# European Journal of Soil Science 2019, 70: 1212-1220

DOI: 10.1111/ejss.12817

# Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters the structure of the bacterial community assimilating plant-derived carbon in the rhizosphere of soya bean

Y. H. Wang<sup>a, b†</sup>, Z. H. Yu<sup>a†</sup>, Y. S. LI<sup>a</sup>, G. H. Wang<sup>a</sup>, C. Tang<sup>c</sup>, X. B. Liu<sup>a</sup>, J. J. Liu<sup>a</sup>, Z. H. Xie<sup>a</sup> & J. Jin<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Mollisols Agroecology, Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Harbin 150081, China

<sup>b</sup> Guiyang University of Chinese Medicine, Guiyang 550025, China

<sup>c</sup> Centre for AgriBioscience, La Trobe University, Melbourne Campus, Bundoora, Vic 3086, Australia

Correspondence: J. JIN. Email: jinjian29@hotmail.com

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to the work.

#### Summary

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) increases rhizodeposits, which in turn alters the soil microbial community. However, it is relatively unknown how the microbial community metabolizes plant-derived carbon (C) in the rhizosphere under eCO<sub>2</sub>, especially in agricultural soils. This study used a <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> labelling technique combined with DNA-stable isotope probing (SIP) to fractionate the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA and <sup>12</sup>C-DNA from the rhizosphere of soya bean plants (Glycine max (L.) Merr. cv. Suinong 14) grown for 54 days under ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>) (390  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>) or eCO<sub>2</sub> (550  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>). The DNA fractions were then subjected to Illumina Miseq sequencing. The results showed that eCO<sub>2</sub> decreased the richness and diversity of the <sup>13</sup>C-assimilating bacterial community compared to aCO<sub>2</sub> (p < 0.05). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> decreased the abundances of genera including Pseudarthrobacter, Gaiellales uncultured, Microlunatus, Gemmatimonas, Gemmatimonadaceae uncultured, Ramlibacter. Massilia, Luteimonas, Acidobacteriaceae uncultured, Bryobacter and Candidatus Solibacter. These genera were probably fast-growing bacteria and sensitive to labile C. In contrast, eCO<sub>2</sub> stimulated the growth of genera Novosphingobium, Acidimicrobiales uncultured, Bacillus, Flavisolibacter and Schlesneria which were able to assimilate complex C compounds. Moreover, the increased population of Novosphingobium under eCO<sub>2</sub> might have accelerated electron flow from the oxidation of organic C. Correspondingly, eCO<sub>2</sub> did not affect the concentration of the dissolved organic C but increased the plant-derived <sup>13</sup>C in the rhizosphere. These results indicated that an eCO<sub>2</sub>-induced increase in non-labile C in rhizodeposits contributed to the increase in the population size of a number of the plant-C metabolizing genera that might become the mechanism for the turnover of fresh C in the rhizosphere, modifying the soil C cycle under eCO<sub>2</sub> environments.

**Keywords:** Mollisols; Stable Isotope Probing; <sup>13</sup>C labelling; Illumina Sequencing; Microbial Community

#### Introduction

The efflux of plant-derived carbon (C) into the rhizosphere (root-soil interface) stimulates microbial activity (Kuzyakov & Blagodatskaya, 2015). This C efflux contributes to 4 to 20-fold increases in microbial biomass and up to 3-fold increases in the rates of decomposition of organic substrates in the rhizosphere compared to the bulk soil (Blagodatskaya *et al.*, 2009). Plant roots release up to 20–30% of total photosynthetically-fixed C into the rhizosphere (Calvo *et al.*, 2017), which is metabolized by microorganisms and incorporated into soil C pools. However, elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  (eCO<sub>2</sub>) concentration not only increases the quantity of rhizodeposits but also alters their quality in many plant species (Jin *et al.*, 2014). Elevated  $CO_2$  generally decreases the proportion of nitrogen (N)-rich metabolites but increases the proportion of C-rich metabolites in rhizodeposits, increasing the C/N ratios of rhizodeposits (Grayston *et al.*, 1998). The eCO<sub>2</sub>-induced changes in the quantity and quality of rhizodeposits are likely to affect the structure and functions of the soil microbial community and hence the soil C cycle.

