hว̀-n̊́ŋ

shout = also INTJ say NEG=IPFV:N.EGO LINK 1.INCL=DU=AGT OUT-slow
pù = gì, \# ź-pù\# nè-sž\# bǎ tçà = sè̀..\#
pú = gi, ə́-pù ně-sǎ bǎ tçə̌=sey.
do:IPFV:INCL that-under DOWN-die SPEC say=PFV:EGO
'Aunt ( = I) said to you -even though they were all continuously shouting, but he did not even reply "Here!'- "The two of us, let's go a bit slower, he has maybe died under there.",
(26) S: nǒy\# tá = gá\# èmá\# cé = gò̀ \# tǐ̌̌-mì \# tón = dâw.\#
 so this $=$ DEF aunt big $=$ AGT recently-night speak $=$ IPFV:N.EGO

S: 'So oldest aunt told this one during a recent evening.' (CV09.26)

 OUT-slow do $=$ PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP out-under INTJ INTJ say:IPFV:N.EGO má dà, \# ásèn,\# đૂ̣́\# nè-dzàdzà pú sá fà.\#
mə da, âsen, さ̣̂̂ ně-dzədzž pú ş fa. NMLZ.CONSTR AGR tooth DOwn-be.tightly.closed do go:PFV:N.EGO LINK 'When (we) were (purposely) going slowly, (they) were saying, "Mmm, Mmm!" down there, right? (Their) teeth were tightly closed.'
(28) G: Ћ̌̌.\#
fǐ.
INTJ
G: ‘Right!’
(29) PS: há....\#
ha....
INTJ
P,S: ‘Hahaha!’
(30)


this $=$ PL speak $=$ PFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP after.a.while $=$ LIM.TOP speak
nè-ts ${ }^{\text {há }}$ pú tsè $=$ sì mà, \# thón pú\# khə̀-tçà tsén
ně-ts ${ }^{\text {há }} \quad$ pú tsey $=s i \quad m a$, thón pú khž-tçž tsen DOWN-be.finished do N.CONTR = INF INFO fast do OUT-say N.CONTR şà fà.\#
ふə fia.
go:PFV:N.EGO LINK
Y: 'When (I) told these (stories), (I) unfortunately finished almost immediately, (I) was talking very fast unfortunately.'
(31) P: $\qquad$
(32.1) G: tá\# mògéy = gónnì\# nǒy\# pèjpèjlálá\# gwěy\# nè-dzéj̇\# fià,\# ásèŋ?\# tá məgén = gonni nǒy pejpejlála gwěy ně-dzèj fia, ôsen? this old.man $=$ AGT so unnecessary horse DOWN-ride LINK AGR

G: 'This old man rode horse, right?'
(32.2) gwěy = tù\# ìg = fá wú\# è-tà pú t ch $^{\text {hón }} \mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}, \#$
 horse $=$ on 1.INCL $=$ PL:GEN interior $\operatorname{IN}$-arrive do come:PFV:N.EGO time
khùnú\# "wû" tçà tìy, \# è = nání\# má dzà tçà khì = là, \#
 out-outside INTJ say=AUD $1=$ AGT person be say time $=$ also


$2=$ PL:AGT NEG $=$ be say:IPFV:N.EGO $2=$ PL:AGT $\mathrm{PROH}=$ go:IMP:PL $=$ COORD
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathfrak{\text { ǽ }}=$ cìy tçàw. \#
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathfrak{y}$ = दîn tçaw.
PROH = go:IMP:PL say:IPFV:N.EGO
'When he came on horse (with the purpose of) arriving in our midst, (I) heard (somebody) say "Wu!" outside, but when I said that it was a person, you said that it wasn't, and you said, "Don't go over, don't go over!" ,
(33.1) Y: "wǔ,\# wǔ"\# tà tçǎ\# hià,\# ásèn?\#
"wǔ, wǔ" ta tçž fia, ôsen?
INTJ INTJ only say LINK AGR
Y: ‘(He) only said, "Wu wu!" right?’ (CV09.33.1)
(33.2) nìy $=$ dzáy $=$ bù, \# éhwà'éjí\# nìy $=$ dzáy $=$ bù, \# nú\# zí kèj\# fà,\#
nǐy $=d z æ y=b u$, êhwa'éji nǐy $=d z æ y=b u$, nû zî kéj fia,
$2=\mathrm{DU}=$ TOP $\quad$ NS:Ahwa'aji $2=\mathrm{DU}=$ TOP outside EXIST.AN let LINK
è $=$ 〔ǒn\# è-phín\# fà, \# zèmí $=$ dì\# tsú\# dzź $=$ dàw, \#
é = ŋon ě-p ${ }^{\text {hìn }}$ fia, zemî=di tsú dzô=daw,
$1=$ PL:AGT IN-flee LINK tonight = DISJ.TOP ghost be =IPFV:N.EGO
zèmí = dì....\#
zemî = di....
tonight $=$ DISJ.TOP
'(We) let the two of you -Ahwa'aji, the two of you- remain outside, and we fled (into the yak shed), (saying), "Tonight it's a ghost, tonight...",
(34)

G: è = nání\# má= ¡æ̀\# m mó\# dzá t $¢ \grave{\partial}$ k $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ là, \#

| é = nəni | $\mathrm{m} \hat{=}$ ¢ $\mathrm{æ}^{\text {® }}$ | mô | dzâ | tça |  | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{la}$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}: \mathrm{EMPH}$ | person = PL:GEN | person | be | say |  | time $=$ also |



$3=$ PL:AGT $1 \mathrm{SG}=$ DAT OUT-go $=$ IPFV:N.EGO 2 SG heart be.big

zŭ = daw ţǎ fia.
very $=$ IPFV:N.EGO say LINK
G: 'Even when I said that that was a person, they came over to me and said, "(You) are still going out, you are very bold." ,

 OUT-go $=$ PFV.EGO time $=$ TOP aunt $=$ AGT $\quad$ IN-flee $=$ PFV.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR

Y: 'When (we) went outside to look, aunt fled inside (again).'
(36)

G: $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wé tçé\# zù $=$ dáw t ṭàw $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ là , \#

| $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ wé t¢¢̂ | zǔ = daw | tcaw | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=1 \mathrm{la}$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| heart big | very $=$ IPFV:N.EGO | say:IPFV:N.EGO | time $=$ also |

è = nání\# má = ¡æ̀\# má\# dzâ,\# má\# dzá t $¢ \grave{\partial}=$ = sèn

$1 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT}$ person $=$ PL:GEN person be person be say $=$ PFV:EGO
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù, \# də̀bǔ\# é\# k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}-\varsigma \grave{~}=$ ség $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bù}, \#$
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}, \quad$ dəbǔ é $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{y}^{-}$- $\partial \partial=\operatorname{sey} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$,
time $=$ TOP then 1 SG OUT-go $=$ PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP
tátá\# mó" dzá = dàw kì.\#
táta mô dzô=daw $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$.
exactly person be $=$ IPFV:N.EGO TRAIL
G: "When they said to me, "You are very bold," and when I said, "It is a person, it is a person," then when I went outside, it was exactly a person.'
(CV09.36)


front UP-arrive time person=PL:GEN person wide.open.eyed LINK
ว́-dz̧æ\# jæ̀ŋláwù mò̀gìn = bì thè-tsèn

| â-ḑ̧æ | jæŋlâwu | məgén = bi | thě-tséy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| that-location:GEN | Ch:Yang.Lawu | old.man= DAT | FR.SP-fall.down |

pà,\# ásèn?\#
pâ, $\hat{s}$, ?
do:PFV:N.EGO AGR
Y: 'When he arrived, he fell down on old Yang Lawu with unblinking eyes, right?'
(38.1) G: swǽnswí\# swánswí tç̀ = dàw khì,\# nìy = fá\# swánswí\#
swǽnswi swǽnswi ţ̣z = daw khi, nǐy= əə swǽŋswi
Ch:sour.water Ch:sour.water say $=\mathrm{IPFV}: \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{EGO}$ time $2=$ PL $\quad$ Ch:sour.water
tión = là mà\# thǒy\# mà = dáw mà dàw fià.\#
tìôy=la mà thǒn mǎ=daw mə daw fia.
one:CLF:thing = also hear can:N.EGO NEG $=$ IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
G: 'When he said, "Sour water, sour water," none of you was able to hear (him say) "Sour water" (...)' (CV09.38.1)

swǽnswi tçə̌=daw t $\quad$ çə̌ $=\operatorname{se\eta } \quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{no} \mathrm{\eta}=\mathrm{bu}, \quad$ dəbǔ.
Ch:sour.water say=IPFV:N.EGO say=PFV:EGO time $=$ only $=$ TOP then
'Only when I said, "He is saying, 'Sour water'," then...'
(39.1) Y: swǽறswí\# ţà thóy\# mà = dáw mò dàw hià. \#
swǽyswi ţ̧̌̌ thǒy mǎ=daw mə daw fia.
Ch:sour.water say can:N.EGO NEG = IPFV:N.EGO NMLZ.CONSTR
Y: 'He wasn't able to say "sour water." '
(39.2) mǎ = dzò, \# dǒy = bì\# nə́ pú kì, \#
mǎ = dzà, dǒy=bì ná pú kì,
NEG $=$ be milk.pail $=$ DAT thus do time
nìy = góy\# thè-tçíy pá łæ̀ nə̀nì mə̀ nì fià. \#

2SG $=$ AGT FR.SP-see do:PFV:N.EGO seems NMLZ.CONSTR
'That's not so, when he was acting like this (= pointing) to the milk pail, it seems (to me) that you saw it.'
(40) G: mǎ = dzà, \# swǽnswí tçə̀ = mə̀\# èl ${ }^{j}$ æ̌tì\# mə̀ = tǎ, \# é = bû. \#
 NEG $=$ be $\quad$ Ch:sour.water say $=$ NMLZ $\quad$ a.little hear $=S V M \quad 1 S G=T O P$ 'That's not so, I heard him say "Sour water" a little bit.'
(41) Y: ájònmâ.\#
âjoŋmâ.
INTJ
Y: 'Whoa!'
(42) G: swǽりswî,\# swǽŋswí ţ̣̀ = dàw.\# swǽŋswi, swǽりswi tçž=daw.

Ch:sour.water Ch:sour.water say = IPFV:N.EGO
G: 'He was saying, "Sour water, sour water.",
(43) P: Ћə́hô,\# fóhô, ṭ̣àw\# â,\# há....\#

Ћə́hô, fóhô, ţ̣aw $\hat{\mathrm{a}}$, ha....
INTJ INTJ say:IPFV:N.EGO CONF INTJ
P: ‘Did he say "Heheng, heheng?" Hahaha!'
(44) S: há....\#
ha
INTJ
S: ‘Hahaha!’
(45.1) Y: fǎ,\# swánswí tç̀\# ná pú\# ṭ̆̀̀ zín = qc̀j\# bǎ.\#
fǐ, swánswi tçz ná pú tçǎ zǐn $=q \varepsilon j$ bǎ.
INTJ Ch:sour.water say thus do say can=EXPT SPEC
Y: ‘Oh, how will he be able to say "Sour water" like that?'
(45.2) jæ̀nláwù mògìg = quì thè-tsèn pà, \# ájù, \# ájù, \# nǐn\#
jæŋlâwu məəgéy $=q^{\text {h }} u$ thě-tsén pâ $\hat{y}$ âu, âju, ň̌y
Ch:Yang.Lawu old.man =on FR.SP-fall.down do:PFV:N.EGO INTJ INTJ 2sG
màgén\# míy đò̀, \# mín dò tç̀̀\# ná pú = dàw
məgén mîy dòn, mîy dòn tça ná pú = daw
old.man what become what become say thus do:IPFV:N.EGO
mà dàw fià, \# há....\#
mə daw fia, ha....
NMLZ.CONSTR INTJ
'(When he entered the shack) he fell down on old Yang Lawu, who said to him, "Oh, oh, what's up with you, old man? What's up with you?" Hahaha!'
(46.1) G: tcǔ̌̌̀̀,\# swǽnswí = gà\# èlǐ̌tì = bù, \# tç̌̃\# dzwá = dáw
tçǔsə, swǽnswi = gə elǰ̌̌ti=bu, ţ̧̌ dzwá=daw
Ch:merely Ch:sour.water = DEF a.little $=$ TOP say comfortable $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
$\mathrm{k}^{\text {hì }}=$ bù, \# tǐ\# tça \# zì $=$ dáw kì̀ $=$ bù. \#
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$, tǐ tç̌ zǐn = daw $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=b u$.
time $=$ TOP one say can=IPFV:N.EGO time $=$ TOP
G: 'That's right, he was able to say "Sour water" a little bit.'
(46.2) dàbǔ\# è = nání\# má= ¡æ̀\# ó-ḑæ̀\# tá\# kə̀tçà kí
dəbǔ $e^{=}=$nəni mə̂= ¡æ $\hat{\partial}$-dæ̧æ tá kətç̌ kǐ
then $1=$ AGT person = PL:GEN that-location:GEN this yoghurt give.drink
qhù tç̀̀ = dàw sì dàw tçà = sèg khì = bù. \#
qhǔ t $¢ \check{\partial}=$ daw si daw $\quad \mathrm{t}$ द̌a $=\operatorname{sen} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$.
POL say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO EPIST:probably $\quad$ say $=$ PFV:EGO time $=$ TOP
'So I said, he is probably requesting us to give him this yoghurt to drink.'
(46.3) dàbǔ\# kàtç̌̃ t thè-kì $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=$ bù, \# də̀bǔ\# ní $=$ łæ̀\#
dəbǔ kət t $^{\text {thě-kǐ }} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{bu}$, dəbǔ nî $=\ddagger$ æ
then yoghurt FR.SP-give.drink time $=$ TOP then LOG $=$ PL:GEN
$k^{\text {hùù-pâ.... \# tá }=\text { gá\# thị̌\# qhà-dè pâ, \# ásèn? \# }}$

out-under:GEN this = DEF about out-capable do:PFV:N.EGO AGR
'So when we gave him yoghurt to drink, then... this one recovered pretty much, right?'
(46.4) dàbǔ\# tò = dzæ̀n tçú cà q ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ù tçàw, \# ásèn? \#
dəbǔ tó=dzæŋ tçû có qǔ̌ tçaw, ôsen?
then $3=$ DU Ch:rescue go POL say:IPFV:N.EGO AGR
'Then he requested us to rescue the two of his companions, right?'

