Melatonin Impacts Soil Microbes and Microbial Community Structures in Agricultural Soils under Abiotic Stress

Submitted by

Andrew Madigan

B.Sc. Analytical Science (Hons.), 2002 Dublin City University, IrelandM.Sc. Environmental Science, 2007 University College Dublin, IrelandM.Sc. (by Research) Forestry, 2014 University of Aberdeen, Scotland

A thesis submitted in total fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Animal, Plant and Soil Science

School of Life Sciences

College of Science, Health and Engineering

La Trobe University

Victoria, Australia

APRIL 2019

Table of contents

Table of Figures	vi
Table of Tables	x
List of Abbreviations	xii
Abstract	xiii
Statement of Authorship	xiv
Acknowledgements	xv

Chapter 1: General Introduction1

1.1	Overvi	ew	1
1.2	Melato	onin & microbes	3
	1.2.1	Microbes synthesising melatonin	3
	1.2.2	Responses of microbes to exogenous melatonin	3
1.3	Melato	onin enhances resistance in plants against bacterial and fu	ungal
	patho	gens	6
1.4	Microb	oial communities in biological systems	8
	1.4.1	Roles of soil microbiome communities	8
	1.4	4.1.1 Melatonin & soil microbiome	9
	1.4.2	Melatonin & gut microbiome	10
	1.4.3	Effects of abiotic stresses (cadmium and salt) on soil microbes	10
1.5	Soil mi	crobial community analysis	11
	1.5.1	Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA)	11
	1.5.2	Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)	12
1.6	Reactiv	ve oxygen species (ROS)	12
	1.6.1	Roles and effects of ROS (microbes and plants)	12
	1.6.2	Effects of melatonin on cellular ROS	13
1.7	Melato	onin biosynthetic pathway	14
1.8	Melato	onin & abiotic stress tolerance in plants	17

1.9	Roles o	of other indoleamines in microbes and plants	21
	1.9.1	Microbes	21
	1.9	9.1.1 Serotonin	21
	1.9	0.1.2 Auxin (IAA)	21
	1.9.2	Plants	21
	1.9	0.2.1 Serotonin	21
	1.9	0.2.2 Auxin (IAA)	22
1.10	Gaps in	n research	24
1.11	Thesis	aims & hypotheses	24
	1.11.1	Chapter 2	24
	1.11.2	Chapter 3	25
	1.11.3	Chapter 4	25
	1.11.4	Chapter 5	26
1 1 1	Refere	nces	27
1.11 Cha	upter 2	: Melatonin shapes microbial community structu	res
1.11 <i>Cha</i> 2.1	apter 2. Abstra	: Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress	res .49 49
1.11 <i>Cha</i> 2.1 2.2	apter 2. Abstra Introdu	: Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress ct	<i>res</i> . <i>49</i> 49 49
1.11 Cha 2.1 2.2	Abstra Introdu	: Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress ct uction	<i>res</i> . <i>49</i> 49 49
 1.11 Cha 2.1 2.2 2.3 	Abstra Introdu Materi	: Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress ct uction	<i>res</i> . <i>49</i> 49 49 51
1.11 Cha 2.1 2.2 2.3	Abstra Introdu 2.3.1	 Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress ct uction als & method Soil sampling and physiochemical characteristics 	<i>res</i> .49 49 49 51 51
1.11 Cha 2.1 2.2 2.3	Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.2	 Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress	<i>res</i> 49 49 49 51 51 53
1.11 Cha 2.1 2.2 2.3	Abstra Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	 Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress	res 49 49 51 51 53 omal
1.11 Cha 2.1 2.2 2.3	Abstra Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4	 Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress ct	res .49 49 49 51 51 53 omal 54
1.11 Cha 2.1 2.2 2.3	Abstra Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5	 Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress ct ats & method Soil sampling and physiochemical characteristics Abiotic stress (cadmium or salt) and melatonin treatments Microbial community fingerprinting – Automated Riboso Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA) Statistical Analysis Ouantitative PCR. 	res .49 49 49 51 51 53 omal 54 54 54
1.11 Cha 2.1 2.2 2.3	Abstra Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 Basulta	: Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress	res .49 49 49 51 51 53 omal 54 54 55
 1.11 <i>Cha</i> 2.1 2.2 2.3 	Abstra Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 Results 2.4.1	: Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress	res .49 49 49 51 51 53 omal 54 54 55
 1.11 <i>Cha</i> 2.1 2.2 2.3 	Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 Results 2.4.1	: Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress	res .49 49 49 51 53 omal 54 54 55 56 s all
 1.11 <i>Cha</i> 2.1 2.2 2.3 	Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 Results 2.4.1	 Melatonin shapes microbial community structu in agricultural soils under abiotic stress	res .49 49 49 51 53 omal 54 54 55 56 s all 56 s 8
 1.11 <i>Cha</i> 2.1 2.2 2.3 	Abstra Introdu 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 Results 2.4.1 2.4	 <i>Melatonin shapes microbial community structu</i> <i>in agricultural soils under abiotic stress</i>	res .49 49 49 51 53 omal 54 54 54 55 s all 56 s all 58 58

	2.4.1.3 Microbial community responses to melatonin with stresso
	treatments
	2.4.2 Microbial responses within soil W communities
	2.4.2.1 Bacterial communities show distinct responses to melatonin under
	salt stress in soil W60
	2.4.2.2 Fungal community responses to treatments (melatonin and/or salt
	in soil W62
2.5	Discussion
2.6	Conclusion
2.7	Supplementary Information68
	2.7.1 Effects of treatments on microbial diversity (OTU richness)
	2.7.1.1 Melatonin alters microbial α diversity
	2.7.1.2 Cadmium and salt show limited impacts on microbial α diversity
	2.7.2 Bacterial and fungal OTUs most responsive to melatonin
	2.7.3 Effects of treatments on microbial community structures (β diversity)
	2.7.4 Melatonin reduced bacterial Shannon species diversity (H') and
	enhanced fungal Shannon species diversity under low abiotic stress
	conditions82
	2.7.5 Effects of treatments on total bacteria and fungi gene copy numbers
	(qPCR analysis)
	2.7.5.1 Melatonin and/or stressor treatments altered microbial community
	similarities
	2.7.6 Responses of microbial communities to treatments
2.8	References94
Cha	apter 3: Melatonin alters bacterial community structures
	in agricultural soils103
3.1	Introduction103
3.2	Materials & method104

	3.2.1	Soil sampling and melatonin treatments	104
	3.2.2	Microbial community analysis – Illumina MiSeq	105
	3.2.3	Bioinformatics & Statistical analysis	105
	3.2.4	Quantitative PCR	106
3.3	Results.		106
	3.3.1	Overall	106
	3.3.2	Bacterial community responses to melatonin from different agr	icultural
		soils	107
	3.3.3	High and low concentrations of melatonin differently alter	bacterial
		communities upon the soil sampling time	108
	3.3.4	Melatonin impacts the abundance of some plant growth pr	omoting
		rhizobacteria (PGPRs)	109
3.4	Discussi	ion	119
	3.4.1	Overview of investigation	119
	3.4.2	Responses of bacterial phyla to melatonin	119
	3.4.3	Effect of exogenous melatonin on soil PGPRs	122
	3.4.4	Effects of different melatonin concentrations on bacterial asse	mblages
			124
	3.4.5	Role of fire or crops in shaping bacterial communities	125
3.5	Conclus	sion	125
36	Doforon		126
5.0	Keieren		140
<u>Cl</u>			
Cna	ipter 4:	Melatonin improves in vitro growth of	piant
		pathogenic fungi under ethanol and cold str	esses
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	138
11	A 1		120
4.1	Abstrac		138
4.2	Introdu	ction	139
4.3	Materia	lls & methods	141
	4.3.1	Background and identification of fungal pathogens	141
	4.3.2	In vitro growth of fungal pathogens	141

	4.3.3	Infection assays of S. sclerotiorum on N. tabacum leaves -	-/- melatonin
			142
4.4	Results.		143
	4.4.1	In-vitro growth responses of plant pathogenic fungi to mel	atonin under
		abiotic stresses	143
	4.4.	1.1 Negative growth effects by stressors	143
	4.4.	1.2 Effects of melatonin under abiotic stress conditions	143
	4.4.2	In planta growth responses of S. sclerotiorum on N. tab	acum leaves
		infiltrated with melatonin	149
4.5	Discussi	ion	150
4.6	Conclus	sion	153
4.7	Referen	ces	154
Cha	upter 5:	General Discussion	161
5.1	Overvie	W	161
5.2	Future	studies	163
5.3	Referen	ces	165

Table of Figures

Figure 1	.1: Effect of	melatonin	concentration	on apple	leaf phenoty	ype at 20	days post
	infection						7

- Figure 1.2: Melatonin biosynthetic pathways in yeast and plants15
- Figure 2.2: Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination displaying Bray-Curtis similarities for bacterial samples within soil W for various treatments of melatonin and salt based upon community compositions determined by ARISA fingerprinting analysis60

- Figure 4.4: Radial growth responses of cold primed *S. sclerotiorum* to treatments of melatonin (each with a standardised final concentration ethanol = 2.5%

v/v) in ½ strength PDA (22°C, darkness) after 2 and 8 days (Mean ± SE)

- Supplementary Figure 2.7.1: Principal coordinates analysis (PCOA) ordination plots (Bray-Curtis distance matrix) of ARISA profiles showing the separation within a) bacterial and b) fungal communities for the three dry, untreated soils (P, C, W; n = 4)70

Table of Tables

Table 1.1: Examples of melatonin-producing micro	obes and concentration of melatonin
synthesised endogenously or secreted	5

- Table 1.3: Examples of serotonin enhancing abiotic and biotic tolerance in plants....23

- Table 3.1: Comparisons of bacterial community compositions treated with high, lowand zero (control) concentrations of melatonin at sampling timepoints T0and T1 (n = 4 replicates per treatment)109

- Supplementary Table 2.7.2: Salinity levels of soils P, C and W treated with high (7 g kg⁻¹) and low (4 g kg⁻¹) Salt (NaCl) only, as measured by electrical conductivity [EC (1:5 soil:water)]69

- Supplementary Table 2.7.8: Differences (a < 0.05) between a) bacterial and b) fungal communities treated with melatonin under various stressor conditions as determined by PERMANOVA using Monte Carlo simulation [P-(MC)].... 80

List of Abbreviations

APX	Ascorbate peroxidase
ARISA	Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis
ASMT	N-acetylserotonin methyltransferase
CAT	Catalase
Cd	Cadmium
CIOMT	Caffeic acid O-methyltransferase
DDC	5-hydroxy-L-tryptophan decarboxylase
DMSO	Dimethylsulfoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
EtOH	Ethanol
Expt	Experiment
FW	Fresh Weight
GRT	Glutathione reductase
IAA	Indole-3-acetic acid
MT	Melatonin
OTU	Operational Taxonomic Unit
PAA1	Polyamine N-acetyltransferase
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
POD	Peroxidase
qPCR	Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction
rRNA	Ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid
SNAT	Serotonin N-acetyltransferase
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
T5H	Tryptamine 5-hydroxylase
TDC	Tryptophan decarboxylase
TPH	L-tryptophan hydroxylase

Abstract

Melatonin is a secondary metabolite produced ubiquitously in nature. Recent evidence indicates that melatonin enhances plant tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses, leading to speculation of future applications of melatonin in agriculture. There is little knowledge relating to responses and potential roles of melatonin in soil microbes. Aims of this project were to: 1) assess (using automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis) whether exogenous melatonin affected microbial community responses in three agricultural soils under abiotic stress [cadmium or salt]. ; 2) determine bacterial taxa responding to melatonin in two agricultural soils using next generation sequencing; 3) assess *in vitro* growth responses of three soil-borne fungal phytopathogens (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*; *Botrytis cinerea* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum*) to melatonin ($20 - 4000 \mu$ M) under two abiotic stresses (2.5% v/v ethanol and cold (4° C)); 4) explore if the immediate availability of exogenous melatonin (200μ M) at the site of infection altered the disease development of *S. sclerotiorum* on *Nicotiana tabacum* leaves.

The results of these investigations showed that agricultural soil microbial communities were impacted by melatonin under abiotic stresses. Some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria showed significant responses to exogenous melatonin. Melatonin enhanced *in vitro* radial growth of all three fungi under abiotic stress conditions, while the infection capability of *S. sclerotiorum* on tobacco (*N.tabacum*) plants was unaffected by melatonin. The findings provide valuable insights into the interaction of soil microbes with melatonin. This study is the first to report the effects of melatonin on agricultural soil microbial communities both under abiotic stress and unstressed conditions. This is also the first study to demonstrate that exogenous melatonin enhances tolerance to abiotic stresses for pathogenic filamentous fungi. Understanding how soil microbes are affected by melatonin may provide vital information regarding the utility of melatonin in future agricultural practices.

Statement of Authorship

Except where reference is made in the text of the thesis, this thesis contains no material published elsewhere or extracted in whole or in part from a thesis accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma.

No other person's work has been used without due acknowledgment in the main text of the thesis.

This thesis has not been submitted for the award of any degree or diploma in any other tertiary institution.

This work was supported by a La Trobe University International Postgraduate Research Scholarship (IPRS) and a La Trobe University Australian Postgraduate Award (APA) Full Fee Research Scholarship.

Signed:

Andrew Madigan

Date: 29th April 2019

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to acknowledge the Wurundjeri people, the natural custodians of the land where I lived and studied during my PhD in Melbourne, Australia. I offer my appreciation for being given the spiritual permission to come here, to grow professionally, personally, emotionally and spiritually. For the connections with incredible traditions, medicines, people and nature that unfolded in the past 4 years, and for all the beauty that continues to unfold.

I would like to thank my primary supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Kim Plummer, for giving me the unique and special opportunity to study a PhD in Melbourne. I am very grateful for her sincere support and leadership, along with an impressive depth of scientific knowledge.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ashley Franks for his important contribution throughout the community analysis component of this research. The strong team spirit and atmosphere of high performance in the Franks' lab is, I feel, a direct reflection of Ashley's qualities as a supervisor.

I acknowledge and offer my sincere gratitude to my co-supervisor, Dr Frank Bedon, for his creative presence and solid commitment to this PhD. Frank's positive attitude and approach towards successfully writing each chapter was particularly valued, especially as the project progressed.

I thank Eleonora Egidi for all her continuous assistance and in-depth training associated with the microbial community studies, especially ARISA. I also appreciate her commitment and presence in this thesis since she moved interstate.

I offer great thanks to my friend Chris Harris, who was generous with his time and energy, assisting as a volunteer in the lab for the pathogens research. His warmth of character and unwavering dedication are admirable qualities and were very much appreciated.

I would like to acknowledge and thank collaborators within some of the projects throughout my studies. In particular, Dr. Mark Bleakley, Dr. Delphine Vincent, Dr Pierre Faou, Dr. Rohan Lowe and Dr. Thomas Shafee provided training and expertise to some experiments, offering creative ideas and possibilities to maximise the depth and value of this research.

I wish to thank my team in AgriBio and La Trobe University for all the moments of inspiration, friendship and assistance on my PhD path. In particular, Shahin Yazdifar, Sajal Zia, Larry Liversage, Nav Kaur, Lisa Pasipanodya, Charlotte Francois, Richard Browne, Elizabeth Matthews, Lucie Seminec, Jen Wood, Vaheesan Rajabal and Daniel Rice.

I wish to express my appreciation for dear friends, ones who always bring colour. Thanks especially to Kevin Morley, Brian O' Gorman, George Buzea, Ben Zvi, Paddy Timoney, Georgia Ryan, the DCU crew and friends from home. For important teachers I have met along the way, especially Michael Anthony - for bringing powerful guidance and to Jack for bringing the beautiful spirit of the Wolf. Giving thanks also to Aoinya Ni Nualainn and her daughter Roisin for our time together.

To my Melbourne family who brought new life to my stay in Australia. To Fernando Itzcoatl, his wife Antonia, and children Xochi, Teotl and Tlali, I offer sincere gratitude for our connection and journeys together. For the friendships of Victor Coudoux, David Tries, Nisita and Anson, Nicky Done and so many more who sit by the Melbourne fire as well as Shakti Grace and Yatiri Cohalia for opening up a whole new direction to my life. Sincere gratitude to all the Madigans in Melbourne for their support and friendship. To Scarlet Hill and her daughter Ora, for the blessings of our connection.

For those who came before me, clearing the way so future generations may move with heart, speed and strength. Most of all I wish to thank my parents - Bernie and Tony, my three sisters – Marie, Denise and Clare; brothers-in-law Padraig and Ciaran; and our newest additions to the family – Laura, Eve, Clodagh, Kate, and Siofra, as well as Tiernan and his twin sister who have returned to our ancestral home. For a lifetime of love, care, kindness, hard work, dedication, laughter, exploration and transformation.

With thanks to you all and to so many others.

Wakan Tanka, Tunkashila, Xiuhtecuhtli, Mni Wakan Wiconi, Ometeotl, Xipetotec, Huitzilopochtli, Quetzalcoatl, Tezcatlipoca Wambli gleska, Tatonka, Colibri, Bunjil.

Aho Mitakuye Oyasin

Chapter 1 General Introduction

1.1 Overview

Global crop production is being greatly impacted by the increasing exposure to various abiotic stresses caused by natural events and anthropogenic activities. Over the past decades, climate change has had a direct impact on crop production for agricultural practices across the world (Ali *et al.*, 2017; Leng, 2017; Matiu *et al.*, 2017). Increasing temperatures due to global warming have resulted in more frequent occurrences of extreme climatic conditions, including droughts and heat waves (Van Gorsel *et al.*, 2016). These abiotic stresses heavily impact crop yield directly by altering plant cellular activities, as well as indirectly by affecting soil health (Wang *et al.*, 2003; Liu *et al.*, 2014; Lu *et al.*, 2014; Suszek-Łopatka *et al.*, 2019).

Soil salinity is an example of a major abiotic stressor in agricultural practices throughout the world (Zhu, 2001), with up to 2 million hectares of agricultural land depleted each year worldwide due to soil salinization (Bencherif *et al.*, 2015; Ke *et al.*, 2017). Soil contamination due to anthropogenic activities such as mining, agricultural practices and pollution can also reduce crop productivity by introducing harmful chemicals into the environment (Barletta *et al.*, 2019; Evans *et al.*, 2019). The ability of crops and other commercial plants to adapt to these extreme and stressful conditions is therefore an essential aspect of sustainable agriculture throughout the 21st century (Morton and Abendroth, 2017). Some naturally produced secondary metabolites, belonging to the class of indoleamine, have been demonstrated to assist in abiotic stress tolerance in plants, thus allowing for greater growth and ultimately yield under stressful conditions (Arnao and Hernandez-Ruiz, 2014; Lecube *et al.*, 2014; Erland *et al.*, 2015; Kaur *et al.*, 2015; Mukherjee, 2018). One secondary metabolite of interest in this context is melatonin.

Melatonin (N-acetly-5-methoxytryptamine) is an indoleamine produced by cellular organisms from all domains of life (Arnao and Hernandez-Ruiz, 2014; Hardeland, 2015). Melatonin was first discovered in animals in the late 1950's (within a bovine pineal gland). Research has since primarily considered this indoleamine as a hormone in animals (Lerner *et al.*, 1958; Lerner *et al.*, 1959), associated with various physiological processes including circadian rhythm regulation, mood, immunity,

thermoregulation, sexual activity and reproduction (Maronde and Stehle, 2007; Pandi-Perumal *et al.*, 2008; Jan *et al.*, 2009; Hardeland *et al.*, 2012).

Melatonin was first discovered in plants in 1995 (Dubbels *et al.*, 1995; Hattori *et al.*, 1995), and has since has been reported to have key roles associated with abiotic and biotic stress tolerance in plants, as well as plant biostimulation (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2013; Arnao and Hernandez-Ruiz, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Hardeland, 2016). The numerous beneficial roles of melatonin for plants exposed to stressful conditions has led to suggestions that melatonin may potentially have a role in future agricultural practices (Tan *et al.*, 2012). However, understanding the interaction of soil microbes with melatonin is a key factor determining the viability of this possibility, as soil microbial communities have an essential role in plant growth and development (Wall and Virginia, 1999; Yao *et al.*, 2000; Kirk *et al.*, 2004; Wahid *et al.*, 2016). Melatonin has been detected in microbes including various bacteria and fungi, however the roles of this indoleamine in microbes for microbial activity (Manchester *et al.*, 1995; Tilden *et al.*, 1997; Hardeland, 1999; Tan *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2016).

It is difficult to compare studies investigating the responses of plants or microbes to exogenous melatonin, as not all studies report the controls that were used, and whether ethanol or other solvents such as DMSO, required for dissolving the melatonin, were included within control treatments (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2007, 2013; Weeda *et al.*, 2014). Ethanol (or other solvents), even at low concentrations (e.g. 0.1%), may be experienced as an abiotic stress by some microbes, thus clearly indicating the importance of reporting and standardising the solvent concentration in future studies associated with melatonin (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2006; Wu *et al.*, 2017).

The research conducted in this thesis focuses primarily on responses of soil microbes to melatonin under abiotic stress conditions at individual and community levels. Additionally, the effects of melatonin applied at the site of foliar infection by the cosmopolitan plant pathogen, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, on tobacco (*Nicotiana tacacum*) was also investigated. This literature review will provide a summary of the limited information about melatonin in microbes, followed by details regarding the importance of melatonin in enhancing plant resistance to bacterial and fungal pathogens. The roles of microbes in soil and gut will be introduced, along with research

relating to the effects of melatonin on soil and gut microbial communities. The roles of indoleamines in plants will be subsequently presented, with particular emphasis relating to stress tolerance. A brief overview of the investigations conducted in each of the three major research chapters within this thesis will be provide at the conclusion of this review.

1.2 Melatonin & microbes

1.2.1 Microbes synthesising melatonin

Melatonin biosynthesis has been described in various genera of bacteria such as *Agrobacterium, Bacillus, Variovorax, Pseudomonas* (Jiao *et al.*, 2016; Ma *et al.*, 2017), *Erythrobacter* and *Rhodospirillum* (Manchester *et al.*, 1995; Tilden *et al.*, 1997); as well as several cyanobacteria and algae (Tan *et al.*, 2010; Byeon *et al.*, 2013; Manchester *et al.*, 2015) (Table 1.1). In filamentous fungi, melatonin has been described in ascomycetes such as *Neurospora crassa* (Hardeland, 1999) and *Trichoderma* spp. (Liu *et al.*, 2016); and basidiomycetes including *Agaricus, Cantharellus, Lactarius* and *Leccinum* (Muszyńska *et al.*, 2011). Melatonin is produced by yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), and a recent study has subsequently reported the melatonin biosynthetic pathway associated with *S. cerevisiae* (Figure 1.2) (Sprenger *et al.*, 1999; Vigentini *et al.*, 2015; Germann *et al.*, 2016). However, relative to plants or animals, very little is known about the roles of melatonin in microbes (Tan *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2016).

1.2.2 Responses of microbes to exogenous melatonin

Some investigators have reported antimicrobial properties of melatonin applied exogenously: Melatonin (130-530 μ M) was shown to inhibit the *in-vitro* growth of the human bacterial pathogens; *Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* (Tekbas *et al.*, 2008). The growth of *Streptococcus agalactiae* was also inhibited by exogenous melatonin (2 μ g / ml) (Atroshi *et al.*, 1998). Higher concentrations (1300 μ M) of melatonin have also been reported to inhibit the *in-vitro* growth of the human pathogenic yeast, *Candida albicans* (Öztürk *et al.*, 2000). However, in contrast, an increase in the *in vitro* growth rate of the commensal bacterium, *Entereobacter aerogenes*, that resides within the human intestinal tract, was observed at low melatonin concentration (1 nM) (Paulose and Cassone, 2016). This could be interpreted as indicating that responses by some bacteria to melatonin may be

concentration dependent, however research is required to investigate this matter further. Melatonin has not been reported to have the same degree of impact on *in-vitro* grown plant pathogenic, filamentous fungi. Melatonin had no effect on the *in-vitro* radial growth of *Physalospora piricola*, *B. cinerea or Mycosphaerella arachidicola* (Wang *et al.*, 2001), while inhibitory *in-vitro* growth effects of melatonin towards a plant pathogen, *Alternaria* spp., were only detected at extremely high concentrations (4000 μ M) (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2015). Melatonin (0.5 – 5 mM) also showed bio-oomyceticide properties by impacting cell viability, virulence intensity and *in vitro* growth of *Phytophthora nicotianae* (Zhang *et al.*, 2018).

In contrast, *in vitro* growth of plant pathogen *B. cinerea* and *F. oxysporum* were unaffected by melatonin at these concentrations (Zhang *et al.*, 2018). Melatonin (10 μ M) enhanced the survival of yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) against the cytotoxic effects of the amphipathic protein α -synuclein (Zampol and Barros, 2018). Interestingly, endogenous melatonin levels for *Trichoderma asperellum* increased up to three-fold upon exposure to chemical stressors such as cadmium (3 mM CdCl₂) and salt (1% NaCl), suggesting that melatonin may have an important role in *Trichoderma* spp. associated with abiotic stress tolerance (Liu *et al.*, 2016). Similarly, endogenous levels of melatonin have also been demonstrated to increase during fermentation process in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, potentially as a strategy to enhance tolerance to the increased ethanol production (Rodriguez-Naranjo *et al.*, 2012). Collectively these studies suggest that melatonin may confer a tolerance to abiotic stresses for microbes, similar to the roles observed in plants. Interestingly, melatonin has also been reported to assist resistance by plants to bacterial and fungal pathogens (Section 1.3). Table 1.1: Examples of melatonin-producing microbes and concentration of melatonin synthesised endogenously or secreted.

Microbe Melatonin levels Reference		Microbe	Melatonin levels	Reference	
Gram positive bacteria			Basidiomycetes		
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SB-9	Secreted 0.87 ng/mL	(Jiao et al., 2016)	Boletus edulis	0.68 mg / 100 g DW	
Bacillus thuringiensis CS-9	Secreted 0.53 ng/mL	(,)	Cantharellus cibarius	0.14 mg / 100 g DW	(Muszyńska et al., 2011;
			Lactarius deliciosus	1.29 mg / 100 g DW	Muszyńska and Sułkowska-
Gram negative bacteria			Agaricus bisporus	0.11 mg / 100 g DW	Ziaja, 2012)
Agrobacterium tumefaciens CS-30	Secreted 0.22 ng/mL	(Jiao <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	Leccinum rufum	0.08 mg / 100 g DW	
Pseudomonas fluorescens RG11	Secreted 1.32 ng/ml	(Ma et al., 2017)			
Erythrobacter longus	1.914 ng/mg protein	(Tilden et al., 1997)	Ascomycetes		
Rhodospirillum rubrum	Not specified	(Manchester et al., 1995)	Trichoderma spp.	27.58 – 11.55 µg / g DW	(Liu et al., 2016)
Cyanobacteria			Saccharomyces uvarum	Not specified	(Rodriguez-Naranjo <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803	Not specified	(Byeon et al., 2013)	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	1-100 ng/mg protein	(Sprenger et al., 1999)
Single cell flagellate			Algae		
Euglena gracilis	Not specified	(Hardeland, 1999)	Chondrus crispus	Up to 3ng/mg protein	(Hardeland, 1999)
			Palmaria palmata	0.1 - 0.4 ng/mg FW	(Lorenz and Liining, 1999)
Dinoflagellate			Pterygophora californica	1.5 ng/mg protein	(Fuhrberg et al., 1996)
Gonyaulax polyedra	50 ng/mg protein	(Fuhrberg et al., 1997)			

DW: Dry weight; FW: Fresh Weight.

1.3 Melatonin enhances resistance in plants against bacterial and fungal pathogens

Melatonin has been found to improve resistance for plants against pathogen attack, in part due to an effect on the expression of genes associated with salicylic acid (SA). SA is a phytohormone, acting as an endogenous defence signalling molecule associated with pattern triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) in plants (Delaney et al., 1994; Vlot et al., 2009). In particular, SA is a key signalling molecule regulating pathogenesis related (PR) gene expression during systemic acquired resistance (SAR), a process involving the long term resistance of a plant to an individual pathogen (Sorahinobar et al., 2016). Melatonin has been shown to positively affect biosynthesis of salicylic acid in Arabidopsis (Shi et al., 2015a). Exogenous melatonin (10 µM) enhanced expression of pathogenesis related (PR) genes including genes associated with salicylic acid biosynthesis in both Arabidopsis and tobacco leaves exposed to a bacterial pathogen, Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Lee et al., 2014). Reduced endogenous melatonin levels (from ~ 4 ng g^{-1} fresh weight (FW) to ~ 3 ng g⁻¹FW) in Arabidopsis SNAT KO mutants, resulted in reduced salicylic acid levels and less resistance to pathogenic attack by the avirulent *P. syringe* pv. tomato DC3000 (Lee et al., 2015). Endogenous levels of melatonin in Arabidopsis leaves infected with *P. syringae* pv. tomato (Pst) DC3000, were significantly (p < 0.05) increased (from approx. 0.5 ng g⁻¹ FW to 1 ng g⁻¹ FW) only 1 hr post infection, with resistance to infection also increased upon the pre-treatment with 20 µM melatonin (Shi et al., 2015a). Root pre-treatment of apple [Malus prunifolia (Willd.) Borkh. cv. Donghongguo] with 100 µM melatonin resulted in enhanced immunity to the foliar fungal pathogen, Diplocarpon mali (Figure 1.1) (Yin et al., 2013). Interactions between plant and pathogen induce a rapid oxidative burst in the plant cells/tissues at the early stages of infection, resulting in increased endogenous melatonin levels (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2015). A recent study found that exogenous melatonin (200 mg/L) applied to leaves reduced the lesion length of rice bacterial leaf streak (BLS) disease, caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola (Xoc) by up to 23% on rice strains susceptible to the bacterium. Similarly, foliar pre-treatment with melatonin significantly reduced infection capability and reduced the incidence of BLS by 17% (Chen et al., 2019).



Inoculation

Control

Figure 1.1: Effect of melatonin concentration on apple leaf phenotype at 20 days post infection. Fully mature leaves were collected from plants pretreated (through soil treatment) with water only and no exogenous melatonin (A); 50 μ M melatonin (B); 100 μ M melatonin (C); or 500 μ M melatonin (D). Taken from Yin *et al.*, (2013).

Melatonin has also been found to enhance immunity in an insect vector of a plant pathogen. Citrus greening disease is caused by the bacterium, *Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus* (CLas) and is transmitted by Asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri*. CLas infection reduced the endogenous levels of melatonin in *D. citri*, compared to uninfected insects (Nehela and Killiny, 2018). However, treatment of *D. citri* with 500 mg/L melatonin resulted in a significant (p < 0.05) decrease of CLas bacterial population within the *D. citri* psyllids and enhanced longevity of infected *D. citri* (Nehela and Killiny, 2018).

1.4 Microbial communities in biological systems

1.4.1 Roles of soil microbiome communities

In soils, the predominant bacterial phyla include Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Acidobacteria, with Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Verrucomicrobia present generally in lesser relative abundances (< 5%) (Yadav et al., 2015; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2019). For fungi, Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and Zygomycota predominate in soils, with Chytridiomycota and Glomeromycota acting as minor phyla (< 7%) (Wang et al., 2017b; Yang et al., 2017). Soil microbial communities are a natural and key component of a balanced ecosystem (Wall and Virginia, 1999; Yao et al., 2000; Kirk et al., 2004; Wahid et al., 2016), breaking down organic matter, recycling nutrients, creating soil structure, assisting plant growth as well as controlling pests and diseases (Glick, 2014; van der Heijden et al., 2015; Majeed et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2019). In particular, nitrogen-fixing bacteria and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), root-associated ectomycorrhizal fungi (EMF) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) provide essential moisture, minerals and nutrients to plants in many soil systems, thus maintaining plant health and development (Brussaard et al., 2007; Fierer et al., 2007; Chanda et al., 2016). Nitrogen-fixing bacteria such as Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Rhizobium and Azospirillum (Igiehon and Babalola, 2018) provide nutrients to plants by converting inert atmospheric N_2 to inorganic nitrogen-based molecules (e.g. NH₄⁺) (Pajares and Bohannan, 2016) within root nodules, resulting in enhanced above and belowground plant biomass (Igiehon and Babalola, 2018). PGPRs include N-fixing bacteria as well as various other genera such as Flavobacterium, Chryseobacterium, Achromobacter, Enterobacter, Sinorhizobium, Cradyrhizobium and Acetobacter (Dimkpa et al., 2009; Etesami and Maheshwari, 2018). PGPRs can increase plant growth by solubilising soil nutrients (Glick, 2014) and generating phytohormones like gibberellic acid and auxin (Etesami and Maheshwari, 2018), as well as enhancing tolerance to various abiotic stressors by processes such as sequestering toxic heavy metals in contaminated soils (Glick, 2010) and decreasing stress-related ethylene production in developing roots (Glick, 2004). No study to date has reported the production of melatonin in PGPRs.

EMFs comprised of *Basidiomycetes* and *Ascomycetes*, including *Amhinema*, *Thelephora*, *Boletus*, *Cenococcum*, *Laccaria*, *Hebeloma*, *Paxillus*, *Suillus* and *Phialophora*, exchange soil minerals with plant roots for various sugars or carbonbased compounds (Brundrett, 2009; Kumar and Atri, 2018; Mello and Balestrini, 2018). EMF symbiosis is mostly associated with angiosperm and gymnosperm trees (Bonfante and Anca, 2009). In contrast, most terrestrial plants, including many non-brassica crops, associate with AMFs (Choi *et al.*, 2018). This relationship involves a symbiotic association with fungi within the four orders of *Glomeromycota: Glomerales, Archaeosporales, Diversisporales* and *Paraglomerales* (Redecker *et al.*, 2013). Over 70% of the inorganic phosphate required by plants is provided by AMFs (Smith *et al.*, 2003, 2004) in exchange for up to 20% of the carbon requirements for the fungus (Jakobsen and Rosendahl, 1990).

Plants directly regulate microbial community profiles within the rhizosphere by altering the composition of sugars, amino acids and various secondary metabolites, such as indoleamines (e.g. auxins), secreted into the soil as root exudates (Badri and Vivanco, 2009; Dennis *et al.*, 2010). For example, up to 40% of photosynthates present within the roots are released as rhizo-deposits into the soil, resulting in significant increases in microbial densities within the rhizosphere compared to bulk soil (Berendsen *et al.*, 2012). Concurrently, soil microbial community structures may also be affected by numerous other factors including soil physiochemical characteristics and exposure to various abiotic stresses (Badri and Vivanco, 2009; Wood *et al.*, 2016a; Geisseler *et al.*, 2017).

1.4.1.1 Melatonin & soil microbiome

To date, only one study has investigated the responses of the soil microbiome to melatonin (Li *et al.*, 2018). In that study, the effect of exogenous melatonin application (200 μ M; applied at 20 day intervals for 6 months) on bacterial and fungal compositions in two soils types associated with horticultural practices (apple orchard and vegetables respectively) were explored using Illumina next generation sequencing (NGS). No details were provided regarding solvent concentrations in either melatonin or control treatments. Bacterial compositions of melatonin-treated soil samples associated with vegetables showed increases in *Chloroflexi* (1.28-fold) and *Gemmatiomonadetes* (1.36-fold) and decreases in *Verrucimicrobia* (1.34%) relative to control samples, whereas melatonin-treated soil samples associated with the apple orchard showed increases in *Firmicutes* (1.5-fold), *Parcubacteria* (1.39-fold) and *Gemmatiomonadetes* (1.35-fold) and decreases in *Bacterioidetes* (0.62%) relative to control samples (Li *et al.*, 2018). Fungal compositions of melatonin-treated soil samples associated with vegetables

showed an increase in *Basidiomycota* (1.29-fold), with a decrease in *Ciliophora* (3.53%), whereas melatonin-treated soil samples associated with the apple orchard showed increases in *Ciliophora* (2.28-fold), *Basidiomycota* (1.78-fold) and *Glomeromycota* (4.76-fold), with a decrease in *Ascomycota* (14.44%) relative to control samples (Li *et al.*, 2018). This study demonstrated that melatonin altered bacterial and fungal community compositions in soil and the community shifts in response to melatonin varied between the soils. Therefore, some of the various ecological functions associated with the microbial community in a soil may be affected by application of exogenous melatonin. A clear understanding of how soil microbes are impacted by melatonin is therefore essential in order to determine if there is a potential role for melatonin in future agricultural practices. A weakness of this study however was that only subsoils (20 – 30cm depth) were investigated, which have distinctly different microbial community compositions and activities compared to topsoils (< 10cm) (Schnecker *et al.*, 2015; Sosa-Hernández *et al.*, 2018).

1.4.2 Melatonin & gut microbiome

The gut microbiome consists of microbes, including bacteria, fungi, viruses and archaea residing within the gastrointestinal tract (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2013; D'Argenio and Salvatore, 2015). The main research investigating microbial community responses to melatonin has been associated with the gut microbiome, with studies demonstrating that melatonin impacts diversity and community structures of microbial assemblages (Xu *et al.*, 2017; Ren *et al.*, 2018; Yin *et al.*, 2018; Zhu *et al.*, 2018). However, gut microbiome responses to melatonin are not within the scope of the research conducted in this thesis.

1.4.3 Effects of abiotic stresses (cadmium and salt) on soil microbes

In Chapter 2 of this thesis, the impacts of cadmium and salt stress on soil microbial community structures in the presence of melatonin are investigated. A comprehensive meta-analysis of bacterial communities from 111 different studies found salinity as the most influential determinant of bacterial community structure, ahead of other key abiotic factors such as temperature or pH (Lozupone and Knight, 2007). Seasonally changing salinity also altered the bacterial and fungal community structures of root microsymbionts associated with alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) (Thiem *et al.*, 2018). Similarly, cadmium (> 4 mg kg⁻¹) has been shown to alter bacterial (Wood *et al.*, 2016b; Hu *et al.*, 2018), and fungal (4 mg kg⁻¹) (Shentu *et al.*, 2008) community compositions in various soil studies. For example, soil bacteria such as *Actinobacteria* and

Planctomycetes, are more impacted by cadmium toxicity (8 mg kg⁻¹) compared to other bacterial phyla (e.g. *Acidobacteria* and *Proteobacteria*) (Hu *et al.*, 2018). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) such as *Enterobacter* spp. (Chen *et al.*, 2010) and *Pseudomonas spp*. (Sinha and Mukherjee, 2008) are tolerant to 1.5 mM and 8 mM cadmium in soil respectively. *Basidiomycota* showed a positive correlation with soil cadmium levels in heavy metal contaminated soil, increasing in relative abundance with increasing cadmium levels (Jia *et al.*, 2018).

Alteration of microbial communities by plants via root exudate or by exposure to abiotic stresses can have both direct and indirect effects on the overall soil microbial diversity and plant productivity (Berendsen *et al.*, 2012; Rincon-Florez *et al.*, 2013; Chanda *et al.*, 2016). Thus, exploring novel avenues to control stress-induced shifts in soil microbial community composition and functionality could be a key to unfolding agricultural constraints, and achieve better agricultural productivities and sustainable development in the future.

1.5 Soil microbial community analysis

1.5.1 Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA)

The study of microbial community diversity requires the use of molecular techniques as many bacteria and fungi found in soil are not culturable (Van Elsas et al., 2000). Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA) is commonly used to characterise microbial community diversity within various environments, including bulk soil and the rhizosphere (Sørensen et al., 2009; Rincon-Florez et al., 2013). ARISA fingerprints fungal and bacterial communities based upon the length heterogeneity of the intergenic spacer region between the ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid (rRNA) genes for bacteria (16S and 23S) and fungi (18S and 28S) (Kirk et al., 2004). This molecular technique provides a valuable estimate of both species richness and relative abundances, allowing a comparison of species richness (α diversity) and community structure (β diversity) both across various sites and between samples exposed to different treatments (Zancarini et al., 2012). ARISA is quick, relatively inexpensive, and has a high level of sensitivity. However taxa are not identifiable, and some rare biases can exist as either unrelated organisms may share the same spacer length, and thus result in an underestimation of species richness, or a single organism may provide more than one peak and therefore result in an overestimation (Rincon-Florez et al., 2013). In general, ARISA is appropriate for soils containing moderate to

low species richness as significant errors in determining microbial richness occur in highly diverse ecosystem samples (e.g. Organosols from rainforest soils) where richness exceeds approximately 150 species (Kovacs *et al.*, 2010).

1.5.2 Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)

Over the past decade, the development of high-throughput NGS technology has greatly benefited our understandings relating to microbial ecology within numerous terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Thompson *et al.*, 2017). In particular, amplicon-based DNA sequencing of taxonomic marker genes generates in-depth characterisation of microbial communities. This allows for the monitoring of rare or poorly characterised individuals to dominant taxa under natural conditions and in responses to various treatments (Staley and Sadowsky, 2018). Amplicon sequencing is relatively inexpensive, however sensitivity is restricted by numerous factors including the quality of sequencing technology as well as the relatively short amplicon length (approx. <1000 bp) (Sogin *et al.*, 2006). To reduce errors due to the latter, reliable identification of large datasets of taxa may require classification of microbes to family, order or even phyla level (Mizrahi-Man *et al.*, 2013).