A number of studies have indicated that the response of the soil microbial community to  $eCO_2$  is associated with altered soil C cycling (Drigo *et al.*, 2008). Using an experiment where 16 herbaceous plant species had been grown under FACE (Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment) for 9 years, Xu *et al.* (2013) showed that  $eCO_2$  significantly increased the abundance of C-degrading genes that are involved in the breakdown of starch, cellulose and hemicellulose in soil compared to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (aCO<sub>2</sub>). He *et al.* (2014) further revealed that  $eCO_2$  stimulated the expression of key functional genes involved in C decomposition in a fine-silty Typic Endoaquoll, thereby highlighting the importance of soil microorganisms in regulating the turnover of rhizodeposits under  $eCO_2$ .

The DNA stable isotope probing (DNA-SIP) techniques were recently used to track plant-C flow into the microbial community in the rhizosphere of sugarcane grown under eCO<sub>2</sub>, and showed an eCO<sub>2</sub>-induced increase in the populations of Bacilli and Betaproteobacteria genera in comparison to aCO<sub>2</sub> (Da Costa *et al.*, 2018). However, because of the complex nature of soil properties and their interactions with different plant species, the response of soil microbial communities associated with C cycling in response to eCO<sub>2</sub> may differ between ecosystems. Therefore, further research is required in agricultural systems where the effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> on soil microbial community structure are largely unknown.

Mollisols are a major soil type used for growing soya bean in northeast China (Sui *et al.*, 2017). However, soil organic C (SOC) in Mollisols has markedly decreased (up to 50%) with intensive farming practices during the past five decades (Tong *et al.*, 2017). The increase of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations associated with global climate change poses great uncertainty on predicted SOC stocks. Therefore, it is essential to understand how eCO<sub>2</sub> affects plant-derived C fluxes into the microbial community in the rhizosphere of widely-grown crops like soya bean. Although Yu *et al* (2016) reported that eCO<sub>2</sub> (550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) increased the abundances of bacterial genera such as *Arthrobacter*, *Catelliglo-bosispora*, *Bryobacter*, *Bradyrhizobium* and

*Pedomicrobium* in the rhizosphere of soya bean, knowledge is still limited with respect to the  $eCO_2$ -induced changes of specific members of the bacterial community that actively metabolize plant-C. This study aimed to investigate the influence of  $eCO_2$  on the plant-C metabolizing bacterial community in the rhizosphere of soya bean growing in a Mollisol, and to assess the potential contribution of these bacteria to plant-C turnover under  $eCO_2$ .

#### Materials and methods

### Experimental set-up

Using a soil sampler, soil was collected from the tillage layer (0–10 cm) at a farmer's paddock in Hailun (47°26'N, 126°38'E), Heilongjiang Province, northeast China, where soya bean is a major crop. The soil is classified as a Mollisol or Phaeozem (FAO-UNESCO, 1974). The soil was air-dried at room temperature and sieved (< 2 mm) prior to mixing with siliceous sand (1:1, w:w) to aid collection of the rhizosphere soil at harvest (Jin *et al.*, 2014). Each pot (height 15 cm, diameter 12 cm) contained 1.5 kg of the soil and sand mixture, which was supplied with basal nutrients at the following rates (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil): 217 urea, 219 KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 167 CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 43 MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 6.7 MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, 10 ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 2 CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.3 CoSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.7 H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 0.2 Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O and 9 Fe-EDTA (Jin *et al.*, 2009). Soil water content was maintained at 80 ± 5% of field water capacity by daily additions of deionized water to maintain the target weight.

A soya bean variety [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr. cultivar Suinong 14] which is widely cropped in northeast China was used in this study. Seven uniform seeds were sown in each pot and thinned to two plants seven days after emergence. Then, a total of 12 pots were randomly allocated into six open-top chambers (OTC) with two pots per OTC. Three OTCs were for aCO<sub>2</sub> (390 ± 30 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) and the other three for eCO<sub>2</sub> (550 ± 30 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>). The OTC was an octagonal steel frame with a 3.5-m diameter, 2.0-m high and canopy at a 45° angle and 0.5-m high (Zhang *et al.*, 2014). Polyethylene sheet with more than 95% of transparency was used to construct the OTC. The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> level in each OTC was regulated by a digital CO<sub>2</sub>-regulating system (VK2010, Victecher, Beijing, China). An electronic pump was installed at the ground level in each OTC to facilitate the circulation of inner air. More information about the OTC design can be obtained in Li *et al.* (2017).