 UP-vomit do:PFV:N.EGO time=TOP very.well speech OUT-speak NMLZ.CONSTR
ásèn,\# "swà swá" ţ̣̀̇\# tə́-phé pà.\#
ə̂sen, "swà swá" ţ̧̌ tว́-phě pâ.
AGR IDEO IDEO say UP-vomit do:PFV:N.EGO
Y: ‘When he had vomited, he was able to talk very well, right? He vomited, "Shwa, shwa!" '


INTJ then $3=\mathrm{DU} \quad$ Ch:rescue go POL say $\mathrm{LINK} \quad 1=\mathrm{DU} \quad 1=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{DAT}$


OUT-carry POL:PL thank.you=COORD thank.you say=IPFV:N.EGO
thàzá láwláw tçàw.\#
thəъǽ lawlaw tçaw.
thank.you very.much say $=$ IPFV:N.EGO
G: 'Right, then he requested us to rescue his two companions, and said to the two of us, to all of us, "Please carry it eastwards, thank you, thank you very much again!",
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the notation of Pǔmǐ used in this grammar，see §1．8．1．
    ${ }^{2}$ There are three neighbouring villages that are referred to as Wǎdū，i．e．Upper Wǎdū，Middle Wǎdū and Lower Wǎdū．Only Middle Wǎdū is a Pǔmǐ village；the other two villages are predominantly Hàn Chinese．Thus in this grammar I will refer to the Pǔmǐ village and the variety spoken there simply as Wǎdū Pǔmǐ．The other three villages are patçă（Bājiā 八家）， pîts ${ }^{h}$（Bǐqí 比奇）and $t^{h} \hat{\text { hat }}{ }^{h} s^{h}$（Tuōqī 拖七）．
    ${ }^{3}$ Originally probably referring to the Kham Tibetans in the area（Mùľ̌，Zhōngdiàn），but now referring to all groups officially designated as Tibetan．
    ${ }^{4}$ All other outsiders that do not fit these groups are referred to as $c \check{\varepsilon}$＇Hàn Chinese＇．
    ${ }^{5}$ But note that this term also denoted other Qiangic groups．
    ${ }^{6}\left[\mathrm{Po}^{33} \mathrm{dzu}^{33}\right]$（Bradley，p．c．）．

[^1]:    ${ }^{7}$ Most of the Pǔmǐ-speaking Tibetans in Sìchuān are part of what used to be the kingdom of Mùľ. It is said that during the time of the ethnic identification in the fifties, the Great Lama, ruler of the Mùlǐ kingdom, requested of the communists that Mùlĭ be made into a Tibetan autonomous county. Thus his subjects, and later all the Pǔmǐ speakers living in Sìchuān, were classified as Tibetans. The Pǔmǐ speakers on the Yúnnán side preferred their self-designation and were accordingly classified as Pǔmǐ (Harrell 2001:209-211).

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ Leatherworkers who moved from Lijiāng to Yǒngníng to set up business．
    ${ }^{9}$ One village about half an hour from Yǒngníng whose inhabitants moved from Zhōngdiàn．
    ${ }^{10}$ In this grammar I refer to the Chinese language in general as Chinese，to the official language （Pǔtōnghuà 普通话，the standard form of Mandarin Chinese）as standard Chinese and to the local dialect of Chinese as local Chinese．

[^3]:    ${ }^{11}$ Note that this figure does not say anything about the frequency of use．It would be interesting to conduct a text count in which the total number of words is plotted against the total number of Chinese loanwords，which would give a much better indication of use．One thing that can be noted is that in conversations and personal experiences relating to politics and education，the frequency of loanwords is much higher than in traditional stories or personal experiences．

[^4]:    ${ }^{12} \mathrm{~A}$ relative of Hé Xiàngdōng.
    ${ }^{13}$ Followed by an article on tonal systems in the Tibeto-Burman area (Matisoff 1999) in which the tonal system of Dàyáng Pǔmǐ is compared to other tone systems.

[^5]:    14 "Preliminary comparative work suggests that the Naish languages are related, in decreasing order of closeness, to: Shǐxīng and Nàmùyī; Ěrsū, Lizu, and Tosu; Pǔmǐ, rGyalrongic, Tangut and other languages currently labeled "Qiangic"; and Lolo-Burmese (Jacques and Michaud 2011)." (Michaud, Hé and Zhōng forthcoming).

[^6]:    ${ }^{15}$ But note Katia Chirkova's evaluation of that innovation in her article refuting the Qiangic subgroup hypothesis (2010:5, note 5).
    ${ }^{16}$ For a treatment of some of the features, see Chirkova 2010.

[^7]:    ${ }^{17}$ A special type of rice that can grow at high altitudes and is cultivated dry.

[^8]:    ${ }^{18}$ I have not been able to identify this plant yet．It grows close to the ground and has leaves of around 10 cm with soft white fibers on the back．
    ${ }^{19}$ The village $d \not \subset \hat{\partial ̂ s u ~(J i ́ s u ̄ ~}$ 吉苏），together with some other villages in Southwest Mùlǐ，is known as a center of traditional shamanism，and there are still several practising shamans（called $h æ \eta g u ̂ ~ i n ~ W a ̌ d u ̄ ~ a n d ~ h æ \eta d \not ̄ i ̂ ~ i n ~ d z o ̂ s u) . ~$

[^9]:    ${ }^{20}$ His preference is to transliterate his name as Gerong Pincuo．

[^10]:    ${ }^{21}$ Presenting a grammar in English by definition rules out the possibility that most speakers of this language community will read it.

[^11]:    ${ }^{22}$ Rather than in official pinyin transcription for Chinese loanwords, since loans from Chinese are normally from the local dialect of Chinese, rather than from standard Chinese.
    ${ }^{23}$ Only four texts have been extensively edited, since they were texts of speakers who had not told stories in a long time and had never narrated in front of a microphone.

[^12]:    ${ }^{24}$ Not including the stop-plus-glide consonant clusters. The glides will be covered in §2.1.6.
    ${ }^{25}$ But note also that there is no consistent correspondence between voicing and aspiration in the correspondences. I have no explanation for this.
    ${ }^{26}$ Note the semantic change between the dialects. The meaning in parentheses is the meaning of the word in Wǎdū. This might be due to Chinese cultural influence (LaPolla, p.c.).
    ${ }^{27}$ Because of the high vowel, the consonants in the words for 'foot', 'star' and 'wife' often carry some frication and at times I mistakenly transcribed them with an affricate instead of a stop. But they differ from real affricates in the amount of friction.

[^13]:    ${ }^{28}$ In most known speech varieties of Pǔmǐ they have developed into bilabial-plus-fricative clusters that are often pronounced as bilabials followed by a half-vowel [ l ], see Lù (2001:15,28,37,47,64,73,81).
    ${ }^{29}$ The Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ data are taken from Dīng 1998:17. Tones have been adjusted for easy comparison. Dīng uses the superscript small caps ${ }^{\text {HLRF }}$ for high, low, rising and falling tones.
    ${ }^{30}$ When the vowel is rounded already, no extra roundedness can be noted.
    ${ }^{31}$ From Tibetan spre' $u$. Almost all zodiac animals are borrowed from Tibetan.
    ${ }^{32}$ In Jísū, just across the border in Sìchuān, the word is still pronounced as [biõbiõ] (personal fieldnotes). In both Wǎdū and Jísū, this word denotes a delicacy made out of roast flour (either barley, oats or other types of grain) mixed with lard. In Jísū heavy cakes are made of lard and flour, a ball is formed from a little piece and put around a stick to be roasted in the fire like a marshmallow. In Wǎdū this used to be done in the past, but not anymore, and so this word has become almost obsolete (the older generation still know it, but the younger generation, especially people born after 1980, do not).

[^14]:    ${ }^{33}$ For the change from / $/$ / to /õ/ see §2.2.2.

[^15]:    ${ }^{34}$ Jîtst（雨初）is a village located in Yījí（依吉）Township，Mùľ Tibetan Autonomous County， just across the border from Wǎdū in Sìchuān province．
    ${ }^{35}$ This is a collection of different unpublished wordlists collected in 2009－2010 by my co－ researcher Gerong Pincuo in Tuōzhī（拖支）and Pāntiāngé（攀天阁）in Wéixī Lìsù Autonomous County；Sānjiè（三界）in Lánpíng Bái and Pǔmǐ Autonomous County；Gélǔdiàn（格鲁甸）and Wǎdū（瓦都）in Nínglàng Yí Autonomous County；Dōngzi（东子），Xiàmàidì（下麦地），Bókē（博科），Gùzēng（固增）and Kāngwū（康乌牧场）in Mùlǐ Tibetan Autonomous County．Whenever Tuōzhī，Pāntiāngé，Sānjiè，Gélǔdiàn，Dōngzi，Xiàmàidì，Bókē，Gùzēng and Kāngwū are mentioned in this chapter，data are taken from these wordlists，unless noted otherwise． Whenever Yǔchū is mentioned，data are taken from my own fieldnotes，unless otherwise noted．
    ${ }^{36}$ The following places all have uvular stops appearing before front vowels：Bókē，Gùzēng， Yǔchū and Jísū in Mùlǐ，and Gélǔdiàn in Nínglàng，but further research is needed to establish whether they are phonemes．
    ${ }^{37}$ Other Pǔmǐ speech varieties distinguish other or more places of articulation．In Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ （Dīng 1998：13）the three places of articulation for affricates are dental，post－alveolar and retroflex．Matisoff describes a four－way distinction for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ，giving examples for dental， retroflex，post－alveolar（＇laminopalatal or grooved type＇）and alveopalatal（＇or slit type＇）series． He notes，however，that most of the time there is a very shaky contrast between post－alveolar
    

[^16]:    ${ }^{38}$ Although Matisoff has a few examples of [i] following a retroflex affricate (1997:186): qhú tşì tǎ ‘pillow’; dzǐ ‘waist'; dzə̀dž̌̌ ‘book'.
    ${ }^{39}$ See footnote 44.