1.6 Reactive oxygen species (ROS)

1.6.1 Roles and effects of ROS (microbes and plants)

ROS are unstable and highly reactive molecules or free radicals containing oxygen, produced during either photosynthesis or metabolism by organisms from all kingdoms of life (Mittler, 2002; Lushchak, 2005; Liemburg-Apers *et al.*, 2015; Inupakutika *et al.*, 2016). ROS molecules include hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), nitric oxide (NO) and hypochlorous acid (HClO); ROS radicals include superoxide anion (O₂⁻⁺), hydroxyl (OH⁺), singlet oxygen (¹O₂) and alkoxyl (RO⁺) (Felício *et al.*, 2009; Manchester *et al.*, 2015). At moderate levels, ROS are positively associated with various natural roles such as signal transduction pathways (Baxter *et al.*, 2014; Schieber and Chandel, 2014). However, when exposed to a stress, various activities within the electron transport system are negatively impacted, resulting in dramatic increases in cellular levels of ROS (Foyer *et al.*, 1997; Schieber and Chandel, 2014; Hardeland, 2015). Enhanced levels of ROS result in a multitude of negative impacts at a cellular level including damage to DNA and cell membranes, as well as protein denaturation, reduced enzyme activity and cellular apoptosis (Foyer and Noctor, 2005; Felício *et al.*, 2009; Bose *et*

al., 2014). Some plants produce ROS at the site of infection as a means of defense against pathogenic attack (Ali *et al.*, 2018). ROS can impair bacterial growth as well as shape microbial community structures (Arsenijevic *et al.*, 2000; Imlay, 2019). Production of ROS-scavenging enzymes is an essential stress response mechanism for aerobic microbes (Seaver and Imlay, 2001). Various antioxidants such as glutathione and ascorbate as well as enzymes including catalase (CAT), glutathione reductase (GRT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and peroxidase (POD) restore ROS homeostasis under stressful conditions by scavenging excess ROS (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Ammendola *et al.*, 2008).

1.6.2 Effects of melatonin on cellular ROS

Melatonin can act as a highly efficient antioxidant, with the ability to scavenge up to 10 ROS per molecule (Hardeland, 1999; Reiter *et al.*, 2015; Reiter *et al.*, 2016). Melatonin may also act as a signalling molecule, resulting in the upregulation of ROS-scavenging enzymes or stimulating various metabolic pathways involved in maintaining cellular homeostasis (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2004; Weeda *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, studies comparing the effects of different melatonin concentrations indicate that this secondary metabolite can have an inhibitory effect on at high concentrations in plants, potentially due to the excessive removal of the cellular ROS, thus negatively affecting ROS-dependant signalling pathways essential for natural plant development (Chen *et al.*, 2009; Baxter *et al.*, 2014; Zhao *et al.*, 2015).

Numerous studies in plants have shown that melatonin mitigates the negative impact of excess ROS production caused by stress exposure, by affecting the genes associated with various signalling cascades. For example, melatonin affects stress associated calcium-dependant signalling, a key signalling molecule involved in plant growth and development (Beilby *et al.*, 2014). Melatonin can both enhance the activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes as well as enhance the upregulation of transcription levels of genes associated with stress tolerance. For example, melatonin causes an upregulation of ROS-scavenging enzymes SOD, CAT, APX and glutathione peroxidase (GPX), as well as increasing the activities for these enzymes (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2004; Manchester *et al.*, 2015). ROS scavenging antioxidants such as ascorbic acid (vitamin C), vitamin E and glutathione are also upregulated by melatonin (Zhang *et al.*, 2015).

Melatonin has been demonstrated to upregulate many genes associated with mitigating the negative abiotic stress responses such as ion homeostasis (NHX1 and AKT1) under salinity stress; C-repeat binding factors (CBF's); drought responsive element binding factors (DREB's); cold tolerance gene (COR15a) and transcription factor (CAMTA1); transcription factors associated with ROS antioxidant genes (ZAT10 and ZAT12) (Bajwa *et al.*, 2014). Stress related transcription factors such as zinc finger proteins, WRKY and NAC domain-containing proteins are all upregulated by melatonin (Zhang *et al.*, 2015).

1.7 Melatonin biosynthetic pathway

Melatonin is a highly mobile secondary metabolite, passing easily across cellular and subcellular membranes due to amphiphilic properties (Shida *et al.*, 1994). The first step of the melatonin biosynthetic pathway involves the synthesis of tryptamine from tryptophan by the enzyme tryptophan decarboxylase (TDC). Subsequently tryptamine is converted into serotonin by tryptamine-5-hydroxylase (T5H). Acetylation of serotonin by serotonin *N*-acetlytransferase (SNAT) produces *N*-acetylserotonin (NAS), which is converted into melatonin by either acetylserotonin *O*-methyltransferase (ASMT) or caffeic acid *o*-methyltransferase (COMT) (Figure 1.2) (Kang *et al.*, 2011; Byeon and Back, 2015; Back *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2019). Alternative biosynthetic pathways for serotonin and melatonin in plants are shown in Figure 1.2. For example, 5-methoxytryptamine can be synthesised from serotonin by ASMT, which is then converted to melatonin by SNAT (Nawaz *et al.*, 2016). All pathways involve the presence of tryptophan at the first step and serotonin as an intermediate, indicating the importance of both indoleamines in melatonin biosynthesis in plants and yeast (Back *et al.*, 2016; Germann *et al.*, 2016)

The decarboxylation of tryptophan by TDC is considered the regulatory step in the biosynthesis of serotonin (Byeon *et al.*, 2014b; Hardeland, 2016). However, as the yield of melatonin is relatively an order or more of magnitude less than serotonin, the final two steps are key determinants of melatonin production in plants (Murch *et al.*, 2009; Nguyen Le *et al.*, 2013; Byeon *et al.*, 2014b). Overall, the rate-limiting step in melatonin biosynthetic pathway in plants and yeast is considered to be the methylation of *N*-acetylserotonin to melatonin (Byeon *et al.*, 2014b; Germann *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2019).

Some enzymes involved in the melatonin biosynthetic pathway may have more than one substrate. For example, TDC has a substrate affinity for both tryptophan and 5hydroxytryptophan (Park *et al.*, 2008; Park *et al.*, 2011). Serotonin and 5methyoxytryptamine can act as substrates for SNAT, while ASMT has affinity for both serotonin and *N*-acetylserotonin as substrates (Back *et al.*, 2016). Serotonin biosynthesis occurs in the endoplasmic reticulum when the pathway involves the conversion of tryptamine to serotonin by T5H, however the alternative pathway involving TDC occurs in the cytoplasm (Figure 1.2) (Back *et al.*, 2016). Both SNAT and ASMT are expressed in the chloroplast (Byeon *et al.*, 2014a) as well as being localised either in the mitochondria (SNAT) and cytoplasm (ASMT/COMT) (Back *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2017a).



Figure 1.2: Melatonin biosynthetic pathways in yeast and plants (Back *et al.*, 2016; Germann *et al.*, 2016). Arrows and enzymes associated with yeast are labelled in yellow, whereas all remaining arrows and enzymes are associated with plants. Solid purple lines represent the biosynthetic pathways of serotonin and melatonin in plants,

with dotted lines representing alternative pathways (Back *et al.*, 2016; Nawaz *et al.*, 2016). Tryptophan decarboxylase (TDC); L-tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH); 5-hydroxy-L-tryptophan decarboxylase (DDC); Tryptamine 5-hydroxylase (T5H); Serotonin *N*-acetyltransferase (SNAT); *N*-acetylserotonin methyltransferase; Caffeic acid *O*-methyltransferase (COMT) * TPH has yet to be identified in yeast and plants.

When the final step is associated with SNAT, melatonin is synthesised in the chloroplasts, whereas melatonin is produced in the cytoplasm when ASMT/COMT is the terminal enzyme (Back *et al.*, 2016). For example, a dose dependant production of melatonin was observed in purified *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts supplied with *N*-acetylserotonin, (Zheng *et al.*, 2017), while isolated *Malus zumi* mitochondria supplied with serotonin also showed enhanced melatonin levels (Wang *et al.*, 2017a).

The enhanced availability of precursors within the melatonin biosynthetic pathway results in significantly different amounts of melatonin subsequently produced. For example, enhanced levels of exogenous tryptophan did not result in a similar increase in endogenous melatonin levels in Viola leaves (Kim et al., 2011). However, upon exogenous treatment with tryptamine, the rate of melatonin biosynthesis increased dramatically (Kim et al., 2011). As melatonin and the phytohormone auxin [Indole-3 acetic acid (IAA)] both share the same precursor, tryptophan (Figure 1.2), exogenous application of tryptophan may have been directed towards the biosynthetic pathway of IAA (or similar molecules) in preference to melatonin. Similarly, melatonin levels decreased in transgenic rice overexpressing a key enzyme in the serotonin biosynthetic pathway, T5H (Fujiwara et al., 2010; Park et al., 2013). The enhanced levels of serotonin resulting from T5H overexpression may have affected and subsequently altered the dynamics within the associated signalling pathways, thus redirecting the emphasis of melatonin biosynthesis. Furthermore, an under expression of the T5H enzyme resulted in an increase in tryptophan and 5-hydroxytryptophan, but not melatonin (Park et al., 2012). In contrast, rice seeds overexpressing TDC resulted in an increase in endogenous melatonin levels 31-fold greater than wild type seeds (Byeon et al., 2014b). Melatonin levels in SNAT KO mutant plants of Arabidopsis showed significant decreases in endogenous melatonin, indicating the importance of the enzyme in the biosynthetic pathway. (Lee et al., 2015). Overexpression of ASMT in Arabidopsis resulted in increased endogenous melatonin levels (Zuo et al., 2014), while suppression of COMT in rice and Arabidopsis resulted in significant reduction in

melatonin levels (Byeon *et al.*, 2015). These results indicate the importance of TDC, SNAT and ASMT/COMT enzymes in melatonin biosynthesis as indicated by their presence in all proposed biosynthetic pathways in Figure 1.2. Importantly, when melatonin is localised in either the chloroplast or cytoplasm it can be metabolised into various substrates including 2-hydroxymelatonin and cyclic 3-hydroxymelatonin respectively, thus reducing endogenous melatonin levels (Byeon and Back, 2015; Lee *et al.*, 2016).

A recent study reported the first discovery of a melatonin receptor in plants, (CAND2/PMTR1) (Wei *et al.*, 2018). In this study, melatonin was found to regulate stomatal closure of a G protein-coupled receptor in the plasma membrane through H_2O_2 - and Ca^{2+} - mediated signalling pathways in *Arabidopsis*. This discovery will initiate a new area of research exploring the association between melatonin-activated receptor(s) signalling and stress tolerance in plants.

1.8 Melatonin & abiotic stress tolerance in plants

Numerous research articles have shown a clear association between melatonin and amelioration of some of the negative impacts caused by exposure to abiotic stresses including heat, drought, cold, salt and heavy metals (Table 1.2). Heat shock can impact plant growth and development by enhancing electrolyte leakage and cellular ROS levels as well as reducing chlorophyll content and germination rates (Tiryaki and Keles, 2012; Alam et al., 2018). Drought exposure in plants results in enhanced osmotic and oxidative stresses (Wang et al., 2003). Cold stress negatively affects plant physiology, as cell walls and particularly cell membranes are vulnerable to the destructive effects of cold stress (Kratsch and Wise, 2000; Zhang et al., 2015). Plant growth and development are greatly impacted in salt-stressed soils due to water stress and ion toxicity, resulting in enhanced osmotic pressures and disruption to photosynthesis, protein synthesis and lipid metabolism (Zhang and Blumwald, 2001; Zhu, 2001; Munns and Tester, 2008; Li et al., 2012). Toxic heavy metals can both interact with ligands and compete with essential metals at their binding sites (Bruins et al., 2000). The resulting cellular damage due to enhanced ROS production, restriction of enzymatic activities or structural distortion of the cell wall, cell membrane and various proteins can have deleterious effects (Howlett and Avery, 1997; Valko et al., 2005; Chang and Leu, 2011).

Table 1.2: Effects of melatonin in plants exposed to various abiotic stresses. Plants were pre-treated with melatonin prior to stress exposure, unless otherwise stated. Seeds coated with melatonin prior to stress exposure were labelled as 'primed'. MT = exogenous melatonin concentration; WHC = soil water holding capacity; PEG = Polyethylene glycol.

Plant	MT /	Stressor	Effects	Reference
	Transgenic			
Heat				
Arabidopsis	20 µM	45°C; 90 mins	Upregulation of heat shock transcription factors (HSF's),	(Shi et al., 2015b)
Tall fescue Festuca arundinacea Schreb.	20 µM	42°C; 6 hr	Reduced leaf electrolyte leakage Increased chlorophyll content	(Alam <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Rice Oryza. sativa	Overexpressing SNAT	55°C; 1 hr	Increased endogenous melatonin levels Enhanced seedling fresh weight	(Byeon and Back, 2014)
-	ASMT			
Drought				
Cucumber	100 µM	18% PEG;	Reduced leaf H ₂ O ₂ levels	
Cucumis sativus L.	(primed)	14 days	Enhanced seed germination	(Zhang et al., 2013)
			Reduced chlorophyll degradation	
Soybean	100 µM	20% WHC;	Improved plant biomass	(Wei et al., 2015)
Glycine max	(primed)	10 days		
Creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera)	20 µM	No water; 14 days	Reduced drought-induced leaf senescence Downregulated chlorophyll-degrading genes	(Ma et al., 2018)

Table	1.2:	Contd.
-------	------	--------

Plants	MT/	Stressor	Effects	Reference
	Trangenic			
Cold				
Wheat	1 mM	2°C;	Enhanced membrane stability and antioxidant	(Sun et al., 2018)
Triticum aestivum		3 days	enzyme activity	
Rice	100 и М	12ºC·	Reduced impacts to photosynthesis and ROS	(Han $et al = 2017$)
Oryza. sativa	100 μινι	6 days	accumulation	(1141 cr 41., 2017)
Mung beans	20 uM	5°C:	Increased root growth	(Szafrańska <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Vigna radiata	(primed)	2 days	Decreased electrolyte leakage	(22411411114 61 611, 2010)
Cucumber	50 uM	10°C:	Improved germination rates	(Posmyk <i>et al.</i> , 2009).
Cucumis sativus L.	(primed)	2 days		(1 0011)11 01 000, 2000)1
Salt (NaCl)				
Crab apple tree Malus hupehensis Rehd.	0.1 µM	100 mM; 15 days	Improved photosynthetic rates and chlorophyll content	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2012).
Maize Zea mays L.	1 μΜ	100 mM; 8 days	Enhanced activities of peroxidase (POD) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) Improved photosynthetic capacity, root and	(Jiang et al., 2016)
Watermelon Citrullus lanatus L.	50 µM	300 mM; 7 days	shoot dry weight Enhanced superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and APX activities	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
			Increased chlorophyll content	
Table 1.2: Contd.

Plants	MT/	Stressor	Effects	Reference
	Transgenic			
Heavy metals				
Water chickweed	200 µM			
Malachium aquaticum	(not pre-treated)	10 mg kg ⁻¹ CdCl ₂ ;	Improved cadmium uptake in Cd- hyperaccumulator plants	(Tang <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Potato weed	100 µM	14 days		
Galinsoga parviflora	(not pre-treated)	-		
Rice Oryza. sativa	Overexpressing SNAT	0.2 mM CdCl ₂ ; 3 days	Enhanced endogenous melatonin under stress Increased cadmium tolerance	(Lee and Back, 2017)
Tomato <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.	100 μM (not pre-treated)	100 μM CdCl ₂ ; 14 days	Enhanced shoot and root growth responses	(Hasan <i>et al.</i> , 2015)

1.9 Roles of other indoleamines in microbes and plants

1.9.1 Microbes

1.9.1.1 Serotonin

Serotonin is a secondary metabolite originating from the indoleamine tryptophan, and is a key precursor in the melatonin biosynthetic pathway (Figure 1.2). Very limited information is available regarding the synthesis of serotonin by microbes and the associated roles of the indoleamine. Serotonin-producing microbial genera associated with the gut include *Enterococcus*, *Aeromonas, Citrobacter, Acinetobacter, Listeria, Escherichia* and *Streptococcus* (Roshchina, 2016), while the pathogenic yeast *Candida* and phototrophic bacterium *Rhodospirillum* have also been reported to produce serotonin (Oleskin *et al.*, 1998a, b). However, no studies to date have reported responses of soil microbes to serotonin.

1.9.1.2 Auxin (IAA)

IAA is produced by some soil microbes, in part acting as a signalling molecule, thus affecting plant - microbes and various microbe-microbe interactions (Fu *et al.*, 2015). For soil fungi such as *Cryptococcus flavus* and *Fusarium delphinoides*, IAA has also been demonstrated to have growth promoting properties (Kulkarni *et al.*, 2013). IAA-producing soil fungi can enhance lateral root development, thus indirectly improving arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis (Kaldorf and Ludwig-Müller, 2000; Yao *et al.*, 2005). Some fungal pathogens secrete IAA during disease development to enhance infection capability (Reineke *et al.*, 2008). Plants also secrete IAA within root exudates, thus altering microbial community structures within the rhizosphere (Narasimhan *et al.*, 2003; Badri and Vivanco, 2009; Etemadi *et al.*, 2014). As melatonin is structurally similar to IAA and has been shown to have similar effects on plant growth and development, it is possible that melatonin may also be utilised by microbes similar to IAA, however further research is required to explore this possibility.

1.9.2 Plants

1.9.2.1 Serotonin

Serotonin has been reported in a wide variety of plant families, with endogenous levels varying considerably, from almost 400 μ g g⁻¹ (Butternut (*Juglans cinerea* L.)) to ng or

pg g⁻¹ levels, depending on plant family, species and tissue (Kang *et al.*, 2009; Erland *et al.*, 2016). The main site for serotonin biosynthesis is in the roots (Kaur *et al.*, 2015), and the rate limiting step in the biosynthetic pathway is associated with the activity of TDC (Figure 1.2) (Kang *et al.*, 2008). Serotonin is associated with numerous essential roles in plants including senescence, flowering, germination, root and shoot organogenesis, as well as abiotic and biotic stress tolerance (Table 1.3) (Kang *et al.*, 2009; Erland *et al.*, 2015; Erland *et al.*, 2016).

1.9.2.2 Auxin (IAA)

IAA is involved in various essential processes in plants such as cell elongation and division, apical dominance as well as responses to various environmental factors such as light and gravity (Covington and Harmer, 2007; Fu *et al.*, 2015). The main site for IAA biosynthesis is in the roots, however IAA may also be synthesised in the shoot meristem (Gallavotti, 2013; Kaur *et al.*, 2015).

Melatonin and IAA are indoleamines, with common precursors tryptophan and tryptamine in their respective biosynthetic pathways (Figure 1.2) (Arnao and Hernandez-Ruiz, 2014). Structural similarity has led to investigations to determine if particularly melatonin and IAA may be involved in similar processes in plants. Some studies have revealed concentration-dependant developmental effects of melatonin, similar to that of IAA (Hernández-Ruiz *et al.*, 2004; Chen *et al.*, 2009). Overall however, the relationship between melatonin and IAA is currently quite unclear with some reports showing that melatonin alters endogenous IAA levels (Wang *et al.*, 2014; Zuo *et al.*, 2014), while other contradictory studies have reported that melatonin possess its own mechanisms independent of IAA (Pelagio-Flores *et al.*, 2012; Wan *et al.*, 2018).

Table 1.3: Examples of serotonin enhancing abiotic and biotic tolerance in plants. Exogenous serotonin was supplied along with the stressor (i.e. not pre-treated). Enhanced endogenous levels of serotonin were observed in Capsicum (wild type) and rice (harbouring blast resistance gene *Pit*) in response to biotic stress exposure.

Plant	Serotonin	Stressor	Effect	Reference
Rice Oryza sativa L.	Enhanced endogenous levels	Fungal pathogen	Suppressed lesion development by rice blast fungus <i>Magnaporthe oryzae</i>	(Hayashi <i>et al.</i> , 2016).
Capsicum <i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.	Enhanced endogenous levels	Fungal pathogen	Enhanced resistance to anthracnose disease - <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> (Penz.)	(Park et al., 2009)
Wheat <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.	1 mM	Fungal pathogen (Detached leaf assay)	Inhibited <i>in planta</i> sporulation of <i>Stagonospora nodorum</i> ,	(Du Fall and Solomon, 2013)
Sunflower Helianthus annuus L.	15 μM	120 mM NaCl; 4 days	Enhanced root and hypocotyl growth	(Mukherjee et al., 2014)

1.10 Gaps in research

Melatonin is a highly conserved secondary metabolite, potentially utilised by fungi (filamentous and yeasts) to enhance abiotic stress tolerance (Rodriguez-Naranjo et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2016). Melatonin has antimicrobial properties towards some bacteria, fungi and oomycetes, and has been found to alter microbial community structures in soil and the gut (Atroshi et al., 1998; Tekbas et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). In plants, melatonin can assist in the amelioration of some of the negative impacts from exposure to abiotic and biotic stresses (Khan et al., 2008; Kang et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2013; Yadu et al., 2017). Melatonin may directly mitigate a stress-induced ROS burst by acting as a highly efficient antioxidant, or mitigate indirectly, by acting as a signalling molecule associated with ROS scavenging enzymes or numerous phytohormones (Hardeland, 1999; Rodriguez et al., 2004; Tan et al., 2012; Weeda et al., 2014; Hardeland, 2015; Reiter et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Reiter et al., 2016; Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2018). There are no data to date indicating how soil microbial communities respond to melatonin under abiotic stress conditions, and the implications that this may have for the overall health and functioning of the soil. Data is also lacking regarding how plant-microbe interactions may be impacted by exogenously applied melatonin or how endogenous melatonin production may be impacted in either plants or soil-borne microbes by these interactions. Very little is also understood regarding the responses of pathogens to melatonin during infection or disease development phases.

1.11 Thesis aims & hypotheses

This thesis is composed of four main chapters, with chapters 2-4 written as a standalone manuscript format (with the exception of overlapping methods across chapters) for submission to a journal for publication. The overall aim of this research was to investigate the responses of soil microbes to melatonin from an individual to community level under unstressed and abiotic stress conditions.

1.11.1 Chapter 2

To date, no studies have reported the effects of melatonin on soil microbial community structures under abiotic stress. This study investigated how melatonin (4 or 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ soil) affected total biomass, OTU richness (α diversity) and community structures (β diversity) for bacterial and fungal assemblages in three agricultural soils artificially

stressed with either cadmium (280 or 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil) or salt (NaCl 7 or 4 g kg⁻¹ soil). Community fingerprinting was conducted by Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA). It was hypothesised that:

- 1) melatonin impacts the α and β diversity of microbial communities in soils unstressed and under abiotically stressed conditions
- 2) specific taxa are particularly responsive under the various conditions.

1.11.2 Chapter 3

Following from the investigation in chapter 2, the effects of melatonin on soil microbial community structures were investigated in greater depth. Taxa responding to exogenous melatonin (4 and 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ soil) were identified by next generation sequencing (NGS) in two of the agricultural soils at two different soil sampling timepoints (T0 and T1). Based upon these results, we were able to characterise bacterial groups most responsive (positively or negatively) to treatments. It was hypothesised that:

- 1) melatonin alters bacterial community structures in soil
- bacterial communities shift between sampling timepoints for the same soil. This shift in turn results in different responses by soil bacteria to melatonin at both timepoints
- 3) soil microbes respond differently to high and low concentrations of melatonin
- 4) melatonin alters the abundance of soil PGPRs within the soil communities.

1.11.3 Chapter 4

Research associated with this chapter investigated the *in vitro* growth responses of three fungal plant pathogens (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*; *Botrytis cinerea* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum*) to melatonin ($20 - 4000 \mu$ M) under two abiotic stresses (2.5% v/v ethanol and cold (4° C)). *In planta* growth of *S. sclerotiorum* on *Nicotiana tabacum* leaves infiltrated with melatonin (200μ M) was investigated to determine if the immediate availability of melatonin at the site of infection affected the foliar disease development. It was hypothesised that:

1) *in vitro* fungal growth under abiotic stress conditions is improved by exogenous melatonin

2) the availability of melatonin at the site of infection alters the infection capability of *S. sclerotiorum*

1.11.4 Chapter 5

An overall discussion is presented, linking the major findings and relevance of the research described in chapters 2-4 followed by suggestions for future studies on the basis of these findings.

1.12 References

Alam, M.N., Zhang, L., Yang, L., Islam, M.R., Liu, Y., Luo, H., Yang, P., Wang, Q. & Chan, Z. (2018). Transcriptomic profiling of tall fescue in response to heat stress and improved thermotolerance by melatonin and 24-epibrassinolide. *BMC Genomics* 19: 224.

Ali, F., Bano, A. & Fazal, A. (2017). Recent methods of drought stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Growth Regulation* 82, 363-375.

Ali, M., Cheng, Z., Ahmad, H. & Hayat, S. (2018). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) as defenses against a broad range of plant fungal infections and case study on ros employed by crops against verticillium dahlia wilts. *Journal of Plant Interactions* 13, 353-363.

Ammendola, S., Pasquali, P., Pacello, F., Rotilio, G., Castor, M., Libby, S.J., Figueroa-Bossi, N., Bossi, L., Fang, F.C. & Battistoni, A. (2008). Regulatory and structural differences in the Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutases of *Salmonella enterica* and their significance for virulence. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 283, 13688-13699.

Apel, K. & Hirt, H. (2004). Reactive oxygen species: Metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction, *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 55, 373-399.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernandez-Ruiz, J. (2014). Melatonin: plant growth regulator and/or biostimulator during stress? *Trends in Plant Science* 19, 789-797.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2007). Melatonin promotes adventitious- and lateral root regeneration in etiolated hypocotyls of *Lupinus albus* L. *Journal of Pineal Research* 42, 147-152.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2013). Growth conditions determine different melatonin levels in *Lupinus albus* L. *Journal of Pineal Research* 55, 149-155.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2015). Functions of melatonin in plants: a review. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 133-150.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2018). Melatonin and its relationship to plant hormones. *Annals of Botany* 121, 195-207.

Arsenijevic, D., Onuma, H., Pecqueur, C., Raimbault, S., Manning, B.S., Miroux, B., Couplan, E., Alves-Guerra, M.C., Goubern, M., Surwit, R., Bouillaud, F., Richard, D., Collins, S. & Ricquier, D. (2000). Disruption of the uncoupling protein-2 gene in mice reveals a role in immunity and reactive oxygen species production. *Nature Genetics* 26, 435-439.

Atroshi, F., Rizzo, A., Westermarck, T. & Ali-Vehmas, T. (1998). Effects of tamoxifen, melatonin, coenzyme Q10, and L-carnitine supplementation on bacterial growth in the presence of mycotoxins. *Pharmacological Research* 38, 289-295.

Back, K., Tan, D.X. & Reiter, R.J. (2016). Melatonin biosynthesis in plants: multiple pathways catalyze tryptophan to melatonin in the cytoplasm or chloroplasts. *Journal of Pineal Research* 61, 426-437.

Badri, D.V. & Vivanco, J.M. (2009). Regulation and function of root exudates. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 32, 666-681.

Bajwa, V.S., Shukla, M.R., Sherif, S.M., Murch, S.J. & Saxena, P.K. (2014). Role of melatonin in alleviating cold stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Pineal Research* 56, 238-245.

Barletta, M., Lima, A.R.A. & Costa, M.F. (2019). Distribution, sources and consequences of nutrients, persistent organic pollutants, metals and microplastics in South American estuaries. *Science of the Total Environment* 651, 1199-1218.

Baxter, A., Mittler, R. & Suzuki, N. (2014). ROS as key players in plant stress signalling. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65, 1229-1240.

Beilby, M.J., Al Khazaaly, S. & Bisson, M.A. (2014). Salinity-induced noise in membrane potential of Characeae *Chara australis*: Effect of exogenous melatonin. *Journal of Membrane Biology* 248, 93-102.

Bencherif, K., Boutekrabt, A., Fontaine, J., Laruelle, F., Dalpè, Y. & Anissa, L.H.S. (2015). Impact of soil salinity on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi biodiversity and microflora biomass associated with *Tamarix articulata* Vahll rhizosphere in arid and semi-arid Algerian areas. *Science of the Total Environment* 533, 488-494.

Berendsen, R.L., Pieterse, C.M.J. & Bakker, P.A.H.M. (2012). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. *Trends in Plant Science* 17, 478-486.

Bonfante, P. & Anca, I.A. (2009). Plants, mycorrhizal fungi, and bacteria: A network of interactions. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 63, 363-383.

Bose, J., Rodrigo-Moreno, A. & Shabala, S. (2014). ROS homeostasis in halophytes in the context of salinity stress tolerance. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65, 1241-1257.

Bruins, M.R., Kapil, S. & Oehme, F.W. (2000). Microbial resistance to metals in the environment. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 45, 198-207.

Brundrett, M.C. (2009). Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants: Understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant and Soil* 320, 37-77.

Brussaard, L., de Ruiter, P.C. & Brown, G.G. (2007). Soil biodiversity for agricultural sustainability. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 121, 233-244.

Byeon, Y. & Back, K. (2014). Melatonin synthesis in rice seedlings in vivo is enhanced at high temperatures and under dark conditions due to increased serotonin *N*-acetyltransferase and *N*-acetylserotonin methyltransferase activities. *Journal of Pineal Research* 56, 189-195.

Byeon, Y. & Back, K. (2015). Molecular cloning of melatonin 2-hydroxylase responsible for 2-hydroxymelatonin production in rice (Oryza sativa). *Journal of Pineal Research* 58, 343-351.

Byeon, Y., Choi, G.H., Lee, H.Y. & Back, K. (2015). Melatonin biosynthesis requires *N*-acetylserotonin methyltransferase activity of caffeic acid *O*-methyltransferase in rice. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 6917-6925.

Byeon, Y., Lee, H.Y., Lee, K., Park, S. & Back, K. (2014a). Cellular localization and kinetics of the rice melatonin biosynthetic enzymes SNAT and ASMT. *Journal of Pineal Research* 56, 107-114.

Byeon, Y., Lee, K., Park, Y.I., Park, S. & Back, K. (2013). Molecular cloning and functional analysis of serotonin N-acetyltransferase from the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp PCC 6803. *Journal of Pineal Research* 55, 371-376.

Byeon, Y., Park, S., Lee, H.Y., Kim, Y.S. & Back, K. (2014b). Elevated production of melatonin in transgenic rice seeds expressing rice tryptophan decarboxylase. *Journal of Pineal Research* 56, 275-282.

Chanda, A., Gummadidala, P.M. & Gomaa, O.M. (2016). Mycoremediation with mycotoxin producers: a critical perspective. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 100, 17-29.

Chang, S.L. & Leu, J.Y. (2011). A tradeoff drives the evolution of reduced metal resistance in natural populations of yeast. *PLoS Genetics* 7: 3.

Chatterjee, I., Somerville, G.A., Heilmann, C., Sahl, H.G., Maurer, H.H. & Herrmann, M. (2006). Very low ethanol concentrations affect the viability and growth recovery in post-stationary-phase *Staphylococcus aureus* populations. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72, 2627-2636.

Chen, L., Luo, S., Xiao, X., Guo, H., Chen, J., Wan, Y., Li, B., Xu, T., Xi, Q., Rao, C., Liu, C. & Zeng, G. (2010). Application of plant growth-promoting endophytes (PGPE) isolated from *Solanum nigrum* L. for phytoextraction of Cd-polluted soils. *Applied Soil Ecology* 46, 383-389.

Chen, Q., Qi, W., Reiter, R.J., Wei, W. & Wang, B.m. (2009). Exogenously applied melatonin stimulates root growth and raises endogenous indoleacetic acid in roots of etiolated seedlings of *Brassica juncea*. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 166, 324-328.

Chen, X., Sun, C., Laborda, P., He, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, C. & Liu, F. (2019). Melatonin treatments reduce the pathogenicity and inhibit the growth of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*. *Plant Pathology* 68, 288-296.

Choi, J., Summers, W. & Paszkowski, U. (2018). Mechanisms underlying establishment of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 60, 135-160.

Vlot, C.A., Dempsey, D.A. & Klessig, D.F. (2009). Salicylic acid, a multifaceted hormone to combat disease. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 47, 177-206.

Covington, M.F. & Harmer, S.L. (2007). The circadian clock regulates auxin signaling and responses in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS biology* 5: 8.

D'Argenio, V. & Salvatore, F. (2015). The role of the gut microbiome in the healthy adult status. *Clinica Chimica Acta* 451, 97-102.

Delaney, T.P., Uknes, S., Vernooij, B., Friedrich, L., Weymann, K., Negrotto, D., Gaffney, T., Gut-Rella, M., Kessmann, H., Ward, E. & Ryals, J. (1994). A central role of salicylic acid in plant disease resistance. *Science* 266, 1247-1250.

Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Oliverio, A.M., Brewer, T.E., Benavent-González, A., Eldridge, D.J., Bardgett, R.D., Maestre, F.T., Singh, B.K. & Fierer, N. (2018). A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. *Science* 359, 320-325.

Dennis, P.G., Miller, A.J. & Hirsch, P.R. (2010). Are root exudates more important than other sources of rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities? *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 72, 313-327.

Dimkpa, C., Weinand, T. & Asch, F. (2009). Plant-rhizobacteria interactions alleviate abiotic stress conditions. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 32, 1682-1694.

Du Fall, L.A. & Solomon, P.S. (2013). The necrotrophic effector SnToxA induces the synthesis of a novel phytoalexin in wheat. *New Phytologist* 200, 185-200.

Dubbels, R., Reiter, R.J., Klenke, E., Goebel, A., Schnakenberg, E., Ehlers, C., Schiwara, H.W. & Schloot, W. (1995). Melatonin in edible plants identified by radioimmunoassay and by high performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Journal of Pineal Research* 18, 28-31.

Erland, L.A.E., Murch, S.J., Reiter, R.J. & Saxena, P.K. (2015). A new balancing act: The many roles of melatonin and serotonin in plant growth and development. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* 10: 11.

Erland, L.A.E., Turi, C.E. & Saxena, P.K. (2016). Serotonin: An ancient molecule and an important regulator of plant processes. *Biotechnology Advances* 34, 1347-1361.

Etemadi, M., Gutjahr, C., Couzigou, J.M., Zouine, M., Lauressergues, D., Timmers, A., Audran, C., Bouzayen, M., Bécard, G. & Combier, J.P. (2014). Auxin perception is required for arbuscule development in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant Physiology* 166, 281-292.

Etesami, H. & Maheshwari, D.K. (2018). Use of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) with multiple plant growth promoting traits in stress agriculture: Action mechanisms and future prospects. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 156, 225-246.

Evans, A.E., Mateo-Sagasta, J., Qadir, M., Boelee, E. & Ippolito, A. (2019). Agricultural water pollution: key knowledge gaps and research needs. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 36, 20-27.

Felício, D.L., Almeida, C.E.B., Silva, A.B. & Leitão, A.C. (2009). Hydrogen peroxide induces a specific DNA base change profile in the presence of the iron chelator 2,2' dipyridyl in *Escherichia coli. Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research* 42, 1015-1019.

Fierer, N., Breitbart, M., Nulton, J., Salamon, P., Lozupone, C., Jones, R., Robeson, M., Edwards, R.A., Felts, B., Rayhawk, S., Knight, R., Rohwer, F. & Jackson, R.B. (2007). Metagenomic and small-subunit rRNA analyses reveal the genetic diversity of bacteria, archaea, fungi, and viruses in soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73, 7059-7066.

Foyer, C.H., Lopez-Delgado, H., Dat, J.F. & Scott, I.M. (1997). Hydrogen peroxide- and glutathione-associated mechanisms of acclimatory stress tolerance and signalling. *Physiologia Plantarum* 100, 241-254.

Foyer, C.H. & Noctor, G. (2005). Oxidant and antioxidant signalling in plants: A re-evaluation of the concept of oxidative stress in a physiological context. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 28, 1056-1071.

Fu, S.F., Wei, J.Y., Chen, H.W., Liu, Y.Y., Lu, H.Y. & Chou, J.Y. (2015). Indole-3-acetic acid: A widespread physiological code in interactions of fungi with other organisms. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* 10:8.

Fuhrberg, B., Balzer, I., Hardeland, R., Werner, A. & Lüning, K. (1996). The vertebrate pineal hormone melatonin is produced by the brown alga *Pterygophora californica* and mimics dark effects on growth rate in the light. *Planta* 200, 125-131.

Fuhrberg, B., Hardeland, R., Poeggeler, B. & Behrmann, G. (1997). Dramatic rises of melatonin and 5-methoxytryptamine in *Gonyaulax* exposed to decreased temperature. *Biological Rhythm Research* 28, 144-150.

Fujiwara, T., Maisonneuve, S., Isshiki, M., Mizutani, M., Chen, L., Ling Wong, H., Kawasaki,
T. & Shimamoto, K. (2010). Sekiguchi lesion gene encodes a cytochrome P450 monooxygenase that catalyzes conversion of tryptamine to serotonin in rice. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 285, 11308-11313.

Gallavotti, A. (2013). The role of auxin in shaping shoot architecture. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64, 2593-2608.

Geisseler, D., Linquist, B.A. & Lazicki, P.A. (2017). Effect of fertilization on soil microorganisms in paddy rice systems – A meta-analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 115, 452-460.

Germann, S.M., Baallal Jacobsen, S.A., Schneider, K., Harrison, S.J., Jensen, N.B., Chen, X., Stahlhut, S.G., Borodina, I., Luo, H., Zhu, J., Maury, J. & Forster, J. (2016). Glucose-based microbial production of the hormone melatonin in yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology Journal* 11, 717-724.

Glick, B.R. (2004). Bacterial ACC deaminase and the alleviation of plant stress. *Advances in applied microbiology* 56, 291-312.

Glick, B.R. (2010). Using soil bacteria to facilitate phytoremediation. *Biotechnology Advances* 28, 367-374.

Glick, B.R. (2014). Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world. *Microbiological Research* 169, 30-39.

Han, Q.H., Huang, B., Ding, C.B., Zhang, Z.W., Chen, Y.E., Hu, C., Zhou, L.J., Huang, Y., Liao, J.Q., Yuan, S. & Yuan, M. (2017). Effects of melatonin on anti-oxidative systems and photosystem II in cold-stressed rice seedlings. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8: 785.

Hardeland, R. (1999). Melatonin and 5-methoxytryptamine in non-metazoans. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* 39, 399-408.

Hardeland, R. (2015). Melatonin in plants and other phototrophs: advances and gaps concerning the diversity of functions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 627-646.

Hardeland, R. (2016). Melatonin in plants – Diversity of levels and multiplicity of functions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7: 108.

Hardeland, R., Madrid, J.A., Tan, D.X. & Reiter, R.J. (2012). Melatonin, the circadian multioscillator system and health: The need for detailed analyses of peripheral melatonin signaling. *Journal of Pineal Research* 52, 139-166.

Hasan, M.K., Ahammed, G.J., Yin, L., Shi, K., Xia, X., Zhou, Y., Yu, J. & Zhou, J. (2015). Melatonin mitigates cadmium phytotoxicity through modulation of phytochelatins biosynthesis, vacuolar sequestration, and antioxidant potential in *Solanum lycopersicum* L. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 6: 601.

Hattori, A., Migitaka, H., Iigo, M., Itoh, M., Yamamoto, K., Ohtani-Kaneko, R., Hara, M., Suzuki, T. & Reiter, R.J. (1995). Identification of melatonin in plants and its effects on plasma melatonin levels and binding to melatonin receptors in vertebrates. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology International* 35, 627-634.

Hayashi, K., Fujita, Y., Ashizawa, T., Suzuki, F., Nagamura, Y. & Hayano-Saito, Y. (2016). Serotonin attenuates biotic stress and leads to lesion browning caused by a hypersensitive response to *Magnaporthe oryzae* penetration in rice. *Plant Journal* 85, 46-56.

Hernández-Ruiz, J., Cano, A. & Arnao, M.B. (2004). Melatonin: A growth-stimulating compound present in lupin tissues. *Planta* 220, 140-144.

Hoffmann, C., Dollive, S., Grunberg, S., Chen, J., Li, H., Wu, G.D., Lewis, J.D. & Bushman, F.D. (2013). *Archaea* and *Fungi* of the human gut microbiome: correlations with diet and bacterial residents. *Plos One* 8: 6.

Howlett, N.G. & Avery, S.V. (1997). Induction of lipid peroxidation during heavy metal stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and influence of plasma membrane fatty acid unsaturation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 63, 2971-2976.

Hu, L., Wang, R., Liu, X., Xu, B., Xie, T., Li, Y., Wang, M., Wang, G. & Chen, Y. (2018). Cadmium phytoextraction potential of king grass (*Pennisetum sinese* Roxb.) and responses of rhizosphere bacterial communities to a cadmium pollution gradient. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25, 21671-21681.

Igiehon, N.O. & Babalola, O.O. (2018). Rhizosphere microbiome modulators: Contributions of nitrogen fixing bacteria towards sustainable agriculture. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 15: 4.

Imlay, J.A. (2019). Where in the world do bacteria experience oxidative stress? *Environmental Microbiology* 21, 521-530.

Inupakutika, M.A., Sengupta, S., Devireddy, A.R., Azad, R.K. & Mittler, R. (2016). The evolution of reactive oxygen species metabolism. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 67, 5933-5943.

Jakobsen, I. & Rosendahl, L. (1990). Carbon flow into soil and external hyphae from roots of mycorrhizal cucumber plants. *New Phytologist* 115, 77-83.