### *The* <sup>13</sup>*CO*<sub>2</sub> *labelling*

Soya bean plants were labelled with <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> for 25 days commencing at Day 29 (the third node stage, V<sub>3</sub>). The labelling was carried out in air-tight clear polymethyl methacrylate chambers (area 50 cm ×50 cm, height 40 cm). Three pots from each treatment were placed in the labelling chambers and labelled with <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> of the same concentration as the respective CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. Before labelling, the <sup>12</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> in the chambers was depleted by filtering air through 3 M NaOH solution for 30 minutes using a membrane pump (MOD.LS30L, Guangli Inc., Wuhan, China). Then, six beakers containing the calculated amount of Na<sub>2</sub><sup>13</sup>CO<sub>3</sub> ( $\geq$  99.8 atom%, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) were placed in each of the six chambers, and 9 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was injected with a syringe needle through a rubber tube into each beaker every 90 minutes for 8 hours daily. The amount of Na<sub>2</sub><sup>13</sup>CO<sub>3</sub> for the labelling procedure was

calculated based on the designated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and the CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by plants in the chamber (Lian *et al.*, 2017). To determine the assimilation rate of CO<sub>2</sub> in the chambers, non-labelled controls (three replicates in each treatment) were set up in an additional two chambers under the same conditions using Na<sub>2</sub><sup>12</sup>CO<sub>3</sub> to produce non-labelled CO<sub>2</sub> (Yu *et al.*, 2017). The <sup>12</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside labelling chambers was monitored with a CO<sub>2</sub> analyser (CI-301PS; CID Bio-Science Inc., Gamas, Washington, USA). The labelling chambers were equipped with electric fans to homogenize the atmosphere inside the chambers. The other environmental conditions in chambers were a photosynthetically-active photon flux density of approximately 1000  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, the temperature at 27–29°C and relative humidity of 60 ± 5%.

After labelling for 25 days the soya bean plants were harvested (Day 54). Shoots were cut at the ground level. Roots were carefully removed from pots, and the rhizosphere soil was sampled by gently shaking the roots (Jin *et al.*, 2014). Plant samples were oven-dried at 60°C for 72 hours, weighed and ground in a ball mill (Retsol MM2000, Retsch, Haan, Germany). Approximately 2 g of the rhizosphere soil was immediately frozen in liquid N and then kept at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until DNA extraction. The remaining soil in each sample was separated into two parts. Approximately 20 g of fresh soil was used for measurements of microbial biomass C (MBC), dissolved organic C (DOC), ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), and approximately 10 g of soil was air-dried for measurements of Olsen phosphorus (P), available K, total C, total N and  $\delta^{13}$ C.

Microbial biomass C was determined using the fumigation method (Vance, 1987). Total organic C (TOC) in soil extracts (1:4 in 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) was determined using a TOC analyser (Multi N/C 2100, Analytik Jena, Germany). The value of MBC was calculated as the difference in TOC concentration between fumigated and non-fumigated soils. Total organic C in the extracts of non-fumigated soil was considered as DOC (Chen *et al.*, 2016). The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N were extracted in 2 M KCl solution (1:10), and their concentrations were measured using a Continuous Flow Analyzer (San<sup>++</sup>, Skalar, Holland). Olsen P in soil was extracted in 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (1:20) and determined with the Mo-Sb colorimetric method (Olsen *et al.*, 1954). The soil available K was extracted in 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Ac (1:10) and determined with flame photometry (FP640, INASA, China). Total C and N concentrations of soil samples were analysed using an EL III Elemental Analyzer (Hanau, Germany), and the  $\delta^{13}$ C was determined using a MAT 253 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Germany). Using a Wettler Toledo 320 pH meter, pH was measured after shaking with 0.1 M CaCl solution (1:5 = w:v) for 30 min.