[^17]:    ${ }^{40}$ In the local dialect of Chinese fêijīis pronounced with two high tones；fàmíng is pronounced with two low tones and fángzi with a low and a falling tone．
    ${ }^{41}$ I have heard that pronunciation in Yǔchū as well．
    ${ }^{42}$ Interestingly，the standard Chinese／f／gets borrowed as［xw］in an area where the local dialect of Chinese replaces the $/ \mathrm{x}$／of standard Chinese with an［f］before the vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ ，so instead of saying［lauxu］＇tiger＇，people will pronounce it［laufu］in Yúnnán．（The tone is different from standard Chinese as well；the word is pronounced with a high falling tone）．

[^18]:    ${ }^{43}$ Note that Matisoff uses the term＇laminopalatal＇instead of＇post－alveolar＇．
    ${ }^{44}$＇Cavity＇refers to the place of articulation of these fricatives，namely palatal，velar，uvular and glottal places of articulation depending on the vowel that follows．
    ${ }^{45}$ The only exception is Xīnyíngpán，for which he posits a glottal series／h／and／h／（2001：34）． For the other speech varieties，cf．Lù＇s Pǔmǐ Dialectal Research 普米语方言研究：Qìnghuā （2001：11），Lǔdiàn（2001：26），Táobā（2001：42），Tuōqī（2001：61），Zuǒsuǒ（2001：70）and Sānyánlóng（2001：79）．
    ${ }^{46}$ Jacques（p．c）found a few minimal pairs in Shuǐluò：／hiǔ／帐篷＇tent＇vs．／xiǔ／烟 ‘smoke’， ／โă／厚 ‘thick＇vs．／̧â／（phonetically［ьâ］）掉下来＇to fall down＇．These compare to Wǎdū／kăw／ ＇tent＇and／kǎw／＇smoke＇，／fiǎ／＇thick＇and／fià／＇to fall down＇．

[^19]:    ${ }^{47}$ Jaqcues notes that in Shuîluò Pǔmǐ the velar fricatives are realized as uvulars before back vowels and as palatal fricatives before /i/ (Jacques 2011a:364).
    ${ }^{48}$ Historically these probably come from ${ }^{*} S$-. Compare Mawo Qiang qhsa 'god', Ronghong Qiang $\chi s \imath^{\text {'god', }} s \sigma^{\text {'who', }} \epsilon^{\prime}$ 'to release' probably palatalized from ${ }^{*} s i$ (LaPolla, p.c.).

[^20]:    ${ }^{49}$ The only exception is wò-mí'guest' which corresponds to wemô in Wǎdū.

[^21]:    ${ }^{50}$ Most corresponding forms are taken from Sūn Hóngkāi et al. (1991) Tibeto-Burman Phonology and Lexicon, henceforth 'TBPL', and Dài and Huáng et al. (1992) A Tibeto-Burman Lexicon, henceforth 'TBL'. Compare also: Ronghong Qiang $\chi u$ 'tiger', zexu 'mouse', ви 'mountain', juku ‘dry', jusu 'twenty' (LaPolla, p.c.); Japhug rGyalrong khu 'tiger', $\beta$ zuu < *pju 'rat', zgo ‘mountain' ( < Tibetan sgang ‘mountain'), spuu ‘dry'; Situ rGyalrong khug 'tiger', pəju 'rat' (Jacques, p.c.).
    ${ }^{51}$ For 'tiger' $\gamma 0^{13}$ in Lánpíng (TBL 0304.09) and Qìnghuā (TBPL 124.11), $8 O^{35}$ in Táobā (TBPL 124.10), עǔ in Niúwōzǐ (Dīng 1998:323), wǒ in Dàyáng (Matisoff 1997:197). For 'rat' $\gamma o^{55}$ in Qìnghuā (TBPL 134.11) and $\gamma 0^{53}$ in Táobā (TBPL 134.10).
    ${ }^{52}$ VGO $^{13}$ (TBL 0023.09).
    ${ }^{53}\left(t u u^{55}\right) ~ \gamma u^{55}$ 'be dry' and $^{11}{ }^{11} \mathrm{Ju}^{55}$ 'dry' in Jiǔlońg (TBL 1333.10 and 1028.10), $G u^{55}$ 'dry, be dry' in Lánpíng (TBL 1028.09 and 1333.09), $G u^{55}$ 'dry' in Qìnghuā (TBPL 864.11) and $\mathrm{ju}^{55}\left(\mathrm{me}^{53}\right.$ ) 'dry’ in Táobā (TBPL 864.10).
    ${ }^{54} \mathrm{na}^{13}{ }^{\mathrm{GO}}{ }^{55}$ in Lánpíng and Qìnghuā (TBL 0816.09 and TBPL 930.11), no ${ }^{55}{ }^{5} O^{55}$ in Jiǔlońg (TBL 0816.10) and $n 2^{35}{ }^{3} a^{53}$ in Táobā (TBPL 930.10).

[^22]:    ${ }^{55}$ But Jacques (p.c.) pointed out that the Japhug rGyalrung cognate has a cluster in (tur-)rme 'man' and although the Tibetan script has no cluster in mi 'man', in some dialects (like Cone) it has a high tone, which points to an ancient cluster. Thus a variant with a voiceless nasal in this speech variety of Pǔmǐ is not really surprising.
    ${ }^{56}$ As are some voiceless alveolar nasals. Compare $n n_{0}^{j \check{\partial}}$ 'seven' from PTB ${ }^{*} s-n i-s$ versus nə-/nǒn 'two' ( $n$ Ǐ in some other Pumi speech varieties) from PTB ${ }^{*} g$-ni-s.
    ${ }^{57}$ Cf. Bradley 1979:213, who gives ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{mi}^{2}$ for Proto-Ngwi/Proto-Loloish $\left({ }^{*} \mathrm{C}={ }^{*}\right.$ bdgrl prefixes elsewhere), and Matisoff 2003:28, who gives the reconstructed Proto-Lolo-Burmese form ${ }^{*} z a^{2}$ $m i^{2 / \beta}$ for 'daughter' and the form səmîfor Written Burmese. In Rawang (LaPolla, p.c.), the word for 'daughter' is somare, another form àngcèmè is derived from a noun-forming prefix ang-, ce 'child' from PTB *za ~ *tsa 'child' and a female marker derived from PTB ${ }^{*} m a$ 'mother'; sə and ce are possibly reflexes of Matisoff's reconstructed ${ }^{*} z a \sim{ }^{*} t s a$ 'child', with reduction of the unstressed syllable in the former. In Japhug rGyalrong (Jacques, p.c.), the word for 'daughter' is tu-me with the indefinite possessor prefix tu-. It is possible that the voiceless nasal in Pǔmir

[^23]:    ${ }^{63}$ Pāntiāngé and Sānjiè (Gerong Pincuo, MS). Most speech varieties in Mùlǐ have a tap [r] (Dōngzi, Xiàmàidì, Bókē, Gùzēng) or a trill [r] (Kāngwū and Xiǎngshuǐhé) (data all taken from Gerong Pincuo's wordlist, except for Xiǎngshuǐhé which is taken from personal notes).
    ${ }^{64}$ Yǔchū, Dōngzi, Xiàmàidì, Bókē, Gùzēng, Kāngwū (all in Mùlǐ), and Tuōzhī and Sānjiè. It has a corresponding [h] in Pāntiāngé.

[^24]:    ${ }^{65}$ Bilabials and rounded vowels are inherently labialized.
    ${ }^{66}$ Both Dīng (1998:19) and Chan (MS:21) analyse a separate $/ \Psi /$ phoneme.

[^25]:    ${ }^{67}$ Note that these groups do not form a natural class and thus there must have been something else that caused the grouping. It would be interesting to conduct more indepth research and compare this to the uvularization phenomenon in Mawo Qiang (Qiang is considered the closest relative of Pumi), where two groups of vowels are distinguished based on the presence or absence of uvularization (Sūn and Evans 2013). The phenomenon of vowel retraction in Wadu Pumi is especially interesting in this light (§2.1.7.2).

[^26]:    ${ }^{68}$ But compare Dàyáng Pǔmǐ, where dental affricates $/ \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{dz} /$ and fricatives $/ \mathrm{s} /$ can be palatalized (Matisoff 1997:185,191; his [y] indicates a palatalized consonant, [j] in my transcription). In the words that I have correspondences for, the Wǎdū consonant is either a plain non-palatalized alveolar or an alveopalatal: [tsyòuN gwí] versus [tcồgú] 'clothing', [tshyóuN] versus [tshô̂] 'short', [tshyòuN dzù mí] versus [tshồpẫ] 'trader', [má qà tsyž] versus [má q'étsèj] 'mother's younger sister', [syú] versus [cú] 'paddy' and [yé syě] versus [jésè̀] 'arrow'.

[^27]:    ${ }^{69}$ The close-mid central vowel [9] is a little bit higher and more fronted than the shwa [ə].

[^28]:    ${ }^{70}$ Note that this analysis does not deal with the fact that three of the four example words that Matisoff (1997b:174) gives actually start with a consonant from the 'non-palatal' group. Nevertheless, the corresponding words for 'liver' and 'pull' in Wǎdū are pronounced with an alveolar consonant and a lowered /i/: [tswềi] and [tswếi] and not [ i i] and the word for 'shoe' is /púqq/.

[^29]:    ${ }^{71}$ Actually, this verb does not take the 'outwards' prefix, but if my main consultant could choose between the two forms of the prefix, he would choose $/ \mathrm{k}^{\text {hy }}-/$. This shows that there is clearly some rule, judging from the ability of the main consultant to predict the prefix for forms that would not actually co-occur with the 'outwards' prefix.

[^30]:    ${ }^{72}$ The vowel /a/ is pronounced less back when appearing after other then uvular stops.
    ${ }^{73}$ In the examples here, as well as in other examples taken from Dīng 1998, I have used phonological transcription rather than the orthography used by Dīng, in order to make comparison easier. Some morphemes are analysed as underlyingly toneless. This is indicated by the absence of diacritics.

[^31]:    ${ }^{74}$ Note the change from post-alveolar to alveopalatal consonants in the last three words. The vowel change to /i/ may be one of the reasons for palatalization of these consonants in Wǎdū.
    ${ }^{75}$ Note that the Tuōqī material, even though published in 2001, dates from the fifties.
    ${ }^{76}$ But note also that some Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ words show similar diphthongs. For example, [ $[\mathrm{ew}$ ] 'to raise' (1998:158), [p̌̌j] 'older sibling' (1998:158) and [tâj] 'to exist on' (1998:239) have similar diphthongs in Wǎdū: /ఢ́áw/, /pěj/ and /têj/ respectively.

[^32]:    ${ }^{77}$ Possibly from Tibetan bdud．

[^33]:    ${ }^{78}$ Both Dīng and Matisoff analyse two separate phonemes /ə/ and /i/for Niúwōž̌ and Dàyáng Pǔmǐ respectively. Dīng (1998:22) considers fricative vowels to be allophones of /i/ after alveolar and retroflex fricatives and affricates. Matisoff (1997b:203) notes that the high central vowel /i/ has the allophones [ $\rceil$ ] and [ $\rceil$ ] after sibilants. He has minimal pairs between / $\partial /$ and /i/, but notes that /ə/ is produced rather high and close to /i/ (1997:203-204). On the other hand, Lù (2001:8) considers [ 1 ] and [ [] to be allophones of / $\partial /$ occurring with alveolars and retroflex sounds. But on the same page he also states that /i/ has the allophone [1] when it occurs with $/ \mathrm{ts} /, / \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{dz} /, / \mathrm{s} /, / \mathrm{z} /$ and $[\mathrm{l}]$ when it occurs with $/ \mathrm{ts} /, / \mathrm{ts} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{dz} /$, /s/, /z/. So he seems to analyse the syllabic fricatives as stemming from two different underlying phonemes.

[^34]:    ${ }^{79}$ When my co-researcher discussed some of these words with him, Alexis Michaud (p.c.) noted that the vowel in these words sounds similar to what he analyses as a fricative vowel /v/ (with a less fricative semi-vowel [ J ] allophone) in Na. Chan (MS:40) also notes a syllabic fricative $/ v /$ that has an allophone [ u ] in Xiǎngshuǐhé Pǔmǐ. [v] occurs after $/ \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}$; $\mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{dz} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r} /$ and $[\mathrm{u}]$ occurs after /p, $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{ts}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{dz} ; \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n} /$. Both Dīng (1998:22) and Matisoff (1997b:204) analyse a phoneme / $\mathfrak{z} /$ for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ and Dàyáng Pǔmǐ respectively, and list numerous example words that correspond to forms with $/ \mathfrak{u} /$ in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ. Jacques (2011:363) analyses /wə/ which is realised [ $\mathfrak{u}$ ] for Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ, something I have considered for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, especially when taking into account the forms in example (58) and the reduplication of 'fruit' in example (367) below. Lù (2001:65) notes that the high vowel $/ \mathrm{u}$ / is realized as $[૫]$ or [ $૫]$ after alveolar and retroflex affricates and fricatives in Tuōqī. But most of his examples correspond to Wǎdū Pǔmǐ /u/. Only one example, the verb 'to write' /dzǔ/ [dzǔ] in Tuōqī, corresponds to /u/ in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ: /dǔ/ [dǔ] (but note the different consonant). ${ }^{80}$ In the successive chapters of the grammar, nasal vowels will be represented with a velar nasal after the vowel, instead of a diacritic over the vowel. This is done to prevent confusion with diacritic tone marking.

[^35]:    ${ }^{81}$ Matisoff (1997b:202) reports for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ that "there is no contrast between uN and oN." But he does list a few examples with /bN/ (1997b:203). These mostly compare to /æ/ or / $\tilde{\mathbb{x}} /$
    
    
    ${ }^{82}$ This is impressionistic. I have not done any systematic investigation to find out which words this concerns and how they compare to cognates in other speech varieties. My main consultant's intuition is that [õ] and [ $\tilde{\alpha}]$ are one phoneme.