Jan, J.E., Reiter, R.J., Wasdell, M.B. & Bax, M. (2009). The role of the thalamus in sleep, pineal melatonin production, and circadian rhythm sleep disorders. *Journal of Pineal Research* 46, 1-7.

Jia, T., Wang, R., Fan, X. & Chai, B. (2018). A comparative study of fungal community structure, diversity and richness between the soil and the phyllosphere of native grass species in a copper tailings dam in Shanxi Province, China. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)* 8: 8.

Jiang, C., Cui, Q., Feng, K., Xu, D., Li, C. & Zheng, Q. (2016). Melatonin improves antioxidant capacity and ion homeostasis and enhances salt tolerance in maize seedlings. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 38: 82.

Jiang, Y., Lei, Y., Qin, W., Korpelainen, H. & Li, C. (2019). Revealing microbial processes and nutrient limitation in soil through ecoenzymatic stoichiometry and glomalin-related soil proteins in a retreating glacier forefield. *Geoderma* 338, 313-324.

Jiao, J., Ma, Y., Chen, S., Liu, C., Song, Y., Qin, Y., Yuan, C. & Liu, Y. (2016). Melatoninproducing endophytic bacteria from grapevine roots promote the abiotic stress-induced production of endogenous melatonin in their hosts. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7.

Kaldorf, M. & Ludwig-Müller, J. (2000). AM fungi might affect the root morphology of maize by increasing indole-3-butyric acid biosynthesis. *Physiologia Plantarum* 109, 58-67.

Kang, K., Kim, Y.S., Park, S. & Back, K. (2009). Senescence-induced serotonin biosynthesis and its role in delaying senescence in rice leaves. *Plant Physiology* 150, 1380-1393.

Kang, K., Kong, K., Park, S., Natsagdorj, U., Kim, Y.S. & Back, K. (2011). Molecular cloning of a plant *N*-acetylserotonin methyltransferase and its expression characteristics in rice. *Journal of Pineal Research* 50, 304-309.

Kang, K., Kong, S., Lee, K., Park, M. & Back, K. (2008). Enzymatic features of serotonin biosynthetic enzymes and serotonin biosynthesis in plants. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* 3, 389-390.

Kaur, H., Mukherjee, S., Baluska, F. & Bhatla, S.C. (2015). Regulatory roles of serotonin and melatonin in abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* 10: 11.

Ke, Q., Kim, H.S., Wang, Z., Ji, C.Y., Jeong, J.C., Lee, H.S., Choi, Y.I., Xu, B., Deng, X., Yun, D.J. & Kwak, S.S. (2017). Down-regulation of GIGANTEA-like genes increases plant growth and salt stress tolerance in poplar. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 15, 331-343.

Khan, M., Rozhon, W. & Poppenberger, B. (2013). The role of hormones in the aging of plants - A mini-review. *Gerontology* 60, 49-55.

Khan, N.A., Mir, M.R., Nazar, R. & Singh, S. (2008). The application of ethephon (an ethylene releaser) increases growth, photosynthesis and nitrogen accumulation in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) under high nitrogen levels. *Plant Biology* 10, 534-538.

Kim, Y., Yoon, Y.H. & Park, W.J. (2011). Supply of tryptophan and tryptamine influenced the formation of melatonin in *Viola* plants. *Journal of Life Science* 21, 328–333.

Kirk, J.L., Beaudette, L.A., Hart, M., Moutoglis, P., Klironomos, J.N., Lee, H. & Trevors, J.T. (2004). Methods of studying soil microbial diversity. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 58, 169-188.

Kovacs, A., Yacoby, K. & Gophna, U. (2010) A systematic assessment of automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis (ARISA) as a tool for estimating bacterial richness. *Research in Microbiology* 161, 192-197.

Kratsch, H.A. & Wise, R.R. (2000). The ultrastructure of chilling stress. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 23, 337-350.

Kulkarni, G.B., Sanjeevkumar, S., Kirankumar, B., Santoshkumar, M. & Karegoudar, T.B. (2013). Indole-3-acetic acid biosynthesis in *Fusarium delphinoides* strain GPK, a causal agent of wilt in chickpea. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 169, 1292-1305.

Kumar, J. & Atri, N.S. (2018). Studies on ectomycorrhiza: An appraisal. *Botanical Review* 84, 108-155.

Lecube, M.L., Noriega, G.O., Santa Cruz, D.M., Tomaro, M.L., Batlle, A. & Balestrasse, K.B. (2014). Indole acetic acid is responsible for protection against oxidative stress caused by drought in soybean plants: The role of heme oxygenase induction. *Redox Report* 19, 242-250.

Lee, H.Y., Byeon, Y. & Back, K. (2014). Melatonin as a signal molecule triggering defense responses against pathogen attack in *Arabidopsis* and tobacco. *Journal of Pineal Research* 57, 262-268.

Lee, H.Y., Byeon, Y., Tan, D.X., Reiter, R.J. & Back, K. (2015). *Arabidopsis* serotonin *N*-acetyltransferase knockout mutant plants exhibit decreased melatonin and salicylic acid levels resulting in susceptibility to an avirulent pathogen. *Journal of Pineal Research* 58, 291-299.

Lee, K. & Back, K. (2017). Overexpression of rice serotonin N-acetyltransferase 1 in transgenic rice plants confers resistance to cadmium and senescence and increases grain yield. *Journal of Pineal Research* 62: 3.

Lee, K., Zawadzka, A., Czarnocki, Z., Reiter, R.J. & Back, K. (2016). Molecular cloning of melatonin 3-hydroxylase and its production of cyclic 3-hydroxymelatonin in rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Journal of Pineal Research* 61, 470-478.

Leng, G. (2017). Evidence for a weakening strength of temperature-corn yield relation in the United States during 1980–2010. *Science of the Total Environment* 605-606, 551-558.

Lerner, A.B., Case, J.D. & Heinzelman, R.V. (1959). Structure of melatonin *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 81, 6084-6085.

Lerner, A.B., Case, J.D., Takahashi, Y., Lee, T.H. & Mori, W. (1958). Isolation of melatonin, the pineal gland factor that lightens melanocytes. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 80, 2587.

Li, C., Wang, P., Wei, Z., Liang, D., Liu, C., Yin, L., Jia, D., Fu, M. & Ma, F. (2012). The mitigation effects of exogenous melatonin on salinity-induced stress in *Malus hupehensis*. *Journal of Pineal Research* 53, 298-306.

Li, C., Zhao, Q., Gao, T., Wang, H., Zhang, Z., Liang, B., Wei, Z., Liu, C. & Ma, F. (2018). The mitigation effects of exogenous melatonin on replant disease in apple. *Journal of Pineal Research* 65: 4.

Li, H., Chang, J., Chen, H., Wang, Z., Gu, X., Wei, C., Zhang, Y., Ma, J., Yang, J. & Zhang, X. (2017). Exogenous melatonin confers salt stress tolerance to watermelon by improving photosynthesis and redox homeostasis. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8: 295.

Liemburg-Apers, D.C., Willems, P.H.G.M., Koopman, W.J.H. & Grefte, S. (2015). Interactions between mitochondrial reactive oxygen species and cellular glucose metabolism. *Archives of Toxicology* 89, 1209-1226.

Liu, F.C., Xing, S.J., Ma, H.L., Chen, B., Ding, Y.Q. & Du, B.H. (2014). Effects of continuous drought on soil bacteria populations and community diversity in sweet cherry rhizosphere. *Shengtai Xuebao/ Acta Ecologica Sinica* 34, 642-649.

Liu, T., Zhao, F., Liu, Z., Zuo, Y., Hou, J. & Wang, Y. (2016). Identification of melatonin in *Trichoderma* spp. and detection of melatonin content under controlled-stress growth conditions from *T. asperellum. Journal of Basic Microbiology* 56, 838-843.

Lorenz, M. & Liining, K. (1999). Detection of endogenous melatonin in the marine red macroalgae *Porphyra umbilicalis* and *Palmaria palmata* by enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) and effects of melatonin administration on algal growth. In: Proceedings of the Conference News from the Plant Chronobiology Research, Markgrafenheide, Germany, 42–43.

Lozupone, C.A. & Knight, R. (2007). Global patterns in bacterial diversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 11436–11440.

Lu, J., Liu, J.B., Sheng, R., Liu, Y., Chen, A.L. & Wei, W.X. (2014). Effect of short-time drought process on denitrifying bacteria abundance and N₂O emission in paddy soil. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* 25, 2879-2884.

Lushchak, V. (2005). Dual role of reactive oxygen species in microorganisms. *Ukrain'skyi Biokhimichnyi Zhurnal* 77, 205.

Ma, X., Zhang, J., Burgess, P., Rossi, S. & Huang, B. (2018). Interactive effects of melatonin and cytokinin on alleviating drought-induced leaf senescence in creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera*). *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 145, 1-11.

Ma, Y., Jiao, J., Fan, X., Sun, H., Zhang, Y., Jiang, J. & Liu, C. (2017). Endophytic bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* RG11 may transform tryptophan to melatonin and promote endogenous melatonin levels in the roots of four grape cultivars. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7: 2068.

Majeed, A., Muhammad, Z. & Ahmad, H. (2018). Plant growth promoting bacteria: role in soil improvement, abiotic and biotic stress management of crops. *Plant Cell Reports* 37, 1599-1609.

Manchester, L.C., Coto-Montes, A., Boga, J.A., Andersen, L.P.H., Zhou, Z., Galano, A., Vriend, J., Tan, D.X. & Reiter, R.J. (2015). Melatonin: An ancient molecule that makes oxygen metabolically tolerable. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 403-419.

Manchester, L.C., Poeggeler, B., Alvares, F.L., Ogden, G.B. & Reiter, R.J. (1995). Melatonin immunoreactivity in the photosynthetic prokaryote *Rhodospirillum rubrum*: implications for an ancient antioxidant system. *Cellular & molecular biology research* 41, 391-395.

Maronde, E. & Stehle, J.H. (2007). The mammalian pineal gland: known facts, unknown facets. *Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism* 18, 142-149.

Matiu, M., Ankerst, D.P. & Menzel, A. (2017). Interactions between temperature and drought in global and regional crop yield variability during 1961-2014. *Plos One* 12: 5.

Mello, A. & Balestrini, R. (2018). Recent insights on biological and ecological aspects of ectomycorrhizal fungi and their interactions. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9: 216.

Mittler, R. (2002). Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. *Trends in Plant Science* 7, 405-410.

Mizrahi-Man, O., Davenport, E. R. & Gilad, Y. (2013) Taxonomic Classification of Bacterial 16S rRNA Genes Using Short Sequencing Reads: Evaluation of Effective Study Designs. *PLoS One* 8: 1.

Morton, L.W. & Abendroth, L.J. (2017). Crops, climate, culture, and change. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 72, 47-52.

Mukherjee, S. (2018). Novel perspectives on the molecular crosstalk mechanisms of serotonin and melatonin in plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 132, 33-45.

Mukherjee, S., David, A., Yadav, S., Baluška, F. & Bhatla, S.C. (2014). Salt stress-induced seedling growth inhibition coincides with differential distribution of serotonin and melatonin in sunflower seedling roots and cotyledons. *Physiologia Plantarum* 152, 714-728.

Munns, R. & Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 59, 651-681.

Murch, S.J., Alan, A.R., Cao, J. & Saxena, P.K. (2009). Melatonin and serotonin in flowers and fruits of *Datura metel L. Journal of Pineal Research* 47, 277-283.

Muszyńska, B. & Sułkowska-Ziaja, K. (2012). Analysis of indole compounds in edible *Basidiomycota* species after thermal processing. *Food Chemistry* 132, 455-459.

Muszyńska, B., Sułkowska-Ziaja, K. & Ekiert, H. (2011). Indole compounds in fruiting bodies of some edible *Basidiomycota* species. *Food Chemistry* 125, 1306-1308.

Narasimhan, K., Basheer, C., Bajic, V.B. & Swarup, S. (2003). Enhancement of plant-microbe interactions using a rhizosphere metabolomics-driven approach and its application in the removal of polychlorinated biphenyls. *Plant Physiology* 132, 146-153.

Nawaz, M.A., Huang, Y., Bie, Z., Ahmed, W., Reiter, R.J., Niu, M. & Hameed, S. (2016). Melatonin: Current status and future perspectives in plant science. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 6: 1230.

Nehela, Y. & Killiny, N. (2018). Infection with phytopathogenic bacterium inhibits melatonin biosynthesis, decreases longevity of its vector, and suppresses the free radical-defense. *Journal of Pineal Research* 65: 3.

Nguyen Le, T.N., Byeon, Y., Kim, Y.S. & Back, K. (2013). Transient induction of melatonin biosynthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) during the reproductive stage Sangkyu Park1. *Journal of Pineal Research* 55, 40-45.

Oleskin, A.V., Kirovskaya, T.A., Botvinko, I.V. & Lysak, L.V. (1998a). Effects of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine) on the growth and differentiation of microorganisms. *Mikrobiologiya* 67, 305-312.

Oleskin, A.V., Kirovskaya, T.A., Botvinko, I.V. & Lysak, L.V. (1998b). Effects of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine) on the growth and differentiation of microorganisms. *Microbiology* 67, 251-257.

Öztürk, A.I., Yilmaz, O., Kirbağ, S. & Arslan, M. (2000). Antimicrobial and biological effects of ipemphos and amphos on bacterial and yeast strains. *Cell Biochemistry and Function* 18, 117-126.

Pajares, S. & Bohannan, B.J.M. (2016). Ecology of nitrogen fixing, nitrifying, and denitrifying microorganisms in tropical forest soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7: 1045.

Pandi-Perumal, S.R., Trakht, I., Srinivasan, V., Spence, D.W., Maestroni, G.J.M., Zisapel, N.
& Cardinali, D.P. (2008). Physiological effects of melatonin: Role of melatonin receptors and signal transduction pathways. *Progress in Neurobiology* 85, 335-353.

Park, M., Kang, K., Park, S. & Back, K. (2008). Conversion of 5-hydroxytryptophan into serotonin by tryptophan decarboxylase in plants, *Escherichia coli*, and yeast. *Bioscience*, *Biotechnology and Biochemistry* 72, 2456-2458.

Park, S., Byeon, Y. & Back, K. (2013). Transcriptional suppression of tryptamine 5hydroxylase, a terminal serotonin biosynthetic gene, induces melatonin biosynthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pineal Research* 55, 131-137.

Park, S., Kang, K., Lee, K., Choi, D., Kim, Y.S. & Back, K. (2009). Induction of serotonin biosynthesis is uncoupled from the coordinated induction of tryptophan biosynthesis in pepper fruits (*Capsicum annuum*) upon pathogen infection. *Planta* 230, 1197-1206.

Park, S., Kang, K., Lee, S.W., Ahn, M.J., Bae, J.M. & Back, K. (2011). Production of serotonin by dual expression of tryptophan decarboxylase and tryptamine 5-hydroxylase in *Escherichia coli*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 89, 1387-1394.

Park, S., Lee, K., Kim, Y.S. & Back, K. (2012). Tryptamine 5-hydroxylase-deficient Sekiguchi rice induces synthesis of 5-hydroxytryptophan and *N*-acetyltryptamine but decreases melatonin biosynthesis during senescence process of detached leaves. *Journal of Pineal Research* 52, 211-216.

Paulose, J.K. & Cassone, V.M. (2016). The melatonin-sensitive circadian clock of the enteric bacterium *Enterobacter aerogenes. Gut Microbes*, 1-4.

Pelagio-Flores, R., Muñoz-Parra, E., Ortiz-Castro, R. & López-Bucio, J. (2012). Melatonin regulates *Arabidopsis* root system architecture likely acting independently of auxin signaling. *Journal of Pineal Research* 53, 279-288.

Posmyk, M.M., Bałabusta, M., Wieczorek, M., Sliwinska, E. & Janas, K.M. (2009). Melatonin applied to cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) seeds improves germination during chilling stress. *Journal of Pineal Research* 46, 214-223.

Redecker, D., Schüßler, A., Stockinger, H., Stürmer, S.L., Morton, J.B. & Walker, C. (2013). An evidence-based consensus for the classification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomeromycota*). *Mycorrhiza* 23, 515-531.

Reineke, G., Heinze, B., Schirawski, J., Buettner, H., Kahmann, R. & Basse, C.W. (2008). Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) biosynthesis in the smut fungus *Ustilago maydis* and its relevance for increased IAA levels in infected tissue and host tumour formation. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 9, 339-355.

Reiter, R.J., Mayo, J.C., Tan, D.X., Sainz, R.M., Alatorre-Jimenez, M. & Qin, L. (2016). Melatonin as an antioxidant: under promises but over delivers. *Journal of Pineal Research* 61, 253-278.

Reiter, R.J., Tan, D.X., Zhou, Z., Cruz, M.H.C., Fuentes-Broto, L. & Galano, A. (2015). Phytomelatonin: Assisting plants to survive and thrive. *Molecules* 20, 7396-7437.

Ren, W., Wang, P., Yan, J., Liu, G., Zeng, B., Hussain, T., Peng, C., Yin, J., Li, T., Wei, H., Zhu, G., Reiter, R.J., Tan, B. & Yin, Y. (2018). Melatonin alleviates weanling stress in mice: Involvement of intestinal microbiota. *Journal of Pineal Research* 64: 2.

Rincon-Florez, V.A., Carvalhais, L.C. & Schenk, P.M. (2013). Culture-independent molecular tools for soil and rhizosphere microbiology. *Diversity* 5, 581-612.

Rodriguez-Naranjo, M.I., Torija, M.J., Mas, A., Cantos-Villar, E. & Garcia-Parrilla, M.D.C. (2012). Production of melatonin by *Saccharomyces* strains under growth and fermentation conditions. *Journal of Pineal Research* 53, 219-224.

Rodriguez, C., Mayo, J.C., Sainz, R.M., Antolín, I., Herrera, F., Martín, V. & Reiter, R.J. (2004). Regulation of antioxidant enzymes: A significant role for melatonin. *Journal of Pineal Research* 36, 1-9.

Roshchina, V.V. (2016). New trends and perspectives in the evolution of neurotransmitters in microbial, plant, and animal cells. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* 874, 25-77.

Schieber, M. & Chandel, N.S. (2014). ROS function in redox signaling and oxidative stress. *Current Biology* 24, 453-462.

Schnecker, J., Wild, B., Takriti, M., Eloy Alves, R.J., Gentsch, N., Gittel, A., Hofer, A., Klaus, K., Knoltsch, A., Lashchinskiy, N., Mikutta, R. & Richter, A. (2015). Microbial community composition shapes enzyme patterns in topsoil and subsoil horizons along a latitudinal transect in Western Siberia. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 83, 106-115.

Seaver, L.C. & Imlay, J.A. (2001). Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase is the primary scavenger of endogenous hydrogen peroxide in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 183, 7173-7181.

Shentu, J.L., He, Z.L., Yang, X.E. & Li, T.Q. (2008). Microbial activity and community diversity in a variable charge soil as affected by cadmium exposure levels and time. *Journal of Zhejiang University: Science B* 9, 250-260.

Shi, H., Chen, Y., Tan, D.X., Reiter, R.J., Chan, Z. & He, C. (2015a). Melatonin induces nitric oxide and the potential mechanisms relate to innate immunity against bacterial pathogen infection in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 102-108.

Shi, H., Tan, D.X., Reiter, R.J., Ye, T., Yang, F. & Chan, Z. (2015b). Melatonin induces class A1 heat-shock factors (HSFA1s) and their possible involvement of thermotolerance in *Arabidopsis. Journal of Pineal Research* 58, 335-342.

Shida, C.S., Castrucci, A.M.L. & Lamy-Freund, M.T. (1994). High melatonin solubility in aqueous medium. *Journal of Pineal Research* 16, 198-201.

Sinha, S. & Mukherjee, S.K. (2008). Cadmium-induced siderophore production by a high Cdresistant bacterial strain relieved Cd toxicity in plants through root colonization. *Current Microbiology* 56, 55-60.

Smith, S.E., Smith, F.A. & Jakobsen, I. (2003). Mycorrhizal fungi can dominate phosphate supply to plants irrespective of growth responses. *Plant Physiology* 133, 16-20.

Smith, S.E., Smith, F.A. & Jakobsen, I. (2004). Functional diversity in arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbioses: The contribution of the mycorrhizal P uptake pathway is not correlated with mycorrhizal responses in growth or total P uptake. *New Phytologist* 162, 511-524.

Sogin, M. L., Morrison, H. G., Huber, J. A., Welch, D. M., Huse, S. M., Neal, P. R., Arrieta, J. M. & Herndl, G. J. (2006) Microbial diversity in the deep sea and the underexplored "rare biosphere". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103, 12115-12120.

Sorahinobar, M., Niknam, V., Ebrahimzadeh, H., Soltanloo, H., Behmanesh, M. & Enferadi, S.T. (2016). Central role of salicylic acid in resistance of wheat against *Fusarium graminearum*. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 35, 477-491.

Sørensen, J., Nicolaisen, M. H., Ron, E. & Simonet, P. (2009) Molecular tools in rhizosphere microbiology-from single-cell to whole-community analysis. *Plant and Soil* 321, 483-512.

Sosa-Hernández, M.A., Roy, J., Hempel, S., Kautz, T., Köpke, U., Uksa, M., Schloter, M., Caruso, T. & Rillig, M.C. (2018). Subsoil arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in arable soil differ from those in topsoil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 117, 83-86.

Sprenger, J., Hardeland, R., Fuhrberg, B. & Han, S.Z. (1999). Melatonin and other 5methoxylated indoles in yeast: Presence in high concentrations and dependence on tryptophan availability. *Cytologia* 64, 209-213.

Staley, C. & Sadowsky, M. J. (2018) Practical considerations for sampling and data analysis in contemporary metagenomics-based environmental studies. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 154, 14-18.

Sun, L., Li, X., Wang, Z., Sun, Z., Zhu, X., Liu, S., Song, F., Liu, F. & Wang, Y. (2018). Cold priming induced tolerance to subsequent low temperature stress is enhanced by melatonin application during recovery in wheat. *Molecules* 23: 5.

Suszek-Łopatka, B., Maliszewska-Kordybach, B., Klimkowicz-Pawlas, A. & Smreczak, B. (2019). The drought and high wet soil condition impact on PAH (phenanthrene) toxicity towards nitrifying bacteria. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 274-280.

Szafrańska, K., Glińska, S. & Janas, K.M. (2013). Ameliorative effect of melatonin on meristematic cells of chilled and re-warmed *Vigna radiata* roots. *Biologia Plantarum* 57, 91-96.

Tan, D.X., Hardeland, R., Manchester, L.C., Korkmaz, A., Ma, S., Rosales-Corral, S. & Reiter, R.J. (2012). Functional roles of melatonin in plants, and perspectives in nutritional and agricultural science. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63, 577-597.

Tan, D.X., Hardeland, R., Manchester, L.C., Paredes, S.D., Korkmaz, A., Sainz, R.M., Mayo, J.C., Fuentes-Broto, L. & Reiter, R.J. (2010). The changing biological roles of melatonin during evolution: From an antioxidant to signals of darkness, sexual selection and fitness. *Biological Reviews* 85, 607-623.

Tan, D.X., Zheng, X.D., Kong, J., Manchester, L.C., Hardeland, R., Kim, S.J., Xu, X.Y. & Reiter, R.J. (2014). Fundamental issues related to the origin of melatonin and melatonin isomers during evolution: relation to their biological functions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 15, 15858-15890.

Tang, Y., Lin, L., Xie, Y., Liu, J., Sun, G., Li, H., Liao, M., Wang, Z., Liang, D., Xia, H., Wang, X., Zhang, J., Liu, Z., Huang, Z., He, Z. & Tu, L. (2018). Melatonin affects the growth and cadmium accumulation of *Malachium aquaticum* and *Galinsoga parviflora*. *International Journal of Phytoremediation* 20, 295-300.

Tekbas, O.F., Ogur, R., Korkmaz, A., Kilic, A. & Reiter, R.J. (2008). Melatonin as an antibiotic: New insights into the actions of this ubiquitous molecule. *Journal of Pineal Research* 44, 222-226.

Thiem, D., Gołebiewski, M., Hulisz, P., Piernik, A. & Hrynkiewicz, K. (2018). How does salinity shape bacterial and fungal microbiomes of *Alnus glutinosa* roots? *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9: 651.

Thompson, L. R., Sanders, J. G., McDonald, D., Amir, A., Ladau, J., Locey, K. J., Prill, R. J., et al. & Zhao, H. (2017) A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. *Nature* 551, 457-463.

Tilden, A.R., Becker, M.A., Amma, L.L., Arciniega, J. & McGaw, A.K. (1997). Melatonin production in an aerobic photosynthetic bacterium: An evolutionarily early association with darkness. *Journal of Pineal Research* 22, 102-106.

Tiryaki, I. & Keles, H. (2012). Reversal of the inhibitory effect of light and high temperature on germination of *Phacelia tanacetifolia* seeds by melatonin. *Journal of Pineal Research* 52, 332-339.

Valko, M., Morris, H. & Cronin, M.T.D. (2005). Metals, toxicity and oxidative stress. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* 12, 1161-1208.

Van der Heijden, M.G.A., Martin, F.M., Selosse, M.A. & Sanders, I.R. (2015). Mycorrhizal ecology and evolution: The past, the present, and the future. *New Phytologist* 205, 1406-1423.

Van Elsas, J. D., Duarte, G. F., Keijzer-Wolters, A. & Smit, E. (2000) Analysis of the dynamics of fungal communities in soil via fungal-specific PCR of soil DNA followed by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 43, 133-151.

Van Gorsel, E., Wolf, S., Cleverly, J., Isaac, P., Haverd, V., Ewenz, C., Arndt, S., Beringer, J., De Dios, V.R., Evans, B.J., Griebel, A., Hutley, L.B., Keenan, T., Kljun, N., Macfarlane, C., Meyer, W.S., McHugh, I., Pendall, E., Prober, S.M. & Silberstein, R. (2016). Carbon uptake and water use in woodlands and forests in southern Australia during an extreme heat wave event in the "angry Summer" of 2012/2013. *Biogeosciences* 13, 5947-5964.

Vigentini, I., Gardana, C., Fracassetti, D., Gabrielli, M., Foschino, R., Simonetti, P., Tirelli, A. & Iriti, M. (2015). Yeast contribution to melatonin, melatonin isomers and tryptophan ethyl ester during alcoholic fermentation of grape musts. *Journal of Pineal Research* 58, 388-396.

Wahid, F., Sharif, M., Steinkellner, S., Khan, M.A., Marwat, K.B. & Khan, S.A. (2016). Inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in the presence of rock phosphate improves phosphorus uptake and growth of maize. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 48, 739-747.

Wall, D.H. & Virginia, R.A. (1999). Controls on soil biodiversity: Insights from extreme environments. *Applied Soil Ecology* 13, 137-150.

Wan, J., Zhang, P., Wang, R., Sun, L., Ju, Q. & Xu, J. (2018). Comparative physiological responses and transcriptome analysis reveal the roles of melatonin and serotonin in regulating growth and metabolism in *Arabidopsis*. *BMC Plant Biology* 18: 1.

Wang, B., Adachi, Y. & Sugiyama, S. (2018). Soil productivity and structure of bacterial and fungal communities in unfertilized arable soil. *Plos One* 13: 9.

Wang, H.X., Liu, F. & Ng, T.B. (2001). Examination of pineal indoles and 6-methoxy-2benzoxazolinone for antioxidant and antimicrobial effects. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - C Toxicology and Pharmacology* 130, 379-388.

Wang, L., Feng, C., Zheng, X., Guo, Y., Zhou, F., Shan, D., Liu, X. & Kong, J. (2017a). Plant mitochondria synthesize melatonin and enhance the tolerance of plants to drought stress. *Journal of Pineal Research* 63: 3.

Wang, L., Zhao, Y., Reiter, R.J., He, C.J., Liu, G.S., Lei, Q., Zuo, B.X., Zheng, X.D., Li, Q.T.& Kong, J. (2014). Changes in melatonin levels in transgenic 'Micro-Tom' tomato

overexpressing ovine AANAT and ovine HIOMT genes. Journal of Pineal Research 56, 134-142.

Wang, L.M., Huang, D.F., Fang, Y., Wang, F., Li, F.L. & Liao, M. (2017b). Soil fungal communities in tea plantation after 10 years of chemical vs. Integrated fertilization. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research* 77, 355-364.

Wang, W., Su, S., Wang, S., Ye, L. & Yu, H. (2019). Significantly improved catalytic efficiency of caffeic acid *O*-methyltransferase towards *N*-acetylserotonin by strengthening its interactions with the unnatural substrate's terminal structure. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 125, 1-5.

Wang, W., Vinocur, B. & Altman, A. (2003). Plant responses to drought, salinity and extreme temperatures: Towards genetic engineering for stress tolerance. *Planta* 218, 1-14.

Weeda, S., Zhang, N., Zhao, X.L., Ndip, G., Guo, Y.D., Buck, G.A., Fu, C.G. & Ren, S.X. (2014). *Arabidopsis* transcriptome analysis reveals key roles of melatonin in plant defense systems. *Plos One* 9: 18.

Wei, J., Li, D.X., Zhang, J.R., Shan, C., Rengel, Z., Song, Z.B. & Chen, Q. (2018). Phytomelatonin receptor *PMTR1*-mediated signaling regulates stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Pineal Research* 65: 2.

Wei, W., Li, Q.T., Chu, Y.N., Reiter, R.J., Yu, X.M., Zhu, D.H., Zhang, W.K., Ma, B., Lin, Q., Zhang, J.S. & Chen, S.Y. (2015). Melatonin enhances plant growth and abiotic stress tolerance in soybean plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 695-707.

Wood, J.L., Tang, C. & Franks, A.E. (2016a). Microbial associated plant growth and heavy metal accumulation to improve phytoextraction of contaminated soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 103, 131-137.

Wood, J.L., Zhang, C., Mathews, E.R., Tang, C. & Franks, A.E. (2016b). Microbial community dynamics in the rhizosphere of a cadmium hyper-accumulator. *Scientific Reports* 6, 1-10.

Wu, X.R., Luo, J.F., Zhu, X.J., Zhao, D.D. & Lin, W.T. (2017). Enhancement of luminescent bacteria sensitivity on toxic substances by DMSO. *Modern Food Science and Technology* 33, 298-304.

Xu, P., Wang, J., Hong, F., Wang, S., Jin, X., Xue, T., Jia, L. & Zhai, Y. (2017). Melatonin prevents obesity through modulation of gut microbiota in mice. *Journal of Pineal Research* 62: 4

Yadav, A.N., Sachan, S.G., Verma, P. & Saxena, A.K. (2015). Prospecting cold deserts of north western Himalayas for microbial diversity and plant growth promoting attributes. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 119, 683-693.

Yadu, S., Dewangan, T.L., Chandrakar, V. & Keshavkant, S. (2017). Imperative roles of salicylic acid and nitric oxide in improving salinity tolerance in *Pisum sativum* L. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants* 23, 43-58.

Yang, Y., Dou, Y., Huang, Y. & An, S. (2017). Links between soil fungal diversity and plant and soil properties on the Loess Plateau. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8: 2198.

Yao, H., He, Z., Wilson, M.J. & Campbell, C.D. (2000). Microbial biomass and community structure in a sequence of soils with increasing fertility and changing land use. *Microbial Ecology* 40, 223-237.

Yao, Q., Zhu, H.H. & Chen, J.Z. (2005). Growth responses and endogenous IAA and iPAs changes of litchi *(Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) seedlings induced by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal inoculation. *Scientia Horticulturae* 105, 145-151.

Yin, J., Li, Y., Han, H., Chen, S., Gao, J., Liu, G., Wu, X., Deng, J., Yu, Q., Huang, X., Fang, R., Li, T., Reiter, R.J., Zhang, D., Zhu, C., Zhu, G., Ren, W. & Yin, Y. (2018). Melatonin reprogramming of gut microbiota improves lipid dysmetabolism in high-fat diet-fed mice. *Journal of Pineal Research* 65: 4.

Yin, L., Wang, P., Li, M., Ke, X., Li, C., Liang, D., Wu, S., Ma, X., Li, C., Zou, Y. & Ma, F. (2013). Exogenous melatonin improves *Malus* resistance to Marssonina apple blotch. *Journal of Pineal Research* 54, 426-434.

Yu, Y., Wu, M., Petropoulos, E., Zhang, J., Nie, J., Liao, Y., Li, Z., Lin, X. & Feng, Y. (2019). Responses of paddy soil bacterial community assembly to different long-term fertilizations in southeast China. *Science of the Total Environment* 656, 625-633.

Zampol, M.A. & Barros, M.H. (2018). Melatonin improves survival and respiratory activity of yeast cells challenged by alpha-synuclein and menadione. *Yeast* 35, 281-290.

Zancarini, A., Mougel, C., Voisin, A. S., Prudent, M., Salon, C. & Munier-Jolain, N. (2012) Soil nitrogen availability and plant genotype modify the nutrition strategies of *M. truncatula* and the associated rhizosphere microbial communities. *PLoS One* 7: 10. Zhang, H.X. & Blumwald, E. (2001). Transgenic salt-tolerant tomato plants accumulate salt in foliage but not in fruit. *Nature Biotechnology* 19, 765-768.

Zhang, N., Sun, Q., Zhang, H., Cao, Y., Weeda, S., Ren, S. & Guo, Y.D. (2015). Roles of melatonin in abiotic stress resistance in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 647-656.

Zhang, N., Zhao, B., Zhang, H.J., Weeda, S., Yang, C., Yang, Z.C., Ren, S.X. & Guo, Y.D. (2013). Melatonin promotes water-stress tolerance, lateral root formation, and seed germination in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Journal of Pineal Research* 54, 15-23.

Zhang, S., Liu, S., Zhang, J., Reiter, R.J., Wang, Y., Qiu, D., Luo, X., Khalid, A.R., Wang, H., Feng, L., Lin, Z. & Ren, M. (2018). Synergistic anti-oomycete effect of melatonin with a biofungicide against oomycetic black shank disease. *Journal of Pineal Research* 65: 2.

Zhao, H., Su, T., Huo, L., Wei, H., Jiang, Y., Xu, L. & Ma, F. (2015). Unveiling the mechanism of melatonin impacts on maize seedling growth: Sugar metabolism as a case. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 255-266.

Zheng, X., Tan, D.X., Allan, A.C., Zuo, B., Zhao, Y., Reiter, R.J., Wang, L., Wang, Z., Guo, Y., Zhou, J., Shan, D., Li, Q., Han, Z. & Kong, J. (2017). Chloroplastic biosynthesis of melatonin and its involvement in protection of plants from salt stress. *Scientific Reports* 7: 41236.

Zhu, D., Ma, Y., Ding, S., Jiang, H. & Fang, J. (2018). Effects of melatonin on intestinal microbiota and oxidative stress in colitis mice. *BioMed Research International* 2018: 2607679.

Zhu, J.K. (2001). Plant salt tolerance. Trends in Plant Science 6, 66-71.

Zuo, B., Zheng, X., He, P., Wang, L., Lei, Q., Feng, C., Zhou, J., Li, Q., Han, Z. & Kong, J. (2014). Overexpression of *MzASMT* improves melatonin production and enhances drought tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants. *Journal of Pineal Research* 57, 408-417.

Chapter 2 Melatonin shapes microbial community structures in agricultural soils under abiotic stress

2.1 Abstract

An extensive body of evidence from this last decade indicates that melatonin enhances plant resistance to several biotic and abiotic stressors. This has led to an interest in the use of melatonin in agriculture to reduce negative physiological effects from environmental stresses that affect yield and crop quality. However, there are no reports regarding the effects of melatonin on soil microbial communities under abiotic stress, despite the importance of microbes for plant root health and function. Three agricultural soils associated with different land usage histories (pasture, canola or wheat) were placed under abiotic stress by cadmium (280 or 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil) or salt (7 or 4 g kg⁻¹ soil) and treated with melatonin (4 and 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ soil). The effects on microbial community structure were compared to controls using Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA). Significant differences in Operational Taxonomic Unit richness (α diversity) and community structures (β diversity) was observed between bacterial and fungal assemblages across all three soils, demonstrating the effect of melatonin on soil microbial communities under abiotic stress. The analysis also indicated that the microbial response to melatonin is governed by the type of soil and history. The effects of melatonin on soil microbes needs to be regarded in potential future agricultural applications.

2.2 Introduction

Soil microbial communities have an essential role in maintaining ecosystem health by direct exchange of nutrients and minerals with plants within the rhizosphere, as well as by providing nourishment to plants indirectly via nutrient cycling of organic matter (Wall and Virginia, 1999; Yao *et al.*, 2000; Kirk *et al.*, 2004; Wahid *et al.*, 2016). Microbial community structures in soil are altered by various abiotic stresses such as soil contaminants and salinity; as well as various secondary metabolites within root exudates, such as indoleamines (Badri and Vivanco, 2009; Fu *et al.*, 2015; Wood *et al.*, 2016a; Geisseler *et al.*, 2017). Anthropogenic activities, such as phosphorus fertiliser treatment, may introduce the highly toxic heavy metal cadmium (e.g. 300 mg Cd / kg P) into the environment, while irrigation with contaminated water may introduce cadmium or salt(Jiao *et al.*, 2012; Khairy *et al.*, 2014; Bencherif *et al.*, 2015). Both cadmium toxicity and soil salinization cause a dramatic increase in cellular levels of

highly destructive reactive oxygen species (ROS) as well as inhibiting the activities of ROS scavenging enzymes in microbes (Tanaka *et al.*, 2006; Achard-Joris *et al.*, 2007; Hossain *et al.*, 2012; Robertsa, 2014), thus decreasing crop yield. Globally, up to 2 million hectares of agricultural land are negatively impacted by salinization each year (Bencherif *et al.*, 2015; Ke *et al.*, 2017). Alterations to the soil microbial community can have important repercussions in agricultural settings, where microbes (both soil bacteria and fungi) are critical drivers of soil health and agricultural crop productivity (Mau *et al.*, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2019).

Melatonin (N-acetly-5-methoxytryptamine) is an indoleamine (secondary metabolite) produced by all cellular organisms (Hardeland, 2015; Manchester *et al.*, 2015), that can decrease the physiological deleterious effect of abiotic stresses. Melatonin can act either as a highly efficient antioxidant, scavenging up to 10 ROS per molecule, or as a signalling molecule regulating enzymes or hormones associated with ROS scavenging (Weeda *et al.*, 2014; Reiter *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Hardeland, 2016; Reiter *et al.*, 2016). Melatonin exposure alters gut microbiota composition (Schultz *et al.*, 2006; Chen *et al.*, 2011) and may have antibiotic activity against some microbes (Lucchelli *et al.*, 1997). In plants, exogenous melatonin application via seed-coatings (Wei *et al.*, 2015), soil treatments (Cui *et al.*, 2017), or foliar sprays (Zhang *et al.*, 2017) have been described to promote growth and protect plants against stressors such as cadmium (Byeon *et al.*, 2015; Li *et al.*, 2016; Gu *et al.*, 2017) and salt (Li *et al.*, 2012; Liang *et al.*, 2015; Jiang *et al.*, 2016). However, very little is known about the effects of this secondary metabolites on individual microbes or mixed microbial communities in soil (Tan *et al.*, 2014; Manchester *et al.*, 2015; Paulose *et al.*, 2016).

The effects of melatonin on microbial community structures in three different agricultural soils artificially stressed with cadmium or salt were examined. It was hypothesised that: 1) melatonin impacts microbial community structures in soils unstressed and under abiotically stressed conditions; 2) specific taxa are particularly responsive under the various conditions. This is the first study to date to report significant responses of microbes to melatonin under abiotic stress in agricultural soils at a community level.

2.3 Materials & method

2.3.1 Soil sampling and physiochemical characteristics

Three agricultural soils associated with different land uses were collected from sites within Victoria, Australia. Prior to sampling in late March and early April 2017, site "P" ($37^{\circ}32'28.1"$ S, $145^{\circ}05'42.5"$ E) was most recently (< 3 months) associated with cattle and sheep pasture, site "C" ($37^{\circ}45'28.4"$ S, $144^{\circ}14'30.2"$ E) was 3 weeks post canola harvest, and site "W" ($37^{\circ}43'31.1"$ S, $144^{\circ}13'14.3"$ E) was 3 weeks post fire blazing of stubble following a wheat harvest. As the plant species associated with a soil has been shown to be a key factor influencing the bacterial community structure within the rhizosphere (Burns *et al.*, 2015), it was expected that selecting these soils would provide the most diverse range of soil microbes for the current study.

At each site, approximately 4 kg topsoil was sampled (10 cm deep x 0.5 m width x 0.5 length) from each of four plots spaced 3 m apart. Soils were air dried overnight and sieved to remove particles larger than 2 mm. A single stock soil sample was generated for each site by pooling all four collected soils from the subsite plots (Aye *et al.*, 2016; Butterly et al., 2016). The collected stock soils were separately stored at ambient room temperature (21°C) in airtight plastic containers for four months, followed by subsampling (representing the first sampling timepoint; labelled as 'T0' in the analysis) for immediate treatments as described below. During this first soil subsampling process stock soils were thoroughly aerated. The stock soils were then stored at ambient room temperature (21°C) in airtight plastic containers for a further four weeks and subsampled for immediate treatments (representing the second sampling timepoint; labelled as 'T1' in the analysis) (Figure 2.1). It was expected that this aging regime would change the initial microbial populations and potentially shift selection pressures amongst the populations (Kelly et al., 1999; Castro et al., 2010; Heijboer et al., 2018; Reese et al., 2018). Physicochemical analyses of untreated soils were conducted by Nutrient Advantage (Melbourne, Australia) (Supplementary Table 2.7.1). Electrical conductivity (EC) of untreated and salt-treated (NaCl) soils was determined using 1:5 soil:water as per He et al. (He et al., 2012) (Supplementary Table 2.7.2).