Soil DNA extraction and isopycnic centrifugation

Total DNA in soil was extracted using a FastDNA SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals; Solon, OH, USA). In the process of DNA extraction, water samples were included as the negative control to test potential contamination. Three technical replicates of each soil sample were performed to provide a sufficient amount of DNA for isopycnic centrifugation. The soil DNA quality was assessed by gel electrophoresis, and DNA was quantified by Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, USA). Density gradient isopycnic centrifugation was performed using 7000 ng soil total DNA, together with caesium trifluoroacetate (CsTFA, Sigma, USA) and gradient buffer (0.1 M Tris HCl, 0.1 M KCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH = 8.0) to reach a density of 1.60 g mL<sup>-1</sup>. Subsequently, the centrifugation was performed in a Beckman Coulter Optima L-XP ultracentrifuge on a VTi 65 rotor (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA) at 179 000 g for 40 hours at 20°C. Then, the centrifuged solution was fractionated into 14 fractions. The buoyant density of each fraction was determined with a digital refractometer (AR200 Digital Handheld Refractometer, Depew, New York, USA). DNA in each fraction was precipitated with ice-ethanol and sodium acetate and then washed with 70% ethanol after being centrifuged at 15 000 g for 1 hour. The DNA was finally re-suspended in autoclaved Milli-Q water for further use. *The DNA purification and Illumina MiSeq sequencing* 

The fractions from 2 to 4 ( $^{13}$ C-DNA) and those from 8 to 10 ( $^{12}$ C-DNA) were pooled separately. The DNA templates were amplified with primers of 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGG-3') and 907R (5'-CCGTCAATTCMTTTRAGTTT-3') (Biddle *et al.*, 2008). The forward primer was modified by a unique 6 nt barcode at the 5' end. The PCR was performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 60°C for 10 s and extension at 72°C for 10 s, with a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. The amplification was performed in duplicate, then PCR products were pooled together and purified with an Agarose Gel DNA purification kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The purified PCR products were submitted to Biozeron (Shanghai, China) for paired-end sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Caporaso *et al.*, 2012).

## Analysis of Illumina MiSeq sequencing data

Raw data were processed by the QIIME software package (version 1.8.0, Caporaso *et al.*, 2010). The reads were quality-trimmed by eliminating sequence length shorter than 380 bp and quality scores lower than 20. In total, 445 928 high quality and chimera-free reads with an average length of 392 bp were obtained. The number of sequences ranged from 30 357 to 43 254 across samples. We randomly selected 30 357 sequences based on the minimum reads among all samples before further analysis. The operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were defined at the 97% similarity level by Usearch (vsesion 7.1 http://drive5.com/uparse/) with the remaining and unique sequences. In total, 1172 OTUs were finally obtained. At 70% of the confidence threshold, the taxonomic identity of phylotypes was classified using the Ribosomal Database Project RDP Classifier (Release 11.1 http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/). All sequences were deposited in the GenBank Sequence Read Archive SRP116808. *Statistical analysis* 

Statistical comparisons between two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments were performed for soya bean shoot and root dry weights, root-to-shoot biomass ratio, soil biochemical properties, number of bacterial OTUs and alpha diversity in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction using Student's *t*-test (two-tailed) at the 0.05 significance level (SPSS 20.0 for Windows).

Changes in microbial community structure in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA and <sup>12</sup>C-DNA fractions in response to eCO<sub>2</sub> were analysed with principal component analysis (PCA) using the vegan package in R version 3.3.1 for Windows (R Development Core Team, 2010). The PCA analysis was done on the sums-of-squares-and-products matrix (S). To assess the significance of the difference in the microbial community composition between aCO<sub>2</sub> and eCO<sub>2</sub>, permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA) was conducted using the ADONIS function in the R package of vegan (ADONIS; Oksanen *et al.*, 2014). Genera and OTUs with more than 0.3% of the relative abundance in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction were selected and Student's *t*-test (two-tailed) was conducted to test the difference between two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments for each genus or OUT, using GenStat (version 13.0, VSN International, Hemel Hemspstead, UK). Genera affiliated to 'unclassified' and 'norank' were excluded in results of this study.