[^36]:    ${ }^{83}$ An interjection is the only example of this structure.

[^37]:    ${ }^{84}$ This might partly be a case of vowel centralization due to compounding, but there is still harmonization going on. If not, one would expect the form [ $t^{\text {h}} w$ wébù].
    ${ }^{85}$ Reciprocal verb forms usually have the central vowel [e] in their first syllable. Another form that appears in texts is [w甘̂wă]. This is not vowel harmony, but rounding through influence of the consonant.

[^38]:    ${ }^{86}$ This is probably a loanword from Yǒngníng Na.

[^39]:    ${ }^{87}$ Note that in other speech varieties of Pǔmir this same marker = daw has the form [ra] (Shuĭluò [Jacques 2011a] and Yǔchū [personal fieldnotes]) or [xju] (Niúwōzĭ [Dīng 1998]), so it does not even have a stop, but rather a liquid.
    ${ }^{88}$ In Gerong Pincuo's wordlist (MS) some speech varietes have a voiceless nasal and some a voiced. All varieties that Lù (2001:478) mentions have a voiceless nasal.
    ${ }^{89}$ One exception being the word 'male' where Kāngwū has a voiceless nasal as well (Gerong Pincuo, MS). For the examples 'person' and 'guest' cf. Lù 2001:386,388.
    ${ }^{90}$ My main consultant says that the last two examples in (75) are usually pronounced voiceless in his village. But some of the text transcriptions indicate that people do pronounce them voiced as well, which might be a result of running speech.

[^40]:    ${ }^{91}$ Some vowel reduction is morphological rather than phonological, cf. footnote 192. See also Jacques 2012a:2014-2015 for vowel reduction in Japhug rGyalrong.
    ${ }^{92}$ The variant with the retroflex initial occurred in one text by an older Wǎdū male, but my main consultant insists that in Wǎdū people normally pronounce the word with an alveopalatal consonant.

[^41]:    ${ }^{93}$ Reflexes of original dental consonants: *ti(y) 'water' (Matisoff 2003:193) and *sa 'earth/ ground/soil/sand' (Matisoff 2003:176). Cf. Qiang zo (LaPolla, p.c).
    ${ }^{94}$ The Japhug rGyalgrong, Tibetan and Naish correspondences were pointed out to me by Guillaume Jaqcues (p.c.).
    ${ }^{95}$ For a possible origin of this word see Jacques and Michaud (2011:477).

[^42]:    ${ }^{96}$ The phenomenon of the dropping of pitch towards the end of a unit of speech, due to the lowering of pressure in the vocal tract.
    ${ }^{97}$ The presence of one lexical tone per tone group is referred to as 'culminative tone' or 'wordtone', and has been described for other languages in the area (Chirkova 2006, Evans 2008, 2009a, 2009b, Michaud 2013). Although similar tone systems (for example Tokyo Japanese) have been described in terms of 'pitch-accent', Hyman (2011:235) strongly argues against an accentual analysis. Dīng (2014) changed to using the term 'melody-tone' instead of 'pitchaccent' in his description of Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ.

[^43]:    ${ }^{98}$ The relative pitch is given in the Chao Yuen Ren notation commonly used in China, with tones marked on a scale of 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest). This allows easier comparison with Chinese sources, especially Lù $(1983,2001)$ and Fù (1998). I have chosen to represent Pǔmǐ tone with diacritics as used by Matisoff (1997b, 1999) and Jacques (2011).

[^44]:    ${ }^{99}$ However，it is influenced by intonation and shows an extra high contour on its drawn－out second syllable．This emphasizes the great length of time that has passed between the time of the story and the current time．The extra high contour is illustrated in §3．6．

[^45]:    ${ }^{100}$ This is reminiscent of Shànghǎi tone where the tones of compounds and tightly bound constructions are dependent on the tone of the initial syllable (Zee and Maddieson 1979, Duanmu 1999).
    ${ }^{101}$ Except for a few instances where dəbǔ is followed by the morpheme $-n^{j} \partial$, in which case its tone spreads to $-n^{j} \partial(\S 4.6 .4)$. The marker nǒ $\eta$ can be followed by a discourse marker, but does not spread its tone.

[^46]:    ${ }^{102}$ So far I have been unable to identify it. The plant grows at high altitudes and has soft white fibres on the back of its leaves, which was traditionally spun into thread and woven together with hemp into garments. Hemp is used for strength and pî for warmth.
    ${ }^{103}$ Greif (2010:236) ascribes this to the presence of a low boundary tone in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ.

[^47]:    ${ }^{104}$ It is reported for Shuiluò Pǔmǐ, however, that spreading of H can take place to three toneless syllables (Jacques 2011a:366). Spreading is allowed across only one morpheme boundary, and the trisyllabic morpheme mədərə in his examples is not used in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ.

[^48]:    ${ }^{105}$ My main consultant also offered the suggestion that the L.L.HH spreading pattern might be influenced from another speech variety on the Wǎdū speech variety.

[^49]:    ${ }^{106}$ This was not mentioned in Jacques (2011) for Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ alternating verbs, so further research is needed to clarify whether the same phenomenon happens there.

[^50]:    ${ }^{107}$ Jonathan Evans (2001:68,72; 2008:466) mentions a rare mid-rising tone in Mianchi Qiang that is the result of tonal conflation.
    ${ }^{108}$ Only used as the name of a particular household. If it is used as a personal name, the tone is H.F instead.
    ${ }^{109}$ My main consultant suggested that the word for 'carpet' seems to be a combination of two Chinese loanwords, pá扒 'to spread' and rù 袮 'mattress'.

[^51]:    ${ }^{110}$ Dīng (2001:70) talks about 'dual domain' prosodic structure in complex compounds, which explains the occurrence of multiple $H$ tones in compounds. Most of the examples in Table 3.9 are not compounds, but a 'dual domain' prosodic structure seems to be a good explanation for what happens.
    ${ }^{111} t \grave{\grave{c}}=$ gǒnnì $(3 \mathrm{SG}=\mathrm{AGT})$ is another example of a L.R.L pattern: the demonstrative/third person singular pronoun shows this pattern of spread. This will be discussed in §3.3.7.
    ${ }^{112}$ Regular reduplication ( $\S 5.1 .2$ and $\S 7.4 .1$ ) does not, however, involve contour tones.

[^52]:    ${ }^{113}$ Compare to [sèdásź] 'angry person'. The two seem to be related, but the tone is different.

[^53]:    ${ }^{114}$ Based on a small scale study, Dīng (1998:74-77; 2001:80) found that $82 \%$ of Niúwōzǐ compounds show a regular tone pattern, that is, the tone of the first item is extended to the whole compound.

[^54]:    ${ }^{115}$ This word is only used in sayings．The normal way of referring to a black hen would be ． $\begin{aligned} & \text { Emá }\end{aligned}$ $n \dot{\sim} \check{\dddot{x}}$ with two phonological constituents．

[^55]:    ${ }^{116}$ Wǎdū Pǔmǐ seems to be different in this respect from Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ. Jacques is quoted in Michaud (2010:18): "Compositions des tons dans les composés nominaux: dépendents uniquement du 1er élément. $\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{HH}$, LH ou $\mathrm{HL}>\mathrm{LH}$." (Compounding of tones in nominal compounds depends entirely on the first element. $\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$; LH or $\mathrm{HL}>\mathrm{LH}$ ).

[^56]:    ${ }^{117}$ Jacques is quoted in a presentation by Michaud (2010:18): "La majorité des formes de NUM + CL sont irrégulières, au sens où elle ne suivent pas les règles observées dans les noms." (The majority of NUM + CL forms are irregular in the sense that they do not follow the rules observed in nouns).
    ${ }^{118}$ Since it never appears without a preceding numeral, it is not possible to establish the original tone for the classifier 'hundred'.

[^57]:    ${ }^{119}$ A measure of length measured from the tip of one outspread arm to the other.

[^58]:    ${ }^{120}$ On an interesting note, the tones of the numeral ' 10 ' are flipped in Shuǐluò (Michaud 2010) and in Yǔchū (personal fieldnotes) (L.H instead of H.L) and thus the resulting numeral-classifier pattern is L.H-H.

[^59]:    ${ }^{121}$ Shows a low tonal target only half of the time.
    ${ }^{122}$ The comitative has the form /pu/, but does not seem to be related to the relator noun /pú/ (86.2.6).
    ${ }^{123}$ This is the only example in the corpus where it displays its own tone; in all the other instances it takes on the tone of the preceding lexical item.

[^60]:    ${ }^{124}$ Actually, only Dīng and Jacques analyse them as toneless morphemes. Lù (1983:45) states that the prefixes are low-rising $\left({ }^{13}\right)$ tone, Fù $(1998: 27,28)$ states that the prefixes are low-falling $\left({ }^{31}\right)$ tone. In the latter two descriptions there is no concept of toneless syllables.

[^61]:    ${ }^{125}$ As can be seen in (149), (150) and (151), the general negator mǎ keeps its rising surface tone and the rest of the predicate is assigned low tone (this happens in negative conditional clauses (§10.4.1). The lexical high tone of the perfective negator mí spreads to the verb. The lexical tone of the prohibitive negator $t^{j} \mathfrak{X}$ is not completely clear at this point: in some situations it seems to have lexical falling tone, in other situations it seems to be toneless (§7.2.3). When it is inserted between the prefix and the verb, however, it always appears in a high surface tone and the rest of the predicate following the negator is assigned low surface tone.

[^62]:    ${ }^{126}$ My main consultant suggested that the rising surface tone of the verb spreads leftwards, assigning the low tone to the prefix and the H tone to the verb stem. This was discussed as an option in Jacques (2011), but rejected, since most of the tonal system of Pǔmǐ is rightwards spreading.

[^63]:    ${ }^{127}$ It is not sure whether this is the same verb. Matisoff gives the meaning 'to carry on the shoulder' and his example is with a tó- prefix, which does not show anything for the present purpose.

[^64]:    ${ }^{128}$ It is by no means certain that these verbs are related，since the semantics are very different： the Mùdǐqīng verb means＇to understand＇；the Dàyáng verbs mean＇to hide＇（Matisoff）and＇to hear＇（Fù）．However，all are alternating verbs and their phonological shape is mostly the same． There is another non－alternating rising tone verb／nǔ／＇to know，understand＇in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ， that could be related to the Mùdǐqīng alternating verb／nõ／／＇to understand＇listed here．
    ${ }^{129}$ Same meaning，but not clearly related．
    ${ }^{130}$ Fù gives the meaning＇to smoke＇．I suspect that this verb is probably also used for＇to drink＇ in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ，since in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ＇to smoke＇is expressed as＇to drink tobacco＇．
    ${ }^{131}$ The Dàyáng verbs are listed with the meaning＇to take with one＇（Matisoff）and＇to take＇（Fù）．

[^65]:    ${ }^{132}$ Interestingly，this is a correspondence between a high lexical tone verb in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ and alternating verbs in other Pǔmǐ speech varieties．Jacques（2011：376）mentioned that such a correspondence should not be expected，since it cannot be explained by leveling，i．e．the original alternating verb taking on the properties of a normal non－alternating surface rising tone or falling tone verb．Note that the other correspondences are all between alternating verbs and a surface rising or falling tone verb in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ．
    ${ }^{133}$ But as Jacques（2011：373－374）points out，Matisoff＇s data does not show whether this verb really exhibits tonal alternation：the example Matisoff（1997b：209）gives has the high－toned prefix $t$ 多－which always influences the tone of the verb root（\＄3．4．5）．The same is the case for the verb děi＇to sew＇．Another verb（Matisoff 1999：209） $3 d \xi \check{ }$＇＇to grab，meet＇is clearly not alternating，since the tone of the verb root only changes when tó－is prefixed．

[^66]:    ${ }^{134}$ Also mentioned by Matisoff（1997b：209 and 1999：22）as a verb（fwə̆）that shows tone sandhi， but looking at his data it is clear that it is no alternating verb：the tonal change only happens with the $t$ б́－prefix，which，as shown for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ in §3．4．5，always influences the tone of the following verb．Tonal change does not happen with the other prefix $t^{h} \partial$－
    ${ }^{135}$ Jacques mentions only one alternating disyllabic verb：the verb［kə̀tsěi］＇to be small＇．The verb corresponds to the Wǎdū Pǔmǐ alternating verb $q^{h}$ tetsêj，but shows a different tonal alternation in Shuiluò Pǔmǐ：［nı̀－kə̀tséi－cì］（Jacques 2011a：370）．

[^67]:    ${ }^{136}$ Dīng (1998:59) also gives two similar examples, although he does not discuss them, but rather lists them as minimal pairs.