Figure 2.1: Schematic diagram of workflow for preparations of soils P, C and W for treatments at timepoints T0 and T1.

2.3.2 Abiotic stress (cadmium or salt) and melatonin treatments

The responses of soil microbial communities to exogenous melatonin application was investigated with and without cadmium and salt as separately applied stressors (all chemicals from Sigma Aldrich Pty. Ltd., Australia). Five grams of sieved topsoil was transferred to a sterile 50 ml Falcon tube and exposed to various treatment combinations. At sampling timepoint T0, treatments were composed of high or low melatonin (4 or 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ soil respectively) and / or high or low cadmium (cadmium chloride hemipentahydrate) (280 or 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil respectively) as well as controls. At sampling timepoint T1, treatments were composed of melatonin (4 or 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ soil) and / or high or low salt (NaCl) stressor (7 or 4 g kg⁻¹ soil respectively). These concentrations were selected to be within the range of those reported to induce effects on soil microbial activities in previous studies for cadmium (Cáliz *et al.*, 2013; Wood *et al.*, 2016b) and salt (Rath *et al.*, 2016). Based upon preliminary studies, soils were treated to 80-90% field capacity, to ensure all soils were sufficiently exposed to stressor and melatonin. Soils without the addition of melatonin or stressor acted as a control.

Melatonin was initially dissolved in 99.9% ethanol to 200 mM and diluted to the required concentrations with sterile Milli-Q water. All treatments and controls contained a standardised amount of ethanol (100 µl of 0.43% ethanol per 5 g soil). Due to differing water holding capacities for each soil, this equated to a final ethanol concentration of 0.044%, 0.052% and 0.06% v/v in treatments within soils P, C and W respectively. Control treatments were composed of dilute ethanol replacing melatonin and sterile Milli-Q water replacing cadmium (timepoint T0) or NaCl (timepoint T1). Treatments and controls were conducted in quadruplicates. Samples were incubated in sterile 50 mL falcon tubes covered with loosely fitted lids to enable gas exchange at room temperature (21°C) in darkness for 10 days. This incubation period was chosen as microbial activity is generally stabilised 7-10 days post stress/moisture exposure (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2011a). Four untreated sample replicates per soil (i.e. no water added) were also collected on Day 0 to provide a representation of the baseline communities prior to treatments.

2.3.3 Microbial community fingerprinting – Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA)

Total soil DNA was extracted from 0.25 - 0.3 g of soil subsamples using PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories Inc., California, U.S.A.) according to manufacturer's instructions. ARISA provided a valuable estimate of both OTU richness (α diversity) and relative abundances of OTUs (β diversity), allowing comparisons of α and β diversity both across various soils and between samples exposed to different treatments (Zancarini *et al.*, 2012). Bacterial and fungal community analyses by ARISA were conducted by Australian Genome Research Facility as per Wood *et al.*, (2016b), targeting the intergenic spacer region of the 16S – 23S rRNA genes for bacteria and the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions 1 and 2 for fungi (Wood *et al.*, 2016b).

2.3.4 Statistical Analysis

OTU fragment sizes were limited to a range of between 140 – 1000 bp for both fungi and bacteria to ensure only the intergenic spacer region was represented in the data (Fisher and Triplett, 1999). Singletons and low abundance amplicons (<1% relative abundance) were disregarded (Fisher and Triplett, 1999). Data were normalized in the statistical software R version 3.3.2 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Boston, USA), with bin sizes of 3 and 4 selected for bacteria and fungi respectively (Ramette, 2009; Butterly et al., 2016). The following analyses were conducted in Primer-E v6 (Quest Research Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand), with treatments considered as fixed factors and microbial responses analysed for each soil separately: 1) Bray-Curtis similarity for microbial communities; 2) SIMPER analysis to identify the contribution of individual Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) to (dis)similarity between replicates or different treatments; 3) DIVERSE to determine Shannon diversity index (H') for sample data; 4) Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) to determine treatment effect (melatonin, stressors: cadmium and Salt) on microbial assemblages for each soil at various concentrations (High, Low and Zero) (Chow et al., 2013; Wood et al., 2016b). Monte Carlo statistical analysis was conducted to determine if individual treatment combinations had statistically significant effects on community compositions (Van Wijngaarden et al., 1995). Shannon's diversity index (H') was calculated from binned data to determine differences in biodiversity (relative abundance and evenness) of taxa present within each soil for fungal and bacterial communities upon treatment with melatonin (averaged for 4 replicates) (Ondreičková *et al.*, 2016). Subsequently, Shannon index values were analysed by non-parametric Wilcoxon test to determine significant (p < 0.05) differences upon melatonin treatments under high or low concentration for the stressors (cadmium or Salt). Non-multidimensional scaling (nMDS) and principal coordinates Analyses (PCoA) plots were generated using Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM) default parameters (significance [p < 0.05], 9999 permutations) for visual representation of community (dis)similarities between samples.

2.3.5 Quantitative PCR

Bacterial and fungal abundances were measured by quantitative PCR (qPCR) on DNA of the 16s rRNA and ITS region respectively. Bacterial communities were assessed using primer pairs 1114f (5'-CGG CAA CGA GCG CAA CCC-3') -1275r (5'-CCA TTG TAG CAC GTG TGT AGC C-3'), and fungal communities were assessed using ITS1F (5'-TCC GTA GGT GAA CCT GCG G-3') -5.8Sr (5'-CGC TGC GTT CTT CAT CG-3') primer (Wood et al., 2016b). Each treatment consisted of four biological replicates each quantified in technical triplicate using a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad). A 20 µl reaction mixture for bacterial samples was composed of 2 µl extracted DNA (0.25 ng/µl) and 18 µl Mastermix according to the following recipe: 3.3 µl Universal SYBR[®] Green Super Mix; 0.27 µl of each 10 µM forward and reverse primer (135 nM final concentration of each primer in reaction mixture); 14.16 µl DNA-free water. Bacterial qPCR reaction mixtures were held at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 94°C for 10 sec, 61.5°C for 30 sec. Fungal samples were prepared to a 10 μ l reaction mixture composed of 2 μ l extracted DNA (0.25 ng/µl) and 8 µl mastermix composed of 4.5 µl Universal SYBR[®] Green Super Mix; 0.5 µl of each 10 µM forward and reverse primer (500 nM final concentration of each primer in reaction mixture); 2.5 µl DNA-free water. Fungal qPCR conditions were 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 30 sec, 53°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec. A melting curve was measured from 65°C up to 95°C following qPCR reactions by increasing in 0.5°C increments every 30 sec. Purified amplicons from pure isolates of E. coli and Penicillium sp. cultures were used to generate standard curves (10-fold series dilutions) for bacterial and fungal samples respectively.
2.4 Results

2.4.1 Melatonin effects soil microbial diversity and abundance across all three soils

Three agricultural soils with different land use practices and diverse physiochemical characteristics were used (Supplementary Table 2.7.1) with the aim of providing the most diverse range of microbial assemblages (Geisseler *et al.*, 2017). A mean of 29.7, 30 and 36 bacterial OTU's, and 16.6, 16.4 and 14.8 fungal OTU's, for untreated soils P, C and W respectively were detected. The ARISA community profiles representing bacterial and fungal communities in the three dry untreated soils were statistically significantly different to each other (Supplementary Figure 2.7.1).

The addition of melatonin to all three soil types had significant effects (increases and decreases) on the bacterial α diversity (p < 0.05) but did not significantly affect the fungal α diversity (Supplementary Information 2.7.1). Individual bacterial and fungal OTUs that responded strongly to melatonin showed relative abundances increased by up to 7.1% and 11.5% and reduced by 16.9% and 10.2% respectively (Supplementary Tables 2.7.4 & 2.7.5). Analysis of the soils OTUs compositions (β diversity) by Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) indicated that bacterial and fungal community were significantly altered by melatonin and stressors application (Table 2.1, Supplementary Tables 2.7.6 – 2.7.8). The responses of microbial communities in all soils to melatonin under abiotic stress conditions were visualised by non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination (Figures 2.2 & 2.4; Supplementary Figures 2.7.7 & 2.7.8).

Table 2.1: Bacterial a) and fungal b) community responses to treatments (β diversity) with melatonin, stressors and melatonin-stressor combinations based on PERMANOVA analyses of ARISA data (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distances).

a)		_		_		_	
u)		МТ		Stressor		MT x Stressor	
		pseudo-F	p-value	pseudo-F	p-value	pseudo-F	p-value
C .: 1 D	Cd (T0)	1.429	0.1412	1.516	0.1121	1.975	0.0195*
Soil P	Salt (T1)	2.418	0.0006*** ^a	3.072	0.0002***	1.425	0.046*
SeilC	Cd (T0)	2.151	0.0213**	9.151	0.0001***	0.941	0.546
5011 C	Salt (T1)	3.166	0.0029**	19.587	0.0001***	8.721	0.0001***
Soil W	Cd (T0)	10.668	0.0001***	8.795	0.0001***	2.277	0.0001***
2011 W	Salt (T1)	7.839	0.0001***	10.595	0.0001***	10.232	0.0001***

b)

		Ν	МТ		Stressor		MT x Stressor	
		pseudo-F p-value		pseudo-F	p-value	pseudo-F	p-value	
Soil P	Cd (T0)	1.1462	0.2957	2.8194	0.0008***	1.123	0.2854	
	Salt (T1)	1.4014	0.0811	1.8788	0.0054**	1.414	0.0284*	
Soil C	Cd (T0)	1.0284	0.4271	2.1996	0.0071**	1.2995	0.1264	
5011 C	Salt (T1)	1.1854	0.2517	4.2529	0.0001***	1.553	0.0167*	
Soil W	Cd (T0)	4.7926	0.0001***	1.8998	0.035*	0.7398	0.8123	
	Salt (T1)	2.1305	0.0062**	6.0265	0.0001***	1.8435	0.0033**	

MT: melatonin; Stressor: cadmium (Cd) or Salt. Sampling timepoints: T0 or T1. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. n=4 replicates per treatment. Significance of PERMANOVA (highlighted in bold): *: 0.01 < p-value ≤ 0.05 ; **: 0.001 < p-value ≤ 0.01 ; ***:p-value ≤ 0.001

Under abiotic stress conditions, bacterial communities responding significantly (p < 0.05) to melatonin showed a decreased Shannon diversity index (OTU abundance and evenness), whereas fungal communities increased under the same conditions (Supplementary Information 2.7.4). Overall melatonin had very little effect on bacterial 16S or fungal 18S rRNA copy numbers, with only one soil bacterial community (Soil W) significantly impacted (p < 0.05) by exogenous melatonin only, while fungal communities were unaffected by melatonin-only treatments (Supplementary Information 2.7.5). Some significant differences between treatments were recorded, however no consistent pattern of shift in microbial biomass under stress conditions +/- melatonin was observed (Supplementary Information 2.7.5).

2.4.1.1 Microbial community responses to melatonin treatments

Melatonin had different impacts on bacterial versus fungal composition in soils. High melatonin concentration had a significant effect (PERMANOVA: p < 0.01) on bacterial assemblages in all three soils, whereas low melatonin concentration impacted only bacterial communities within soil C and W (Supplementary Table 2.7.6a). Fungi responded less to melatonin compared to bacteria within the same samples. Melatonin had no effect on fungal community structures in soil C (Supplementary Table 2.7.6b), while only high melatonin concentration treatment at sampling timepoint T1impacted fungal community structures in soil P (Table 2.1). However, melatonin at high and low concentration induced shifts in fungal community structures within soil W.

2.4.1.2 Microbial community responses to stressor treatments

Stressors impacted microbial β diversity (Table 2.1). Bacterial community structures in soils C and W were significantly (p < 0.01) impacted by treatments with high and low concentrations of cadmium and salt (Supplementary Table 2.7.7a). Similarly, bacterial community structures in soil P were responsive to salt at high and low concentrations, but community structures in this soil were not significantly affected by cadmium. Fungal communities in all soils were impacted by high concentrations of cadmium and salt. In contrast, low concentrations of salt impacted fungal community structures in soil C and W (not P), whereas only fungal communities in soil P were altered by low cadmium treatment (Supplementary Table 2.7.7b).

2.4.1.3 Microbial community responses to melatonin with stressor treatments

In comparison to stressor-only treatments, consistent responses to melatonin were observed in bacterial communities compared to fungal communities, especially at high melatonin concentration (Table 2.2; Supplementary Table 2.7.8). Bacterial responses to high melatonin concentration were significant (in comparison with stressor only treated communities) under high cadmium or salt conditions in soils P and W, but not in soil C (Table 2.2). Under low stressor conditions, bacterial communities in soil C and soil W responded significantly to high melatonin treatment. In contrast, bacterial communities responded to the availability of low melatonin under high stress conditions only in soil W only (both stressors) and under low stressor (salt only) in soils C and W. Fungal communities were far less responsive to melatonin treatments under abiotic stress conditions. In comparison with stressor only treatments, melatonin only

caused a shift in fungal communities at high melatonin treatments in soil W under high cadmium stress, and to low melatonin under low salt stress in soil C (Table 2.2).

Table 2.2: Responses of bacterial and fungal communities to individual treatments of melatonin under various stressor conditions as determined by PERMANOVA. For control treatments, melatonin was replaced with dilute ethanol. Significant differences (p < 0.05) between melatonin treatments are highlighted in blue (cadmium stress) or yellow/orange (salt stress) under the following significance levels: $\square p > 0.05$ (n.s.); $\square : 0.01 ; <math>\square : 0.001 ; <math>\square : p \le 0.001$.



MT: melatonin; H: High stressor; L: Low stressor; Z: No stressor. All treatments and controls for the same soil were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. n=4 replicates per treatment. Statistical values presented in Supplementary Table 2.7.8.

2.4.2 Microbial responses within soil W communities

Only one of the six soil-stressor combinations (i.e. soil W under salt stress) showed significant (p < 0.01) responses to treatments of melatonin, stressor and melatonin x stressor for both fungal and bacterial communities as described by PERMANOVA (Table 2.1; Supplementary Tables 2.7.6 – 2.7.8). These communities were subsequently assessed in greater detail.



Figure 2.2: Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination displaying Bray-Curtis similarities for bacterial samples within soil W for various treatments of melatonin and salt based upon community compositions determined by ARISA fingerprinting analysis. Low 2D stress value (< 0.20) indicate high quality ordination plots. Relative proximity of replicates reflects high community similarity within the same treatments for bacterial communities. Water replaced salt treatment and dilute ethanol replaced melatonin treatments in respective control samples. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. (HMT: High melatonin; LMT: Low melatonin; HS: High salt; LS: Low salt; HMT x HS: High melatonin with high salt; HMT x LS: High melatonin with low salt; LMT x HS: low melatonin with high salt; LMT x LS: Low melatonin with low salt).

2.4.2.1 Bacterial communities show distinct responses to melatonin under salt stress in soil W

The nMDS plot for bacterial assemblages showed clear separation of samples according to treatments, with both melatonin and salt treatments resulting in shifts in community structures compared to the control (Figure 2.2). Low 2D stress values (< 0.20) indicated high quality ordination plots. Various individual OTUs shifted in response to the different treatments (Figure 2.3, Supplementary Figure 2.7.6a). Bacterial communities treated with melatonin were significantly (PERMANOVA $F_{(2, 27)} = 7.839$, *p* < 0.001) different to control communities, with high melatonin concentration being 50.5% dissimilar to the control treatments whereas low melatonin concentration samples

showed 48.0% dissimilarity (Supplementary Table 2.7.9a). Similarity Percentage Analysis (SIMPER) showed the OTUs contributing most to assemblage differences upon high melatonin treatment in comparison to control samples. This analysis found that the top three OTUs accounted for 27% of the total dissimilarity. These were OTU: 849 bp (12.9%), 741 bp (7.3%) and 756 bp (6.8%). High and low concentration melatonin samples were 36.7% dissimilar to each other with 29 OTUs accounting for 71.1% of these differences, the highest of which (OTU: 180 bp) represented only 5.0% of the dissimilarity (Supplementary Figure 2.7.6a). Bacterial responses to both concentrations of melatonin for soil W were significant under high and low salt stress in comparison to the respective salt only treatments (Table 2.2).



Figure 2.3: Relative abundances of individual OTUs in bacterial samples associated with soil W for various treatments of melatonin and salt based upon community compositions determined by ARISA fingerprinting analysis. Legends represent different individual OTUs as determined by specified nucleotide lengths. Water (W) replaced salt treatment and dilute ethanol (E) replaced melatonin treatments in respective control samples. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. MT: Melatonin.

Under all the above comparisons, a single OTU (756 bp) consistently increased (up to 7.7%) when melatonin was present, independent of melatonin and salt concentrations. Interestingly, some OTUs most responsive to melatonin under high salt conditions in soil W (e.g. OTU 387 bp) were far less responsive to melatonin under low salt conditions and vice versa (e.g. OTU 849 bp).

2.4.2.2 Fungal community responses to treatments (melatonin and/or salt) in soil W

NMDS ordination for fungal communities within soil W indicated significant separation of melatonin (PERMANOVA: $F_{(2, 27)} = 2.131$, p < 0.001) and salt (PERMANOVA: $F_{(2, 27)} = 6.027$, p < 0.001) treated samples with respective control samples (Figure 2.4 & 2.5).



Figure 2.4: Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination displaying Bray-Curtis similarities for fungal samples within soil W for various treatments of melatonin and salt based upon community compositions determined by ARISA fingerprinting analysis. Low 2D stress value (< 0.20) indicate high quality ordination plots. Relative proximity of replicates reflects high community similarity within the same treatments for bacterial communities. Water replaced salt treatment and dilute ethanol replaced melatonin treatments in respective control samples. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. (HMT: High melatonin; LMT: Low melatonin; HS: High salt; LS: Low salt; HMT x HS: High melatonin with high salt; HMT x LS: High melatonin with low salt; LMT x HS: low melatonin with high salt; LMT x LS: Low melatonin with low salt). Relative abundances of individual fungal OTUs varied across all treatments in soil W under salt stress (Supplementary Figure 2.7.6b). Fungal communities in soil W treated with high melatonin concentration showed greater dissimilarity (33.7%) than low melatonin-treated samples (28.5%) when both were compared with control samples (Supplementary Table 2.7.9b), with high melatonin treatment significantly different (p < 0.05) to control samples (Table 2.2).



Figure 2.5: Relative abundances of individual OTUs in fungal samples associated with soil W for various treatments of melatonin and salt based upon community compositions determined by ARISA fingerprinting analysis. Legends represent different individual OTUs as determined by specified nucleotide lengths. Water (W) replaced salt treatment and dilute ethanol (E) replaced melatonin treatments in respective control samples. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. MT: Melatonin.

In soil W communities treated with high melatonin concentration, three taxa accounted for almost half of the total dissimilarity: OTUs 607 bp (18.6%), 575 bp (16.8%) and 591 bp (13.2%). Correspondingly, OTUs 575 bp (19.2%) and 591 bp (13.8%) also accounted strongly for dissimilarity between control and low melatonin-treated samples (Supplementary Figure 2.7.6b). Separation between samples treated with low melatonin

concentration only compared with samples treated with both salt and low melatonin concentration was observed; however fungal community differences were not determined as significant in response to melatonin under salt stress (Figure 2.4; Table 2.2).

2.5 Discussion

Soil microbial communities are a key component of a healthy ecosystem, providing several ecosystem services including direct and indirect nourishments of plant root systems (Wall and Virginia, 1999; Yao *et al.*, 2000; Kirk *et al.*, 2004; Wahid *et al.*, 2016). Significant responses of microbes at a community level to melatonin under normal or abiotic stress conditions in agricultural top-soils (10cm depth) were detected. Shifts in soil microbial communities may result in changes to various ecosystem services provided by soil microbes (Mau *et al.*, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2019). The data in the current study therefore suggests that any potential applications of melatonin in future agricultural practices must also investigate the resulting shift to soil microbial communities to ensure that plant-soil ecology interactions are not negatively impinged in the long term.

It was hypothesised that melatonin would alter soil microbial community structures, as previous reports suggest that melatonin may act with antimicrobial properties. For example, melatonin was shown to inhibit the *in vitro* growth of the human bacterial pathogens, Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii at concentrations between 130-530 µM (Tekbas et al., 2008). Melatonin has also been reported to inhibit the *in vitro* growth of the human pathogenic yeast, *Candida* albicans, albeit at a much higher concentration (1300 µM) (Öztürk et al., 2000). In the current study, bacterial communities in three different agricultural soils were affected by melatonin alone, however, fungal communities were less responsive to melatonin compared with bacteria (Table 2.2; Supplementary Table 2.7.8). In vitro studies investigating responses of filamentous fungi to melatonin complement these finding as melatonin, at very high concentration (100 mM), showed no impact on the *in-vitro* growth of Physalospora piricola, Botrytis cinerea or Mycosphaerella arachidicola (Wang et al., 2001). Growth of Alternaria spp. has been reported to be inhibited at a 4 mM (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2015). Taken together the results in the current study from soil bacteria analysis indicate a similar trend compared to the *in vitro* experiments albeit different melatonin concentration ranges.

Recently Li et al. investigated the effect of exogenous melatonin application (200 µM; applied at 20 day intervals for 6 months) without abiotic stress in two soils types associated with horticultural practices (apple orchard and vegetables respectively) by sampling the subsoil region (20-30 cm depth) (Li et al., 2018). Bacterial compositions of melatonin-treated soil samples were shown to be similar to controls, however some genera, many unknown, shifted strongly in response to melatonin (Li et al., 2018). Ascomycota, in particular, were negatively affected by melatonin, resulting in greater establishment of Glomeromycota and Basidiomycota in fungal assemblages (Li et al., 2018). It is difficult to directly compare the current study with this report; however, these results are complimentary to the trends observed in my investigation and indicative of the importance of soil agricultural histories in microbial response to melatonin. In the current study, topsoils were used, which are generally more microbially rich (compared to subsoils), as well as soils associated with agricultural practices (crop production and pasture). Further analysis by taxa identification of the soil microbial communities associated with responses to melatonin would determine those microbes associated with particular ecological niches (e.g. mycorrhizal fungi or Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria) and if they are known to be beneficial to crops.

Under abiotic stresses, such as cadmium and salt, plants can cope better by adjusting physiological and enzymatic processes when melatonin is applied (Li et al., 2012; Byeon et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2017). Some reports also suggested that melatonin may enhance abiotic stress tolerance in microbes as endogenous levels of melatonin increased in *Trichoderma* spp. (Liu et al., 2016) and Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Rodriguez-Naranjo et al., 2012) under abiotic stresses (cadmium and ethanol respectively). Cadmium chloride hemipentahydrate (280 or 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil) and salt (NaCl) (7 or 4 g kg⁻¹ soil) were separately applied as abiotic stressors in the current study. These concentrations were selected to be within the range of those reported to induce effects on soil microbial activities in previous studies for cadmium (Cáliz et al., 2013; Wood et al., 2016b) and salt (Rath et al., 2016). For example, Wood et al. (2016b) found that soil treated with cadmium chloride (CdCl₂) at 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil resulted in significant shifts in bacterial community structures, whereas fungal communities were unaffected at this concentration of soil contamination. Cáliz et al. (2013) found that significant impacts to microbial communities in soils treated with cadmium sulphate (CdSO₄) at 1000 mg kg⁻¹ soil. Salinity altered the bacterial and fungal community structures of root microsymbionts associated with alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) (Thiem *et al.*, 2018). Rath *et al.*, (2016) found effects on soil bacterial and fungal growth as well as microbial respiration at 63, 55 and 79 mmol NaCl.kg⁻¹ soil respectively. This corresponds closely to the equivalent concentrations of NaCl applied in the current experiment for 4 mg.kg⁻¹ (68 mmol NaCl.kg⁻¹ soil). The current study found that bacterial communities showed more significant responses to melatonin under abiotic stress conditions compared to fungi, along with more distinct separation of communities per treatment (e.g. Soil W). Previous soil studies have also found soil bacterial communities, with fungi showing greater tolerance to abiotic stressors (Hiroki, 1992; Müller *et al.*, 2001; Marschner *et al.*, 2003; Rajapaksha *et al.*, 2004).

The different responses of soil microbes across the three agricultural soils to melatonin and/or stressors may also have been in part due to differing soil physiochemical characteristics between the soils, as well as differing interactive effects of treatments with various soil characteristics (Zhong and Cai, 2007; Ahn *et al.*, 2012; Zhao *et al.*, 2014; Geisseler *et al.*, 2017). In the current study, some communities were far less impacted by abiotic stress upon the availability of exogenous melatonin. However, as this trend was not observed under cadmium stress, it may be possible that melatonin was utilised by soil bacteria to sustain natural microbial activity by coping with impacts specific to salt stress, such as enhanced osmotic pressure and ion toxicity (Morrissey *et al.*, 2014; Yan *et al.*, 2015).

Melatonin has been consistently shown to reduce cellular levels of ROS in plants exposed to abiotic stress (Tan *et al.*, 2012), by either acting as a highly efficient antioxidant (Hardeland, 1999; Reiter *et al.*, 2015; Reiter *et al.*, 2016), or as a signalling molecule, resulting in the upregulation of gene expression, or increased enzyme activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2004; Lee *et al.*, 2014; Manchester *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). As a result, melatonin enhances plant tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses such as heat, cold, drought and soil contamination as well bacterial and fungal pathogens (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2013; Arnao and Hernandez-Ruiz, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Hardeland, 2016). Melatonin has also been found to increase plant yield by acting as a biostimulator for seed germination and plant growth. As melatonin is safe for human consumption and can be applied to plants in numerous ways such as seed coating, foliar spraying or soil treatment, it may therefore have a major role in future agricultural practices for crop yield protection and improvement (Janas and Posmyk, 2013; Wei *et al.*, 2015; Cui *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017).

2.6 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that exogenous melatonin altered the structures of soil bacterial and, to a lesser extent, fungal assemblages under unstressed and abiotic stressed conditions. No previous reports have examined the effects of melatonin on agricultural soil microbial communities under abiotic stress. Further research is required to profile the microbial taxa responsive to melatonin as well as investigate potential functional associations between melatonin with abiotic stress tolerance in microbes. The main factors causing the differences in natural microbial communities between the different soils also requires further analysis. Additional research is also required to determine if specific soil characteristics influence the responses of microbial communities to melatonin. Moreover, studies may explore potential plant-microbe interactions in soil upon the bioavailability of exogenous melatonin. Future studies involving ameliorating plant stress using melatonin should take into account the potential impact of soil microbiota and the subsequent impact on plant-microbe interactions (beneficial as well as pathogenic). Understanding the role of melatonin in soil microbial community dynamics may provide vital information regarding the viability of melatonin application relating to future agricultural practices.

2.7 Supplementary Information

Supplementary Table 2.7.1: Soil physical and chemical characteristics of three sampled topsoils (0-10 cm) prior to treatments. Four topsoil samples were collected at each site and composited prior to analysis.

Site	Р	С	W
Available Potassium (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	640	140	170
pH (1:5 CaCl ₂)	6.1	4.9	4.7
Organic Carbon (%)	4.6	3	2.8
Nitrate N (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	160	57	34
Ammonium N (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	2.5	8.9	8.8
Phosphorus (Colwell) (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	160	41	32
Phosphorus Buffer Index	32	71	83
Calcium (cmol(+) kg ⁻¹ soil)	12	5.1	4.7
CEC (cmol(+) kg ⁻¹ soil)	15.7	7.94	8.56
EC (1:5 water) (dS m ⁻¹)	0.37	0.24	0.18
Chloride (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	37	67	76
Moisture (%)	14	6	5.2
Water holding capacity (%)	38.4	24.6	21.1
Sand (%)	75.2	53.9	52.4
Silt (%)	23.6	29.9	28.8
Clay (%)	1.2	16.2	18.8
Iron (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	5400	16000	21000
Zinc (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	23	7.2	9.3
Cadmium (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	0.24	0.13	0.2
Chromium (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	11	17	32
Nickel (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	4	4.7	22
Lead (mg kg ⁻¹ soil)	7.7	9.4	16

 $\overline{\text{CEC}}$ = cation exchange capacity; $\overline{\text{EC}}$ = electrical conductivity; Soil P – recently (< 3 months) associated with cattle and sheep pasture; Soil C – sampled 3 weeks post canola harvest; Soil W –sampled 3 weeks post fire blazing following a wheat harvest.

Supplementary Table 2.7.2: Salinity levels of soils P, C and W treated with high (7 g kg⁻¹ soil) and low (4 g kg⁻¹ soil) Salt (NaCl) only, as measured by electrical conductivity [EC (1:5 soil:water)]. SE: Standard error

	EC 1:5 (mS/cm)										
	Soil P			Soil C			Soil W				
	7 g kg ⁻¹	4 g kg ⁻¹	Control	7 g kg ⁻¹	4 g kg ⁻¹	Control	7 g kg ⁻¹	4 g kg ⁻¹	Control		
Rep 1	2.6	1.72	0.706	1.97	1.015	0.091	1.934	1.148	0.101		
Rep 2	2.545	1.708	0.678	1.943	1.083	0.132	1.954	1.089	0.093		
Rep 3	2.502	1.8	0.681	1.829	1.084	0.138	1.983	1.065	0.102		
Mean	2.549	1.743	0.688	1.914	1.061	0.120	1.957	1.101	0.099		
SE	0.028	0.029	0.009	0.043	0.023	0.015	0.014	0.025	0.003		



Supplementary Figure 2.7.1: Principal coordinates analysis (PCOA) ordination plots (Bray-Curtis distance matrix) of ARISA profiles showing the separation within a) bacterial and b) fungal communities for the three dry, untreated soils (P, C, W; n = 4). Bacterial communities from the same soil differed (ANOSIM: R=0.865 -1; p = 0.029) between sampling timepoints T0 and T1 within soils C and W, whereas bacterial communities in soil A were not significantly different between timepoints T0 and T1 (ANOSIM: R=0.594; p = 0.057). All untreated soil communities from different soils were dissimilar (p < 0.05) to each other. Fungal communities from the same soil differed (ANOSIM: R = 0.458 – 1; p = 0.029) between T0 and T1 for all three soils.

2.7.1 Effects of treatments on microbial diversity (OTU richness)

Community fingerprinting with ARISA allowed for a statistical estimation of the α diversity based on the different Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) observed. The basal levels of α diversity determined in the dry, untreated samples from P, C and W indicated a mean of 29.7, 30 and 36 bacterial OTU's, and 16.6, 16.4 and 14.8 fungal OTU's, respectively. Concurrently, across all three control soil samples, the number of OTUs observed for bacterial communities (23-39) were considerably greater than the OTU numbers for fungi (8-20). Such findings are in line with other studies where community fingerprinting with ARISA detected substantially fewer fungal OTUs compared to bacterial (Ranjard *et al.*, 2001; Hansgate *et al.*, 2005).

2.7.1.1 Melatonin alters microbial α diversity

In melatonin-treated soils the mean bacterial OTU richness (a diversity) was 29.9, 34.3 and 34.2 in soils P, C and W (ranging from 21 to 40 OTU's; Supplementary Table 2.7.3a) and 16.1, 14.4 and 13 (ranging from 9 to 19 OTU's; Supplementary Table 2.7.3b) for fungi respectively. The effect of melatonin treatment at high (H) and low (L) concentrations on OTU numbers varied for bacterial communities across the three soils compared to control samples (Supplementary Table 2.7.3a). Bacterial α diversities shifted (p < 0.05) in response to high melatonin treatment within all three soils, whereas low melatonin treatment impacted bacterial community only in soil C. At sampling timepoints T0 and T1, bacterial OTU richness's were significantly (p < 0.05) decreased compared to respective control samples upon treatment with high melatonin for soil W. High melatonin also resulted in significant decrease in bacterial OTU numbers in soil P relative to controls at T0, but not T1. Interestingly bacterial OTU richness in high and low melatonin-treated soils for soil C significantly (p < 0.01) increased at sampling timepoint T1, but no significant changes were observed at T0. In contrast to the varying responses of bacterial assemblages, OTU richness of fungal communities across all three soils was not impacted (p > 0.05) by melatonin (Supplementary Table 2.7.3a).

The number of bacterial OTUs common to control and melatonin-only treatments varied considerably for each soil between the sampling timepoints T0 and T1. For example, at T0, 73.1% (49/67), 73.7% (45/61) and 73.2% (52/71) of bacterial OTUs were common to control and melatonin-only treatments in soils P, C and W respectively. However, these numbers reduced at T1 to 56.4% (44/78), 43.6% (34/78) and 55.3% (42/76) respectively. Fungal OTUs common to control and melatonin-only

treatments in soils varied less compared to bacterial assemblages. At timepoint T0, 75.8% (22/29), 71.4% (20/28) and 76.2% (16/21) fungal OTUs were common to control and melatonin-only treatments in soils P, C and W respectively (Supplementary Table 2.7.3b). At T1, the common OTUs reduced to 63.6% (21/33) for soil P, whereas OTU richness increased slightly to 74.1% (20/27) and 80.8% (21/26) for soils C and W respectively.

2.7.1.2 Cadmium and salt show limited impacts on microbial α diversity

OTU richness (α diversity) was not impacted by stressor level (H or L) in cadmium or salt experiment (PERMANOVA, p > 0.05) for bacterial communities in soils P and W (Supplementary Table 2.7.3). For soil C, low salt treatment significantly increases in OTU numbers (p < 0.001) relative to control. OTU numbers for fungal communities across all soils were not impacted (p > 0.05) by either cadmium or salt stresses.

The number of bacterial OTUs common to cadmium-only treatments and the control samples for each soil were relatively consistent within soils P, C and W at 63.0% (46/73), 60.3% (41/68) and 59.5% (44/74) respectively. In contrast, bacterial OTU numbers common to salt-only and control treatments showed greater variation at 67.6% (48/71), 45.3% (34/75) and 60.8% (45/74) for soils P, C and W respectively. Fungal OTUs common to cadmium-only treatments and the control samples were varied for soils P, C and W at 73.3% (22/30), 68.0% (17/25) and 84.2% (16/19) respectively. In comparison, fungal OTUs for salt-only and control treatments showed greater consistency with 75.8% (22/29), 71.4% (20/28) and 75.8% (22/29) common across soils P, C and W respectively.

Supplementary Table 2.7.3: Mean OTU richness (α diversity) (± SE) within a) bacterial and b) fungal communities for various melatonin- or stressor-only treatments (n=4 replicates). MT = Melatonin (High concentration = 4 mg kg⁻¹ soil; Low concentration = 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ soil). Stressors: T0 = cadmium (High concentration = 280 mg kg⁻¹ soil; Low concentration = 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil); T1 = salt (High concentration = 7 g kg⁻¹ soil; Low concentration = 4 g kg⁻¹ soil). Melatonin-treated soils contained no abiotic stressor. Control = dilute ethanol solution (i.e. no melatonin, no stressor).

a)	Soi	il P	Soi	IC	Soil W	
	T0 T1		TO	T1	TO	T1
High MT	24.0 ± 1.5 *	33.5 ± 2.3	33.8 ± 1.7	36.3 ± 0.9 *	28.8 ± 1.0 *	34.3 ± 0.3 *
Low MT	28.8 ± 1.1	33.5 ± 2.8	30.8 ± 2.8	36.5 ± 0.9 *	37.5 ± 0.9	36.3 ± 1.0
High stress	31.0 ± 0.4	33.8 ± 0.8	28.3 ± 1.9	22.5 ± 0.6	34.0 ± 0.4	37.5 ± 0.5
Low stress	31.3 ± 0.8	32.3 ± 0.8	28.8 ± 1.1	36.5 ± 1.3 *	34.3 ± 0.5	37.5 ± 0.6
Control	31.5 ± 1.0	31.8 ± 0.8	33.8 ± 1.7	26.0 ± 1.1	37.0 ± 0.4	38.3 ± 0.8

b)	Soil P		Soi	I C	Soil W	
	TO	T1	T0	T1	T0	T1
High MT	17.3 ± 1.0	14.5 ± 1.0	16.8 ± 0.8	15.0 ± 0.7	13.3 ± 0.9	11.0 ± 1.1
Low MT	16.8 ± 0.5	15.8 ± 1.5	12.8 ± 1.3	13.3 ± 0.9	16.3 ± 0.6	11.8 ± 0.5
High stress	15.8 ± 1.7	17.3 ± 0.5	16.0 ± 1.4	13.5 ± 0.5	11.8 ± 0.5	12.3 ± 0.5
Low stress	18.0 ± 1.1	15.8 ± 0.6	15.0 ± 1.2	14.3 ± 0.5	14.3 ± 1.7	11.5 ± 0.3
Control	17.8 ± 1.1	16.0 ± 1.2	16.0 ± 0.9	13.0 ± 0.6	15.0 ± 0.9	10.8 ± 1.1

All treatments and controls in the same soil were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. OTU numbers in bold were determined as being significantly different to control samples using one-way ANOVA. * indicates significance level of 0.001.

2.7.2 Bacterial and fungal OTUs most responsive to melatonin

Supplementary Table 2.7.4: The largest increases of relative abundance (%) of individual OTUs from melatonin-only treated samples compared with controls as determined by ANOSIM.

	Bacteria							
Timepoint	MT treatment	Soil	OTU	MT abundance (%)	Control Abundance (%)	Difference (%)		
T1	High	W	741.4	7.09	0	7.1		
T1	Low	W	741.4	6.55	0	6.6		
TO	High	Р	447	16.98	10.44	6.5		
T0	High	W	447	10.54	4.13	6.4		
T1	Low	С	741.4	8.34	2.21	6.1		
T1	High	W	501.4	8.22	2.2	6.0		
T1	High	С	741.4	7.31	2.21	5.1		
T0	High	W	183	9.12	4.36	4.8		
T1	High	С	447.4	8.64	4.27	4.4		
T1	Low	С	384.4	4.27	0	4.3		
T1	Low	С	501.4	6.92	2.81	4.1		
T1	High	W	183.4	5.58	1.9	3.7		
T 1	Low	W	501.4	5.75	2.2	3.6		

		•
F	un	gı

Timepoint	MT treatment	Soil	OTU	MT abundance (%)	Control Abundance (%)	Difference (%)
Т0	High	W	607.5	17.33	5.8	11.5
T1	High	W	612.5	7.63	0.92	6.7
TO	Low	С	599.5	7.24	1.28	6.0
TO	High	W	675.5	9.55	4.23	5.3
TO	Low	Р	567.5	11.79	7.21	4.6
TO	High	С	575.5	45.02	40.96	4.1
TO	High	Р	559.5	7.89	3.95	3.9
TO	Low	С	591.5	11.69	8.11	3.6
T1	High	W	596.5	21.24	17.84	3.4
TO	Low	W	607.5	8.96	5.8	3.2
T1	High	Р	676.5	5.19	2.13	3.1
T1	Low	С	576.5	37.42	34.42	3.0
T 1	Low	Р	708.5	2.74	0	2.7

MT – melatonin; OTU: Operational Taxonomic Unit; Soil P: Pasture; Soil C: Canola; Soil W: Wheat [+fireblazing]; High MT = 4 mg kg⁻¹ soil; Low MT = 0.2 mg kg^{-1} soil.

Supplementary Table 2.7.5: The largest decreases of relative abundance (%) of individual OTUs from melatonin-only treated samples compared with controls as determined by ANOSIM.

Timepoint	MT treatment	Soil	OTU	MT abundance (%)	Control Abundance (%)	Difference (%)
T1	High	С	849.4	2.42	19.34	-16.9
T1	Low	С	756.4	2.69	18.89	-16.2
T1	High	С	756.4	2.79	18.89	-16.1
T1	Low	С	849.4	3.3	19.34	-16.0
T1	High	W	849.4	0	12.48	-12.5
T1	Low	W	849.4	1.28	12.48	-11.2
T1	Low	W	756.4	0	6.9	-6.9
T1	High	W	756.4	0.28	6.9	-6.6
T0	High	W	339	3.06	8.56	-5.5
T1	Low	Р	156.4	2.33	6.43	-4.1
T0	Low	С	738	4.61	8.36	-3.8
T1	Low	Р	180.4	2.27	5.86	-3.6
T1	High	Р	180.4	2.74	5.86	-3.1

Bacteria

D ₁₁₁	
run	21

Timepoint	MT treatment	Soil	OTU	MT abundance (%)	Control Abundance (%)	Difference (%)
T0	Low	С	575.5	30.73	40.96	-10.2
T1	High	W	616.5	0	9.6	-9.6
T0	High	W	591.5	15.82	23.59	-7.8
T0	Low	W	591.5	17.63	23.59	-6.0
T0	High	W	755.5	0.38	6.29	-5.9
T0	High	W	575.5	27.67	33.45	-5.8
T0	Low	W	755.5	1.8	6.29	-4.5
T1	High	Р	576.5	31.3	35.6	-4.3
T1	High	Р	876.5	0	4.24	-4.2
T1	Low	Р	876.5	0	4.24	-4.2
T0	High	С	607.5	6.98	11.13	-4.2
T0	High	Р	591.5	12.71	16.72	-4.0
T1	Low	С	584.5	1.05	4.72	-3.7

MT – melatonin; OTU: Operational Taxonomic Unit; Soil P: Pasture; Soil C: Canola; Soil W: Wheat [+fireblazing]; High MT = 4 mg kg⁻¹ soil; Low MT = 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ soil.

2.7.3 Effects of treatments on microbial community structures (β diversity)

Supplementary Table 2.7.6: PERMANOVA analyses of a) bacterial and b) fungal community responses to all treatments with high and low melatonin (i.e. no stressors included) at sampling timepoints T0 and T1, based on ARISA data (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distances).

a)

		Soil P			
	Т	TO		Т1	
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value	
H-MT vs L-MT	0.98661	0.4074	1.5173	0.0103*	
H-MT vs Control	1.3386	0.0928	1.7634	0.0008***	
L-MT vs Control	1.2234	0.1587	1.3574	0.0665	

	Soil C			
]	ГО]	Г1
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-MT vs L-MT	1.6225	0.0263*	1.1227	0.2484
H-MT vs Control	0.8002	0.7636	1.8497	0.0101*
L-MT vs Control	1.7851	0.0135*	2.2132	0.0029**

	Soil W			
	ТО		,	Г1
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-MT vs L-MT	3.5945	0.0001***	2.8317	0.0001***
H-MT vs Control	4.3751	0.0001***	3.7099	0.0001***
L-MT vs Control	1.3464	0.0261*	1.6455	0.0006***

		Soil P			
]	60	1	Γ1	
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value	
H-MT vs L-MT	1.2699	0.1042	1.0662	0.3265	
H-MT vs Control	0.92172	0.5693	1.4434	0.0194*	
L-MT vs Control	1.0088	0.4313	1.0374	0.3796	

	Soil C				
]	60	1	[1	
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value	
H-MT vs L-MT	0.90452	0.6202	0.71054	0.9021	
H-MT vs Control	1.1021	0.2829	1.1387	0.2269	
L-MT vs Control	1.063	0.3425	1.304	0.0727	

	Soil W			
	,	ТО	,	Т1
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-MT vs L-MT	1.87	0.0068**	1.7212	0.0041**
H-MT vs Control	2.7675	0.0005***	1.7703	0.0005***
L-MT vs Control	1.6514	0.0248*	0.73838	0.8333

H-MT: High melatonin; L-MT: Low melatonin. Control treatments consisted of dilute ethanol replacing melatonin. All treatments and controls for the same soil were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. n=4 replicates per treatment. Significance of PERMANOVA: *: 0.01 < p-value ≤ 0.05 ; **: 0.001 < p-value ≤ 0.01 ; ***: p-value ≤ 0.001 .

Supplementary Table 2.7.7: PERMANOVA analyses of a) bacterial and b) fungal community responses to treatments with high and low stresses based on ARISA data (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distances).

	Soil P			
	Cadmium		S	Salt
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-stress vs L-stress	1.0771	0.2882	2.2813	0.0003***
H-stress vs Control	1.4669	0.073	1.3298	0.0431*
L-stress vs Control	1.1248	0.2325	1.6868	0.0089**

	Soil C			
	Cadmium		S	Salt
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-stress vs L-stress	2.7886	0.0001***	5.0877	0.0001***
H-stress vs Control	4.0829	0.0001***	5.861	0.0001***
L-stress vs Control	1.933	0.0035**	1.684	0.0158*

	Soil W			
	Cadmium		S	Salt
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-stress vs L-stress	2.3933	0.0001***	3.1672	0.0001***
H-stress vs Control	3.9566	0.0001***	3.9779	0.0001***
L-stress vs Control	2.2386	0.0001***	2.3602	0.0001***

a)

	Soil P			
	Cadmium		Salt	
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-stress vs L-stress	1.026	0.3837	1.7222	0.0031**
H-stress vs Control	1.8378	0.003**	1.3462	0.036*
L-stress vs Control	2.0151	0.0008***	1.059	0.3396

	Soil C			
	Cad	mium	S	alt
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-stress vs L-stress	1.7138	0.0076**	1.4761	0.0255*
H-stress vs Control	1.5488	0.0145*	2.8112	0.0002***
L-stress vs Control	1.1351	0.23	1.8004	0.0009***

	Soil W			
	Cadmium		Salt	
	t-statistic	P-value	t-statistic	P-value
H-stress vs L-stress	1.6613	0.0255*	2.0967	0.0002***
H-stress vs Control	1.5842	0.0103*	3.2649	0.0001***
L-stress vs Control	0.99408	0.4411	1.6346	0.0131*

Treatments: H-stress = High stressor; L-stress = Low stressor; Control = MQ water replacing stressor. All treatments and controls for the same soil were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. n=4 replicates per treatment. Significance of PERMANOVA: *: 0.01 < p-value ≤ 0.05 ; **: 0.001 < p-value ≤ 0.01 ; ***: p-value ≤ 0.001 .

Supplementary Table 2.7.8: Differences (a < 0.05) between a) bacterial and b) fungal communities treated with melatonin under various stressor conditions as determined by PERMANOVA using Monte Carlo simulation [P-(MC)]. For control treatments, melatonin was replaced with dilute ethanol.

a)

	Cadmium stress						Salt stress					
	High stressor		Low stressor		No stressor		High stressor		Low stressor		No stressor	
	t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic	P-(MC)
Soil P												
H-MT vs L-MT	1.8896	0.0381*	1.7726	0.0426*	0.79519	0.5926	1.2743	0.1795	1.0879	0.3402	1.228	0.23
H-MT vs Control	2.0701	0.0174*	1.0604	0.3504	1.044	0.3492	1.8399	0.0221*	1.6486	0.0723	0.9332	0.4988
L-MT vs Control	1.4365	0.1102	1.3795	0.135	1.0178	0.3787	1.3481	0.1393	1.2687	0.2054	1.3996	0.1239
Soil C												
H-MT vs L-MT	0.67148	0.7288	1.2021	0.241	1.5997	0.0869	0.9219	0.4359	1.8272	0.0367*	1.1973	0.2436
H-MT vs Control	1.3559	0.1542	0.85514	0.5686	0.6089	0.8136	0.92863	0.4766	3.2053	0.0021**	3.9213	0.0006***
L-MT vs Control	1.5054	0.0911	0.69004	0.7426	1.6304	0.0763	1.2148	0.2422	2.527	0.0072**	4.1967	0.0006***
Soil W												
H-MT vs L-MT	1.4721	0.1032	2.502	0.0056**	3.2428	0.0017**	1.3523	0.1416	2.7151	0.0039**	2.2224	0.0106*
H-MT vs Control	2.7945	0.0041**	2.4253	0.0071**	3.0177	0.0031**	2.2808	0.0068**	4.4083	0.0004***	4.3159	0.0005***
L-MT vs Control	1.9951	0.0123*	1.1457	0.2718	0.74773	0.7544	2.4454	0.0052**	3.6857	0.0013**	3.1744	0.0031**

	Cadmium stress						Salt stress					
	High stressor		Low stressor		No stressor		High stressor		Low stressor		No stressor	
	t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic P-(MC)		t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic	P-(MC)	t-statistic	P-(MC)
Soil P												
H-MT vs L-MT	1.1042	0.3099	1.3741	0.1364	1.1155	0.2973	1.2556	0.1849	0.83979	0.616	1.2733	0.1992
H-MT vs Control	1.2813	0.2003	0.764	0.6662	1.0154	0.4022	1.4583	0.0879	0.91786	0.5061	1.2197	0.2311
L-MT vs Control	0.99918	0.4228	1.1821	0.2647	0.64845	0.8226	1.2441	0.1989	0.97139	0.4403	1.1837	0.2552
Soil C												
H-MT vs L-MT	0.60558	0.8292	0.74193	0.7091	1.445	0.1233	0.90493	0.5295	1.0344	0.3864	0.9756	0.4543
H-MT vs Control	1.5469	0.1021	1.2024	0.2336	0.86243	0.5446	0.88789	0.5383	1.3229	0.165	1.1624	0.2566
L-MT vs Control	1.0831	0.3323	0.87236	0.5665	1.1843	0.2592	0.92217	0.511	1.7997	0.0347*	1.2259	0.2114
Soil W												
H-MT vs L-MT	1.3697	0.1819	1.2114	0.2477	1.5938	0.0713	1.3287	0.159	1.1572	0.2749	2.1516	0.0117*
H-MT vs Control	2.5237	0.0085**	1.7198	0.0757	1.5971	0.0879	0.9977	0.4244	1.5354	0.0969	2.3936	0.0092**
L-MT vs Control	2.0116	0.0277*	1.0119	0.3928	1.042	0.3649	0.8445	0.5893	0.96995	0.4321	1.221	0.2209

H-MT: High melatonin; L-MT: Low melatonin. All treatments and controls for the same soil were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. n=4 replicates per treatment. Significance of PERMANOVA: *: $0.01 < p-(MC) \le 0.05$; **: $0.001 < p-(MC) \le 0.01$; ***: $p-(MC) \le 0.001$.

2.7.4 Melatonin reduced bacterial Shannon species diversity (H') and enhanced fungal Shannon species diversity under low abiotic stress conditions

Shannon species diversity indices (H') calculated the abundance and evenness of OTUs across all soils for bacterial and fungal samples. Non-parametric pairwise Wilcoxon tests were conducted for samples within each soil and stressor treatment to determine significant differences in diversity upon the availability of melatonin. All treatments also contained a standardised dilute concentration of ethanol (approx. 0.05% v/v). Community responses to melatonin under low stress (Supplementary Figure 2.7.2) and high stress (Supplementary Figure 2.7.3) conditions were analysed.

The average Shannon's diversity index ranged from 2.73 to 3.50 for bacteria and 1.75 to 2.35 for fungi. Bacterial OTU Shannon diversity indices (H') were similar (p > 0.05) between control samples (0.05% v/v EtOH) and low stressor only treatments for five of the six experiments, with only low salt stress in soil C resulting in significant differences in bacterial diversity under this comparison (p < 0.05) (Supplementary Figure 2.7.2a). Diversity decreased in all bacterial communities impacted by melatonin under low stress conditions. Relative to the low stressor treatment within each soil, high melatonin resulted in significant decreases (p < 0.05) in bacterial diversity under low cadmium or salt conditions in soil W, as well as in soil C under low salt stress only. In contrast, low melatonin only resulted in a diversity shift (p < 0.05) in one soil treatment relative to the low stressor treatment soil (Supplementary Figure 2.7.2a).

Fungal communities within control samples (dilute ethanol only) and low stressor (cadmium or salt) treatments showed no significant difference in overall species richness and evenness (H') across all soils for both stressors (Supplementary Figure 2.7.2b). In contrast to bacteria, diversity increased in all fungal assemblages impacted by melatonin under low stress conditions. A significant shift in diversity of fungal communities relative to the low stress treatment was only recorded in soil C under low salt stress upon treatment with low melatonin. Communities within soil W under low cadmium stress increased in diversity in response to low melatonin, however only significantly (p < 0.05) when compared to the control (Supplementary Figure 2.7.2b).

Similar patterns were observed under high stress treatments, with bacterial communities decreasing in diversity in response to melatonin whereas fungi responding to melatonin by increasing in diversity (Supplementary Figure 2.7.3). Treatments of

melatonin with high cadmium or salt in soil W resulted in significant decreases in bacterial diversity in comparison with the high stressor treatments, whereas only one fungal community (soil W under high cadmium stress) increased significantly (p < 0.05) in diversity under the same relative comparison. Otherwise, melatonin showed no effect on microbial community diversity indices under high stress conditions.



Supplementary Figure 2.7.2: Mean OTU abundance and evenness based upon OTU diversity (Shannon's index – H') for a) bacterial and b) fungal communities showing the effects of melatonin under low stressor (cadmium or salt) conditions. \square = Control (no melatonin, no stress); \blacksquare = No melatonin + low stress; \blacksquare = Low melatonin + low stress; \blacksquare = high melatonin + low stress. Shannon's index was calculated in the vegan R software using OTU counts and relative abundances. Bars represent standard error (n = 4). Letters denote significant (p < 0.05) differences between treatments within an individual soil experiment as determined by Wilcoxon non-parametric analyses. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol per soil.



Supplementary Figure 2.7.3: Mean OTU abundance and evenness based upon OTU diversity (Shannon's index – H') for a) bacterial and b) fungal communities showing the effects of melatonin under high stressor (cadmium or salt) conditions. $\Box =$ Control (no melatonin, no stress); $\blacksquare =$ No melatonin + high stress; $\boxdot =$ Low melatonin + high stress; $\blacksquare =$ high melatonin + high stress. Shannon's index was calculated in the vegan R software using OTU counts and relative abundances. Bars represent standard error (n = 4). Letters denote significant (p < 0.05) differences between treatments within an individual soil experiment as determined by Wilcoxon non-parametric analyses. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol per soil.

2.7.5 Effects of treatments on total bacteria and fungi gene copy numbers (qPCR analysis)

Total microbial gene copy numbers were assessed to inform about changes in microbial biomass in response to treatments (Supplementary Figures 2.7.4 & 2.7.5). Soils treated with melatonin show no changes in bacterial biomass compared to control soil samples (i.e. not treated with melatonin or stressors) for soils P and W, whereas high melatonin alone resulted in a significant increase (p < 0.05) in soil C, however only at sampling timepoint T0 (Supplementary Figure 2.7.4). Total fungal biomass was unaffected by melatonin across all three soils in the absence of an abiotic stressor (Supplementary Figure 2.7.5). The effects of melatonin on bacteria and fungi under abiotic stress varied according to stressor concentration and soil type. For example, under low cadmium stress conditions, melatonin application resulted in a significant increase (p < 0.05) in total bacteria in soil W, while in soil P, melatonin reduced bacterial gene copy numbers (Supplementary Figure 2.7.4a). In contrast, bacteria numbers were unaffected by melatonin at high cadmium concentration. Fungal gene copy numbers also reduced (p < 0.05) upon treatment with melatonin under both cadmium concentrations in soil P, however no effects were observed in soils C or W for either stressor condition (Supplementary Figure 2.7.5a). Under low salt stress, total bacterial gene copy numbers increased (p < 0.05) to the availability of melatonin in soil C, with no shifts observed in the other soil communities under low or high salt stress (Supplementary Figure 2.7.4b). Total fungal gene copy numbers decreased in soils P and W under salt stress upon the availability of melatonin, however no differences were observed in soil C. (Supplementary Figure 2.7.5b).



Supplementary Figure 2.7.4: Quantitative PCR estimation of bacterial gDNA copy numbers for communities treated with a) cadmium and b) salt stressors. Asterisks (*) represents significant differences (p < 0.05) in copy number for communities treated with melatonin-only compared to control samples or high / low stressor (cadmium or salt) in the presence vs absence of melatonin as determined by pairwise Wilcoxon non-parametric analyses. Treatments: \Box = Control; \blacksquare = low melatonin; \blacksquare = high melatonin + high stress; \blacksquare = low melatonin + high stress; \blacksquare = low stress; \blacksquare = low melatonin + low stress; \blacksquare = high melatonin + low stress. Values (± SE) are reported as mean of n = 4 biological replicates based on an average weight of 0.275g soil per sample. All treatments and controls were exposed to a standard amount of dilute ethanol per soil.



Supplementary Figure 2.7.5: Quantitative PCR estimation of fungal gDNA copy numbers for communities treated with a) cadmium and b) salt stressors. Asterisks (*) represents significant differences (p < 0.05) in copy number for communities treated with melatonin-only compared to control samples or high / low stressor (cadmium or salt) in the presence vs absence of melatonin as determined by pairwise Wilcoxon non-parametric analyses. Treatments: \Box = Control; \blacksquare = low melatonin; \blacksquare = high melatonin = high stress; \blacksquare = low melatonin + high stress; \blacksquare = high melatonin + high stress; \blacksquare = low stress; \blacksquare = low melatonin + low stress; \blacksquare = high melatonin + low stress. Values (± SE) are reported as mean of n = 4 biological replicates based on an average weight of 0.275g soil per sample. All treatments and controls were exposed to a standard amount of dilute ethanol per soil.

2.7.5.1 Melatonin and/or stressor treatments altered microbial community similarities

Analysis of dissimilarity of microbial community structures between control and treatment (melatonin and/or stressor) soil samples showed varying trends for both bacteria and fungi (Ranjard *et al.*, 2001; Chow *et al.*, 2013) (Supplementary Table 2.7.9). Under low salt conditions, melatonin-treated bacterial samples (green highlight) showed a dramatic decrease in dissimilarity to control compared to low salt only (yellow highlight) samples across all three soils (P: 7.6%; C: 33.5%; W: 19.7%) (Supplementary Table 2.7.9a). However, this trend was not observed at high salt conditions for bacterial samples, as melatonin had little effect on the relative differences in dissimilarity, in some cases further increasing dissimilarity. Under high cadmium treatments, melatonin further increased dissimilarity to control compared to the high cadmium-only samples for bacteria, with variable results observed under low cadmium conditions. For fungal communities, changes in dissimilarity under abiotic stress conditions did not follow this same trend at all, with varying patterns across the three soils.

Supplementary Table 2.7.9: Dissimilarities (%) of a) bacterial and b) fungal communities treated with melatonin and/or stressor in comparison to community structures within control samples as determined by SIMPER using Bray Curtis dissimilarity analysis. Colour codes indicate changes in dissimilarities in bacterial communities under low salt stress conditions between samples treated with high melatonin (green) in comparison to no melatonin (yellow).

a)										
		Soil P			Soil C		Soil W			
	High MT	Low MT	No MT	High MT	Low MT	No MT	High MT	Low MT	No MT	
High Cd	42.53	40.78	37.98	41.16	40.17	40.97	53.83	52.97	41.22	
Low Cd	43.45	40.83	44.3	29.15	31.75	34.02	49.35	34.44	34.42	
No Cd	41.3	39.89		27.13	31.76		46.58	28.1		
High salt	36.28	32.84	35.87	35.63	36.54	31.81	41.25	41.75	37.02	
Low salt	32.54	36.97	40.17	29.45	43.62	62.98	34.14	24.98	44.67	
No salt	37.57	40.57		61.71	61.58		50.46	48.05		

h)										
0)		Soil P			Soil C		Soil W			
	High MT	Low MT	No MT	High MT	Low MT	No MT	High MT	Low MT	No MT	
High Cd	27.94	29.64	26.74	27.16	24.44	18.76	35.59	39.05	27.81	
Low Cd	26.87	31.33	31.8	21.74	20.1	19.85	34.8	31.54	30.32	
No Cd	26.24	25.16		23.77	28.84		33.72	28.54		
High salt	24.34	22.88	19.55	26.85	25.96	26.21	29.55	29.79	32.16	
Low salt	21.01	21.56	21.4	22.2	26.97	26.74	26.66	21.73	20.64	
No salt	25.09	21.95		20.29	21.71		26.24	18.2		

. .

MT: melatonin; Cd: cadmium. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol per soil. n=4 replicates per treatment.



2.7.6 Responses of microbial communities to treatments



Supplementary Figure 2.7.6: Heatmaps of a) bacterial and b) fungal community compositions for treatments with melatonin and salt in soil W. OTU identifications are provided in rows and columns represents replicate samples (n=4) for various treatments. Relative abundances (%) of individual OTU's are indicated by a colorimetric scale ranging from 0-30% for bacterial and 0-50% for fungal samples. Singletons and OTU's less than 1% relative abundance were removed and classified within the category 'Other', listed as the final row. MT: melatonin. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol.


Supplementary Figure 2.7.7: Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination displaying Bray-Curtis similarities for bacterial samples within soils P (a & b), C (c & d); and W (e) for various treatments of melatonin and stressor based upon community compositions determined by ARISA fingerprinting analysis. Low 2D stress values indicate high quality ordination plots. Relative proximity of replicates reflects high community similarity within the same treatments for bacterial communities. Water replaced salt treatment and dilute ethanol replaced melatonin treatments in respective control samples. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. (HMT: High melatonin; LMT: Low melatonin; HS: High stress; LS: Low stress; HMT x HS: High melatonin with high stress; LMT x LS: Low melatonin with low stress).



Supplementary Figure 2.7.8: Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination displaying Bray-Curtis similarities for fungal samples within soils P (a & b), C (c & d); and W (e) for various treatments of melatonin and stressor based upon community compositions determined by ARISA fingerprinting analysis. Low 2D stress values indicate high quality ordination plots. Relative proximity of replicates reflects high community similarity within the same treatments for bacterial communities. Water replaced salt treatment and dilute ethanol replaced melatonin treatments in respective control samples. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol. (HMT: High melatonin; LMT: Low melatonin; HS: High stress; LS: Low stress; HMT x HS: High melatonin with high stress; LMT x LS: High melatonin with low stress).

2.8 References

Achard-Joris, M., Moreau, J.L., Lucas, M., Baudrimont, M., Mesmer-Dudons, N., Gonzalez, P., Boudou, A. & Bourdineaud, J.P. (2007). Role of metallothioneins in superoxide radical generation during copper redox cycling: Defining the fundamental function of metallothioneins. *Biochimie* 89, 1474-1488.

Ahn, J.H., Song, J., Kim, B.Y., Kim, M.S., Joa, J.H. & Weon, H.Y. (2012). Characterization of the bacterial and archaeal communities in rice field soils subjected to long-term fertilization practices. *Journal of Microbiology* 50, 754-765.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernandez-Ruiz, J. (2014). Melatonin: plant growth regulator and/or biostimulator during stress? *Trends in Plant Science* 19, 789-797.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2013). Growth conditions determine different melatonin levels in *Lupinus albus* L. *Journal of Pineal Research* 55, 149-155.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2015). Functions of melatonin in plants: a review. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 133-150.

Aye, N.S., Sale, P.W.G. & Tang, C. (2016). The impact of long-term liming on soil organic carbon and aggregate stability in low-input acid soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 52, 697-709.

Badri, D.V. & Vivanco, J.M. (2009). Regulation and function of root exudates. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 32, 666-681.

Bañeras, L., Ruiz-Rueda, O., López-Flores, R., Quintana, X. D. & Hallin, S. (2012) The role of plant type and salinity in the selection for the denitrifying community structure in the rhizosphere of wetland vegetation. *International Microbiology* 15, 89-99.

Bencherif, K., Boutekrabt, A., Fontaine, J., Laruelle, F., Dalpè, Y. & Anissa, L.H.S. (2015). Impact of soil salinity on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi biodiversity and microflora biomass associated with *Tamarix articulata* Vahll rhizosphere in arid and semi-arid Algerian areas. *Science of the Total Environment* 533, 488-494.

Burns, J.H., Anacker, B.L., Strauss, S.Y. & Burke, D.J. (2015). Soil microbial community variation correlates most strongly with plant species identity, followed by soil chemistry, spatial location and plant genus. *AoB PLANTS* 7: 1.

Butterly, C.R., Phillips, L.A., Wiltshire, J.L., Franks, A.E., Armstrong, R.D., Chen, D., Mele, P.M. & Tang, C. (2016). Long-term effects of elevated CO₂ on carbon and nitrogen functional capacity of microbial communities in three contrasting soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 97, 157-167.

Byeon, Y., Lee, H.Y., Hwang, O.J., Lee, H.J., Lee, K. & Back, K. (2015). Coordinated regulation of melatonin synthesis and degradation genes in rice leaves in response to cadmium treatment. *Journal of Pineal Research* 58, 470-478.

Cáliz, J., Montserrat, G., Martí, E., Sierra, J., Chung, A.P., Morais, P.V. & Vila, X. (2013). Emerging resistant microbiota from an acidic soil exposed to toxicity of Cr, Cd and Pb is mainly influenced by the bioavailability of these metals. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 13, 413-428.

Castro, H.F., Classen, A.T., Austin, E.E., Norby, R.J. & Schadt, C.W. (2010). Soil microbial community responses to multiple experimental climate change drivers. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 76, 999-1007.

Chen, C.Q., Fichna, J., Bashashati, M., Li, Y.Y. & Storr, M. (2011). Distribution, function and physiological role of melatonin in the lower gut. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 17, 3888-3898.

Chow, C.E.T., Sachdeva, R., Cram, J.A., Steele, J.A., Needham, D.M., Patel, A., Parada, A.E. & Fuhrman, J.A. (2013). Temporal variability and coherence of euphotic zone bacterial communities over a decade in the Southern California Bight. *ISME Journal* 7, 2259-2273.

Chowdhury, N., Marschner, P. & Burns, R.G. (2011a). Soil microbial activity and community composition: Impact of changes in matric and osmotic potential. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 43, 1229-1236.

Chowdhury, N., Marschner, P. & Burns, R. (2011b) Response of microbial activity and community structure to decreasing soil osmotic and matric potential. *Plant and Soil* 344, 241-254.

Cui, G., Zhao, X., Liu, S., Sun, F., Zhang, C. & Xi, Y. (2017). Beneficial effects of melatonin in overcoming drought stress in wheat seedlings. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 118, 138-149.

Fisher, M.M. & Triplett, E.W. (1999). Automated approach for ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis of microbial diversity and its application to freshwater bacterial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 65, 4630-4636.

Fu, S.F., Wei, J.Y., Chen, H.W., Liu, Y.Y., Lu, H.Y. & Chou, J.Y. (2015). Indole-3-acetic acid: A widespread physiological code in interactions of fungi with other organisms. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* 10: 8.

Geisseler, D., Linquist, B.A. & Lazicki, P.A. (2017). Effect of fertilization on soil microorganisms in paddy rice systems – A meta-analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 115, 452-460.

Gu, Q., Chen, Z., Yu, X., Cui, W., Pan, J., Zhao, G., Xu, S., Wang, R. & Shen, W. (2017). Melatonin confers plant tolerance against cadmium stress via the decrease of cadmium accumulation and reestablishment of microRNA-mediated redox homeostasis. *Plant Science* 261, 28-37.

Hansgate, A.M., Schloss, P.D., Hay, A.G. & Walker, L.P. (2005). Molecular characterization of fungal community dynamics in the initial stages of composting. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 51, 209-214.

Hardeland, R. (1999). Melatonin and 5-methoxytryptamine in non-metazoans. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* 39, 399-408.

Hardeland, R. (2015). Melatonin in plants and other phototrophs: advances and gaps concerning the diversity of functions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 627-646.

Hardeland, R. (2016). Melatonin in plants – Diversity of levels and multiplicity of functions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7: 108.

He, Y., DeSutter, T., Prunty, L., Hopkins, D., Jia, X. & Wysocki, D.A. (2012). Evaluation of 1:5 soil to water extract electrical conductivity methods. *Geoderma* 185-186, 12-17.

Heijboer, A., De Ruiter, P.C., Bodelier, P.L.E. & Kowalchuk, G.A. (2018). Modulation of litter decomposition by the soil microbial food web under influence of land use change. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9: 2860.

Hiroki, M. (1992). Effects of Heavy Metal Contamination on Soil Microbial Population. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 38, 141-147.

Hossain, S.T., Mallick, I. & Mukherjee, S.K. (2012). Cadmium toxicity in Escherichia coli: Cell morphology, Z-ring formation and intracellular oxidative balance. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 86, 54-59. Janas, K.M. & Posmyk, M.M. (2013). Melatonin, an underestimated natural substance with great potential for agricultural application. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 35, 3285-3292.

Jiang, C., Cui, Q., Feng, K., Xu, D., Li, C. & Zheng, Q. (2016). Melatonin improves antioxidant capacity and ion homeostasis and enhances salt tolerance in maize seedlings. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 38: 82.

Jiao, W., Chen, W., Chang, A.C. & Page, A.L. (2012). Environmental risks of trace elements associated with long-term phosphate fertilizers applications: A review. *Environmental Pollution* 168, 44-53.

Ke, Q., Kim, H.S., Wang, Z., Ji, C.Y., Jeong, J.C., Lee, H.S., Choi, Y.I., Xu, B., Deng, X., Yun, D.J. & Kwak, S.S. (2017). Down-regulation of GIGANTEA-like genes increases plant growth and salt stress tolerance in poplar. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 15, 331-343.

Kelly, J.J., Häggblom, M. & Tate Iii, R.L. (1999). Changes in soil microbial communities over time resulting from one time application of zinc: A laboratory microcosm study. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 31, 1455-1465.

Khairy, M., El-Safty, S.A. & Shenashen, M.A. (2014). Environmental remediation and monitoring of cadmium. *TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 62, 56-68.

Kirk, J.L., Beaudette, L.A., Hart, M., Moutoglis, P., Klironomos, J.N., Lee, H. & Trevors, J.T. (2004). Methods of studying soil microbial diversity. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 58, 169-188.

Lee, H.Y., Byeon, Y. & Back, K. (2014). Melatonin as a signal molecule triggering defense responses against pathogen attack in *Arabidopsis* and tobacco. *Journal of Pineal Research* 57, 262-268.

Li, C., Wang, P., Wei, Z., Liang, D., Liu, C., Yin, L., Jia, D., Fu, M. & Ma, F. (2012). The mitigation effects of exogenous melatonin on salinity-induced stress in *Malus hupehensis*. *Journal of Pineal Research* 53, 298-306.

Li, C., Zhao, Q., Gao, T., Wang, H., Zhang, Z., Liang, B., Wei, Z., Liu, C. & Ma, F. (2018). The mitigation effects of exogenous melatonin on replant disease in apple. *Journal of Pineal Research* 65: 4.

Li, M.Q., Hasan, M.K., Li, C.X., Ahammed, G.J., Xia, X.J., Shi, K., Zhou, Y.H., Reiter, R.J., Yu, J.Q., Xu, M.X. & Zhou, J. (2016). Melatonin mediates selenium-induced tolerance to cadmium stress in tomato plants. *Journal of Pineal Research*, 291-302.

Liang, C., Zheng, G., Li, W., Wang, Y., Hu, B., Wang, H., Wu, H., Qian, Y., Zhu, X.G., Tan, D.X., Chen, S.Y. & Chu, C. (2015). Melatonin delays leaf senescence and enhances salt stress tolerance in rice. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 91-101.

Liu, T., Zhao, F., Liu, Z., Zuo, Y., Hou, J. & Wang, Y. (2016). Identification of melatonin in *Trichoderma* spp. and detection of melatonin content under controlled-stress growth conditions from *T. asperellum. Journal of Basic Microbiology* 56, 838-843.

Lucchelli, A., Santagostino-Barbone, M.G. & Tonini, M. (1997). Investigation into the contractile response of melatonin in the guinea-pig isolated proximal colon: The role of 5-HT4 and melatonin receptors. *British Journal of Pharmacology* 121, 1775-1781.

Manchester, L.C., Coto-Montes, A., Boga, J.A., Andersen, L.P.H., Zhou, Z., Galano, A., Vriend, J., Tan, D.X. & Reiter, R.J. (2015). Melatonin: An ancient molecule that makes oxygen metabolically tolerable. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 403-419.

Marschner, P., Kandeler, E. & Marschner, B. (2003). Structure and function of the soil microbial community in a long-term fertilizer experiment. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 35, 453-461.

Mau, R.L., Dijkstra, P., Schwartz, E., Koch, B.J. & Hungate, B.A. (2019). Addressing strengths and weaknesses of a multi-ecosystem climate change experiment. *Applied Soil Ecology* 133, 191-192.

Morrissey, E.M., Gillespie, J.L., Morina, J.C. & Franklin, R.B. (2014). Salinity affects microbial activity and soil organic matter content in tidal wetlands. *Global Change Biology* 20, 1351-1362.

Müller, A.K., Westergaard, K., Christensen, S. & Sørensen, S.J. (2001). The effect of longterm mercury pollution on the soil microbial community. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 36, 11-19.

Ondreičková, K., Žofajová, A., Piliarová, M., Gubiš, J. & Hudcovicová, M. (2016). Monitoring of rhizosphere bacterial communities in soil with sewage sludge addition using two molecular fingerprinting methods: Do these methods give similar results? *Agriculture* 62, 52-61.

Öztürk, A.I., Yilmaz, O., Kirbağ, S. & Arslan, M. (2000). Antimicrobial and biological effects of ipemphos and amphos on bacterial and yeast strains. *Cell Biochemistry and Function* 18, 117-126.

Paulose, J.K., Wright, J.M., Patel, A.G. & Cassone, V.M. (2016). Human gut bacteria are sensitive to melatonin and express endogenous circadian rhythmicity. *Plos One* 11: 1.

Rajapaksha, R.M.C.P., Tobor-Kapłon, M.A. & Bååth, E. (2004). Metal toxicity affects fungal and bacterial activities in soil differently. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 70, 2966-2973.

Ramette, A. (2009). Quantitative community fingerprinting methods for estimating the abundance of operational taxonomic units in natural microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75, 2495-2505.

Ranjard, L., Poly, F., Lata, J.C., Mougel, C., Thioulouse, J. & Nazaret, S. (2001). Characterization of Bacterial and Fungal Soil Communities by Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis Fingerprints: Biological and Methodological Variability. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 67, 4479-4487.

Rath, K.M., Maheshwari, A., Bengtson, P. & Rousk, J. (2016). Comparative toxicities of salts on microbial processes in soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 82, 2012-2020.

Reese, A.T., Lulow, K., David, L.A. & Wright, J.P. (2018). Plant community and soil conditions individually affect soil microbial community assembly in experimental mesocosms. *Ecology and Evolution* 8, 1196-1205.

Reiter, R.J., Mayo, J.C., Tan, D.X., Sainz, R.M., Alatorre-Jimenez, M. & Qin, L. (2016). Melatonin as an antioxidant: under promises but over delivers. *Journal of Pineal Research* 61, 253-278.

Reiter, R.J., Tan, D.X., Zhou, Z., Cruz, M.H.C., Fuentes-Broto, L. & Galano, A. (2015). Phytomelatonin: Assisting plants to survive and thrive. *Molecules* 20, 7396-7437.

Robertsa, T.L. (2014). Cadmium and phosphorous fertilizers: The issues and the science. *Procedia Engineering* 83, 52-59.

Rodriguez-Naranjo, M.I., Torija, M.J., Mas, A., Cantos-Villar, E. & Garcia-Parrilla, M.D.C. (2012). Production of melatonin by *Saccharomyces* strains under growth and fermentation conditions. *Journal of Pineal Research* 53, 219-224.

Rodriguez, C., Mayo, J.C., Sainz, R.M., Antolín, I., Herrera, F., Martín, V. & Reiter, R.J. (2004). Regulation of antioxidant enzymes: A significant role for melatonin. *Journal of Pineal Research* 36, 1-9.

Schultz, C.L., Edrington, T.S., Callaway, T.R., Schroeder, S.B., Hallford, D.M., Genovese, K.J., Anderson, R.C. & Nisbet, D.J. (2006). The influence of melatonin on growth of *E. coli* O157:H7 in pure culture and exogenous melatonin on faecal shedding of *E. coli* O157:H7 in experimentally infected wethers. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 43, 105-110.

Tan, D.X., Hardeland, R., Manchester, L.C., Korkmaz, A., Ma, S., Rosales-Corral, S. & Reiter, R.J. (2012). Functional roles of melatonin in plants, and perspectives in nutritional and agricultural science. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63, 577-597.

Tan, D.X., Zheng, X.D., Kong, J., Manchester, L.C., Hardeland, R., Kim, S.J., Xu, X.Y. & Reiter, R.J. (2014). Fundamental issues related to the origin of melatonin and melatonin isomers during evolution: relation to their biological functions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 15, 15858-15890.

Tanaka, T., Nishio, K., Usuki, Y. & Fujita, K.i. (2006). Involvement of oxidative stress induction in Na⁺ toxicity and its relation to the inhibition of a Ca²⁺-dependent but calcineurinindependent mechanism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 101, 77-79.

Tekbas, O.F., Ogur, R., Korkmaz, A., Kilic, A. & Reiter, R.J. (2008). Melatonin as an antibiotic: New insights into the actions of this ubiquitous molecule. *Journal of Pineal Research* 44, 222-226.

Van Wijngaarden, R.P.A., Van Den Brink, P.J., Oude Voshaar, J.H. & Leeuwangh, P. (1995). Ordination techniques for analysing response of biological communities to toxic stress in experimental ecosystems. *Ecotoxicology* 4, 61-77.

Wahid, F., Sharif, M., Steinkellner, S., Khan, M.A., Marwat, K.B. & Khan, S.A. (2016). Inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in the presence of rock phosphate improves phosphorus uptake and growth of maize. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 48, 739-747.

Wall, D.H. & Virginia, R.A. (1999). Controls on soil biodiversity: Insights from extreme environments. *Applied Soil Ecology* 13, 137-150.

Wang, H.X., Liu, F. & Ng, T.B. (2001). Examination of pineal indoles and 6-methoxy-2benzoxazolinone for antioxidant and antimicrobial effects. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - C Toxicology and Pharmacology* 130, 379-388.

Weeda, S., Zhang, N., Zhao, X.L., Ndip, G., Guo, Y.D., Buck, G.A., Fu, C.G. & Ren, S.X. (2014). *Arabidopsis* transcriptome analysis reveals key roles of melatonin in plant defense systems. *Plos One* 9: 18.

Wei, W., Li, Q.T., Chu, Y.N., Reiter, R.J., Yu, X.M., Zhu, D.H., Zhang, W.K., Ma, B., Lin, Q., Zhang, J.S. & Chen, S.Y. (2015). Melatonin enhances plant growth and abiotic stress tolerance in soybean plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 695-707.

Wood, J.L., Tang, C. & Franks, A.E. (2016a). Microbial associated plant growth and heavy metal accumulation to improve phytoextraction of contaminated soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 103, 131-137.

Wood, J.L., Zhang, C., Mathews, E.R., Tang, C. & Franks, A.E. (2016b). Microbial community dynamics in the rhizosphere of a cadmium hyper-accumulator. *Scientific Reports* 6, 1-10.

Yan, N., Marschner, P., Cao, W., Zuo, C. & Qin, W. (2015). Influence of salinity and water content on soil microorganisms. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research* 3, 316-323.

Yao, H., He, Z., Wilson, M.J. & Campbell, C.D. (2000). Microbial biomass and community structure in a sequence of soils with increasing fertility and changing land use. *Microbial Ecology* 40, 223-237.

Zancarini, A., Mougel, C., Voisin, A.S., Prudent, M., Salon, C. & Munier-Jolain, N. (2012). Soil nitrogen availability and plant genotype modify the nutrition strategies of *M. truncatula* and the associated rhizosphere microbial communities. *Plos One* 7: 10.

Zhang, N., Sun, Q., Zhang, H., Cao, Y., Weeda, S., Ren, S. & Guo, Y.D. (2015). Roles of melatonin in abiotic stress resistance in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 647-656.

Zhang, Q., Jin, H., Zhou, H., Cai, M., Li, Y., Zhang, G. & Di, H. (2019). Variation of soil anaerobic microorganisms connected with anammox processes by 13C-phospholipid fatty acid analysis among long-term fertilization regimes in a crop rotation system. *Applied Soil Ecology* 133, 34-43.

Zhang, Y.P., Yang, S.J. & Chen, Y.Y. (2017). Effects of melatonin on photosynthetic performance and antioxidants in melon during cold and recovery. *Biologia Plantarum* 61, 571-578.

Zhao, J., Ni, T., Li, Y., Xiong, W., Ran, W., Shen, B., Shen, Q. & Zhang, R. (2014). Responses of bacterial communities in arable soils in a rice-wheat cropping system to different fertilizer regimes and sampling times. *Plos One* 9: 1.

Zhong, W.H. & Cai, Z.C. (2007). Long-term effects of inorganic fertilizers on microbial biomass and community functional diversity in a paddy soil derived from quaternary red clay. *Applied Soil Ecology* 36, 84-91.

Chapter 3 Melatonin alters bacterial community structures in agricultural soils

Dr. Rohan Lowe (School of Molecular Science, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia) contributed 20% to the data analysis component of this study. All experimental work, data interpretation, written presentation and the remaining 80% of data analysis were provided by Andrew Madigan.

3.1 Introduction

Soil microbial communities are a key component of terrestrial ecosystems (Wall and Virginia, 1999; Yao *et al.*, 2000; Wahid *et al.*, 2016). Various bacterial functional groups, including nitrogen-fixing bacteria and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), contribute to plant health and crop productivity by providing essential minerals and nutrients to plants (Brussaard *et al.*, 2007; Fierer *et al.*, 2007b; Chanda *et al.*, 2016). Plants directly influence microbial community structure and function within the rhizosphere by providing sugars, amino acids and various secondary metabolites, such as indoleamines (e.g. auxins), secreted into the soil as root exudates (Badri and Vivanco, 2009; Dennis *et al.*, 2010). In turn, alteration of microbial community structure can have both direct and indirect effects on plant physiology and development, such as altering the vegetative period duration, plant growth rate or stress tolerance levels (Poupin *et al.*, 2013; Rincon-Florez *et al.*, 2013; Chanda *et al.*, 2016).