[^68]:    ${ }^{137}$ This rising tone verb changes to high level tone when a directional prefix is attached (see §3.4.5).

[^69]:    ${ }^{138}$ Greif (2010:242) mentions both declination and downdrift for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ.
    ${ }^{139}$ Also reported for Lahu (Matisoff 1994:117). Rawang speakers often change from a mid to a high tone for emphasis on words for 'all' and 'there (far away)' (LaPolla, p.c.).

[^70]:    ${ }^{140}$ Dīng therefore does not include the third person form in his pronoun chart, but marks a zero anaphor instead (1998:101). He notes that when overt marking is necessary, Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ has a choice between three options tóge, nî and tsố, and speakers will choose the one they prefer, based on their clan. Dīng (2014:90) notes that $n \hat{1}$ is used when a referent is visible, whereas $t s o ̂ ́$ is used when a referent is not visible during a conversation. In Dīng's examples, $n \hat{i}$ is mostly used for third person; in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ tó is most commonly used for third person reference and $n \hat{i}$ is used as the logophoric pronoun (§4.2.1). nî and tsố might be reflexes of the PTB ${ }^{*} r-m i$ 'man/person' (with assimilation of the initial) and *tsan 'person/human being' (Matisoff 2003:449,265). The form tsố or a similar form is not present in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, but is used in Xiǎngshuǐhé Pǔmǐ (personal notes) and in Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ (Katia Chirkova, unpublished 2006 wordlist).
    ${ }^{141}$ This might be another indication of the phonological change from [ĩ] to [õ] that can be observed in parts of the Pǔmǐ area (see §2.2.2). Another explanation might be that it is a loan from Yǒngníng Na: there the inclusive first person pronoun is formed by attaching a suffix to a reflexive pronoun $o y^{33}$ (Lidz 2010:191).

[^71]:    ${ }^{142}$ There seems to be a strong tendency to talk about self versus other. This form is dealt with in the genitive construction in §5.3.1.

[^72]:    ${ }^{143}$ But note the distinct form -wú for 'twenty' (alternatively pronounced as [nə̀vú]: the [w] probably derived from an originally uvular or velar stop or fricative, see §2.1.3.3).
    ${ }^{144}$ Matisoff (1997a:21) proposes that this derives from the Proto-Tibeto-Burman word family *day / *bay.
    ${ }^{145}$ The voiceless nasal seems to derive from an ${ }_{S}$ - prefix in PTB. Matisoff (1997a:84,98) gives ${ }^{*} s-n i s$ as protoform. Compare this with the form for 'two' that goes back to ${ }^{*} g-n i-s / k$ (Matisoff 1997:84) and that shows a voiced nasal.

[^73]:    ${ }^{146}$ Xiǎngshuǐhé, personal notes; Niúwōž̌̌, Dīng 1998:102; Lù 2001:450-451. Note that the form nǒy could also be the result of a regular sound change in line with what is described in §2.2.2.
    ${ }^{147}$ Michaud and Jacques (2010:17): "Bonin gives two forms for 'ten', casse-ti and ca-ti. This numeral has a fricative preinitial in Lánpíng Pǔmǐ: /qa ${ }^{1}$ sti $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{1} /$, but it belongs to the set of words that do not undergo obstruent lenition in Shuiluò Pǔmǐ: /kśtí /."
    ${ }^{148}$ In the neighbouring language Shy̌xing a similar phenomenon happens: the -ty in twenty has the form [ка] whereas the -ty in other decimals has the form [qа]. Chirkova (2009:33) remarks:
     Prinmi [n2 ${ }^{35}-\mathrm{fi} \mathrm{A}^{53}$ ] (Lù 2001: 453)."

[^74]:    ${ }^{149}$ Matisoff (1997:61 and 2004:22) considers it a reflex of PTB ${ }^{*} r$-gya with the brightening phenomenon evident in the vowel, but Jacques (p.c.) notes that the Tibetan word on which this form is based is an example of Li Fang-kuei's second Law (as described in Hill 2011:447) and originates from *prja with fortition of the $j$, rGyalrongic languages preserve the form without stop: Situ pərja and Japhug zurza $<{ }^{*}$ wərja 'hundred'.
    ${ }^{150}-\_\varepsilon j$ might be related to Japhug rGyalrong $-r i$ 'hundred', also a classifier (Jacques, p.c.).
    ${ }^{151}$ This seems a pretty straightforward derivation from PTB ${ }^{*} S$-ton (Matisoff 1997:61).

[^75]:    ${ }^{152} t s \hat{\alpha}$ 'section' and $t s \check{j} j$ 'section', both bound forms, can combine to form the free lexical noun tsatsêj ' joint'.

[^76]:    ${ }^{153}$ The distance from the elbow to the tip of the middle finger.
    ${ }^{154}$ The distance measured by outstretched arms.
    ${ }^{155}$ The distance from the tip of the thumb to the tip of the little finger on an outstretched hand. Possibly a reflex of PTB *m-twa.

[^77]:    ${ }^{156}$ This agrees with Dīng's observation in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (1998:104). My main consultant said that for knives and axes also no numeral classifiers need to be used.

[^78]:    ${ }^{157}$ Note that the tone is always H-L, no matter what the tone of the underlying verb is (§3.4.2).

[^79]:    ${ }^{158}$ Another sign of the palatalization tendency in the area (§2.4.5)
    ${ }^{159}$ Note that this word has two tones attached, which implies that it is a contraction of two items. There is a word môdi, which also means something like 'every', but there is only one example in the corpus, and it is not totally clear how it is used and how it is different from mâdidzá.

[^80]:    ${ }^{160}$ In the second and third column the toneless noun/postposition $d z i$ is added to show the tonal difference. The adverbials can be followed by several other nouns/postpositions (§4.6.3).
    ${ }^{161}$ Dīng's data display a similar alternation, but with two dissimilarities: $t 3$ - and $d_{3}$ -

[^81]:    ${ }^{162}$ Data for Qìnghuā Pǔmǐ, Dàyáng Pǔmǐ, Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ, and Shuı̌luò Pǔmǐ are respectively taken from Lù 2001:157, Fù 1998:34-41, Dīng 1998:118, and Jacques 2011a:369. For sake of comparison, I present all the data with similar diacritics for tone (Lù uses 7 for high level and $\lambda$ for low-rising tone; Fù uses ${ }^{55}$ for high level and ${ }^{31}$ for low-falling tone); I also present Dīng's examples in IPA, instead of in his orthography.
    ${ }^{163}$ Since main rivers flow from mountains into valleys, this would also explain Fu's analysis in Table 4.6 of tó- as 'upstream' and nò- as 'downstream'.

[^82]:    ${ }^{164}$ For a language in the area that has been analysed as being based on a solar system, see Lin (2000) on a variety of rGyalrong.
    ${ }^{165}$ This ties in with what is reported for two Pǔmǐ villages in Yījí, where both villages face the river: the doors in the village on one side of the of the river face east (riverwards) and the doors on the opposite side of the valley face west (also riverwards) (Wellens 2010:124).

[^83]:    ${ }^{166}$ At important occasions such as New Year, a libation chant (in which these cardinal points are mentioned) will be performed and liquor will be poured out on the hearth.
    ${ }^{167}$ The twelve zodiac animals related to a person's birth are calculated against the four cardinal points to render auspicious and inauspicious times for conducting certain actions. Whether or not they are cardinal points rather than different spirits (as in Qiāng, LaPolla, p.c.), needs further anthropological research.
    ${ }^{168}$ Interestingly these all end in [u] or [i].

[^84]:    ${ }^{169}$ Only in riddles.
    ${ }^{170}$ It occurs as a numeral classifier.
    ${ }^{171}$ Apart from forming a compound with the noun gǒy 'body': golnǔ̆ 'outside'.
    ${ }^{172}$ Only appears as a postposition after the locational noun $k^{h /}$ 'edge' with a low tonal target.
    ${ }^{173}$ Only occurs as a postposition with a subset of nouns: after the locational nouns mentioned in (323) below.

[^85]:    ${ }^{174}$ The answer to this riddle is $f o n t^{h} \check{a}$ ' 'mill stone'.
    ${ }^{175}$ Like many Tibeto-Burman languages, Wǎdū Pǔmǐ has a whole set of 'psycho-collocations' (Matisoff 1986): phrases that are formed with organs like heart and express emotions. They function as internal state verbs, like $k^{h} W E ́ t^{h}$ 'ó ${ }^{\prime}$ 'to be sincere, transparent' (lit. white heart). No psycho-collocations with 'lung' or 'liver' have been found in the corpus. A to-date comprehensive list of 'heart phrases' is given in Appendix A.

[^86]:    ${ }^{176}$ That is actually a reflection of the older form for 'day' nît, which in the Wǎdū area has changed to nồ (§2.2.2).

[^87]:    ${ }^{177}$ Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ shows voicing alternating in the same forms for 'day' (Dīng 1998:42).
    ${ }^{178}$ Note that Fù (1998:128) has the word $\operatorname{Sian}^{24}$ for 'morning' in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ. The word for 'tomorrow' in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ is sjẽ̃ní (Dīng 1998:42).

[^88]:    ${ }^{179}$ This is different from tǎ 'now', which is used as the result of a process leading up to that point (taḉx bu can also be used in that way). It is possible that tă derives from the verb tă 'to arrive'.
    ${ }^{180}$ This word can also be used as a predicate 'to be just right', as in 'these shoes are just right for me'.
    ${ }^{181}$ Indicates that right now is not the right time according to the speaker.

[^89]:    ${ }^{182}$ Reduplicated numeral-classifier compound.

[^90]:    ${ }^{183}$ Also in combination with discourse markers $d i(\$ 6.5 .7)$ and $s ə$ (\$6.5.8), thus nǒy sə and nǒy di.

[^91]:    ${ }^{184}$ Roughly one tenth of the nouns are polysyllabic; roughly two thirds of the other nouns are disyllabic and one third is monosyllabic.
    ${ }^{185}$ Although this word is not analyzable, the part -bala seems to have an ideophonic meaning that conveys an image of something hanging down.

[^92]:    ${ }^{186}$ The last three compounds are cultural notions: salt and tea are the basic ingredients for Pǔmǐ cooking. 'lung-and-liver' and 'pig heart-and-tongue' are conceptual units connected with the culture around pork preparation: the lung and the liver are prepared similarly by filling them with a mixture of water, flour and spices, and then boiling them. Slices are roasted and served as a delicacy. The heart and the tongue are stuffed with lean meat, tied together and presented as a pair during a special occasion.
    ${ }^{187}$ Dīng (1998:239) translates pí $3 i ́ ~ j o ̂ ̀ ~ d j \hat{o ̂ ~ a s ~ ' t h e r e ~ a r e ~ a d a g e s ' ~(<p i ́ ~ ' m a x i m ' ~}+j o \check{n}$ 'knowledge').

[^93]:    ${ }^{188}$ This is a tiny bug, similar in shape to a small cockroach, that lives around the fireplace and is said to bring wealth, hence its name 'affluent bug'. It is the enemy of the poisonous centipede.
    ${ }^{189}$ People that die when they are away from home are said to become hungry ghosts.

[^94]:    190 Note the vowel reduction in this and the following two compounds. All vowels except for $/ \mathrm{e} /$ and $/ \partial /$ can undergo reduction to [ e ] and sometimes to [ $\partial$ ] or [ t ]. I have not been able to find a clear-cut rule. It seems that frequent words like sěy 'firewood', ž̌天 'hand', tç ${ }^{\text {hin }}$ 'rice, food', $t \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w} \check{x}$ 'pig' are often reduced, but they are not always reduced. There is at least one contrastive pair that is formed of the same constituents, but has different tones and one of them shows vowel reduction whereas the other does not: senq $q^{h} w$ 'shoots (in spring)' versus sêq${ }^{h} \boldsymbol{u}$ 'tree top'.

[^95]:    ${ }^{191}$ The words séntçip 'animal', nisềtçin 'wild animal', and wuséntçip 'wild animal' (<wû 'mountain') even though ending in -tcij, are not related to these according to my main consultant. séptçig is derived from Tibetan sems.can 'sentient being'.

[^96]:    ${ }^{192}$ This vowel reduction is a morphological rather than a phonological process, similar to the status constructus alternation described for Japhug (Jacques 2012a:1214-1215).

[^97]:    ${ }^{193}$ A similar reduplication but with a slightly different vowel is laléj 'every kind of seed' (<ľ̌j 'seed').
    ${ }^{194}$ For the presence of a $[\mathrm{w}]$ in the reduplicated form, see the discussion in footnote 79.
    ${ }^{195}$ The etymology of this morpheme is not clear at present.
    ${ }^{196}$ More research needs to be done into the semantic differences between the various constructions, and whether the change of the first versus the second syllable to [on] is governed by phonological constraints or other reasons.