Melatonin (N-acetly-5-methoxytryptamine) is an indoleamine produced ubiquitously across all domains of life (Hardeland, 2015; Manchester *et al.*, 2015). Melatonin has been shown to have a diverse range of beneficial physiological effects on plants that may have promising applications in future agriculture practices: enhancing seedling growth and crop yield (Zhao *et al.*, 2015a); altering root architecture and development as well as enhancing root biomass (Chen *et al.*, 2009; Hernández *et al.*, 2015; Zhao *et al.*, 2015a) and seed germination (Zhang *et al.*, 2013); delaying important processes such as leaf senescence (Wang *et al.*, 2013; Zhang *et al.*, 2017) and fruit decay (Gao *et al.*, 2016); promoting fruit ripening (Sun *et al.*, 2016). Melatonin has been described in various microorganisms including bacteria such as proteobacteria and cyanobacteria (Manchester *et al.*, 1995; Hardeland, 1999; Muszyńska *et al.*, 2011; Muszyńska and Sułkowska-Ziaja, 2012; Tan *et al.*, 2014; Vigentini *et al.*, 2015; Jiao *et al.*, 2016; Ma *et al.*, 2017). However, to date, no data has been reported regarding responses of bacterial communities to melatonin in agricultural soils. Melatonin has been shown to reduce the

infection capability of some bacterial pathogens in plants (Zhao *et al.*, 2015b; Chen *et al.*, 2019), and has shown antimicrobial properties in some *in-vitro* studies (Tekbas *et al.*, 2008; Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2015).

The ARISA analyses conducted in chapter 2 demonstrated that exogenous melatonin altered bacterial community structures under abiotic stress (cadmium or salt) conditions. Next generation sequencing (NGS) of bacterial communities was subsequently conducted on the same samples that were treated with melatonin-only from two of the agricultural soils (soil C and W). Due to limited funding and a general lack of responsiveness to treatments compared to bacteria, NGS was not conducted on fungal samples. Concurrently, the bacterial samples associated with soil P showed no significant responses to melatonin only according to ARISA (Supplementary Table 2.7.8) and were thus not included in further analysis by NGS. Preparation of soil samples prior to treatments was an important aspect of this study. Both soils were stored in oxygen-poor conditions for four and five months (Figure 2.1). Soils were subsampled to investigate the responses of differentially aged bacterial communities to melatonin. It was expected that this aging regime would change the initial microbial communities and potentially shift selection pressures amongst the populations (Kelly *et al.*, 1999; Castro *et al.*, 2010; Heijboer *et al.*, 2018; Reese *et al.*, 2018).

Overall, the aim of the research conducted in this chapter is to identify the soil bacteria responsive to exogenous melatonin. It was hypothesised that: 1) melatonin alters bacterial community structures in soil; 2) bacterial communities shift between sampling timepoints for the same soil. This shift will in turn result in different responses by soil bacteria to melatonin at both timepoints; 3) soil microbes respond differently to high and low concentrations of melatonin; 4) melatonin alters the abundance of soil PGPRs within the soil communities.

3.2 Materials & method

3.2.1 Soil sampling and melatonin treatments

All soil samples associated with melatonin-only treatments (i.e. no chemical stressors) from soils C and W that were analysed by ARISA in chapter 2, were further analysed by next generation sequencing. All preparations, treatments and conditions as described in Sections 2.3.1 & 2.3.2.

3.2.2 Microbial community analysis – Illumina MiSeq

Total soil DNA was extracted from 0.25-0.3 g of soil subsamples using PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories Inc., California, U.S.A.) according to manufacturer's instructions. MiSeq sequencing was conducted on the V3-V4 of the 16S rRNA gene for bacteria (Yarza et al., 2014; Lazarevic et al., 2016). Sequenced bacterial samples were prepared with barcoded primer sets according to protocols outlined by Illumina for 16S Metagenomic Library sequencing (Dong et al., 2016). Bacterial primers included 341 F (5'-CCT ACG GGN GGC WGC AG-3') and reverse primer 805R (5'-GAC TAC HVG GGT ATC TAA TCC-3'). PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 25 µl comprising of 2.5 µl gDNA (5 ng / µl), 12.5 µl 2 x KAPA Hifi HotStart ReadyMix (Fisher Scientific, Melbourne, Australia) and 5 µl each of 1 µM forward and reverse primers. Bacterial PCR amplification was conducted according to Illumina protocol (Illumina, San Diego, USA) under a temperature profile of 95°C for 3 min, followed by 25 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec and a final extension of 72°C for 5 min. Negative controls indicated any contamination issues. PCR products were cleaned with AMPure XP beads following inspection of quality on 1.5% agarose gels. Dual indices and Illumina sequencing adaptors were attached to amplicons using Nextera XT Index Kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA). Library quantification of cleaned PCR products was conducted on a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer using a Qubit dsDNA BS Assay Kit (ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Samples were standardised to a concentration of 4 nM and pooled, followed by library submission to MiSeqTM platform (MiSeq Reagent Kit v3; Illumina, San Diego, USA) with 600 cycles of paired-end (2 x 300bp) sequencing.

3.2.3 Bioinformatics & Statistical analysis

Single end reads of the amplified V3-V4 regions for bacteria were merged and subsequently analysed using USEARCH v 10.0.240 (Edgar, 2010). This involved quality filtering, chimeric removal and discarding reads with total expected errors greater than 1.0. Sequences were dereplicated and operational taxonomic units (OTU's) clustered with 97% similarity cut-off. The datasets 16S rRNA RDP training set v16 (Edgar, 2018) was downloaded in July 2018 and used for taxonomic identification of bacterial sequences respectively. Total community richness was assessed by species rarefaction curves and species accumulation plots, generated in the R library *vegan* v2.52 using R v3.5.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Boston, USA).

Bacterial data were rarefied to 73,000 sequences per sample and analysed using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) assessed differences between microbial assemblages due to various melatonin treatments within each soil (Anderson and Walsh, 2013). Diversity indices analysis was conducted in R v3.5.1 on rarefied data to investigate shifts in community diversity due to melatonin. The [R] statistical environment and the Bioconductor package 'EdgeR' was used to identify differentially abundant OTU counts between treatments (Robinson et al., 2009). Count data for each OTU was initially filtered using filterByExpr (default settings) to remove OTUs with a low number of counts, OTUs were required to have at least 85 counts/million (CPM) in 4 of the 48 samples. Library size was normalised (calcNormfactors) before the negative-binomial dispersion was estimated (estimateDisp). A generalised linear model with quasi-likelihood pipeline was then calculated (glmQLFit). Differentially abundant OTUs were identified using glmQLFTest on the model, with contrasts that compared single melatonin treatments to the zero melatonin controls. Comparisons were made between bacterial communities related to melatonin treatments (high and low) and soil type (C and W) at both sampling timepoints (T0 and T1). P-values, log2(fold-change), log2(average CPM per OTU), and counts per million values were calculated along with a false discovery rate (FDR) corrected for multiple tests using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Principle components were calculated in [R] using the princomp function (covariance matrix) acting on log10-transformed raw counts for OTUs.

3.2.4 Quantitative PCR

Total bacterial DNA copy numbers were measured by quantitative PCR (qPCR) from treated soils (sampled separately to the ARISA (chapter 2) and NGS analysis), to assess overall shifts in abundances between control and melatonin treatments. Bacterial communities were assessed using the qPCR primers and thermal cycling conditions as described in Section 2.3.5.

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Overall

This study investigated bacterial community responses to melatonin (High: 4 mg kg⁻¹ soil; Low: 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ soil) in two agricultural soils (C and W) at two timepoints related to the soil storage conditions (T0 and T1) (Figure 2.1). After quality control, a total of

6,034,089 high quality bacterial sequences were obtained, ranging from 75,219 to 215,188 per sample. This provided a total of 5,829 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at \geq 97% similarity level from the 48 soil samples analysed through Illumina MiSeq sequencing analysis. After filtering, 1,596 out of 5,829 bacterial OTUs were retained and analysed. Samples were rarefied to 73,000 reads per sample for PERMANOVA and diversity indices analysis as well as species accumulation curves. Rarefaction curves for total bacteria OTUs did not plateau, however similar levels were reached in both soils (Figure 3.1 a & b), while species accumulation curves reached saturation in both soil C and W (Figure 3.1 c & d). The bacterial sequencing reads belonged to 18 phyla, with Actinobacteria (29.3%), Proteobacteria (26.1%), Acidobacteria (12.0%), unknown (6.2%) and *Firmicutes* (5.2%) the most dominant (relative abundance > 5%). These phyla were common to all 48 libraries (representing the 48 treated soil samples), accounting for 78.8% of the total reads. Bacterial biomass was not affected by melatonin as quantitative PCR (qPCR) results indicated that melatonin did not significantly alter (Wilcoxon pairwise test: p > 0.05) bacterial gene copy numbers in comparison to control samples for communities associated with either soil (Figure 3.2). Bacterial communities associated with control samples from the same soil differed significantly (p < 0.05) between sampling timepoints T0 and T1 for soil C (t = 2.9321; p = 0.029) and soil W (t = 4.04; p = 0.0301).

3.3.2 Bacterial community responses to melatonin from different agricultural soils

The PCA plot showed clear separation between bacterial communities associated with soil C compared to soil W, independent of the soil treatments (Figure 3.3a). Significant differences (p < 0.05) were observed between communities associated with control samples and melatonin-treated samples for both soils, however for communities associated with the sampling timepoint T1 only (Figure 3.3a; Table 3.1). In contrast, control and melatonin-treated samples clustered together for each soil at the first sampling timepoint (albeit relatively widely dispersed in the separate ordination space for each soil - Figures 3.3b & c), with no significant difference (p > 0.05) observed between communities associated with control samples and melatonin treated samples (Table 3.1).



Figure 3.1: Rarefaction curves (a & b) for species richness, and species accumulation (c & d) curves for bacterial communities in soil C (a & c) and soil W (b & d).

Concurrently, exogenous melatonin enhanced (p < 0.05) the α diversity (Shannon and Simpson indices) of bacterial communities in soil C and W, however this effect was only observed at the second sampling timepoint (Figure 3.4). Overall, therefore, melatonin modified the abundance of some bacterial communities, altering the α and β diversities in both soils.

3.3.3 High and low concentrations of melatonin differently alter bacterial communities upon the soil sampling time

Changes in the relative abundances of individual bacterial taxa due to low or high melatonin treatments (in comparison to control samples) were assessed using volcano plots. These plots clearly showed that more significant shifts $[log_{10}(OTU_FDR) < -2]$ occurred in both soils at sampling timepoint T1 compared to T0 (Figure 3.5). Interestingly, these plots also showed a relative similarity between overall OTU

responses to high or low melatonin (in comparison with control samples) per soil for communities associated with the timepoint T1. However, analysis by PERMANOVA, taking into consideration the whole set of data, revealed a significant difference (p < 0.05) between communities treated with high and low melatonin in soil W at both sampling timepoints; while the same comparisons for soil C communities showed statistically weaker differences (p = 0.055; 0.084) (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1: Comparisons of bacterial community compositions treated with high, low and zero (Control) concentrations of melatonin at sampling timepoints T0 and T1 (n = 4 replicates per treatment). Asterisks (*) represent significant differences (P(perm) < 0.05) as determined by PERMANOVA using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices. All treatments were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol per soil (Soil C= 0.052%; Soil W 0.06%). t = t-statistic.

		Soi	I C		Soil W						
	Ĩ	Т0		T1	,	ТО	T1				
	t	t P(perm)		t P(perm)		t P(perm)		t P(perm)			
High vs Low	1.786	0.084	1.195	0.055	2.302	0.028*	1.324	0.028*			
High vs Control	1.048	0.401	3.010	0.027*	1.932	0.057	4.074	0.031*			
Low vs Control	1.676	0.084	3.035	0.028*	0.9189	0.858	3.186	0.028*			

3.3.4 Melatonin impacts the abundance of some plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs)

Eleven genera of PGPRs, represented by *Bacillus* (averaging 3.03% across all samples), **Burkholderia** (0.745%),Caulobacter (0.028%), Flavobacterium (0.106%),*Mesorhizobium* (0.045%), *Micrococcinea* (1.116%), *Paenibacillus* (0.467%),Pseudomonas (0.0095%), Rhizobium (0.005%), Streptinomyceae (1.78%) and Variovorax (0.014%) (Tables 3.3 and 3.4), were identified in this study using various sources as reference lists for PGPRs (Enebe and Babalola, 2018; Gouda et al., 2018; Majeed et al., 2018; Ramakrishna et al., 2019). Bacillus was the most abundant PGPR in all samples at each melatonin or control treatment, independent of soil or sampling timepoint (Tables 3.3 & 3.4). Responses of *Bacillus* to melatonin were highly variable and showed no overall pattern. For example, high melatonin resulted in a decrease (in comparison to the respective control samples) in relative abundance by 6,432 counts per million (CPM; equivalent to 0.643%) in soil C at T0, whereas at T1, high melatonin caused an increase by 451 CPM (0.0451%). In contrast, in soil W, the relative

abundance of *Bacillus* increased by 14,884 CPM (1.488%) for high melatonin treatment at T0, while at T1, the same treatment resulted in a decrease by 6140 CPM (0.614%) under the same respective comparisons (Tables 3.3 & 3.4). *Streptomycineae* was generally the second most abundant PGPR genus across all samples and showed more consistent responses to melatonin compared to *Bacillus*, generally decreasing in relative abundance, however not significantly (p > 0.05). Only *Paenibacillus* and *Burkholderia* significantly (p < 0.05) changed in response to exogenous melatonin in both soils, with *Paenibacillus* decreasing and *Burkholderia* increasing in relative abundances in comparison to respective control samples (Figures 3.6 & 3.7; Tables 3.3 & 3.4). The relative abundances of *Rhizobium* and *Caulobacter* increased significantly to high melatonin in soil C and W respectively, while *Mesorhizobium* decreased in response to high melatonin in soil C (each in comparison to respective control samples). In all examples, these significant shifts were observed only at sampling timepoint T1.



Figure 3.2: Quantitative PCR estimation of bacterial gDNA copy numbers for communities treated with high and low melatonin (MT) at sampling timepoints T0 and T1. Values (\pm SE) are reported as mean of n = 4 replicates per treatment. All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol per soil (Soil C= 0.052%; Soil W 0.06%). Pairwise Wilcoxon non-parametric analyses reported no significant differences (p > 0.05) in copy number for communities treated with melatonin-only compared to control samples. Soil C represents soil collected 3 weeks post canola harvest. Soil W represents soil collected 3 weeks post fire-blazed wheat harvest.



Figure 3.3: Principal components analysis (PCA) of bacterial samples (Principal components [Comp.] 2 and 3) associated with a) soils C and W; b) soil C; and c) soil W. Samples were treated with high, low and zero (Control) concentrations of melatonin (MT) at sampling timepoints T0 and T1 (n = 4 replicates per treatment). All treatments were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol per soil (Soil C= 0.052%; Soil W 0.06%). Soil C represents soil collected 3 weeks post canola harvest. Soil W represents soil collected 3 weeks post fire-blazed wheat harvest.



Figure 3.4: Bacterial community responses to melatonin treatments as determined by a) Shannon and b) Simpsons diversity indices for data rarefied to 73,000 reads per sample post filtering. Diversity indices were calculated in the vegan R software using OTU counts and relative abundances. Values (\pm SE) are reported as mean of n = 4 replicates per treatment. Nonparametric analysis of data by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests in R-determined statistical differences (* representing p < 0.05) amongst treatments from the same soil and sampling timepoint. Bars represent standard error (n = 4). All treatments and controls were composed of a standardised amount of dilute ethanol per soil. Control = ; High melatonin = or ; Low melatonin = or

Table 3.2: Changes in relative abundance values (%) of bacterial phyla in response to high or low concentrations of melatonin (in comparison to control [zero] treatments) at sampling timepoints T0 and T1. Positive results represent increases in relative abundance (% within total population) of phyla upon melatonin treatment and vice versa. Significant shifts (False Discovery Rate < 0.05) are highlighted in orange. Soil C represents soil collected 3 weeks post canola harvest. Soil W represents soil collected 3 weeks post fire-blazed wheat harvest.

		Soi	l C						
	Т	0	Т	1	Т	'0	T1		
Bacterial Phyla	High vs Zero	Low vs Zero	Overall						
Gemmatimonadetes	1.0*	0.1	1.4*	1.8*	-0.7	0.2	2.6*	2.0*	8.5
candidate_division_WPS-1	0.1	-1.4	1.4*	2.2*	-1.6	0.3	1.7*	1.7*	4.4
Unknown	0.1	-0.2	1.2*	1.8*	-0.1	0.3	0.2*	0.7*	4.1
Candidatus_Saccharibacteria	0.5*	-0.1	0.2	0.5	-1.4	< 0.1	2.1*	1.8	3.6
Acidobacteria	-0.9	-3.9*	2.2	1.4	-2.0	1.0	2.7	2.9	3.3
Planctomycetes	-0.5	0.4	0.8*	1.0*	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.4	2.5
Verrucomicrobia	0.3	-0.4	0.4	0.5	-0.3	-0.1	< 0.1*	0.1*	0.4
candidate_division_WPS-2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1*	0.2	0.4
Parcubacteria	<0.1*	< 0.1	<0.1*	< 0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1*	0.1*	0.3
Armatimonadetes	< 0.1	< 0.1	<0.1*	< 0.1*	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1*	< 0.1	0.2
Microgenomates	< 0.1	<0.1*	<0.1*	< 0.1*	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1*	< 0.1*	<0.1
Chlamydiae	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	<0.1*	<0.1
BRC1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1*	< 0.1	<0.1
Cyanobacteria/Chloroplast	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.1	-0.2*	< 0.1	-0.1
Chloroflexi	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	-0.1	-0.4*	-0.3*	-1.0
Bacteroidetes	< 0.1	-1.0	-0.9*	-0.6*	-1.1	-0.1	1.0	0.7	-2.0
Actinobacteria	1.4	7.8*	-1.9	-2.7*	8.0*	-1.6	-9.2*	-8.4*	-6.6
Firmicutes	-1.2	2.2	-1.2	-2.0*	2.2*	-0.2	-4.7*	-4.1*	-8.9
Proteobacteria	-0.6	-3.5	-3.8*	-3.8*	-3.2	0.2	3.5	2.1	-9.1



Figure 3.5: Volcano plots of bacterial OTU responses to low or high melatonin treatments (in comparison with control (zero) treatments) within soils C and W at sampling timepoints T0 and T1. A positive fold change represents an increase in OTU relative abundance upon treatment with melatonin and vice versa. MT: Melatonin; FDR: False Discovery Rate.

Table 3.3: Mean counts per million (CPM) of individual plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) in soil C across control (Zero MT), low melatonin (Low MT) and high melatonin (High MT) treatments at sampling timepoints T0 and T1. A positive change (highlighted in blue) represents a mean increase in CPM value upon treatment with melatonin in comparison with the control samples. Negative changes are highlighted in purple. Asterisks (*) represents significant differences (False discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05) between treatments and controls as determined by the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

						<u>T1</u>							
	Ν	Iean (CPM	Change (CPM)			N	lean (CPM	Change (CPM)					
Genera	Zero MT	Low MT	High MT	Low MT	High MT		Zero MT	Low MT	High MT	Low MT	High MT		
Bacillus	37999.7	44483.2	31567.6	6483.5	-6432.2		26779.4	25101.2	27231.0	-1678.1	451.6		
Paenibacillus	1069.4	1003.2	830.4	-66.1	-239.0		16553.8	1302.4	1215.9	-15251.4*	-15337.9*		
Streptomycineae	10358.5	11440.0	15399.6	1081.5	5041.1		12785.5	12065.1	8816.2	-720.4	-3969.3		
Micrococcineae	8295.7	10347.7	8960.4	2051.9	664.7		11223.2	9206.0	9471.3	-2017.2	-1751.9		
Burkholderia	6469.9	6085.6	5262.1	-384.2	-1207.8		4295.7	5819.3	5366.1	1523.6*	1070.4		
Flavobacterium	1466.7	732.0	1533.6	-734.6	66.9		2850.2	1976.5	1822.3	-873.7	-1027.8		
Mesorhizobium	678.7	595.4	944.6	-83.2	265.9		967.8	596.4	406.1	-371.5	-561.7*		
Caulobacter	225.8	179.7	355.6	-46.1	129.8		186.1	286.4	274.6	100.4	88.5		
Variovorax	162.6	156.3	216.4	-6.3	53.8		215.3	158.4	196.8	-56.8	-18.5		
Pseudomonas	206.9	104.9	475.6	-102.1	268.6		65.0	85.9	91.6	21.0	26.6		
Rhizobium	25.7	22.8	274.7	-2.9	248.9		8.3	8.4	133.3	0.1	125.0*		



Figure 3.6: Logarithmic fold changes (Log₂FC) of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) in response to treatments with high and low concentrations of melatonin (MT) in soil C for sampling timepoints T0 and T1. Fold changes were based upon the mean counts per million (CPM) and relative to control treatments with positive changes representing increases in relative abundances upon treatment with melatonin and vice versa. Asterisks (*) represents significant differences (False discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05) between treatments and controls as determined by the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

Table 3.4: Mean counts per million (CPM) of individual plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) in soil W across control (Zero MT), low melatonin (Low MT) and high melatonin (High MT) treatments at sampling timepoints T0 and T1. A positive change (highlighted in blue) represents a mean increase in CPM value upon treatment with melatonin in comparison with the control samples. Negative changes are highlighted in purple. Asterisks (*) represents significant differences (False discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05) between treatments and controls as determined by the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

			<u>T0</u>				<u>T1</u>						
	Ν	/Iean (CPM	Change (CPM)			Μ	lean (CPM	Change (CPM)					
Genera	Zero MT	Low MT	High MT	Low MT	High MT		Zero MT	Low MT	High MT	Low MT	High MT		
Bacillus	23974.2	23783.5	38858.5	-190.7	14884.3		30084.2	29772.5	23944.1	-311.7	-6140.1		
Paenibacillus	1006.5	937.1	1207.5	-69.3	201.0		28242.2	1075.4	1586.1	-27166.8*	-26656.0*		
Streptomycineae	24667.2	22792.7	22195.7	-1874.4	-2471.5		25750.2	25407.6	22384.9	-342.5	-3365.3		
Micrococcineae	12998.6	11793.0	16007.1	-1205.6	3008.5		12007.6	11265.8	12373.1	-741.8	365.5		
Burkholderia	10213.3	10179.3	8171.3	-33.9	-2041.9		4799.7	13683.1	9005.1	8883.4*	4205.4*		
Flavobacterium	330.2	310.8	389.5	-19.4	59.3		554.1	423.8	317.4	-130.3	-236.7		
Mesorhizobium	270.1	199.9	180.6	-70.3	-89.5		169.6	220.9	214.1	51.3	44.5		
Caulobacter	348.0	484.1	270.7	136.1	-77.3		121.6	258.2	372.5	136.5	250.8*		
Variovorax	92.1	98.2	119.1	6.1	27.0		119.7	94.2	77.2	-25.5	-42.5		
Pseudomonas	18.6	13.2	26.3	-5.4	7.7		19.1	3.6	32.6	-15.4	13.5		
Rhizobium	2.2	8.8	8.3	6.6	6.1		16.9	87.7	2.2	70.8	-14.8		



Figure 3.7: Logarithmic fold changes (Log₂FC) of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) in response to treatments with high and low concentrations of melatonin (MT) in soil W for sampling timepoints T0 and T1. Fold changes were based upon the mean counts per million (CPM) and relative to control treatments with positive changes representing increases in relative abundances upon treatment with melatonin and vice versa. Asterisks (*) represents significant differences (False discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05) between treatments and controls as determined by the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

3.4 Discussion

3.4.1 Overview of investigation

Soil microbial communities are a key component of a healthy ecosystem (Kirk et al., 2004; Wahid et al., 2016), providing essential nutrients and growth requirements directly and indirectly to plant roots (Wall and Virginia, 1999; Yao et al., 2000; Kirk et al., 2004; Wahid et al., 2016). The responses of bacterial assemblages from two agricultural soils to melatonin were assessed at two separate timepoints (T0 and T1) using next generation sequencing (NGS) via Illumina MiSeq Metagenomic Library techniques. Bacterial primers amplified the V3-V4 region of 16S rRNA genes. All treatments contained an equal amount of dilute ethanol per soil (Soil C: 0.052% v/v; Soil W: 0.06% v/v) to ensure that bacterial responses to the dilute solvent were standardised across all samples. Overall, the results showed that exogenous melatonin significantly (p < 0.05) altered bacterial community structures in both soils (Table 3.1). Distinctly different bacterial community responses to melatonin were observed at sampling timepoint T0 compared T1 for both soils (Table 3.2; Figure 3.5). This could be interpreted as potentially indicating that soil bacterial community structures and/or some important soil physiochemical characteristics were altered between timepoints TO and T1 (Kelly et al., 1999; Pesaro et al., 2003; Castro et al., 2010; Heijboer et al., 2018; Reese et al., 2018).

3.4.2 Responses of bacterial phyla to melatonin

Actinobacteria (29.3%), *Proteobacteria* (26.1%) and *Acidobacteria* (12.0%) were the predominant phyla across all samples. This finding is consistent with other soil studies across the world, where these phyla have dominated soils associated with agriculture, grasslands, forests and shrubs (Yadav *et al.*, 2015; Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.*, 2018; Wang *et al.*, 2018; Yu *et al.*, 2019). *Actinobacteria* are drought tolerant bacteria having important ecological roles such as plant decomposition, breaking down plant biomass with cellulolytic enzymes, as well as acting as eukaryotic symbionts (Currie *et al.*, 1999; De Boer *et al.*, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Lewin *et al.*, 2016). *Acidobacteria* are a diverse and resilient bacterial phylum associated with nutrient cycling across various soil types, including challenging environments such as carbon-poor, low resource or heavily disturbed soils (Fierer *et al.*, 2007a; Huang *et al.*, 2015; Kielak *et al.*, 2016). *Actinobacteria* and neutral soils preferred by either respectively (Lauber *et al.*, 2009). *Actinobacteria* and

Acidobacteria levels have also been shown to increase in rhizosphere soil compared to surrounding topsoil, suggesting they are involved in plant-microbe interactions (Peiffer *et al.*, 2013; Aguirre-Von-Wobeser *et al.*, 2018). *Proteobacteria* are a highly diverse phylum, associated with various roles in soil including nitrogen fixation and plant pathogenesis (Chen *et al.*, 2003; Preston *et al.*, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2019).

In the current study, soil C and W were acidic (pH 4.9 and 4.7 respectively) prior to application of treatments, suggesting an initial soil environment more suited to *Acidobacteria*. However, changes in pH during the treatments were not recorded. Similar overall responses to melatonin by both *Actinobacteria* and *Acidobacteria* were observed. In general, the relative abundances of both phyla increased strongly upon melatonin treatment for both soils at the first sampling timepoint (T0), while for the second sampling point (T1), these phyla were negatively affected by melatonin, showing significant decreases in relative abundances across all melatonin treatments and soils (Table 3.2). In contrast, *Proteobacteria* in soil C responded similarly (negatively) to melatonin at both sampling timepoints, whereas they responded differently in soil W according to the sampling timepoint.

Overall these differences in responses to melatonin at the two sampling timepoints suggest a shift in bacterial community structures over time. PERMANOVA analyses support this inference, showing significant (p < 0.05) differences between the control communities associated with timepoint T0 compared to the timepoint T1, for soil C (t = 2.9321; p = 0.029) and soil W (t = 4.04; p = 0.0301). Various factors could have been influential in generating a shift in bacterial community structures between these timepoints. For example, the additional four weeks of storage between the sampling timepoints may have affected some soil physiochemical characteristics, which in turn could have reshaped community structures (Kelly et al., 1999; Pesaro et al., 2003; Castro et al., 2010; Heijboer et al., 2018; Reese et al., 2018). Similarly, microbes better adapted to the storage conditions (dehydrated; from aerated to anaerobic; room temperature (21°C)) between timepoints may have established a greater dominance in the soils and also influenced the establishment of other bacteria (Evans and Wallenstein, 2012; Huang and Hall, 2017; Zhao et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2018). By altering the communities via controlling soil storage conditions, I was able to explore how changes in communities from the same soil altered the subsequent responses to exogenous melatonin. For both soil communities, these shifts resulted in distinctly different

bacterial community responses to melatonin (Table 3.2; Figure 3.5). The shifts in community structures prior to treatments coupled with the individual and collective effects of melatonin on soil bacteria as well as the complex responses of bacteria to the moisture pulse from a treatment may provide an explanation for different community responses to melatonin in the same soils at different timepoints (Collins *et al.*, 2008). In this study, soil physiochemical characteristics were only analysed prior to treatments at timepoint T0, on dry, untreated soils. No data are available to determine shifts in soil chemistry occurring throughout the experiments.

Only one study to date has reported the effects of exogenous melatonin on soil bacterial community structures (Li et al., 2018). This study investigated a potential role of melatonin in the alleviation of replant disease (of unknown cause) in apple (Malus X domestica). Higher bacterial diversity indices were observed for melatonin-treated replant soil, with increases in some phyla (1.5 fold or less) such as Chloroflexi, Firmicutes and Gemmatimonadetes and slight decreases (< 1% relative abundances) in Bacteriodes and Verrucomicrobia (Li et al., 2018). These trends were also observed in the study reported here, in that melatonin increased bacterial diversity in both agricultural soils (sampling timepoint T1 only). In contrast to the community changes in the study reported by Li et al. (2018), Chloroflexi generally decreased in relative abundances upon melatonin treatments in the current study, and responses of Firmicutes were variable. The diversity of soil microbial communities is an indicator of soil quality (Yang and Zhang, 2015). Therefore, it may be inferred that melatonin has a potential to improve the overall quality of a soil, based on the similar findings between both studies. Further studies are required to determine the physiochemical conditions most suitable for melatonin to enhance soil bacterial diversity.

Among the phyla responding to melatonin in the current study, *Gemmatimonadetes* showed the most consistent responses, increasing in relative abundances in both soils at each sampling point (Table 3.2). *Gemmatimonadetes* are resilient bacteria generally adapted to low moisture soils and low nutrient (oligotrophic) environments, with a high tolerance to drought and desiccation (DeBruyn *et al.*, 2011; Park *et al.*, 2017). The consistent positive responses of *Gemmatimonadetes* observed between both studies suggests that melatonin may provide a competitive advantage to this phylum. For example, the resilience of *Gemmatimonadetes* may confer a competitive advantage when conditions become more favorable (e.g. soil hydration), and thus it is possible

that *Gemmatimonadetes* may have utilized melatonin more efficiently compared to other bacteria. Interestingly, *Gemmatimonadetes* utilise the highly destructive ozonedepleting nitrous oxide (N₂O) as part of a non-denitrifying respiratory reaction under anoxic conditions (Zhang *et al.*, 2003; Portmann *et al.*, 2012; Domeignoz-Horta *et al.*, 2016; Park *et al.*, 2017). In this context, melatonin may therefore have been indirectly assisting the reduction of soil N₂O emissions. In the current study, all taxa from *Gemmatimonadetes* were associated with the genus *Gemmatimonas*. Very little is known about this genus as the only species that has been sufficiently characterized to date is *G. aurantiaca* strain T-27. This species is a gram negative, polyphosphate-accumulating obligate aerobe, with the capacity to reduce N₂O (Park *et al.*, 2017). Investigating, how *Gemmatimonadetes* utilize melatonin could provide valuable information regarding the functional roles of melatonin in bacteria and how melatonin is utilized to enhance establishment in a microbial community.

3.4.3 Effect of exogenous melatonin on soil PGPRs

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) provide minerals and nutrients to plants that are essential for growth and development (Brussaard et al., 2007; Fierer et al., 2007b). In the current study, 11 genera of PGPRs were detected (Tables 3.3 & 3.4). Responses of Bacillus across both soils, sampling timepoints and melatonin treatments were highly variable, with no clear pattern. In contrast, overall Pseudomonas increased in relative abundances in response to high concentration of melatonin whereas, low melatonin concentration had an opposite effect. In general, Rhizobium increased in response to melatonin, however it is also important to note the low relative abundance (CPM values: Tables 3.3 & 3.4) of *Rhizobium* in samples, as only a slight change could be recorded as a fold-shift. Bacillus, Pseudomonas and Rhizobium species are particularly important PGPRs due to key functional roles associated with nitrogen fixing and highly efficient phosphate solubilisation (Liu et al., 2015; Igiehon and Babalola, 2018). In addition, all three genera actively produce antibiotics, numerous phytohormones and various secondary metabolites including the indoleamine, IAA, within the rhizosphere (Dazzo et al., 2000; Patten and Glick, 2002; Ghosh et al., 2008; Verma et al., 2010). Interestingly, IAA is structurally very similar to melatonin and acts as a signalling molecule between various bacteria thus altering bacterial interactions and soil community dynamics (Spaepen et al., 2007; Arnao and Hernandez-Ruiz, 2014). Bacillus also have been shown to inhibit the growth of plant pathogens as well as stimulate plant systemic resistance to a biotic stressor (Fira *et al.*, 2018; Miao *et al.*, 2018). Importantly, some *Pseudomonas*, such as *P. syringae*, are pathogenic towards plants (Lee *et al.*, 2014; Shi *et al.*, 2015). However, the overall increase in relative abundance of these genera of PGPRs in response to melatonin treatment suggests that soil-applied melatonin might have an indirect positive effect on plant growth and development, via the enhanced establishment of these important members of the soil environment.

The other PGPRs found in the current study, including *Burkholderia, Caulobacter, Flavobacterium, Mesorhizobium, Micrococcinea, Paenibacillus, Streptomycineae* and *Variovorax* have been reported to have various functional roles in soil, including nitrogen fixing, suppression of plant parasitic nematodes or enhancing plant-microbe interactions via the production of secondary metabolites including various phytohormones (Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2012; Jeyanthi and Kanimozhi, 2018; Mhatre *et al.*, 2019). In this study, all of the above genera of PGPRs were represented in control and melatonin-treated samples for both soils. Of these PGPRs, *Paenibacillus* and *Burkholderia* showed significant (p < 0.05) responses to melatonin in both soils. For both genera, these significant shifts were observed only in the soils sampled at T1, with *Paenibacillus* decreasing significantly and *Burkholderia* significantly increasing due to melatonin (in comparison to controls) (Figures 3.6 & 3.7; Tables 3.3 & 3.4).

Burkholderia have been shown to improve nitrogen fixation, assist plant growth, improve plant root and shoot development as well as enhance biotic and abiotic stress tolerance in numerous plants including grape (*Vitis vinifera*), potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) (Nowak *et al.*, 1998; Sharma and Nowak, 1998; Sessitsch *et al.*, 2005; Ait Barka *et al.*, 2006; Tallapragada *et al.*, 2016; Araújo *et al.*, 2017). The significantly (p < 0.05) positive responses of this genus to melatonin in soils at timepoint T1 could have potentially beneficial indirect effects on plant growth and development. It is possible that bacteria that may have directly competed with *Burkholderia* in the T0 samples were not as dominant in the T1 samples, therefore resulting in greater abundances in the latter soils.

Paenibacillus in soil have many beneficial roles for plants including nitrogen fixation (Xie *et al.*, 2014), phosphate solubilisation (Das *et al.*, 2010; Marra *et al.*, 2012), iron uptake (Zhou *et al.*, 2016) and phytohormone production (e.g. IAA) (Patten *et al.*,

2013). Importantly, the significant decreases in relative abundance of *Paenibacillus* upon treatment with melatonin is strongly associated to the very high abundance of this genus in control samples. For example, relative abundance levels of *Paenibacillus* in control samples for soil C increased by over 10-fold between sampling timepoints T0 and T1 soil samples, while these levels increased by over 25-fold in soil W under the same comparison (Tables 3.3 & 3.4). Interestingly, the relative abundance levels of *Paenibacillus* in melatonin-treated soils were relatively similar in T0 and T1 samples within the same soil. As discussed above, the overall community shifted significantly (p < 0.05) between T0 and T1. It is possible that bacteria that may have directly competed with *Paenibacillus* in the T0 samples were not as dominant in the T1 samples, therefore resulting in greater abundances in the latter soils. In turn, melatonin may have restored the overall community dynamic similar to the T0 samples. Alternatively, it is possible that not all PGPRs are sensitive to melatonin as previously shown for some bacteria (Tekbas *et al.*, 2008; Paulose and Cassone, 2016).

3.4.4 Effects of different melatonin concentrations on bacterial assemblages

The results in the study reported here showed variable responses of bacterial communities to different concentrations of melatonin between both soils (Table 3.2). Collectively, however, this data provides an initial indication that there may be a concentration-dependant shift in soil bacterial communities upon treatment with melatonin (Table 3.1). Interestingly, many plant studies have reported a concentrationdependent effect of melatonin on root architecture and development. For example, low melatonin concentrations (< 1 µM) resulted in enhanced primary root growth or development in Brassica juncea, Triticum aestivum and Arabidopsis, whereas at higher concentrations (e.g. 100 µM), melatonin had a negative effect on root systems (Hernández-Ruiz et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2009; Hernández et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). Data from the current study therefore leads to the inference that the concentration-dependent responses by soil bacterial communities to melatonin may potentially be an influencing factor in the concentration-dependent effects of melatonin on root growth and development. These results have implications for future plantmelatonin research studies, where melatonin is supplied to plants via the soil. On the basis of this finding, it is recommended that these future studies should include soil microbiome responses to melatonin as part of the analyses. Notably in the current study, bacterial communities responded differently to melatonin treatments between both sampling timepoints, suggesting that predicting the effect of melatonin on soil bacteria is a highly complex process. Therefore, in-depth studies across a wide range of soil types and environmental conditions are required to assess if this indoleamine has a viable role in future agricultural practices.

3.4.5 Role of fire or crops in shaping bacterial communities

Analyses in the current study clearly demonstrated separation of bacterial communities on the basis of soil type, indicating distinct communities associated with each soil (Figure 3.3). Interestingly, soils C and W were collected on the same day at sites less than 10 km apart and showed similar soil chemistry profiles to each other. However, soil C was sampled 3 weeks post canola crop harvest, while soil W was sampled 3 weeks post fire blazing of a harvested wheat crop. This therefore suggests that the crops associated with the soils and/or fire blazing activity may have shaped distinctly different soil bacteria communities and their resulting responses to melatonin treatments. The plant species associated with a soil has been shown to be a key factor influencing the bacterial community structure within the rhizosphere (Burns et al., 2015). In particular, root exudate composition strongly shapes microbial assemblages, and can vary considerably according to plant species (Uren, 2000). Fire-altered soil communities exposed to further chemical treatment (e.g. nitrogen fertilisation) have also been shown to develop highly distinct communities, adapted to utilising the changed environmental conditions (Allison et al., 2010; Barreiro et al., 2016). Further research is required to determine the extent to which the crops (canola and wheat) and management practice (fire blazing) may have shaped the associated bacterial communities and the resulting responses to melatonin. This may provide valuable information regarding the soil conditions or crops most suitable for future use of melatonin in agriculture.

3.5 Conclusion

This study demonstrated that soil bacterial communities were significantly (p < 0.05) altered by exposure to exogenous melatonin. *Gemmatimonadetes* showed the most positive responses to melatonin, increasing in relative abundances for both soils at each sampling point, while some PGPRs also significantly (p < 0.05) responded to exogenous melatonin. *Actinobacteria* and *Acidobacteria* showed similar responses to melatonin at both sampling timepoints, suggesting that melatonin may have a similar

role for both phyla. Community shifts to melatonin treatments differed according to the sampling timepoint for both soils, suggesting that the effects of melatonin on bacterial structures are complex. Further research is required to determine the processes by which soil microbes utilise melatonin, such as enhancing microbial activity or altering competitive advantages, and the implications this may have for potential future applications of melatonin in agriculture. To my knowledge, this is the first report investigating the responses of bacterial communities to melatonin in agricultural soils.

3.6 References

Aguirre-Von-Wobeser, E., Rocha-Estrada, J., Shapiro, L.R. & De La Torre, M. (2018). Enrichment of *Verrucomicrobia*, *Actinobacteria* and *Burkholderiales* drives selection of bacterial community from soil by maize roots in a traditional milpa agroecosystem. *Plos One* 13: 12.

Ait Barka, E., Nowak, J. & Clément, C. (2006). Enhancement of chilling resistance of inoculated grapevine plantlets with a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium, *Burkholderia phytofirmans* strain PsJN. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72, 7246-7252.

Allison, S.D., Gartner, T.B., Mack, M.C., McGuire, K. & Treseder, K. (2010). Nitrogen alters carbon dynamics during early succession in boreal forest. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42, 1157-1164.

Anderson, M.J. & Walsh, D.C.I. (2013). PERMANOVA, ANOSIM, and the Mantel test in the face of heterogeneous dispersions: What null hypothesis are you testing? *Ecological Monographs* 83, 557-574.

Araújo, F.D.S., Araújo, W.L. & Eberlin, M.N. (2017). Potential of *Burkholderia seminalis* TC3.4.2R3 as biocontrol agent against *Fusarium oxysporum* evaluated by mass spectrometry imaging. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry* 28, 901-907.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernandez-Ruiz, J. (2014). Melatonin: plant growth regulator and/or biostimulator during stress? *Trends in Plant Science* 19, 789-797.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2015). Functions of melatonin in plants: a review. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 133-150.

Badri, D.V. & Vivanco, J.M. (2009). Regulation and function of root exudates. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 32, 666-681.

Barreiro, A., Bååth, E. & Díaz-Raviña, M. (2016). Bacterial and fungal growth in burnt acid soils amended with different high C/N mulch materials. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 97, 102-111.

Bhattacharyya, P.N. & Jha, D.K. (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 28, 1327-1350.

Brussaard, L., de Ruiter, P.C. & Brown, G.G. (2007). Soil biodiversity for agricultural sustainability. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 121, 233-244.

Burns, J.H., Anacker, B.L., Strauss, S.Y. & Burke, D.J. (2015). Soil microbial community variation correlates most strongly with plant species identity, followed by soil chemistry, spatial location and plant genus. *AoB PLANTS* 7: 1.

Castro, H.F., Classen, A.T., Austin, E.E., Norby, R.J. & Schadt, C.W. (2010). Soil microbial community responses to multiple experimental climate change drivers. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 76, 999-1007.

Chanda, A., Gummadidala, P.M. & Gomaa, O.M. (2016). Mycoremediation with mycotoxin producers: a critical perspective. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 100, 17-29.

Chen, Q., Qi, W., Reiter, R.J., Wei, W. & Wang, B.m. (2009). Exogenously applied melatonin stimulates root growth and raises endogenous indoleacetic acid in roots of etiolated seedlings of *Brassica juncea*. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 166, 324-328.

Chen, W.M., Moulin, L., Bontemps, C., Vandamme, P., Béna, G. & Boivin-Masson, C. (2003). Legume symbiotic nitrogen fixation by β -*Proteobacteria* Is widespread in nature. *Journal of Bacteriology* 185, 7266-7272.

Chen, X., Sun, C., Laborda, P., He, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, C. & Liu, F. (2019). Melatonin treatments reduce the pathogenicity and inhibit the growth of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*. *Plant Pathology* 68, 288-296.

Collins, S.L., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Crenshaw, C., Green, L., Porras-Alfaro, A., Stursova, M. & Zeglin, L.H. (2008). Pulse dynamics and microbial processes in aridland ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology* 96, 413-420.

Currie, C.R., Scottt, J.A., Summerbell, R.C. & Malloch, D. (1999). Fungus-growing ants use antibiotic-producing bacteria to control garden parasites. *Nature* 398, 701-704.
Das, S.N., Dutta, S., Kondreddy, A., Chilukoti, N., Pullabhotla, S.V.S.R.N., Vadlamudi, S. & Podile, A.R. (2010). Plant Growth-Promoting Chitinolytic *Paenibacillus elgii* responds positively to tobacco root exudates. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 29, 409-418.

Dazzo, F.B., Yanni, Y.G., Rizk, R., De Bruijn, F.J., Rademaker, J.S., A., Corich, V., Mateos, P. & Martinez-Molina, E. (2000). Progress in multinational collaborative studies on the beneficial association between *Rhizobium Ieguminosarum* by *trifolii* and rice. In: The quest for nitrogen fixation in rice (Ladha, JK, Reddy, PM, ed). Philippines: IRR1, Los Banos., 167-189.

De Boer, W., Folman, L.B., Summerbell, R.C. & Boddy, L. (2005). Living in a fungal world: Impact of fungi on soil bacterial niche development. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 29, 795-811.

DeBruyn, J.M., Nixon, L.T., Fawaz, M.N., Johnson, A.M. & Radosevich, M. (2011). Global biogeography and quantitative seasonal dynamics of *Gemmatimonadetes* in soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 77, 6295-6300.

Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Oliverio, A.M., Brewer, T.E., Benavent-González, A., Eldridge, D.J., Bardgett, R.D., Maestre, F.T., Singh, B.K. & Fierer, N. (2018). A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. *Science* 359, 320-325.

Dennis, P.G., Miller, A.J. & Hirsch, P.R. (2010). Are root exudates more important than other sources of rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities? *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 72, 313-327.

Domeignoz-Horta, L.A., Putz, M., Spor, A., Bru, D., Breuil, M.C., Hallin, S. & Philippot, L. (2016). Non-denitrifying nitrous oxide-reducing bacteria—an effective N₂O sink in soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 103, 376–379.

Dong, K., Tripathi, B., Moroenyane, I., Kim, W., Li, N., Chu, H. & Adams, J. (2016). Soil fungal community development in a high Arctic glacier foreland follows a directional replacement model, with a mid-successional diversity maximum. *Scientific Reports* 6: 26360.

Edgar, R.C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2460-2461.

Edgar, R.C. (2018). Taxonomy annotation and guide tree errors in 16S rRNA databases. *PeerJ* 2018: 6.

Enebe, M.C. & Babalola, O.O. (2018). The influence of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in plant tolerance to abiotic stress: a survival strategy. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 102, 7821-7835.

Evans, S.E. & Wallenstein, M.D. (2012). Soil microbial community response to drying and rewetting stress: Does historical precipitation regime matter? *Biogeochemistry* 109, 101-116.

Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A. & Jackson, R.B. (2007a). Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology* 88, 1354-1364.

Fierer, N., Breitbart, M., Nulton, J., Salamon, P., Lozupone, C., Jones, R., Robeson, M., Edwards, R.A., Felts, B., Rayhawk, S., Knight, R., Rohwer, F. & Jackson, R.B. (2007b). Metagenomic and small-subunit rRNA analyses reveal the genetic diversity of bacteria, archaea, fungi, and viruses in soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73, 7059-7066.

Fira, D., Dimkić, I., Berić, T., Lozo, J. & Stanković, S. (2018). Biological control of plant pathogens by Bacillus species. *Journal of Biotechnology* 285, 44-55.

Gao, H., Zhang, Z.K., Chai, H.K., Cheng, N., Yang, Y., Wang, D.N., Yang, T. & Cao, W. (2016). Melatonin treatment delays postharvest senescence and regulates reactive oxygen species metabolism in peach fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 118, 103-110.

Ghosh, S., Sengupta, C., Maiti, T.K. & Basu, P.S. (2008). Production of 3-indolylacetic acid in root nodules and culture by a *Rhizobium* species isolated from root nodules of the leguminous pulse *Phaseolus mungo*. *Folia Microbiologica* 53, 351-355.

Gouda, S., Kerry, R.G., Das, G., Paramithiotis, S., Shin, H.S. & Patra, J.K. (2018). Revitalization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable development in agriculture. *Microbiological Research* 206, 131-140.

Hardeland, R. (1999). Melatonin and 5-methoxytryptamine in non-metazoans. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* 39, 399-408.

Hardeland, R. (2015). Melatonin in plants and other phototrophs: advances and gaps concerning the diversity of functions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 627-646.

Heijboer, A., De Ruiter, P.C., Bodelier, P.L.E. & Kowalchuk, G.A. (2018). Modulation of litter decomposition by the soil microbial food web under influence of land use change. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9: 2860.

Hernández-Ruiz, J., Cano, A. & Arnao, M.B. (2005). Melatonin acts as a growth-stimulating compound in some monocot species. *Journal of Pineal Research* 39, 137-142.

Hernández, I.G., Gomez, F.J.V., Cerutti, S., Arana, M.V. & Silva, M.F. (2015). Melatonin in *Arabidopsis thaliana* acts as plant growth regulator at low concentrations and preserves seed viability at high concentrations. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 94, 191-196.

Huang, W. & Hall, S.J. (2017). Elevated moisture stimulates carbon loss from mineral soils by releasing protected organic matter. *Nature Communications* 8: 1.

Huang, X., Liu, L., Wen, T., Zhu, R., Zhang, J. & Cai, Z. (2015). Illumina MiSeq investigations on the changes of microbial community in the *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* infected soil during and after reductive soil disinfestation. *Microbiological Research* 181, 33-42.

Igiehon, N.O. & Babalola, O.O. (2018). Rhizosphere microbiome modulators: Contributions of nitrogen fixing bacteria towards sustainable agriculture. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 15: 4.

Jeyanthi, V. & Kanimozhi, S. (2018). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)prospective and mechanisms: A review. *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology* 12, 733-749.

Jiao, J., Ma, Y., Chen, S., Liu, C., Song, Y., Qin, Y., Yuan, C. & Liu, Y. (2016). Melatoninproducing endophytic bacteria from grapevine roots promote the abiotic stress-induced production of endogenous melatonin in their hosts. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7: 1387.

Kelly, J.J., Häggblom, M. & Tate Iii, R.L. (1999). Changes in soil microbial communities over time resulting from one time application of zinc: A laboratory microcosm study. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 31, 1455-1465.

Kielak, A.M., Barreto, C.C., Kowalchuk, G.A., van Veen, J.A. & Kuramae, E.E. (2016). The ecology of *Acidobacteria*: Moving beyond genes and genomes. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7: 744.

Kirk, J.L., Beaudette, L.A., Hart, M., Moutoglis, P., Klironomos, J.N., Lee, H. & Trevors, J.T. (2004). Methods of studying soil microbial diversity. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 58, 169-188.

Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R. & Fierer, N. (2009). Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75, 5111-5120.

Lazarevic, V., Gaïa, N., Girard, M. & Schrenzel, J. (2016). Decontamination of 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequence datasets based on bacterial load assessment by qPCR. *BMC Microbiology* 16: 1.

Lee, H.Y., Byeon, Y. & Back, K. (2014). Melatonin as a signal molecule triggering defense responses against pathogen attack in *Arabidopsis* and tobacco. *Journal of Pineal Research* 57, 262-268.

Lewin, G.R., Carlos, C., Chevrette, M.G., Horn, H.A., McDonald, B.R., Stankey, R.J., Fox, B.G. & Currie, C.R. (2016). Evolution and Ecology of *Actinobacteria* and Their Bioenergy Applications. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 70, 235-254.

Li, C., Zhao, Q., Gao, T., Wang, H., Zhang, Z., Liang, B., Wei, Z., Liu, C. & Ma, F. (2018). The mitigation effects of exogenous melatonin on replant disease in apple. *Journal of Pineal Research* 65: 4.

Li, Y., Pan, F. & Yao, H. (2019). Response of symbiotic and asymbiotic nitrogen-fixing microorganisms to nitrogen fertilizer application. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 19, 1948-1958.

Liu, Z., Li, Y.C., Zhang, S., Fu, Y., Fan, X., Patel, J.S. & Zhang, M. (2015). Characterization of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria isolated from calcareous soils. *Applied Soil Ecology* 96, 217-224.

Lu, Z., Sethu, R. & Imlay, J.A. (2018). Endogenous superoxide is a key effector of the oxygen sensitivity of a model obligate anaerobe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115, e3266-e3275.

Ma, X., Jiang, M., Liu, J., Deng, H. & Wang, S. (2017). Preliminary analysis of amplicon highthroughput sequencing as a method for the assessment of fungal diversity in discolored wood. *Holzforschung* 71, 793-800.

Majeed, A., Muhammad, Z. & Ahmad, H. (2018). Plant growth promoting bacteria: role in soil improvement, abiotic and biotic stress management of crops. *Plant Cell Reports* 37, 1599-1609.

Manchester, L.C., Coto-Montes, A., Boga, J.A., Andersen, L.P.H., Zhou, Z., Galano, A., Vriend, J., Tan, D.X. & Reiter, R.J. (2015). Melatonin: An ancient molecule that makes oxygen metabolically tolerable. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 403-419.

Manchester, L.C., Poeggeler, B., Alvares, F.L., Ogden, G.B. & Reiter, R.J. (1995). Melatonin immunoreactivity in the photosynthetic prokaryote *Rhodospirillum rubrum*: implications for an ancient antioxidant system. *Cellular & molecular biology research* 41, 391-395.

Marra, L.M., Sousa Soares, C.R.F., de Oliveira, S.M., Ferreira, P.A.A., Soares, B.L., de Carvalho, R.F., de Lima, J.M. & de Moreira, F.M.S. (2012). Biological nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilization by bacteria isolated from tropical soils. *Plant and Soil* 357, 289-307.

Mhatre, P.H., Karthik, C., Kadirvelu, K., Divya, K.L., Venkatasalam, E.P., Srinivasan, S., Ramkumar, G., Saranya, C. & Shanmuganathan, R. (2019). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): A potential alternative tool for nematodes bio-control. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology* 17, 119-128.

Miao, G.P., Han, J., Wang, C.R., Zhang, K.G. & Wang, S.C. (2018). Growth inhibition and induction of systemic resistance against *Pythium aphanidermatum* by *Bacillus* simplex strain HS-2. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 28, 1114-1127.

Muszyńska, B. & Sułkowska-Ziaja, K. (2012). Analysis of indole compounds in edible *Basidiomycota* species after thermal processing. *Food Chemistry* 132, 455-459.

Muszyńska, B., Sułkowska-Ziaja, K. & Ekiert, H. (2011). Indole compounds in fruiting bodies of some edible *Basidiomycota* species. *Food Chemistry* 125, 1306-1308.

Nowak, J., Asiedu, S.K., Bensalim, S., Richards, J., Stewart, A., Smith, C., Stevens, D. & Sturz, A.V. (1998). From laboratory to applications: Challenges and progress with in vitro dual cultures of potato and beneficial bacteria. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 52, 97-103.

Park, D., Kim, H. & Yoon, S. (2017). Nitrous oxide reduction by an obligate aerobic bacterium, *Gemmatimonas aurantiaca* strain T-27. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 83: 12.

Patten, C.L., Blakney, A.J.C. & Coulson, T.J.D. (2013). Activity, distribution and function of indole-3-acetic acid biosynthetic pathways in bacteria. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 39, 395-415.

Patten, C.L. & Glick, B. (2002). Role of *Pseudomonas putida* indole acetic acid in development of the host plant root system. *Environ. Microbiol.* 68 3795-3801.

Paulose, J.K. & Cassone, V.M. (2016). The melatonin-sensitive circadian clock of the enteric bacterium *Enterobacter aerogenes. Gut Microbes*, 1-4.

Peiffer, J.A., Spor, A., Koren, O., Jin, Z., Tringe, S.G., Dangl, J.L., Buckler, E.S. & Ley, R.E. (2013). Diversity and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome under field conditions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110, 6548-6553.

Pesaro, M., Widmer, F., Nicollier, G. & Zeyer, J. (2003). Effects of freeze-thaw stress during soil storage on microbial communities and methidathion degradation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 35, 1049-1061.

Portmann, R.W., Daniel, J.S. & Ravishankara, A.R. (2012). Stratospheric ozone depletion due to nitrous oxide: Influences of other gases. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 367, 1256-1264.

Poupin, M.J., Timmermann, T., Vega, A., Zuñiga, A. & González, B. (2013). Effects of the Plant Growth-Promoting Bacterium *Burkholderia phytofirmans* PsJN throughout the Life Cycle of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plos One* 8: 7.

Preston, G.M., Studholme, D.J. & Caldelari, I. (2005). Profiling the secretomes of plant pathogenic *Proteobacteria*. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 29, 331-360.

Ramakrishna, W., Yadav, R. & Li, K. (2019). Plant growth promoting bacteria in agriculture: Two sides of a coin. *Applied Soil Ecology* 138, 10-18.

Reese, A.T., Lulow, K., David, L.A. & Wright, J.P. (2018). Plant community and soil conditions individually affect soil microbial community assembly in experimental mesocosms. *Ecology and Evolution* 8, 1196-1205.

Rincon-Florez, V.A., Carvalhais, L.C. & Schenk, P.M. (2013). Culture-independent molecular tools for soil and rhizosphere microbiology. *Diversity* 5, 581-612.

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J. & Smyth, G.K. (2009). edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139-140.

Sessitsch, A., Coenye, T., Sturz, A.V., Vandamme, P., Barka, E.A., Salles, J.F., Van Elsas, J.D., Faure, D., Reiter, B., Glick, B.R., Wang-Pruski, G. & Nowak, J. (2005). *Burkholderia phytofirmans* sp. nov., a novel plant-associated bacterium with plant-beneficial properties. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 55, 1187-1192.

Sharma, V.K. & Nowak, J. (1998). Enhancement of verticillium wilt resistance in tomato transplants by *in vitro* co-culture of seedlings with a plant growth promoting rhizobacterium (*Pseudomonas sp.* strain PsJN). *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 44, 528-536.

Shi, H., Chen, Y., Tan, D.X., Reiter, R.J., Chan, Z. & He, C. (2015). Melatonin induces nitric oxide and the potential mechanisms relate to innate immunity against bacterial pathogen infection in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 102-108.

Spaepen, S., Vanderleyden, J. & Remans, R. (2007). Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 31, 425-448.

Sun, Q., Zhang, N., Wang, J., Cao, Y., Li, X., Zhang, H., Zhang, L., Tan, D.X. & Guo, Y.D. (2016). A label-free differential proteomics analysis reveals the effect of melatonin on promoting fruit ripening and anthocyanin accumulation upon postharvest in tomato. *Journal of Pineal Research*, 138-153.

Tallapragada, P., Dikshit, R. & Seshagiri, S. (2016). Influence of *Rhizophagus* spp. and *Burkholderia seminalis* on the growth of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculatum*) and bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) under drought stress. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 47, 1975-1984.

Tan, D.X., Zheng, X.D., Kong, J., Manchester, L.C., Hardeland, R., Kim, S.J., Xu, X.Y. & Reiter, R.J. (2014). Fundamental issues related to the origin of melatonin and melatonin isomers during evolution: relation to their biological functions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 15, 15858-15890.

Tekbas, O.F., Ogur, R., Korkmaz, A., Kilic, A. & Reiter, R.J. (2008). Melatonin as an antibiotic: New insights into the actions of this ubiquitous molecule. *Journal of Pineal Research* 44, 222-226.

Uren, N.C. (2000). Types, amount, and possible functions of compounds released into the rhizosphere by soil-grown plants. In: Pinton R, Varanini Z, Nannipieri P (eds) The rhizosphere: biochemistry and organic substances at the soil-plant interface. Marcel Dekker, New York, 19–40.

Verma, J.P., Yadav, J., Tiwari, K.V., Lavakush & Singh, V. (2010). Impact of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on crop production. *J. Agric.Res.* 5, 954-983.

Vigentini, I., Gardana, C., Fracassetti, D., Gabrielli, M., Foschino, R., Simonetti, P., Tirelli, A. & Iriti, M. (2015). Yeast contribution to melatonin, melatonin isomers and tryptophan ethyl ester during alcoholic fermentation of grape musts. *Journal of Pineal Research* 58, 388-396.

Wahid, F., Sharif, M., Steinkellner, S., Khan, M.A., Marwat, K.B. & Khan, S.A. (2016). Inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in the presence of rock phosphate improves phosphorus uptake and growth of maize. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 48, 739-747.

Wall, D.H. & Virginia, R.A. (1999). Controls on soil biodiversity: Insights from extreme environments. *Applied Soil Ecology* 13, 137-150.

Wang, B., Adachi, Y. & Sugiyama, S. (2018). Soil productivity and structure of bacterial and fungal communities in unfertilized arable soil. *Plos One* 13: 9.

Wang, B., Wang, J. & Meldrum, D.R. (2012). Application of synthetic biology in cyanobacteria and algae. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 3, 344.

Wang, P., Sun, X., Chang, C., Feng, F., Liang, D., Cheng, L. & Ma, F. (2013). Delay in leaf senescence of *Malus hupehensis* by long-term melatonin application is associated with its regulation of metabolic status and protein degradation. *Journal of Pineal Research* 55, 424-434.

Wang, Q., An, B., Wei, Y., Reiter, R.J., Shi, H., Luo, H. & He, C. (2016). Melatonin regulates root meristem by repressing auxin synthesis and polar auxin transport in *Arabidopsis*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7.

Xie, J.B., Du, Z., Bai, L., Tian, C., Zhang, Y., Xie, J.Y., Wang, T., Liu, X., Chen, X., Cheng, Q., Chen, S. & Li, J. (2014). Comparative genomic analysis of N₂-fixing and non-N₂-fixing *Paenibacillus* spp.: organization, evolution and expression of the nitrogen fixation genes. *PLoS Genetics* 10: 3.

Yadav, A.N., Sachan, S.G., Verma, P. & Saxena, A.K. (2015). Prospecting cold deserts of north western Himalayas for microbial diversity and plant growth promoting attributes. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 119, 683-693.

Yang, D.W. & Zhang, M.K. (2015). Effects of land-use change from paddy field to orchard farm on soil property shift processes and their characteristics. *Shengtai Xuebao/Acta Ecologica Sinica* 35, 3825-3835.

Yao, H., He, Z., Wilson, M.J. & Campbell, C.D. (2000). Microbial biomass and community structure in a sequence of soils with increasing fertility and changing land use. *Microbial Ecology* 40, 223-237.

Yarza, P., Yilmaz, P., Pruesse, E., Glöckner, F.O., Ludwig, W., Schleifer, K.H., Whitman, W.B., Euzéby, J., Amann, R. & Rosselló-Móra, R. (2014). Uniting the classification of cultured and uncultured bacteria and archaea using 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 12, 635-645.

Yu, Y., Wu, M., Petropoulos, E., Zhang, J., Nie, J., Liao, Y., Li, Z., Lin, X. & Feng, Y. (2019). Responses of paddy soil bacterial community assembly to different long-term fertilizations in southeast China. *Science of the Total Environment* 656, 625-633.

Zhang, H., Sekiguchi, Y., Hanada, S., Hugenholtz, P., Kim, H., Kamagata, Y. & Nakamura, K. (2003). *Gemmatimonas aurantiaca* gen. nov., sp. nov., a gram-negative, aerobic, polyphosphate-accumulating micro-organism, the first cultured representative of the new bacterial phylum *Gemmatimonadetes* phyl. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 53, 1155-1163.

Zhang, J., Shi, Y., Zhang, X., Du, H., Xu, B. & Huang, B. (2017). Melatonin suppression of heat-induced leaf senescence involves changes in abscisic acid and cytokinin biosynthesis and signaling pathways in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 138, 36-45.

Zhang, N., Zhao, B., Zhang, H.J., Weeda, S., Yang, C., Yang, Z.C., Ren, S.X. & Guo, Y.D. (2013). Melatonin promotes water-stress tolerance, lateral root formation, and seed germination in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Journal of Pineal Research* 54, 15-23.

Zhao, F., Yang, X., Dong, M., Jiang, Y., Yu, K. & Yu, S. (2017). Aeration irrigation improving grape rhizosphere soil chemical properties and bacterial community structure in arid area. *Nongye Gongcheng Xuebao/Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 33, 119-126.

Zhao, H., Su, T., Huo, L., Wei, H., Jiang, Y., Xu, L. & Ma, F. (2015a). Unveiling the mechanism of melatonin impacts on maize seedling growth: sugar metabolism as a case. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 255-266.

Zhao, H., Xu, L., Su, T., Jiang, Y., Hu, L. & Ma, F. (2015b). Melatonin regulates carbohydrate metabolism and defenses against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato DC3000* infection in *Arabidopsis thaliana. Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 109-119.

Zhou, C., Guo, J., Zhu, L., Xiao, X., Xie, Y., Zhu, J., Ma, Z. & Wang, J. (2016). *Paenibacillus polymyxa* BFKC01 enhances plant iron absorption via improved root systems and activated iron acquisition mechanisms. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 105, 162-173.

Chapter 4 Melatonin improves *in vitro* growth of plant pathogenic fungi under ethanol and cold stresses

Mr. Chris Harris (Dept. Animal, Plant and Soil Science, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia) conducted 20% of the experimental work (media preparation, marking plates and recording fungal growth). The remaining experimental work, along with all data analyses, data presentation and interpretation as well as thesis writing was conducted by Andrew Madigan.

4.1 Abstract

Pathogenic fungi cause widespread destruction of crops and ecologically-important plants worldwide. To resist potential infections, plants have evolved a range of defences in response to pathogens, including the production of numerous secondary metabolites such as melatonin. Melatonin can act as an antioxidant or signalling molecule in plants, ameliorating the negative impacts of cellular ROS bursts induced by plants in response to exposure to abiotic and biotic stressors. However, melatonin is also synthesised in fungi, and to date very little is understood about the roles of melatonin in microbes. In this study, I investigated the *in vitro* growth responses of fungal pathogens: Sclerotinia sclerotiorum; Botrytis cinerea and Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. vasinfectum to two abiotic stresses [cold priming (4°C for 2 days prior to treatments) and/or 2.5% v/v ethanol during treatments] under varying concentrations of melatonin $(20 - 4000 \mu M)$. S. sclerotiorum was also inoculated onto seven-week-old tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) leaves infiltrated with 200 µM melatonin. In vitro growth for all three fungi was significantly improved by melatonin (most notably at 1000 μ M) under both abiotic stresses. Previous studies have demonstrated that melatonin may inhibit foliar pathogen infection when plants are treated with melatonin through the roots (Yin et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014). In the current study, infiltration of tobacco leaves with 200 µM melatonin did not significantly affect the *in planta* growth of S. sclerotiorum. Overall, these findings suggest that fungal plant pathogens may utilise melatonin to cope with abiotic stresses, potentially to mitigate the impacts of plant defence strategies.

4.2 Introduction

Diseases caused by plant pathogens greatly impact food production throughout the world (Gonzalez-Fernandez and Jorrin-Novo, 2012; De Silva et al., 2019). Cosmopolitan fungal pathogens such as *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary and Botrytis cinerea Persoon ex Fries, known as white mould and grey mould fungus respectively, are of particular importance due to their ability to infect a wide variety of commercially important vegetables and crops (Boland and Hall, 1994; Kabbage et al., 2015; AbuQamar et al., 2017). Similarly, vascular wilt disease attributed to Fusarium oxysporum Schlecht., is particularly damaging within agriculture, as this cosmopolitan soil-borne species complex is pathogenic to most crops cultivated worldwide (Ali et al., 2016; Lecomte et al., 2016). The interaction at the site of infection between these necrotrophic fungi and a host plant is a highly complex and sophisticated process. Degradative enzymes and various mycotoxins secreted by all three pathogens result in a burst of highly unstable reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the host (Fernández et al., 1993; Williams et al., 2011; Gonzalez-Fernandez and Jorrin-Novo, 2012; Mbengue et al., 2016). These excess ROS can greatly impact essential plant host cellular activities as a result of damage to DNA, reduced enzyme activities, lipid peroxidation of cell membranes and protein denaturation (Tan et al., 2012; Pietraforte and Malorni, 2014; Zhang and Zhang, 2014). This ultimately leads to host cell death via either programmed cell apoptosis or necrosis (Glazebrook, 2005; Horbach et al., 2011; Pietraforte and Malorni, 2014).

Melatonin (N-acetly-5-methoxytryptamine) is a secondary metabolite produced ubiquitously in nature (Arnao and Hernandez-Ruiz, 2014; Hardeland, 2015). Melatonin can scavenge excess stress-induced ROS, by either acting as a highly efficient antioxidant (Hardeland, 1999; Reiter *et al.*, 2015; Reiter *et al.*, 2016), or acting as a signalling molecule, resulting, in part, in the upregulation/enhanced activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2004; Weeda *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Numerous studies have reported the ameliorative effect of this indoleamine for plants upon exposure to various abiotic or biotic stresses (Hardeland, 2016; Chen *et al.*, 2018; Liang *et al.*, 2018; Sun *et al.*, 2018). Melatonin biosynthesis has been described in bacteria such as proteobacteria and cyanobacteria, as well as in various fungi including yeasts and filamentous basidiomycetes and ascomycetes (Manchester *et al.*, 1995; Hardeland, 1999; Muszyńska *et al.*, 2011; Muszyńska and Sułkowska-Ziaja, 2012; Tan

et al., 2014), however very little is known about the roles of melatonin in microorganisms. The limited data existing suggests that melatonin may be utilised by fungi to enhance tolerance towards abiotic stresses (Rodriguez-Naranjo et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2016), similar to the roles observed in plants towards abiotic stress resistance. Interactions between plant and pathogen induce a rapid oxidative burst at the early stages of infection, resulting in increased endogenous melatonin levels (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2015). Melatonin has also been found to improve resistance for plants against pathogen attack. For example, root pre-treatment of apple [Malus prunifolia (Willd.) Borkh. cv. Donghongguo] with 100 µM melatonin resulted in enhanced immunity to the foliar fungal pathogen, Diplocarpon mali. (Yin et al., 2013). Exogenous melatonin enhanced expression of pathogenesis related (PR) genes including genes associated with salicylic acid biosynthesis in both Arabidopsis and tobacco leaves exposed to a bacterial pathogen, *Pseudomonas syringae* DC3000 (Lee et al., 2014). Salicylic acid (SA) is a phytohormone, acting as an endogenous defence signalling molecule associated with pattern triggered immunity (PTI) and effectortriggered immunity (ETI) responses in plants (Delaney et al., 1994; Vlot et al., 2009). Endogenous levels of melatonin in Arabidopsis leaves infected with Pseudomonas syringe pv. Tomato (Pst) DC3000, were significantly increased only 1 hr post infection with resistance to infection also increased upon the pre-treatment with 20 μ M melatonin, suggesting a key role in acute immune responses to pathogenic attack (Shi et al., 2015a). Importantly, the mode of action of melatonin in relation to the enhanced plant resistance has not been elucidated as it is unknown whether melatonin acts to prime host resistance or impacts directly on the fungal pathogens.

In this article, *in vitro* growth responses of three pathogenic fungi (*S. sclerotiorum*, *B. cinerea* and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*) towards a highly effective ROSproducing stress, ethanol at 2.5% v/v (Asiimwe *et al.*, 2012; Liang *et al.*, 2016), following cold priming (4°C for two days) were investigated (Baraldi *et al.*, 2002; Li *et al.*, 2015). Cold priming may reduce the tolerance of fungi to ethanol stress due to the enhanced accumulation of deleterious ROS (Tosi *et al.*, 2010; Miteva-Staleva *et al.*, 2015). A range of increasing concentrations of melatonin were applied to decipher how fungi can be responsive to this efficient ROS-scavenging molecule, therefore revealing the plasticity of fungi to stresses due to melatonin. Additionally, *in planta* growth of *S. sclerotiorum* was assessed on *Nicotiana tabacum* leaves infiltrated with a selected concentration of melatonin. The plant was challenged with the fungus with and without infiltrated melatonin, in order to demonstrate whether plant ROS scavenging mediated by melatonin could alter the pathogen development. It was hypothesised that: 1) *in vitro* fungal growth under abiotic stress conditions is improved by exogenous melatonin; 2) the availability of melatonin at the site of infection alters the infection capability of *S. sclerotiorum*. This is the first report indicating that melatonin can benefit fungal plant pathogens by enhancing *in vitro* tolerance to abiotic stresses.

4.3 Materials & methods

4.3.1 Background and identification of fungal pathogens

An Australian strain of *S. sclerotiorum* UQ1280 (Sexton *et al.*, 2006) (originally isolated by researchers at University of Queensland, Australia), was obtained from Melbourne University in 2005 and stocks maintained by regular collection of sclerotia from passaged infection of various plant tissues. An Australian isolate of *F. oxysporum* f.sp *vasinfectum* (*Fov*) (Australian vegetative compatibility group 01111) was collected from infected cotton (*Gossypium* sp.) by Department of Primary Industries, Queensland, Australia (Gaspar *et al.*, 2014). A MAT1-2 strain of *B. cinerea* (DAR 51217) was originally collected from fruit rot on *Vitus vinifera* in Coonawarra, South Australia, Australia in May 2002, and maintained as single spore stocks on PDA slopes stored at 4°C. The identity of all three pathogens was confirmed to species level by sequencing the ITS region by Australian Genomics Research Facility (AGRF).

4.3.2 In vitro growth of fungal pathogens

In vitro agar-containing inoculum was obtained by incubating *S. sclerotiorum*, *B. cinerea* and *Fov* in darkness at 22°C in 150 mm Petri dishes containing Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA, (39 g/l), Oxoid, Adelaide, Australia). After 5 days, plugs (diameter = 7 mm) collected from the hyphal edge, were transferred to the center of 90 mm Petri dishes containing half strength (½) PDA (19.5 g/l), with the fungi mycellium side of the plug facing down, i.e. plate inoculation. Half strength media provided a longer *in vitro* growth period, and thus a more accurate indication of any growth effects due to melatonin under abiotic stress. The fungal growing media was supplemented, while still warm (i.e. ~55°C), with 1.5 ml of dissolved melatonin (Sigma Aldrich Pty. Ltd., NSW, Australia) to reach final concentrations per Petri dishes of 20, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 4000 µM melatonin. According to manufacturers instructions, melatonin requires

dissolution with an organic solvent at high concentrations (> 2 mM). All melatonin treatments thus contained a standardised amount of ethanol, thus resulting in a final concentration in the media of 2.5% v/v. A control treatment consisted of 2.5% v/v ethanol only in $\frac{1}{2}$ PDA. All Petri dishes were sealed with parafilm and incubated horizontally at 22°C in darkness.

The effect of an additional cold priming treatment to the fungi was investigated by incubating the inoculum-containing 150 mm Petri dishes to 4°C in the dark for 2 days, prior to being transferred (i.e. plugs) onto melatonin/control Petri dishes, and incubated at 21°C under the conditions as described above. The radial growth (mm) of *S. sclerotiorum*, *B. cinerea* and *Fov* colonies were determined 2, 4 and 9 days post inoculation (dpi) respectively by measuring the mean distance from the plug to the edge of hyphal growth at four points on the hyphal edge of the two perpendicular diameters. Each treatment was performed with a total of nine replicates, i.e. conducted in triplicate with each experiment composed of three technical replicates per fungus. Data were presented as means \pm standard error. Nonparametric analysis of data by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests in R determined statistical differences (p < 0.05) between treatments.

4.3.3 Infection assays of S. sclerotiorum on N. tabacum leaves +/- melatonin

Mature leaves of *Nicotiana tabacum* plants were infiltrated with melatonin and infected with *S. sclerotiorum* to investigate the effects of melatonin on the growth of a foliar pathogenic fungus at the site of infection. *S. sclerotiorum* was grown from a sectioned sclerotium on PDA in 150 mm Petri dishes in the dark at 22°C, and agar plugs (7 mm in diameter) were cut from the edge of growth after 5 days. Melatonin was prepared to a concentration of 200 μ M with a final solvent concentration of 0.05% v/v ethanol. Abaxial foliar infiltration of 7-week-old *N. tabacum* plants with 500 μ I melatonin (200 μ M) was conducted using 1 mL syringe. Infiltrated leaves were immediately inoculated with a *S. sclerotiorum* plug with mycelium side touching the leaf surface at the point of infiltration. Infiltrations with 500 μ I 0.05% v/v ethanol were used as negative controls.

Infection severity was determined by the lesion area around the plug, with infected necrotic leaf tissue appearing light brown or white. Fungal growth measurements from eight leaves, with one inoculation per leaf, were used for the melatonin treatment. This consisted of four different plants, with two mature, fully expanded leaves per plant. Six leaves from three different plants were used for the control treatment. On two plants

(separate to melatonin or control infiltrations), a total of four leaves were mock inoculated using sterile PDA plugs (without fungi). Plants were incubated in a sealed and moistened plastic container within a glasshouse at 16°C night-28°C day for 72hrs. Treated leaves were photographed, and the lesion area of fungal growth measured for each infection using Image JTM software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Briefly, the area of infection was highlighted by adjusting the image brightness threshold and analysed by converting the saturated area to binary format (Abràmoff *et al.*, 2004; Sheffield, 2008).

4.4 Results

4.4.1 *In-vitro* growth responses of plant pathogenic fungi to melatonin under abiotic stresses

4.4.1.1 Negative growth effects by stressors

The *in vitro* growth of all fungi was negatively (p < 0.05) impacted by exposure to 2.5% v/v ethanol: mycelial growth decreased by 67.5%, 32.9% and 17.5% for *S. sclerotiorum* (Figure 4.1), *B. cinerea* (Figure 4.2) and *Fov* (Figure 4.3) respectively. Stress due to cold priming (4°C, in the dark for 2 days prior to treatments) alone had no negative impact (p > 0.05) on the growths of *Fov* or *B. cinerea*, while growth of *S. sclerotiorum* decreased by 26.2% and upon exposure to cold. The combination of both stresses resulted in an additional growth decrease by 22.0%, 12.1%, 0.3% for *S. sclerotiorum*, *B. cinerea* and *Fov* respectively compared to the effects due to ethanol alone (Figures 4.1 – 4.3). Therefore, overall exposure to both stressors resulted in growth decreases of 89.5%, 45.0% and 17.8% respectively compared to growth under unstressed conditions.

4.4.1.2 Effects of melatonin under abiotic stress conditions

The availability of melatonin resulted in an increase (p < 0.05) of *in vitro* growth for all three fungi when exposed to abiotic stresses (Figures 4.1 – 4.5). Across the range of treatments ($20 - 4000 \mu$ M), melatonin had varying ameliorative growth effects for each fungus. In general, 1000 – 2000 μ M melatonin resulted in the greatest ameliorative effects under abiotic stress for all three pathogens. In contrast, 20 μ M resulted in the weakest ameliorative effect under the same conditions. Upon exposure to 2.5% v/v ethanol alone, 1000 μ M melatonin resulted in relative growth recoveries of 40.4%,

33.5% and 51.8% for *S. sclerotiorum*, *B. cinerea* and *Fov* respectively. When exposed to two abiotic stressors, growth recoveries of 37.1% and 56.7% were observed at 1000 μ M melatonin for *B. cinerea* and *Fov* respectively. After 8 days, the radial growth of *S. sclerotiorum* relative to the ethanol control for 1000, 2000 and 4000 μ M melatonin treatments showed increases of 37.1%, 56.5% and 75.0% respectively upon exposure to cold and ethanol stresses combined (Figure 4.4). The radial growth of *Fov* exposed to both abiotic stressors



Figure 4.1: Radial growth responses of *S. sclerotiorum*, to treatments of melatonin (each with a standardised final concentration ethanol = 2.5% v/v) in $\frac{1}{2}$ strength PDA (22° C, darkness) after 2 days (Mean ± SE). Prior to treatments, *S. sclerotiorum* was initially grown in 150 mm Petri dishes containing PDA at 21° C +/- 0.5° C for 5 days. Cold priming represents exposure of *S. sclerotiorum* in 150 mm Petri dishes to a cold stress (4° C) for an additional 2 days prior to transfer of hyphae to melatonin/control treatments. Nonparametric analysis of data by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests in R determined statistical differences (p < 0.05 - represented by different letters).



Figure 4.2: Radial growth responses of *B. cinerea* to treatments of melatonin (each with a standardised final concentration ethanol = 2.5% v/v) in $\frac{1}{2}$ strength PDA (22°C, darkness) after 4 days (Mean ± SE). Prior to treatments, *B. cinerea* was initially grown in 150 mm Petri dishes containing PDA at 21°C +/- 0.5°C for 7 days. Cold priming represents exposure of *B. cinerea* in 150 mm Petri dishes to a cold stress (4°C) for an additional 2 days prior to transfer of hyphae to melatonin/control treatments. Nonparametric analysis of data by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests in R determined statistical differences (p < 0.05 - represented by different letters).



No cold priming

With cold priming

Figure 4.3: Radial growth responses of F. oxysporum f.sp. vasinfectum (Fov) to treatments of melatonin (each with a standardised final concentration ethanol = 2.5%v/v) in $\frac{1}{2}$ strength PDA (22°C, darkness) after 9 days (Mean ± SE). Prior to treatments, Fov was initially grown in 150 mm Petri dishes containing PDA at 21°C +/- 0.5°C for 12 days. Cold priming represents exposure of Fov in 150 mm Petri dishes to a cold stress (4°C) for an additional 2 days prior to transfer of hyphae to melatonin/control treatments. Nonparametric analysis of data by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests in R determined statistical differences (p < 0.05 - represented by different letters).



Figure 4.4: Radial growth responses of cold primed *S. sclerotiorum* to treatments of melatonin (each with a standardised final concentration ethanol = 2.5% v/v) in $\frac{1}{2}$ strength PDA (22°C, darkness) after 2 and 8 days (Mean ± SE). Prior to treatments, fungi were initially grown in 150 mm Petri dishes containing PDA at 21°C +/- 0.5°C for 5 days. Cold priming represents exposure of these fungi in 150 mm Petri dishes to a cold stress (4°C) for an additional 2 days prior to transfer of hyphae to melatonin/control treatments. Nonparametric analysis of data by pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests in R determined statistical differences (p < 0.05 - represented by different letters).



Figure 4.5: Radial growth of cold-primed *S. sclerotiorum* to treatments of melatonin (each with a standardised final concentration ethanol = 2.5% v/v) in $\frac{1}{2}$ strength PDA (22°C , darkness) after 12 days. a) Water only treatment ; b) Control (2.5% v/v ethanol); c) 20 μ M; d) 1000 μ M; e) 4000 μ M melatonin. Cold priming consisted of initially growing the fungus in 150 mm Petri dishes containing PDA at 21°C +/- 0.5°C for 5 days, followed by exposure to a cold stress (4°C) for an additional 2 days prior to transfer of hyphae to melatonin/control treatments.

4.4.2 In planta growth responses of S. sclerotiorum on N. tabacum leaves infiltrated with melatonin

Mature *N. tabacum* leaves were infiltrated with 200 μ M melatonin and infected with *S. sclerotiorum* to investigate whether melatonin alters the infection capability of the pathogen. No significant differences were observed between the area of infections for melatonin-infiltrated leaves compared to controls (t-test; F = 0.805; p = 0.391) (Figure 4.6a).



Figure 4.6: a) Growth of *S. sclerotiorum* on mature 7-week old *Nicotiana tabacum* leaves after 72 hours (Mean \pm SE); b) leaf phenotype of fungal infection after 72 hrs. Leaf tissues were syringe-infiltrated with 500 µl of 200 µM melatonin (dissolved in 0.05% v/v ethanol) immediately prior to infection. Control (0 µM melatonin) treatments involved leaves infiltrated with 500 µl of 0.05% v/v ethanol. No significant differences (p > 0.05) were observed between the area of infections for melatonin-infiltrated leaves compared to controls.