[^98]:    ${ }^{197}$ This strongly resembles the different verbal reduplication constructions described in §7.4.1, especially the 'random' reduplication and the 'continuous' reduplication construction.

[^99]:    ${ }^{198}$ Cf. Matisoff (1997b:192) who gives the form [द̊̀ćn] without an initial vowel for Dàyáng Pǔmǐ. The magpie is often anthropomorphly portrayed and is know for its ability to practise divination.
    ${ }^{199}$ In Yǔchū, the word deqôty was used by an older lady to scold some boys that behaved badly. This word is not used in Wǎdū, but it might be related to Wǎdū Pǔmǐ qón 'to be crazy'.
    ${ }^{200}$ Related to Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ [ku] 'blind’ (Dīng 1998:145, ex5.60c).
    ${ }^{201}$ Also used in the following words: tswágon 'mute male' and mógoŋ 'mute female', with the nominal roots $t s \hat{t}$ 'son, man' and $m \hat{o} \hat{\imath}$ 'daughter'.

[^100]:    ${ }^{202}$ Similar to Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:48), Shǐxīng (Chirkova 2009:20) and Yǒngníng Na (Lidz 2010:177), but not used for female kinship terms as in Yǒngníng Na.
    ${ }^{203}$ Chirkova (2009:20) mentions a male gender suffix [-p ${ }^{\mathrm{h}_{3}}$ ] in Shǐxīng, and Lidz (2010:179)
     'female chicken' ( $<. l \hat{u}$ 'chicken' and $m \hat{a}$ 'mother'). This is the only occurrence of $-p \#$ I have encountered in animal terms.
    ${ }^{204}$ This seems to be an archaic term for 'horse' that only occurs in compounds like $\imath^{\text {Wesś }}$ 'stallion' and $\ell^{2} E t t^{h}$ ón 'white horse' (see also ex. [387]). It might be a reflex of PTB *s/m-ra-ŋ and similar to Nàxī ${ }^{2}$ Zhwua (Matisoff 2003:82).
    ${ }^{205}$ Archaic form that only occurs in compounds: wûzo 'ox for plowing' and wemidř 'old cow' in example (387) below. Might be a reflex of PTB * $\eta$ wa 'cattle' (Matisoff 2003:167), but compare Matisoff 2003:170 who relates Dàyáng Pǔmǐ $q w \overline{\text { ' }}$ 'cow' (Wǎdū Pǔmǐ $q w \hat{\text { ) }}$ to this proto-form instead.
    ${ }^{206}$ The normal word for 'dog' is $t s^{h}{ }^{h}$. It is possible that $k^{h}{ }^{h}$ - reflects an older reflex of PTB $*^{*} d$ $k^{w}$ ey-n. See also example (387).

[^101]:    ${ }^{207}$ The part $-l^{\prime} \mathfrak{x}$ might be related to the diminutive suffix $-l i($ (cf. example [384]).
    ${ }^{208}$ But note that the compound zónts\# is also often used; as are pónts\#'prince’ (< pón 'king'), $t s^{h}$ tstǔ̌ 'kid' ( $<t s^{h}{ }^{h}$ 'goat'), $s w i ̂ t s t ~ ' l e o p a r d ~ c u b ' ~(~<~ s w i ̂ ' l e o p a r d ') . ~$
    ${ }^{209}$ The origin of the first syllable [du] ~ [bu] is not clear.

[^102]:    ${ }^{210}$ An alternative form: it looks like a combination of both diminutive suffixes and has the tonal pattern of an expressive (§3.3.6). Note the change in aspiration of the first syllable.
    ${ }^{211}$ It might be that the term pudimá'old woman' also has this morpheme, but this is not certain.

[^103]:    ${ }^{212}$ See Footnote 206.
    ${ }^{213}$ - din in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:123).

[^104]:    ${ }^{214}$ In Qiāng the indefinite marker /-ke/ (not the definite marker) can nominalize state verbs, and sometimes existential verbs and other verbs (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:59).

[^105]:    ${ }^{215}$ However, when referring to relatives usually the genitive form of the collective plural marker will be used after the pronoun (cf. footnote 220 below).

[^106]:    ${ }^{216}$ When transcribing a text from Jísū, my main consultant noted that the storyteller tends to use much less overt genitive marking. Further research needs to be conducted at a later point to see how structural this is, and whether any tendencies can be seen in the whole Pǔmǐ area.

[^107]:    ${ }^{217}$ Brick houses are a recent development in the area and interestingly, this concept is expressed by a genitive construction and not a compound as with more traditional buildings such as $l^{j} u t^{\prime} s^{\prime} \eta$ 'log house', gtđdóntson 'stone house' or tcubttsón 'dirt-wall house'.
    ${ }^{218}$ Dīng (1998:172) notes that this is avoided in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ.

[^108]:    ${ }^{219}$ This is a rather polite way of referring to somebody. A more neutral way is using a compound cEmôt ${ }^{h} O \eta$.
    ${ }^{220}$ This is not individual possession. Compare this to Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:51) where a plural pronoun is used before kinship terms. This also reflects the concept of the household as a basic unit in Pǔmǐ society (Wellens 2010:94).

[^109]:    ${ }^{221}$ But note that Dīng（1998：171）analyses this as the fusion of the non－involvemental clitic $=. j j u$ and the modificatory clitic in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ．My data do not support this analysis for Wǎdū Pǔmǐ：the form of the current evidential clitic（that corresponds to Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ＝．$\quad \mathrm{j} u$ ） is＝daw，which would not occur in（431），and the change from［d］to［ $\tau$ ］would have to be explained．Also，$=\_\npreceq$ only occurs with temporal head nouns．I have no explanation for what is happening here，though．

[^110]:    ${ }^{222}$ The form $n_{0} \hat{O} \eta$ is an autoclassifier. In this example it is used as a noun; in (431) it is used as a classifier. I have chosen to gloss the form according to its function.

[^111]:    ${ }^{223}$ The latter two structures have not been reported for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998).
    ${ }^{224}$ In Tibetan (DeLancey 1999:244), Mongsen Ao (Coupe 2007:221), Chinese and Rawang (LaPolla, p.c.), prenominal relatives express a restrictive meaning whereas post-nominal relatives express an unrestrictive meaning.

[^112]:    ${ }^{225}$ This form has an alternate form ň̌ $\eta$ which is used more by older people. It is doubtful that $n \varepsilon ̌ j$ (probably a reflex of PTB ${ }^{*} S-n ə W^{\prime}$ 'breast, milk, suck') is a loan from Chinese, since other Pǔmǐ speech varieties have similar forms with different vowel quality that show regular

[^113]:    ${ }^{226}$ This is the only non-human animate referent in my data. It might be that it is the vocative use that influences this.

[^114]:    ${ }^{227}$ Reminiscent of the Japhug rGyalrong plural suffix－ra．It is possible that they are actually cognate，as Japhug rGyalrong－a in most cases corresponds to Pǔmǐ－ə，for instance ndza－dzé ＇eat＇（Jacques，p．c．）．

[^115]:    ${ }^{228}$ Chirkova (2009:31) also mentions a 'collective plural' for personal pronouns in Shǐxīng that is formed with the root [ ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{wu}$ ]. She does not mention whether that can co-occur with other nouns.
    ${ }^{229}$ Interestingly, the Chinese 国家 guójiā ‘country’ is borrowed as <kw $\check{j}$ >-bt.
    ${ }^{230}$ Also, if $-b t$ had been a head noun modified by a pre-nominal relative clause, the genitive marker $=g æ$ would have been present (see §5.3.2).

[^116]:    ${ }^{231}$ Note, however, that the tonal pattern is different. According to my main consultant, in other areas such as Ladigu, -son is used instead of -sen.
    ${ }^{232}$ On an anthropological note, -pi can be used with Pǔmǐ and Yǒngníng Na villages in the direct Yǒngníng area, and, since a kinship relationship is present with the people from Mùdǐqìng, one can also say gondî̀p-pi $=\not .{ }^{2}$ 'people from Mùdǐqìng'. When referring to people
     from Mùli'. When talking about certain Nuòsū villages, the marker - $b u$ 'household' is used instead, as in $J^{\prime} u t c a A^{h}{ }^{h}$ wey-bt 'the people from Six Household village'.

[^117]:    ${ }^{233}$ Compare these constructions with English '... and stuff' or 'when we were about to leave and everything...'.
    ${ }^{234}$ Chirkova (2009:22) mentions a similar phenomenon in the nearby Qiangic language Shǐxīng. There the plural marker [ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{mg} .{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{zi}$ ] adds the meaning 'and the like' to the noun it modifies. If the noun refers to a non-human entity, the use of [ ${ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{m} .^{.}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Zi}$ ] denotes a group of people associated with the noun. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ the group of referents associated with a noun is not necessarily animate.

[^118]:    ${ }^{235}=h a$ 'even' has not been attested followed by $=b u$.

[^119]:    ${ }^{236}$ The elided arguments in the example sentences are marked $\varnothing_{\mathrm{s}}$ for single argument of an intransitive clause, $\varnothing_{\mathrm{a}}$ for the agent of a transitive clause, $\varnothing_{0}$ for the patient of a transitive clause, $\varnothing_{\mathrm{E}}$ for the dative-marked argument of a ditransitive clause, and are also marked with lower case ' $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{m}$ ' to facilitate the tracking of coreference.

[^120]:    ${ }^{237}$ When the topic marker $=b u$ follows the agentive marker, usually the form $=(g)$ ogni is used, but when asked, my main consultant said that both forms are possible. Dīng (1998:188) analyses a similar clitic [ne] in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ as a discourse clitic with a largely obscure function.
    ${ }^{238}$ On another diachronic note, Jiăng (2010:67) notes that the Lánpíng Pǔmǐ agentive marker has grammaticalised from the verb 'to use'.

[^121]:    ${ }^{239}$ Internal topic in his analysis (Dīng 1998:164). This analysis is similar to his analysis of the genitive clitic $=(\mathrm{g})$ æ (see §5.3.1).
    ${ }^{240}$ The reason for a passive translation is that the patient, which is not overtly expressed here, is the topic of the utterance.

[^122]:    ${ }^{241}$ My main consultant associates this with the Tuōqī speech variety. This might be another indication of the $/ \mathrm{i}, ~ ə$, ĩ, ẽ/ > /õ/ sound change that is taking place in the area (§2.2.2). The fact that only the first syllable changes to [õ] might point to different origins of [nə] ~ [nõ] and [ni], and not to reduplication. Not all morphemes with /i/ change to /õ/. Another analysis is that $=n^{j} O \eta(n i)$ is a contraction of $=n i=(g) o \eta(n i)$.

[^123]:    ${ }^{242}$ Cognate to $=n i$ with a regular sound change from $[i]>[\mathfrak{æ}]$.
    ${ }^{243}$ This points to the presence of some head-markedness.

[^124]:    ${ }^{244}$ My main consultant consistently translated it with a '被 bei' construction in Chinese.

[^125]:    ${ }^{245}$ Note that the instrument is marked with the same marker as the agent. This does not exclude marking of the agent: it is possible to find both agent and instrument marked in the same clause, as in example (573) below.

[^126]:    ${ }^{246}$ Note that there is no strong distinction between direct and indirect speech in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ: speakers will often switch back and forth in the middle of a quotation (88.3.5).

[^127]:    ${ }^{247}$ Similar to English: 'to meet somebody' or 'to meet with somebody'.

[^128]:    ${ }^{248}$ It occurs with verbs like 'to aim/ shoot at sb.', 'to scold sb.', 'to say (sth. bad) on sb.', 'to crack down on sb.', 'to lie/cheat on sb.', 'to recite against goblin.'s, 'to play pranks on sb.', 'to put evil on sb.', 'to look down on sb.'

[^129]:    ${ }^{249}$ It has been attested as a causal subordination marker, but only in the speech of one person, a seventy-seven year old man. In the neighbouring village Bǐqí, the form = fa_lolni is attested as both ablative marker and clausal subordinator (personal notes). In Xiăngshuǐhé Pǔmǐ the form of the ablative is [farã] (personal notes).

[^130]:    ${ }^{250}$ Note that Dīng (1998) does not deal with transitivity as a notion in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ. There are other languages in the area where this notion does not play a role. See Matisoff (1976:419) who states "The transitive/intransitive and active/passive distinctions are basically alien to Lahu grammar."
    ${ }^{251}$ This lack of obligatoriness makes it difficult to establish what are arguments of a verb and what are not, and thus hard to talk about valency as a property of verbs.

[^131]:    ${ }^{252}$ This causative construction is also productive in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ (Fù 1998:157) and Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (Dīng 1998:309).

[^132]:    ${ }^{253}$ In hindsight the use of $=b i$ was fatal for both the baby and the parents: trickster Hare used his control to kill all three.

[^133]:    ${ }^{254}$ Term used to denote certain focus markers, such as 'also', 'even', 'only' (Mazaudon 2003).

[^134]:    ${ }^{334}$ In my database I only have examples with first person statements, and none with second person questions. According to my main consultant, second person questions involving $t s e \eta$ are not possible, except for when repeating people's exact words to verify you heard them say it correctly.

[^135]:    ${ }^{335}$ As is analysed for some other varieties: Qìnghuā Pǔmǐ (Lù 1983) and Dàyáng Pǔmǐ (Fù 1998). Dīng (1998) analyses Niúwōž̌ Pǔmǐ verbal inflection as actor-agreement.
    ${ }^{336}$ Examples (951) and (952) are interesting, in that the current speaker is controlling the pronoun in the indirect quotation, but the quoted speaker controls the form of the embedded verb and the evidential marking.

[^136]:    ${ }^{337}$ Used for first plural as well; kǐn is only used for second plural.

[^137]:    ${ }^{338}$ The use of $d z \hat{s}$ in this example could also have another explanation: it gives my main consultant the impression that the speaker is adjusting her language for the child she is addressing by simplifying the verb paradigm. In that case, it is still a pragmatic use, but not related to egophoricity.
    ${ }^{339}$ In the first instance, she refers to herself as $e m a ́$, which technically is a third person, but this is not the reason that she uses the non-egophoric form. In many instances in texts a speaker will use a term of address for self-reference and still use the egophoric form of the verb (see §8.1.1).

[^138]:    ${ }^{340}$ This particular structure is also often used when speaking to children. For example, when two children have been fighting and one child blames the other for everything, an intervening grown-up can say example (963) implying, "But you are not totally in the right either, you know that very well yourself".

[^139]:    ${ }^{341}$ With palatalization of the consonant for verbs with bilabial initials and the vowel [i]. Only the verb žă'to carry' is slightly irregular, with a singular imperative z̨ǔ and a plural imperative z̧Wěク.
    ${ }^{342}$ Sometimes with labialization of the consonant.
    ${ }^{343}$ Sometimes with labialization of the consonant.

[^140]:    ${ }^{344}$ Although it is used in combination with the prohibitive negation marker in certain constructions; in those constructions the directional prefix precedes the negation marker (see §7.2.3).

[^141]:    ${ }^{345}$ It is not sure how frequent this or the other option is used, since (976) is the only example in the corpus.

[^142]:    ${ }^{346}$ For example，Chinese 你是要去的 ‘You should go’．

[^143]:    ${ }^{347}$ Since most of the discussion below uses examples with $=t a$, it should be kept in mind that where $=t a$ is used, z̧ǔ could be used in the same manner (except for in example [999]). ${ }^{348}$ The terminology 'endoceptic' and 'exoceptic' follows Tournadre (2011).

[^144]:    ${ }^{349}$ The term egophoricity is used by Tournadre (2008); the terms 'self' and 'other' are used by Sūn (1993).

[^145]:    ${ }^{350}$ Note that Dīng (1998:206) analyses a similar morpheme as a perfective marker in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ. For the neighbouring language Shǐxīng, Chirkova (2009:51) reports on a perfective auxiliary that has likely developed into an inferential marker and a mirative marker. This is cross-linguistically very common (Comrie 1976:108-110). A change of state marker -si/-so/ -Son/- $i$ (depending on person) is also found in Púxī Qiāng (Huáng 2007:137).

[^146]:    ${ }^{351}$ In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ = $g i$ can be preceded by the interrogative and the negative, contrary to what Dīng notes for Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ (1998:197, Table 8-2).
    ${ }^{352}$ In Tibetic languages many evidential markers (now suffixes) developed from auxiliary verbs (Tournadre 2008:292).

[^147]:    ${ }^{353}$ The reader is referred to a more recent debate on mirativity which deals with the question whether mirativity should be seen as a separate grammatical category. Arguments against a separate category are raised in Hill 2012; argument for a separate mirative category are given in DeLancey 2012 and Aikhenvald 2012.

[^148]:    ${ }^{354}$ Dīng does not address the egophoricity question and it is not totally clear how these markers pattern in questions versus statements.
    ${ }^{355}$ Dīng (1998:210) analyses $=g i$ as a hortative in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ, and mentions that negation and interrogativity do not co-occur with it. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ both negation (in = $\quad . \hat{\jmath}=b u t \geqslant-b \check{a}$ wu с́́ $m a=g_{1}$ [1.INCL $=$ PL = TOP 3-household:GEN interior go NEG = VOL:INCL] '[...] we shall not go to his house [...]' [TC08.33]) and interrogativity, (example [1054]), can co-occur with =gi.

[^149]:    ${ }^{356}$ Actually, Fù (1998:78) recognizes the importance of the control parameter in Dàyáng Pǔmǐ: she notes when the subject of a clause is a person and the verb is non-controllable, only the markers that are analysed as evidential in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ (Fù mentions $-s i^{3 l}$ and $-q a^{3 l}$ for Dàyáng) occur.

[^150]:    ${ }^{357}$ Huáng does not specify which variety of Pǔmǐ．She makes a distinction between visual evidence（two types：one unmarked for the process modality 过程情态，and one marked with－ $s i^{31}$ for the result modality 结果情态），inferential evidence（marked with $-\epsilon i^{33}$ ）and auditory evidence（marked with $-s \tilde{\varepsilon}^{3}$ ）．

[^151]:    ${ }^{358}$ Tournadre (2008: 300) notes: "The fact that traces of the original speaker's deictic systems are found in the reported speech is not surprising from a typological point of view: "Indirect speech lies half way [...], between direct speech, which ignores the actual speaker's deictic system, and main clauses [...] which wholly use the system of the speaker". (Palmer, 1986: 164)."
    ${ }^{359}$ Tournadre (2008:300) talks about 'hybrid reported speech' for quotative constructions where the initial pronoun is dropped.

[^152]:    ${ }^{360}$ Reported evidentials are also used in the local dialect of Chinese．An example I observed in the speech of my main consultant：他说以后他可以教表弟说 tā shuō yǐhòu tā kěy̌̌ jiào biǎodì shuō＇It is said that he said that he can teach younger cousin in the future＇．This is a calque from Pǔmǐ which has been adopted by the local Hàn Chinese as well．

[^153]:    ${ }^{361}$ With only one exception from a personal narrative where the speaker heard about a situation via different other people. The normal way of saying would be tç $=d a w$ tcaw, even when more than two people passed on the information.

[^154]:    ${ }^{362}$ Depending on the emphasis with which the marker is pronounced the surface tone can be any of these. This does not totally correlate with normal tonal spread, but rather with situationdependent intonation.

[^155]:    ${ }^{363}$ Since it functions as a single construction with a different meaning from the parts, I gloss it together. This is also the case for some of the other epistemic and nominalized constructions. See also $\$ 8.7$ on layering of marking.

[^156]:    ${ }^{364}$ Although cf. §7.4.1, footnote 296 for a tentative analysis of $-\ell æ$ as a verbal suffix.
    ${ }^{365}$ Note the distinction between $=q \varepsilon j$, which only occurs with general and future events, and $m ə d z ə ~ q \varepsilon j$ in the following clauses: seŋbú $k^{h} \partial-\not \supset \delta=q \varepsilon j$ 'he will come tomorrow' (which is not
     before last' (which is not possible with a future time reference).

[^157]:    ${ }^{366}$ In these functions they are toneless markers that take on the tone of the preceding tonebearing unit (§3.3.1).

[^158]:    ${ }^{367}$ 'Shared (inclusive) knowledge' versus 'personal (exclusive) knowledge' is also expresed in several Romani dialects (Victor Friedman, p.c.). Matras (1995) shows that a split in the third person of simple past tenses in Vlach Romani functions as an interactional device to express personal versus shared knowledge (see also Friedman 2003:1993).
    ${ }^{368}$ The marker $q^{h} u$ only appeared towards the end of my second fieldwork. This is not surprising, because as an outsider, people would not use the form $q^{h} u$ in speaking to me. There is a marked difference in use in the corpus: $q^{h} u$ only appears 9 times and only in conversations; wen appears around 350 times not only in conversations, but especially in procedural/descriptive texts, in personal narratives that relate to customs in the wider world, and to a minor degree in traditional narratives.

[^159]:    ${ }^{369}$ Woodbury (1986) for the term 'gnomic' which denotes a statement for which the speaker does not purport to have direct evidence.

[^160]:    ${ }^{370}$ Bickel (1999) discusses similar nominalized constructions in Belhare and notes that they are focus constructions that lend authority to the statement of the speaker as the definitive version. Note that the focus constructions in Belhare are non-embedded nominalizations that appear without a copula (parallel to equative constructions that do no need a copula in the language), whereas Wǎdū Pǔmǐ requires a copula in both constructions. Watters (2008:34) mentions that in Kham narratives non-embedded nominalization is used for non-event line (background, parenthetic material, stage setting). The question whether non-embedded nominalizations are not in fact embedded is still a point of discussion. In Rawang (LaPolla, p.c.) there are nominalized clauses that look like non-embedded nominalization, but the copula can always be recovered.

[^161]:    ${ }^{371}$ In Yǔchū Pǔmǐ (personal fieldnotes) and Shuǐluò Pǔmǐ (Jacques 2011a), on the other hand, nominalization is used as a narrative device and almost every sentence ends in a nominalized construction. Watters (2008:36) mentions this for Kaike (West Bodish) as well.

[^162]:    ${ }^{372}$ tæŋæŋtॄ́j is Lābǎi Pǔmǐ speech variety; the Wǎdū word for 'eagle' is tæ̌ or tsæŋæŋtéj.

[^163]:    ${ }^{373}$ An idiom, literally phrased as 'to go in one's eyes'.

[^164]:    ${ }^{374}$ This free alternation between the palatalized and non-palatalized consonant is yet another indication of the wave of palatalization that is active in the Purmǐ area (cf. §2.1.7, §2.4.5 and §2.4.7).
    ${ }^{375}$ No other ta morpheme in the language shows this palatalization alternation, so it cannot be clearly related to anything else

[^165]:    ${ }^{376}$ Note also the chunky information structure. She probably assumes that that will make it easier for me to process.

[^166]:    ${ }^{377}$ This is the main reason that I gloss them together.

[^167]:    ${ }^{378} m a$ might be related to the Chinese final particle 嘛 ma, that indicates that something is obvious. It is not related to the Wǎdū Pǔmǐ general negation marker mǎ (§7.2.1) which has a rising tone.
    ${ }^{379}$ One speaker who uses it a lot is characterized as arrogant.

[^168]:    ${ }^{380}$ A loanword from Chinese 亲家＇relatives by marriage＇，but in Wǎdū and the rest of the Wēnquán Pǔmǐ area it is used to refer to Nuòsū friends．

[^169]:    ${ }^{381}$ An idiomatic phrase that people can say when they are looking for something that has been lost.

[^170]:    ${ }^{382}$ If $1 j_{\mathcal{j} j}$ is used it indicates that the speaker does not know the answer.

[^171]:    ${ }^{383}$ In Southeast Asian languages, the term 'expressives' has been used (Diffloth 1972, 1976). Ideophones are not limited to Asia and have been extensively reported for Africa (one recent study is Dīngemanse 2011).
    ${ }^{384}$ Ideophones are some of the most fun words to collect during fieldwork, as speakers are aware of their vividness and power, and often explode in peals of laughter when a new ideophone is mentioned during a round-the-fire-come-up-with-ideophones-session.
    ${ }^{385}$ If sounds are used that are not present in the inventory, these tend to be sounds that balance out the phonemic inventory by filling gaps (Mithun 1982, Dīngemanse 2012).
    ${ }^{386}$ Sound symbolism mimics the sounds of real-life happenings; iconicity relates certain sounds to certain concepts in a more structural way (for example the vowel [i] denoting small objects versus the vowel [a] denoting big objects in some languages). Diffloth (1994:113) shows that iconicity is language-specific. More research needs to be conducted on sound symbolism and iconicity in Pǔmǐ.

[^172]:    ${ }^{387}$ Which reminds one of the causative verbs pairs (§7.4.3) that show a similar alternation.

[^173]:    ${ }^{388}$ Diffloth comments that ideophones are not integrated in the syntax of Semai (1976:256).

[^174]:    ${ }^{389}$ Origin unclear.