4.5 Discussion

The present study investigated the *in vitro* growth responses of pathogenic filamentous fungi (*S. sclerotiorum, B. cinerea and F. oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum (Fov)*) to melatonin under abiotic stress conditions. I hypothesised that melatonin would enhance tolerance to abiotic stress as observed in plant-melatonin studies (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). I also hypothesised that application of exogenous melatonin at the site of foliar infection between *S. sclerotiorum* and *N. tabacum* would not alter the infection capability of the fungus. The results in the current study showed that melatonin consistently ameliorated the negative growth effects of cold and/or ethanol stresses (Figures 4.1 - 4.4), suggesting that melatonin may be associated with abiotic stress tolerance in pathogenic fungi. The interaction between *S. sclerotiorum* and *N. tabacum* was also not altered at the site of infection by foliar infiltration with melatonin. As plants may also utilise melatonin to cope with abiotic and biotic stressors (Tan *et al.*, 2012), it is possible that the infiltrated melatonin was used by both the plant and the pathogen, therefore mitigating any potential beneficial role the secondary metabolite may have exhibited for the fungus.

Ethanol (Sakaki *et al.*, 2001; Asiimwe *et al.*, 2012) and cold (Godinho *et al.*, 2013; Duarte *et al.*, 2017; Yogabaanu *et al.*, 2017) stressors can affect fungal growth to varying degrees. In the current study, *Fov* was the least impacted fungus under ethanol stress, with mycelial growth reduction by 17.5%. This is similar to another study where *F. oxysporum* strain 11C demonstrated *in vitro* ethanol tolerance up to 4% v/v (Hennessy *et al.*, 2013). The sensitivity levels of *S. sclerotiorum* and *B. cinerea* were similar to those reported previously (Liang *et al.*, 2016). Cold stress can greatly impact the growth rate of *B. cinerea* (Baraldi *et al.*, 2002), as well as enhance the sensitivity of *S. sclerotiorum* to other abiotic stresses (Li *et al.*, 2015). In contrast, *Fusarium* spp. have been found to be relatively tolerant to cold (Hoefnagels and Linderman, 1999). In the current study, *in vitro* growth of *B. cinerea* and *Fov* were unaffected by cold stress alone, while *S. sclerotiorum* growth decreased by 26% upon exposure to this stressor (Figures 4.2 & 4.3). One explanation for the differences between these observations and Baraldi *et al.* 2002 for *B. cinerea* may be due to the differences in duration of cold exposure of *B. cinerea* between the studies.

Melatonin is a secondary metabolite highly conserved throughout all domains of life (Manchester *et al.*, 2015). However, to date, very little is known about the roles of

melatonin in microbes (Tan et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016). In the current study, melatonin exhibited no antibiotic properties across a 20-4000 µM concentration range (Figures 4.1 - 4.4). Some investigations have reported antimicrobial properties for exogenously applied melatonin. Melatonin was shown to inhibit the in vitro growth of the human bacterial pathogens Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii at concentrations between 130-530 µM (Tekbas et al., 2008). Similarly, melatonin was found to inhibit the *in vitro* growth of the pathogenic yeast, Candida albicans, however at a much higher concentration (1300 µM) (Öztürk et al., 2000). Exogenous melatonin has not been reported to have the same impact on pathogenic filamentous fungi as melatonin had no in vitro growth effects for *Physalospora piricola, B. cinerea or Mycosphaerella arachidicola* (Wang *et al.*, 2001). In the current study, S. sclerotiorum, B. cinerea and F. oxysporum were chosen due to their cosmopolitan pathogenic activities in agriculture (Kabbage et al., 2015; Lecomte et al., 2016; AbuQamar et al., 2017). For all three pathogens, growth under cold and/or ethanol stress conditions was enhanced upon the availability of exogenous melatonin. This suggests that melatonin may play an important role in abiotic stress tolerance in these fungi. My findings are comparable to another study that indicated an important role of melatonin associated with abiotic stress tolerance in the biological control filamentous fungus Trichoderma spp. (Liu et al., 2016). In particular, endogenous melatonin levels for Trichoderma asperellum increased up to three-fold upon exposure to various chemical stressors e.g. cadmium. In contrast, a preliminary study reported inhibitory effects at 4000 µM melatonin towards a plant pathogen, Alternaria spp. (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2015). A recent study also found that melatonin (500 – 5000 µM; standardised in 1% DMSO) exhibited no significant effects on the *in vitro* hyphal growth of B. cinerea or F. oxysporum (Zhang et al., 2018). The differences observed in the current study and these investigations however may be due to exposure of my fungi to intense abiotic stressors (cold & 2.5% v/v ethanol) in the presence/absence of exogenous melatonin. It is possible that fungal pathogens may utilise exogenous melatonin differently and thus for some genera, such as Alternaria, melatonin at high concentrations (e.g. 4000 µM) may act as a stressor.

The ability of melatonin to ameliorate the negative impacts of cold and chemical stressors has already been well documented in plants (Reiter *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Growth suppression due to cold stress was significantly alleviated in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) upon foliar spray treatment with 200 µM melatonin (Zhang *et al.*,

2017). Similarly, cold tolerance in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L. cv. MicroTom) was enhanced upon pre-treatment with 100 µM melatonin (Ding et al., 2017a). Alkaline stressed tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) and salt stressed maize (Zea mays L.) both showed increased photosynthetic capacities upon treatment with melatonin (Liu et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2016), while endogenous melatonin levels in Lupin (Lupinus albus L.) roots increased 12-fold under exposure to zinc (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2013). The abiotic stress tolerance of the pathogenic fungi in the current study may have been due to the capability of melatonin to reduce cellular ROS levels. Ethanol-induced ROS negatively impacts various aspects of cell functioning including cell membrane fluidity, intracellular proteins configuration and activities of various glycolytic enzymes (Bailey et al., 1999; Hu et al., 2007; Ma and Liu, 2010). Similarly, cold stress conditions applied in the current study (4°C for 48hr) impacts lipid membrane integrity, thus inducing severe oxidative damage due to the excessive accumulation of ROS (Ding et al., 2017a; Ding et al., 2017b). Melatonin is a highly efficient antioxidant, with the ability to scavenge up to 10 ROS per molecule (Hardeland, 1999; Reiter et al., 2016). Melatonin has also been shown to act as a signalling molecule in plants, resulting in the upregulation or enhanced activities of ROS-scavenging enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (POD) (Rodriguez et al., 2004; Weeda et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). As enhanced ROS cellular levels are a strongly associated with exposure to abiotic stress, the direct or indirect capability of melatonin to scavenge ROS may be a key role aspect of tolerance by fungal pathogens to abiotic stresses.

The current *in vitro* study demonstrated that melatonin assists abiotic stress tolerance in *S. sclerotiorum*, potentially due to reduction of stress-induced ROS. As plant defence responses exert a stress upon an infecting pathogen, I investigated whether melatonin infiltrated at the site of infection may be utilised by the fungus to cope with the impacts of plant defences. Melatonin did not significantly alter the degree of necrotic infection by *S. sclerotiorum* towards *N. tabacum* (Figure 4.6, t-test; F = 0.805; p = 0.391). One explanation for this result may be due to both the fungus and host utilising infiltrated melatonin to cope with the stress responses of infection. It is possible that the infection pressure by *S. sclerotiorum* may have been too high in the current study to observe biotic resistance by the host plant upon the availability of melatonin. Various other studies have demonstrated that melatonin enhances plant resistance to a biotic stressor. For example, endogenous melatonin levels increased significantly within 3 hours of infection by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. Tomato (Pst) DC3000 in *Arabidopsis*, indicating that melatonin is an important rapid response mechanism for biotic stress in plants (Shi *et al.*, 2015b). In addition, resistance of *Arabidopsis* to infection by Pst DC3000 was increased upon the pre-treatment with 20 µM melatonin (Shi *et al.*, 2015a). A recent study found that exogenous melatonin (200 mg/L) reduced the lesion length of rice bacterial leaf streak (BLS) disease, caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola* (Xoc) by up to 23% on rice strains susceptible to the bacteria. Similarly, foliar pre-treatment with melatonin significantly reduced infection capability and reduced the incidence of BLS by 17% (Chen *et al.*, 2019).

4.6 Conclusion

The results of this study demonstrated that exogenous melatonin enhanced tolerance to abiotic stresses for the three pathogenic filamentous fungi examined. To my knowledge, no previous study to date has demonstrated this association in fungal phytopathogens. Exogenous melatonin infiltrated at the site of infection did not alter the interaction between *S. sclerotiorum* and the host plant, possibly because the plant and fungus both utilised melatonin to cope with excess stress-induced ROS. Future studies may explore potential anti-oxidative roles of melatonin in fungal pathogens by measuring endogenous levels of melatonin and ROS under normal and abiotic stress conditions. Further research is also required to determine if melatonin may be utilised by non-pathogenic fungi such as mycorrhizae, endophytes (e.g. *Trichoderma* spp.), and saprobes to cope with abiotic stresses.

4.7 References

Abràmoff, M.D., Magalhães, P.J. & Ram, S.J. (2004). Image processing with imageJ. *Biophotonics International* 11, 36-41.

AbuQamar, S., Moustafa, K. & Tran, L.S.P. (2017). Mechanisms and strategies of plant defense against *Botrytis cinerea*. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology* 37, 262-274.

Ali, S.S., Nugent, B., Mullins, E. & Doohan, F.M. (2016). Fungal-mediated consolidated bioprocessing: the potential of *Fusarium oxysporum* for the lignocellulosic ethanol industry. *AMB Express* 6, 1-13.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernandez-Ruiz, J. (2014). Melatonin: plant growth regulator and/or biostimulator during stress? *Trends in Plant Science* 19, 789-797.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2013). Growth conditions determine different melatonin levels in *Lupinus albus* L. *Journal of Pineal Research* 55, 149-155.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2015). Functions of melatonin in plants: a review. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 133-150.

Asiimwe, T., Krause, K., Schlunk, I. & Kothe, E. (2012). Modulation of ethanol stress tolerance by aldehyde dehydrogenase in the mycorrhizal fungus *Tricholoma vaccinum*. *Mycorrhiza* 22, 471-484.

Bailey, S.M., Pietsch, E.C. & Cunningham, C.C. (1999). Ethanol stimulates the production of reactive oxygen species at mitochondrial complexes I and III. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 27, 891-900.

Baraldi, E., Bertolini, P., Chierici, E., Trufelli, B. & Luiselli, D. (2002). Genetic diversity between *Botrytis cinerea* isolates from unstored and cold stored kiwi fruit. *Journal of Phytopathology* 150, 629-635.

Boland, G.J. & Hall, R. (1994). Index of plant hosts of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology* 16, 93-108.

Chen, X., Sun, C., Laborda, P., He, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, C. & Liu, F. (2019). Melatonin treatments reduce the pathogenicity and inhibit the growth of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*. *Plant Pathology* 68, 288-296.

Chen, Y.E., Mao, J.J., Sun, L.Q., Huang, B., Ding, C.B., Gu, Y., Liao, J.Q., Hu, C., Zhang, Z.W., Yuan, S. & Yuan, M. (2018). Exogenous melatonin enhances salt stress tolerance in maize seedlings by improving antioxidant and photosynthetic capacity. *Physiologia Plantarum* 164, 349-363.

Vlot, C.A., Dempsey, D.A.K. & Klessig, D.F. (2009). Salicylic acid, a multifaceted hormone to combat disease. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 47, 177-206.

De Silva, N.I., Brooks, S., Lumyong, S. & Hyde, K.D. (2019). Use of endophytes as biocontrol agents. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 33, 133-148.

Delaney, T.P., Uknes, S., Vernooij, B., Friedrich, L., Weymann, K., Negrotto, D., Gaffney, T., Gut-Rella, M., Kessmann, H., Ward, E. & Ryals, J. (1994). A central role of salicylic acid in plant disease resistance. *Science* 266, 1247-1250.

Ding, F., Liu, B. & Zhang, S. (2017a). Exogenous melatonin ameliorates cold-induced damage in tomato plants. *Scientia Horticulturae* 219, 264-271.

Ding, F., Wang, M. & Zhang, S. (2017b). Overexpression of a Calvin cycle enzyme SBPase improves tolerance to chilling-induced oxidative stress in tomato plants. *Scientia Horticulturae* 214, 27-33.

Duarte, A.W.F., dos Santos, J.A., Vianna, M.V., Vieira, J.M.F., Mallagutti, V.H., Inforsato, F.J., Wentzel, L.C.P., Lario, L.D., Rodrigues, A., Pagnocca, F.C., Pessoa Junior, A. & Durães Sette, L. (2017). Cold-adapted enzymes produced by fungi from terrestrial and marine Antarctic environments. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology* 38, 1-20.

Fernández, N., Patiño, B. & Vázquez, C. (1993). Pectin degrading enzymes secreted by six isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Mycological Research* 97, 461-466.

Gaspar, Y.M., McKenna, J.A., McGinness, B.S., Hinch, J., Poon, S., Connelly, A.A., Anderson, M.A. & Heath, R.L. (2014). Field resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Verticillium dahliae* in transgenic cotton expressing the plant defensin *NaD1*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65, 1541-1550.

Glazebrook, J. (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 43, 205-227.

Godinho, V.M., Furbino, L.E., Santiago, I.F., Pellizzari, F.M., Yokoya, N.S., Pupo, D., Alves, T.M.A., Junior, P.A.S., Romanha, A.J., Zani, C.L., Cantrell, C.L., Rosa, C.A. & Rosa, L.H.

(2013). Diversity and bioprospecting of fungal communities associated with endemic and coldadapted macroalgae in Antarctica. *ISME Journal* 7, 1434-1451.

Gonzalez-Fernandez, R. & Jorrin-Novo, J.V. (2012). Contribution of proteomics to the study of plant pathogenic fungi. *Journal of Proteome Research* 11, 3-16.

Hardeland, R. (1999). Melatonin and 5-methoxytryptamine in non-metazoans. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* 39, 399-408.

Hardeland, R. (2015). Melatonin in plants and other phototrophs: advances and gaps concerning the diversity of functions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 627-646.

Hardeland, R. (2016). Melatonin in plants – Diversity of levels and multiplicity of functions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7, 108.

Hennessy, R.C., Doohan, F. & Mullins, E. (2013). Generating phenotypic diversity in a fungal biocatalyst to investigate alcohol stress tolerance encountered during microbial cellulosic biofuel production. *Plos One* 8, 10.

Hoefnagels, M.H. & Linderman, R.G. (1999). Biological suppression of seedborne *Fusarium* spp. during cold stratification of Douglas fir seeds. *Plant Disease* 83, 845-852.

Horbach, R., Navarro-Quesada, A.R., Knogge, W. & Deising, H.B. (2011). When and how to kill a plant cell: Infection strategies of plant pathogenic fungi. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 168, 51-62.

Hu, X.H., Wang, M.H., Tan, T., Li, J.R., Yang, H., Leach, L., Zhang, R.M. & Luo, Z.W. (2007). Genetic dissection of ethanol tolerance in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 175, 1479-1487.

Jiang, C., Cui, Q., Feng, K., Xu, D., Li, C. & Zheng, Q. (2016). Melatonin improves antioxidant capacity and ion homeostasis and enhances salt tolerance in maize seedlings. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 38: 82

Kabbage, M., Yarden, O. & Dickman, M.B. (2015). Pathogenic attributes of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*: Switching from a biotrophic to necrotrophic lifestyle. *Plant Science* 233, 53-60.

Lecomte, C., Alabouvette, C., Edel-Hermann, V., Robert, F. & Steinberg, C. (2016). Biological control of ornamental plant diseases caused by *Fusarium oxysporum*: A review. *Biological Control* 101, 17-30.

Lee, H.Y., Byeon, Y. & Back, K. (2014). Melatonin as a signal molecule triggering defense responses against pathogen attack in *Arabidopsis* and tobacco. *Journal of Pineal Research* 57, 262-268.

Li, J.L., Wu, F.C. & Zhu, F.X. (2015). Fitness is recovered with the decline of dimethachlon resistance in laboratoryinduced mutants of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* after long-term cold storage. *Plant Pathology Journal* 31, 305-309.

Liang, B., Ma, C., Zhang, Z., Wei, Z., Gao, T., Zhao, Q., Ma, F. & Li, C. (2018). Long-term exogenous application of melatonin improves nutrient uptake fluxes in apple plants under moderate drought stress. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 155, 650-661.

Liang, H.J., Lu, X.M., Zhu, Z.Q. & Zhu, F.X. (2016). Effect of organic solvent on fungicide toxicity to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Botrytis cinerea*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 146, 1-9.

Liu, N., Gong, B., Jin, Z., Wang, X., Wei, M., Yang, F., Li, Y. & Shi, Q. (2015). Sodic alkaline stress mitigation by exogenous melatonin in tomato needs nitric oxide as a downstream signal. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 186-187, 68-77.

Liu, T., Zhao, F., Liu, Z., Zuo, Y., Hou, J. & Wang, Y. (2016). Identification of melatonin in *Trichoderma* spp. and detection of melatonin content under controlled-stress growth conditions from *T. asperellum. Journal of Basic Microbiology* 56, 838-843.

Ma, M. & Liu, Z.L. (2010). Mechanisms of ethanol tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 87, 829-845.

Manchester, L.C., Coto-Montes, A., Boga, J.A., Andersen, L.P.H., Zhou, Z., Galano, A., Vriend, J., Tan, D.X. & Reiter, R.J. (2015). Melatonin: An ancient molecule that makes oxygen metabolically tolerable. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 403-419.

Manchester, L.C., Poeggeler, B., Alvares, F.L., Ogden, G.B. & Reiter, R.J. (1995). Melatonin immunoreactivity in the photosynthetic prokaryote *Rhodospirillum rubrum*: implications for an ancient antioxidant system. *Cellular & molecular biology research* 41, 391-395.

Mbengue, M., Navaud, O., Peyraud, R., Barascud, M., Badet, T., Vincent, R., Barbacci, A. & Raffaele, S. (2016). Emerging trends in molecular interactions between plants and the broad host range fungal pathogens *Botrytis cinerea* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7, 422

Miteva-Staleva, J., Krumova, E., Stefanova, T. & Angelova, M. (2015). Age-related changes in reactive oxygen species production in the filamentous fungus Penicillium rugulosum T35 under cold stress conditions. *Comptes Rendus de L'Academie Bulgare des Sciences* 68, 1123-1128.

Muszyńska, B. & Sułkowska-Ziaja, K. (2012). Analysis of indole compounds in edible *Basidiomycota* species after thermal processing. *Food Chemistry* 132, 455-459.

Muszyńska, B., Sułkowska-Ziaja, K. & Ekiert, H. (2011). Indole compounds in fruiting bodies of some edible *Basidiomycota* species. *Food Chemistry* 125, 1306-1308.

Öztürk, A.I., Yilmaz, O., Kirbağ, S. & Arslan, M. (2000). Antimicrobial and biological effects of ipemphos and amphos on bacterial and yeast strains. *Cell Biochemistry and Function* 18, 117-126.

Pietraforte, D. & Malorni, W. (2014). Focusing at the double-edged sword of redox imbalance: Signals for cell survival or for cell death? *Antioxidants and Redox Signaling* 21, 52-55.

Reiter, R.J., Mayo, J.C., Tan, D.X., Sainz, R.M., Alatorre-Jimenez, M. & Qin, L. (2016). Melatonin as an antioxidant: under promises but over delivers. *Journal of Pineal Research* 61, 253-278.

Reiter, R.J., Tan, D.X., Zhou, Z., Cruz, M.H.C., Fuentes-Broto, L. & Galano, A. (2015). Phytomelatonin: Assisting plants to survive and thrive. *Molecules* 20, 7396-7437.

Rodriguez-Naranjo, M.I., Torija, M.J., Mas, A., Cantos-Villar, E. & Garcia-Parrilla, M.D.C. (2012). Production of melatonin by *Saccharomyces* strains under growth and fermentation conditions. *Journal of Pineal Research* 53, 219-224.

Rodriguez, C., Mayo, J.C., Sainz, R.M., Antolín, I., Herrera, F., Martín, V. & Reiter, R.J. (2004). Regulation of antioxidant enzymes: A significant role for melatonin. *Journal of Pineal Research* 36, 1-9.

Sakaki, T., Zähringer, U., Warnecke, D.C., Fahl, A., Knogge, W. & Heinz, E. (2001). Sterol glycosides and cerebrosides accumulate in *Pichia pastoris*, *Rhynchosporium secalis* and other fungi under normal conditions or under heat shock and ethanol stress. *Yeast* 18, 679-695.

Sexton, A.C., Cozijnsen, A.J., Keniry, A., Jewell, E., Love, C.G., Batley, J., Edwards, D. & Howlett, B.J. (2006). Comparison of transcription of multiple genes at three developmental

stages of the plant pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 258, 150-160.

Sheffield, J.B. (2008). An introduction to ImageJ: A useful tool for biological image processing and analysis. *Microscopy and Microanalysis* 14, 898-899.

Shi, H., Chen, Y., Tan, D.X., Reiter, R.J., Chan, Z. & He, C. (2015a). Melatonin induces nitric oxide and the potential mechanisms relate to innate immunity against bacterial pathogen infection in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 102-108.

Shi, H., Qian, Y., Tan, D.X., Reiter, R.J. & He, C. (2015b). Melatonin induces the transcripts of *CBF/DREB1*s and their involvement in both abiotic and biotic stresses in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Pineal Research* 59, 334-342.

Sun, L., Li, X., Wang, Z., Sun, Z., Zhu, X., Liu, S., Song, F., Liu, F. & Wang, Y. (2018). Cold priming induced tolerance to subsequent low temperature stress is enhanced by melatonin application during recovery in wheat. *Molecules* 23: 5.

Tan, D.X., Hardeland, R., Manchester, L.C., Korkmaz, A., Ma, S., Rosales-Corral, S. & Reiter, R.J. (2012). Functional roles of melatonin in plants, and perspectives in nutritional and agricultural science. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63, 577-597.

Tan, D.X., Zheng, X.D., Kong, J., Manchester, L.C., Hardeland, R., Kim, S.J., Xu, X.Y. & Reiter, R.J. (2014). Fundamental issues related to the origin of melatonin and melatonin isomers during evolution: relation to their biological functions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 15, 15858-15890.

Tekbas, O.F., Ogur, R., Korkmaz, A., Kilic, A. & Reiter, R.J. (2008). Melatonin as an antibiotic: New insights into the actions of this ubiquitous molecule. *Journal of Pineal Research* 44, 222-226.

Tosi, S., Kostadinova, N., Krumova, E., Pashova, S., Dishliiska, V., Spassova, B., Vassilev, S. & Angelova, M. (2010). Antioxidant enzyme activity of filamentous fungi isolated from Livingston Island, maritime Antarctica. *Polar Biology* 33, 1227-1237.

Wang, H.X., Liu, F. & Ng, T.B. (2001). Examination of pineal indoles and 6-methoxy-2benzoxazolinone for antioxidant and antimicrobial effects. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - C Toxicology and Pharmacology* 130, 379-388. Weeda, S., Zhang, N., Zhao, X.L., Ndip, G., Guo, Y.D., Buck, G.A., Fu, C.G. & Ren, S.X. (2014). *Arabidopsis* transcriptome analysis reveals key roles of melatonin in plant defense systems. *Plos One* 9, 18.

Williams, B., Kabbage, M., Kim, H.J., Britt, R. & Dickman, M.B. (2011). Tipping the balance: *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* secreted oxalic acid suppresses host defenses by manipulating the host redox environment. *PLoS Pathogens* 7: 6.

Yin, L., Wang, P., Li, M., Ke, X., Li, C., Liang, D., Wu, S., Ma, X., Li, C., Zou, Y. & Ma, F. (2013). Exogenous melatonin improves *Malus* resistance to Marssonina apple blotch. *Journal of Pineal Research* 54, 426-434.

Yogabaanu, U., Weber, J.F.F., Convey, P., Rizman-Idid, M. & Alias, S.A. (2017). Antimicrobial properties and the influence of temperature on secondary metabolite production in cold environment soil fungi. *Polar Science* 14, 60-67.

Zhang, H.M. & Zhang, Y. (2014). Melatonin: A well-documented antioxidant with conditional pro-oxidant actions. *Journal of Pineal Research* 57, 131-146.

Zhang, N., Sun, Q., Zhang, H., Cao, Y., Weeda, S., Ren, S. & Guo, Y.D. (2015). Roles of melatonin in abiotic stress resistance in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 647-656.

Zhang, S., Liu, S., Zhang, J., Reiter, R.J., Wang, Y., Qiu, D., Luo, X., Khalid, A.R., Wang, H., Feng, L., Lin, Z. & Ren, M. (2018). Synergistic anti-oomycete effect of melatonin with a biofungicide against oomycetic black shank disease. *Journal of Pineal Research* 65: 2.

Zhang, Y.P., Yang, S.J. & Chen, Y.Y. (2017). Effects of melatonin on photosynthetic performance and antioxidants in melon during cold and recovery. *Biologia Plantarum* 61, 571-578.

Chapter 5 General Discussion

5.1 Overview

Over the past decades, increasing global demands on crop production and food quality have resulted in the development of unsustainable agricultural practices. For example, toxic chemicals such as various pesticides, have been applied to crops to kill insect herbivores and microbial pathogens that may otherwise have threatened the yield (Oerke, 2006; Murray et al., 2013; Oliveira et al., 2014; Deutsch et al., 2018). However, pesticides can have acute and chronic health effects on humans exposed to the chemicals, and for those who consume the foods (Bonner and Alavanja, 2017; Bortoli and Coumoul, 2018; Cozma et al., 2018). Concurrently, pesticide residues can have detrimental effects on the health and diversity of wildlife and the surrounding ecosystems (Costantini, 2015; Melchett, 2017; Budzinski and Couderchet, 2018). Coupled with these anthropogenic stresses, crop yield is being heavily impacted on a global scale due to increasing occurrences of extreme weather conditions such as droughts and heat waves caused by climate change (Ali et al., 2017; Leng, 2017; Matiu et al., 2017). The development of innovative agricultural practices is therefore an essential priority to ensure a healthier and more sustainable production of food throughout the 21st century (Morton and Abendroth, 2017; Shao *et al.*, 2019).

In recent years, research has been investigating a potential role of compounds naturallyproduced by plants (e.g. secondary metabolites) as a means for enhancing tolerance to abiotic and biotics stresses (Khan *et al.*, 2008; Kang *et al.*, 2009; Park *et al.*, 2009; Khan *et al.*, 2013; Yadu *et al.*, 2017). In this regard, attention has been directed towards the indoleamine, melatonin. An extensive body of evidence indicates that melatonin enhances plant tolerance to several abiotic stressors including cold, heat, drought, salinity and heavy metal contamination in soil as well as to biotic stresses from bacterial and fungal pathogens (Arnao and Hernández-Ruiz, 2013; Arnao and Hernandez-Ruiz, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Hardeland, 2016). This has led to an interest in the use of melatonin in agriculture to reduce negative physiological effects from environmental stresses that affect yield and crop quality. Soil microbial communities have an essential role in plant growth and development (Wall and Virginia, 1999; Yao *et al.*, 2000; Kirk *et al.*, 2004; Wahid *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, prior to a large-scale application of melatonin in agricultural practices, it is essential to understand how soil microbes respond to melatonin and the effects these subsequent microbial changes may have for crops.

The research conducted across the three chapters in this thesis explored how soil microbes respond to melatonin, whether on an individual or community level, under abiotic stress conditions (cold, ethanol, cadmium or salt) or unstressed. In Chapter 2, microbial communities associated with three agricultural soils were treated with melatonin and a cadmium or salt stressor. This study demonstrated complex community responses to treatments, showing considerable differences across the three agricultural soils sampled. The results also clearly indicated that bacterial communities were more responsive to melatonin and stress treatments compared to fungi.

Based on our findings in Chapter 2, we followed up this investigation with a more indepth exploration of individual bacterial taxa responding to melatonin in two of the agricultural soils sampled (Soil C and W) in Chapter 3. Prior to the commencement of this study (mid 2017), there was no knowledge regarding how bacterial communities, including beneficial soil microbes such as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), respond to melatonin at a community level in agricultural soils. This data showed that the relative abundances of some PGPRs altered (increased/decreased; p < 0.05) in response to melatonin. Overall, responses of bacterial communities to melatonin were highly complex, and extensive research of different soil types under different conditions (abiotic stress and unstressed) is required to gain a deeper understanding of the overall bacteria responses to melatonin and the key factors that determine these outcomes. Changes to competitive soil dynamics or slight changes to important physiochemical parameters may provide possible explanations for these significant shifts, however these components were not analysed in the current investigation.

Following investigations into responses of soil microbes to melatonin at a community level, the investigation reported in Chapter 4 focused on individual responses of cosmopolitan plant pathogenic fungi (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Botrytis cinerea and Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum*) to melatonin under two abiotic stressor conditions (ethanol and / or cold). *In vitro* growth of the pathogens increased (p < 0.05) with melatonin under abiotic stress conditions. Based on these findings, ecologically-important soil microbes are responding to melatonin, however the interactions between

162

melatonin and individual microbes is complex and requires further research. It was also found that that exogenous melatonin (200 μ M) infiltrated at the site of infection with *S*. *sclerotiorum* on tobacco leaves did not alter the radial growth of the fungus, suggesting that melatonin at this concentration did not significantly affect the interaction between the pathogen and host plant. Collectively, the investigations conducted in this thesis show that soil microbes respond to melatonin and can be utilised by some fungi to cope with abiotic stresses.

Melatonin has many important roles in humans, such as maintaining a balanced circadian rhythm, healthy blood pressure levels and a general sense of wellbeing (Baker and Kimpinski, 2018; Kun et al., 2019). Melatonin is safe for human consumption and studies in human clinical trials have reported beneficial effects of administration with very few side effect (e.g. 15 mg melatonin daily for 10 days improved mood status (Castaño et al., 2019); 0.5 mg melatonin daily for 4 weeks reduced sleep-related impairments (Sletten et al., 2018)). Therefore, higher amounts of melatonin in foods due to exogenous application on crops and vegetables has a potential beneficial effect on human health, especially if it results in a reduced dependency on pesticide practices in agriculture. One current key drawback to the potential agricultural application of melatonin is the cost of production. Melatonin powder (> 98% purity) currently costs AU\$146 per gram (Sigma Aldrich Pty. Ltd., Australia), which is not cost-effective for use in agriculture. However, a recent study reported the production of melatonin by yeast (S. cerevisiae) using a glucose-based approach (Germann et al., 2016). This potentially offers a far more sustainable and economical method of producing melatonin. Further research is required to ensure the efficacy of the microbiallyproduced melatonin matches that of the pure powder form. Developing an affordable, large-scale method of producing melatonin is a vital logistical aspect regarding the future use of melatonin in agricultural practices.

5.2 Future studies

The community and *in vitro* analysis investigations conducted in this thesis showed that melatonin significantly affects soil microbes. Based on this finding, it can be concluded that future plant-melatonin research studies, involving application of melatonin through the soil should also take into consideration the effects of melatonin on the soil microbes as part of the investigations. Concurrently, any potential
applications of melatonin in future agricultural practices must also investigate the resulting shift to soil microbial communities to ensure that plant-soil ecology interactions are not negatively impinged in the long term.

Further research is also required to determine how soil characteristics (e.g. pH, content of nitrogen, organic C etc) influence the responses of microbial communities to melatonin, thus providing important information regarding agricultural soil parameters suitable for melatonin application. Further taxonomic research is also required to profile and isolate soil microbes responsive to melatonin under abiotic stress conditions. Microbes may be isolated by culturing in various media (e.g. agar and broths) supplemented with melatonin across a wide concentration range. The genes differentially expressed upon melatonin treatment in these microbes may subsequently be analysed by transcriptomics to explore potential functional associations between melatonin and abiotic stress tolerance in microbes, as reported in numerous plantmelatonin studies (Byeon *et al.*, 2015; Jiang *et al.*, 2016; Gu *et al.*, 2017).

Correspondingly, potential anti-oxidative or signalling roles of melatonin in bacteria or fungi may also be assessed *in vitro* by measuring endogenous levels of melatonin, ROS and ROS-scavenging enzyme activities under unstressed and abiotic stress conditions. Further *in vitro* studies could investigate how melatonin is utilised by fungal pathogens in comparison to non - pathogenic soil microbes such as mycorrhizae, endophytes plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and saprobes under various abiotic stresses (e.g. salinity, contamination, drought) and determine the conditions under which melatonin is optimally utilised.

Studies may also explore potential plant-microbe interactions in soil upon the availability of exogenous melatonin. This may include researching whether melatonin is secreted by plants in root exudate, as plants secrete other indoleamines (e.g. tryptophan and IAA) to manipulate a more beneficial microbial community structure in the rhizosphere (Narasimhan *et al.*, 2003; Badri and Vivanco, 2009; Etemadi *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2016). It is also important to explore if soil microbes secrete melatonin or if melatonin acts as a signalling molecule between soil microbes, similar to a functional role of the structurally comparable indoleamine, IAA (Fu *et al.*, 2015). Understanding the role of melatonin in soil microbial community dynamics may

provide vital information regarding the viability of melatonin application relating to future agricultural practices.

5.3 References

Ali, F., Bano, A. & Fazal, A. (2017). Recent methods of drought stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Growth Regulation* 82, 363-375.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernandez-Ruiz, J. (2014). Melatonin: plant growth regulator and/or biostimulator during stress? *Trends in Plant Science* 19, 789-797.

Arnao, M.B. & Hernández-Ruiz, J. (2013). Growth conditions determine different melatonin levels in *Lupinus albus* L. *Journal of Pineal Research* 55, 149-155.

Badri, D.V. & Vivanco, J.M. (2009). Regulation and function of root exudates. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 32, 666-681.

Baker, J. & Kimpinski, K. (2018). Role of melatonin in blood pressure regulation: An adjunct anti-hypertensive agent. *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology* 45, 755-766.

Bonner, M.R. & Alavanja, M.C.R. (2017). Pesticides, human health, and food security. *Food and Energy Security* 6, 89-93.

Bortoli, S. & Coumoul, X. (2018). Impact of pesticides on human health. *Pratiques en Nutrition* 14, 18-24.

Budzinski, H. & Couderchet, M. (2018). Environmental and human health issues related to pesticides: from usage and environmental fate to impact. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25, 14277-14279.

Byeon, Y., Lee, H.Y., Hwang, O.J., Lee, H.J., Lee, K. & Back, K. (2015). Coordinated regulation of melatonin synthesis and degradation genes in rice leaves in response to cadmium treatment. *Journal of Pineal Research* 58, 470-478.

Castaño, M.Y., Garrido, M., Rodríguez, A.B. & Gómez, M.Á. (2019). Melatonin improves mood status and quality of life and decreases cortisol levels in Fibromyalgia. *Biological Research for Nursing* 21, 22-29.

Costantini, D. (2015). Land-use changes and agriculture in the tropics: pesticides as an overlooked threat to wildlife. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 24, 1837-1839.

Cozma, P., Gavrilescu, M., Roşca, M., Apostol, L.C., Hlihor, R.M. & Gavrilescu, M. (2018). Evaluation of human health risks associated with pesticide dietary intake - An overview on quantitative uncertainty analysis. *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal* 17, 2263-2274.

Deutsch, C.A., Tewksbury, J.J., Tigchelaar, M., Battisti, D.S., Merrill, S.C., Huey, R.B. & Naylor, R.L. (2018). Increase in crop losses to insect pests in a warming climate. *Science* 361, 916-919.

Etemadi, M., Gutjahr, C., Couzigou, J.M., Zouine, M., Lauressergues, D., Timmers, A., Audran, C., Bouzayen, M., Bécard, G. & Combier, J.P. (2014). Auxin perception is required for arbuscule development in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant Physiology* 166, 281-292.

Fu, S.F., Wei, J.Y., Chen, H.W., Liu, Y.Y., Lu, H.Y. & Chou, J.Y. (2015). Indole-3-acetic acid: A widespread physiological code in interactions of fungi with other organisms. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* 10: 8.

Germann, S.M., Baallal Jacobsen, S.A., Schneider, K., Harrison, S.J., Jensen, N.B., Chen, X., Stahlhut, S.G., Borodina, I., Luo, H., Zhu, J., Maury, J. & Forster, J. (2016). Glucose-based microbial production of the hormone melatonin in yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology Journal* 11, 717-724.

Gu, Q., Chen, Z., Yu, X., Cui, W., Pan, J., Zhao, G., Xu, S., Wang, R. & Shen, W. (2017). Melatonin confers plant tolerance against cadmium stress via the decrease of cadmium accumulation and reestablishment of microRNA-mediated redox homeostasis. *Plant Science* 261, 28-37.

Hardeland, R. (2016). Melatonin in plants – Diversity of levels and multiplicity of functions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7: 108.

Jiang, C., Cui, Q., Feng, K., Xu, D., Li, C. & Zheng, Q. (2016). Melatonin improves antioxidant capacity and ion homeostasis and enhances salt tolerance in maize seedlings. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 38: 82.

Kang, K., Kim, Y.S., Park, S. & Back, K. (2009). Senescence-induced serotonin biosynthesis and its role in delaying senescence in rice leaves. *Plant Physiology* 150, 1380-1393.

Khan, M., Rozhon, W. & Poppenberger, B. (2013). The role of hormones in the aging of plants - A mini-review. *Gerontology* 60, 49-55.

Khan, N.A., Mir, M.R., Nazar, R. & Singh, S. (2008). The application of ethephon (an ethylene releaser) increases growth, photosynthesis and nitrogen accumulation in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) under high nitrogen levels. *Plant Biology* 10, 534-538.

Kirk, J.L., Beaudette, L.A., Hart, M., Moutoglis, P., Klironomos, J.N., Lee, H. & Trevors, J.T. (2004). Methods of studying soil microbial diversity. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 58, 169-188.

Kun, X., Cai Hong, H. & Subramanian, P. (2019). Melatonin and sleep. *Biological Rhythm Research* 50, 490-493.

Leng, G. (2017). Evidence for a weakening strength of temperature-corn yield relation in the United States during 1980–2010. *Science of the Total Environment* 605-606, 551-558.

Liu, Y., Chen, L., Zhang, N., Li, Z., Zhang, G., Xu, Y., Shen, Q. & Zhang, R. (2016). Plantmicrobe communication enhances auxin biosynthesis by a root-associated bacterium, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* SQR9. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 29, 324-330.

Matiu, M., Ankerst, D.P. & Menzel, A. (2017). Interactions between temperature and drought in global and regional crop yield variability during 1961-2014. *Plos One* 12: 5.

Melchett, P. (2017). Pesticides–experts ignore the most serious threat to UK wildlife. *Biodiversity* 18, 60-63.

Morton, L.W. & Abendroth, L.J. (2017). Crops, climate, culture, and change. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 72, 47-52.

Murray, D.A.H., Clarke, M.B. & Ronning, D.A. (2013). Estimating invertebrate pest losses in six major Australian grain crops. *Australian Journal of Entomology* 52, 227-241.

Narasimhan, K., Basheer, C., Bajic, V.B. & Swarup, S. (2003). Enhancement of plant-microbe interactions using a rhizosphere metabolomics-driven approach and its application in the removal of polychlorinated biphenyls. *Plant Physiology* 132, 146-153.

Oerke, E.C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. Journal of Agricultural Science 144, 31-43.

Oliveira, C.M., Auad, A.M., Mendes, S.M. & Frizzas, M.R. (2014). Crop losses and the economic impact of insect pests on Brazilian agriculture. *Crop Protection* 56, 50-54.

Park, S., Kang, K., Lee, K., Choi, D., Kim, Y.S. & Back, K. (2009). Induction of serotonin biosynthesis is uncoupled from the coordinated induction of tryptophan biosynthesis in pepper fruits (*Capsicum annuum*) upon pathogen infection. *Planta* 230, 1197-1206.

Shao, H., Chu, L., Lu, H., Qi, W., Chen, X., Liu, J., Kuang, S., Tang, B. & Wong, V. (2019). Towards sustainable agriculture for the salt-affected soil. *Land Degradation and Development* 30, 574-579.

Sletten, T.L., Magee, M., Murray, J.M., Gordon, C.J., Lovato, N., Kennaway, D.J., Gwini, S.M., Bartlett, D.J., Lockley, S.W., Lack, L.C., Grunstein, R.R. & Rajaratnam, S.M.W. (2018). Efficacy of melatonin with behavioural sleep-wake scheduling for delayed sleep-wake phase disorder: A double-blind, randomised clinical trial. *PLoS Medicine* 15: 6.

Wahid, F., Sharif, M., Steinkellner, S., Khan, M.A., Marwat, K.B. & Khan, S.A. (2016). Inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and phosphate solubilizing bacteria in the presence of rock phosphate improves phosphorus uptake and growth of maize. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 48, 739-747.

Wall, D.H. & Virginia, R.A. (1999). Controls on soil biodiversity: Insights from extreme environments. *Applied Soil Ecology* 13, 137-150.

Yadu, S., Dewangan, T.L., Chandrakar, V. & Keshavkant, S. (2017). Imperative roles of salicylic acid and nitric oxide in improving salinity tolerance in *Pisum sativum* L. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants* 23, 43-58.

Yao, H., He, Z., Wilson, M.J. & Campbell, C.D. (2000). Microbial biomass and community structure in a sequence of soils with increasing fertility and changing land use. *Microbial Ecology* 40, 223-237.

Zhang, N., Sun, Q., Zhang, H., Cao, Y., Weeda, S., Ren, S. & Guo, Y.D. (2015). Roles of melatonin in abiotic stress resistance in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66, 647-656.