[^175]:    ${ }^{390}$ Similar to Chinese．For example，干巴巴 $g a \bar{n} n b a ̄ b \bar{a} ‘ d r y, ~ b o r i n g ', ~ f r o m ~ t h e ~ r o o t ~ 干 ~ g a ̄ n ~ ‘ d r y ’ . ~$ Interestingly，this word is borrowed in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ with the meaning＇very thin＇as applied to people，as in（1352）below．
    ${ }^{391}$ They were some of the first words that stood out for me in conversation．
    ${ }^{392}$ Matisoff（1983：297）talks about intensified adjectives in Lahu．

[^176]:    ${ }^{393}$ There seems to be some iconicity involved: coronal sounds and front vowels express light and small meanings. But it is too early to draw conclusions and further research needs to be conducted.
    ${ }^{394}$ Colour terms in the neighbouring language Shǐxīng are ideophonic as well (Chirkova 2009:56).

[^177]:    ${ }^{395}$ Occurs as a stative verb 'to be unripe (maize)' or 'to be red (of face in shame)'. This colour seems to occur as an independent colour term [niæ mə] in Yǔchū.
    ${ }^{396}$ Note the vowel reduction in the first syllable.
    ${ }^{397}$ Both $\eta \varepsilon ́ j$ and $\eta x$ x́ mean 'gold'. This might be dialect mixture: the form in Gélǔdiàn Pǔmǐ is $\eta \hat{\varepsilon} j$ (Gerong Pincuo, MS) whereas the normal form in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ is $\eta \dot{x}$, but $\eta \varepsilon ́ j$ can also be used.

[^178]:    ${ }^{398}$ In Tibetan culture, cats are said to be good Buddhists, since their purring reminds people of the constant repetition of Buddhist mantras.
    ${ }^{399}$ Similar to kwénlînlîn 'empty-handed'.
    ${ }^{400}$ Antonym of $q^{h}$ èts $\mathcal{\varepsilon} j l^{j} \dot{\mathfrak{x}}$ 'very small' ( $<q^{h} \grave{e} t s \hat{\varepsilon} j$ 'small').
    ${ }^{401}$ This is an example of what Diffloth (1976) calls a lack of lexical discreteness: the existence of variant forms with the same meaning. This is often found with expressives.
    
    ${ }^{403}$ Or $g \npreceq=n o \eta$ şú 'happiness'. Similar coordinate constructions were described in §5.1.1.

[^179]:    ${ }^{404}$ But note that in this particular example no postverbal marking is present. This is similar to (1342) where an ideophone proper functions as a predicate.
    ${ }^{405}$ The Lābǎi Pǔmǐ variant of the first person agentive marker.

[^180]:    ${ }^{406}$ Note that the interjection has a falling tone, which is the opposite of the question intonation in English. Matisoff (1994:117 and note) comments on a similar interjection in Lahu and the importance to pronounce it with the correct tone. I had a similar experience during my fieldwork.

[^181]:    ${ }^{407}$ Multiple dental clicks.
    ${ }^{408}$ Multiple alveolar clicks.
    ${ }^{409}$ Literally means: '(Object) for neck-biting by the wolf' (wolf=AGT neck-bite-NMLz).

[^182]:    ${ }^{410}$ Matisoff (1969:72) talks about 'fortituous concatenation', i.e. a clause chain with lots of coreferential zero anaphora, which looks superficially like a serial verb construction, but in which the different verbs belong to separate clauses. In Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, fortituous concatenation can be observed frequently. However, such juxtaposed verbs have limited ability to take different arguments: they need to share the same actor and patient arguments.

[^183]:    ${ }^{411}$ One could alternatively talk about asymmetrical serial verb constructions (Aikhenvald 2006).

[^184]:    ${ }^{412}$ Because of the possibility to insert the clause linker $\kappa a$ between many of the verbs without substantial change in meaning, I prefer to use the term 'verb concatenation' rather than 'serial verb constructions'.

    Cross-linguistically, symmetrical serial verbs have the tendency to lexicalize (Aikhenvald 2006:22) and it is often culture-specific events that are seen as one concept and are lexicalized (Durie 1997; Enfield 2002). I have not seen clear examples of lexicalization in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ.

[^185]:    ${ }^{413}$ Note that dəbǔ is also used by speakers as a hesitation marker 'uhm, so...' to gather their thoughts (§10.9.3). This use can be observed several times in (1377).
    ${ }^{414}$ A similar pattern is also reported for Dulong and Rawang procedural texts (LaPolla 2001) where the main steps end in a non-finite reduplicated verb.

[^186]:    ${ }^{415}$ Note that the final $\kappa a$ in (1378) is part of the nominalization construction and is a different morpheme from the clause linker $h a$.

[^187]:    ${ }^{416}$ It can be observed in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ that the longest clause chains in narratives happen at the climactic point in the story. This was also noted by DeLancey (1991:18, note 7) for Tibetan.

[^188]:    ${ }^{417}$ Both $k^{h} u$ uji 可以 and hwatejtsť 划得着～hwatgjswæ̌n $\eta$ 划得算 are loanwords from Chinese．

[^189]:    ${ }^{418}$ The distinction between relative clauses and noun complements is not made in many Asian languages, but uses similar structures. See the work of Matsumoto (1988, 2010), Comrie (1996, 1998) and LaPolla (2012).

[^190]:    ${ }^{419}$ Many languages show a similar distinction．An example from Dutch：Gaan jullie naar huis， dan ga ik ook＇If you go home，r＇ll go too＇with a subordinate clause framed like a question（i．e． Gaan jullie naar huis？＇Are you going home？＇）versus Als jullie naar huis gaan，dan ga ik ook ＇If you go home，I＇ll go too＇with the subordinator als＇if．

[^191]:     it as just a tonal distinction between [mà ç̀ bú] and [mǎ cì bù], instead of a negation marker fused with the interrogative marker.

[^192]:    ${ }^{421}$ It is not possible to establish the original tone of the noun, since it is never used in isolation, but always in combination with some other morpheme, for example $\hat{\jmath}-k^{h_{i}}$ 'that time'.
    ${ }^{422}$ Note, however, that in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ, pre-head relative clauses are normally linked to the head noun by the genitive clitic $=(g) \mathscr{X}$ ( $\$ 5.3 .2$ ), which is not the case in these subordinate clauses.

[^193]:    ${ }^{423}$ Temporal adverbial clauses followed by $=b u$ form by far the majority of examples in the corpus with over 700 occurrences. This can be compared to the other markers: =la 71 attestations, $=\operatorname{no\eta } 35$ attestations, $=n^{j} \mathfrak{Z} 27$ attestations; $=f a$ and $=t 6 \partial m \rho$ four attestations, $=s \rho$ two attestations. Temporal adverbial clauses without discourse marker following number around 160 occurrences.

[^194]:    ${ }^{424}$ Qiāng (LaPolla with Huáng 2003:239).
    ${ }^{425}$ The construction is not very frequent and appears mostly in conversational texts: 30 attestations of $=g \circ \eta(n i)$ and 14 attestations of $q^{h} u=g o \eta n i$ are present in the corpus. Only one instance appears in a narrative text.
    ${ }^{426}$ The part $q^{h} u$ seems to be the inclusive knowledge marker $q^{h} u$ ( $\$ 8.5$ ).

[^195]:    ${ }^{427}$ Bickel（1999：40）notes that clauses subordinated by the ergative often function as a sentential topic．Thus，it is not strange to find topic markers following the subordinate clause in Wǎdū Pǔmǐ．
    ${ }^{428}$ This form is in the process of disappearing．In the corpus it is only used seven times by a single old man（who also uses a variant $\not l^{\prime} n n i$ in one instance）．All other people use $f a$ ．However， my main consultant is happy for the form fia to be replaced by fallonni in every single instance it appears．Interestingly，［孔oŋni］is similar to the plural agentive form，and thus the form faloonni might point to a combination of ablative and agentive marking．
    ${ }^{429}$ fa tcabu appears only 18 times in the corpus．This form seems to be the longer variant of the intensifier $\kappa a$＇even’（ $\$ 6.5 .2$ ），since in all cases $\kappa a$＇even’ can be replaced by $\kappa a t c \ni b u$ ，but not by faluonni．The form fa tcobu does not function as an ablative．Thus，there are two forms
    

[^196]:    ${ }^{430}$ Only when used as a complementizer (§10.3.1), fa cannot be replaced by fia t $\epsilon ə b u$ or faloonni.
    ${ }^{431}$ Mithun (2008:102) reports on Khalkha Mongolian which has 'in consequence of' clauses marked by ablative, and reason clauses marked by instrumental.
    ${ }^{432}$ Compare this to what Genetti (2006:150) notes for Dolakha Newari: "(...) a direct quote followed by [the verb 'to say'] can be used not only to express a reason for feeling afraid, or suspicious, or hopeful, but to express the reason for any action. For example, it would be the appropriate construction for a sentence such as 'Saying "I'm not well", she went home'. (...) Thus what occurs here is (...) a very general construction that allows an inferable causal relationship between a proposition expressed by a direct quote and the proposition of the following clause."

[^197]:    ${ }^{433}$ I have not found any process similar to what Mithun (2008) describes for Navajo in which structurally dependent clauses (i.e. marked by a dependent clause marker that normally marks adverbial and complement clauses) function as main clauses that express the background storylines in narratives and that are only dependent in the sense that they are conceptually linked to the overall main story line (which is expressed by main clauses not marked by a dependent marker).
    ${ }^{434}$ In a study on sentence-final particles in Korean, Rhee (2012) notes that several sentencefinal particles in Korean grammaticalised from clausal connectives.

[^198]:    ${ }^{435}$ If one includes formally coordinated clauses that are used independently, a more allencompassing term might have to be found for the process. Note that Evans (2007:384) explicitly excludes independently-used coordinated clauses from his insubordination discussion, but notes that the process might be functionally similar.

[^199]:    ${ }^{436}$ According to my main consultant tcin or tco bu can be used as well, but they are not attested in the corpus.

[^200]:    ${ }^{437}$ In all the examples given in this section, ha could be replaced by hallonni or fa tcobu, see also §10.4.3.

[^201]:    ${ }^{438}$ A similar construction is found in the example below, which is also a stand-alone construction ending in the general topic marker $=b u$. More in-depth research needs to be conducted.

    ḉ $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{k} \mathrm{c} j \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{bù}$
    go PROH-let = TOP
    'Why not let him go?' (lit. To not let him go...) (CV04.24.3)

[^202]:    ${ }^{439}$ The term ñoén 'sister' is used by males for both their older and younger female siblings.

[^203]:    ${ }^{440} \mathrm{My}$ main consultant added that there are two ways to mark the standard of comparison, either with $t \hat{u}$ 'on' or with $q^{h} u$ 'on', as in nǐg $\grave{b}=t u ́ t ~ t c \hat{\varepsilon}$ versus nǐg $\grave{e}=q^{h}$ h́ $t \varphi \hat{b}$ 'You are bigger than me'. But in the dataset, only examples with tû can be found.

[^204]:    ${ }^{441}$ The answer is $\nprec \sigma$ $\eta$ 'drying rack'. This approximately three-metre high wooden structure is used for drying produce. When one batch is dry, it is taken off and stored, and a new batch is put on the rack to dry. The expressive nónt $\hat{\varepsilon} j t \hat{\varepsilon} j$ (§9.2) normally describes a person whose ribs are visible for lack of food. In the riddle the horizontal poles of the drying rack are metaphorically described as ribs. The drying rack keeps eating produce, but never grows fat.

[^205]:    ${ }^{442}$ shón nō mv－shòn－ò（say／tell TOP NEG－say／tell－transitive．non．past）＇．．．the elders didn＇t say．．．＇． The predicate－focus construction looks also similar to the Chinese construction ‘好是好，但是．．．’

[^206]:    ${ }^{443}$ In example (1534), a dialectal variant $=n^{i} \mathfrak{X}$ of the additional focus marker $=n i$ ( (86.5.9) is used. Note that this construction is slightly different in that it is preceded by an interrogative clitic, which indicates that it is a conditional clause as well.
    ${ }^{444}$ Dīng (1998:143) mentions a similar structure in Niúwōzǐ Pǔmǐ with a repeated directional prefix [DIR-Ia-DIR-V], which he analyses as a compound.

[^207]:    ${ }^{445}$ This section focuses on narrative texts (mainly procedural texts, legends and trickster stories). Conversation is a totally different genre and will benefit from proper conversational analysis, which I have not been able to conduct due to the scope of the present study. Several other genres, such as formal speeches, ritual texts and riddles have been left out as well. For some features of the formal speech genre see Gerong Pincuo and Daudey (2013).

[^208]:    ${ }^{446}$ As in many languages in the area, the trickster figure is personified by Hare, who is crafty and cruel. His main opponents are Bear, Tiger and various humans, who are usually characterized by stupidity, pride or envy. Trickster stories generally end in the death of the opponent and the escape of the trickster.