#### Results

### Biochemical properties of the rhizosphere soil

In this study, the soil had a total C of 17.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, total N of 0.98 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, Olsen P of 25.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub>Ac-extractable potassium (K) of 157 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and a pH of 5.1. The soil <sup>13</sup>C abundance in the rhizosphere under eCO<sub>2</sub> was 2.7-fold greater than that under aCO<sub>2</sub>. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased MBC by 32% (p < 0.05), but decreased available K in the rhizosphere (p < 0.05) (Table 1). There was no significant CO<sub>2</sub> effect on concentrations of total C, total N, DOC, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and Olsen P, and C/N ratio in the rhizosphere soil. In terms of plant growth, eCO<sub>2</sub> increased the dry weights of shoots and roots by 19 and 46%, respectively (p < 0.05), but not root-to-shoot ratios (Figure S1, Supporting Information).

# Separation of <sup>13</sup>C-DNA

There were no bands in DNA fractions 2–4 for the non-labelled control, whereas bands in these fractions were observed in the <sup>13</sup>C-labelled treatment (Figure S2, Supporting Information). This indicated that <sup>13</sup>C-DNA (high buoyant-density fractions) was successfully separated. The DNA bands in fractions 8–10 (low buoyant-density fractions) were <sup>12</sup>C-DNA.

# Bacterial community composition in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> decreased the number of OTUs, Ace estimator, Chao1 estimator and Shannon index in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction by 10, 7, 7 and 18%, respectively (Table 2) (p < 0.01). In the PCA, the first and second principal components explained 59.3% and 23.0% of the variance, respectively. The scores from the first two principal component analysis were plotted in their plane. They show that the bacterial community in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction (p < 0.05, PERMANOVA) but not the <sup>12</sup>C-DNA fraction (p > 0.05, PERMANOVA) significantly differed between the CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (Figure 1).

Genera in the  ${}^{13}C$ -DNA fraction in response to  $eCO_2$ 

With the exclusion of the 'unclassified' or 'norank' genera, 35 genera affiliated to ten phyla in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction showed a significant response to  $eCO_2$  (Figure 2). Actinobacteria (8.0–13.6%), Gemmatimonadetes (5.6–11.1%), Proteobacteria (10.8–25.7%) and Acidobacteria (2.5–7.2%) were the predominant phyla (Figure 2), and also the main phyla that had responded to  $eCO_2$ . Within Actinobacteria and

Proteobacteria, the relative abundances of most genera such as Pseudarthrobacter, Gaiellales uncultured, Microlunatus, Ramlibacter, Massilia and Luteimonas in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction decreased under eCO<sub>2</sub> (p < 0.05) (Figure 2, Table S1, Supporting Information), while the relative abundances of Novosphingobium and Acidimicrobiales uncultured increased from 0.2 to 21.8% and from 0.2 to 0.4% in response to eCO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. In Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, eCO<sub>2</sub> increased the relative abundances of genera from 0.7 to 2.1% for Bacillus, and from 0.1 to 0.2% for Flavisolibacter. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> decreased the relative abundances of genera in other phyla, such as Gemmatimonadetes, Acidobacteria, Armatimonadetes, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetes and Nitrospirae (p < 0.05).

#### Discussion

This study has shown for the first time that eCO<sub>2</sub> significantly reduced the richness and diversity of the bacterial community that metabolized plant-derived C in the rhizosphere of soya bean. It was evident that eCO<sub>2</sub> decreased the number of OTUs, Chao1 estimator and Shannon index in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction (Table 2). This result was consistent with a previous study that reported that eCO<sub>2</sub> significantly decreased the soil bacterial diversity in a rice paddy Fluvisol, which was considered to be partially due to an increase in the abundance of Burkholderiaceae (14.9-46.5%) under eCO<sub>2</sub> (Okubo et al., 2015). In this present study, the competitive growth of Novosphingobium might have constrained the responses of other genera in response to eCO<sub>2</sub> (Table 2, Figure 2) and decreased the evenness of the species distribution. This pattern of competitive diversity has been shown to decrease diversity of the microbial community (Torsvik et al., 2002). Furthermore, as eCO<sub>2</sub> probably increases the C/N ratio of rhizodeposits (Grayston et al., 1998) and increased the C/N ratio in soya bean roots (Li et al., 2017), the activity of fast-growing r-strategists which are the dominant microbial population in the rhizosphere might be relatively constrained by N deficiency (Chen et al., 2014) leading to the observed decrease in the richness and diversity of plant-C-metabolizing bacterial community under eCO2.

Compared to aCO<sub>2</sub>, eCO<sub>2</sub> fundamentally altered the structure of the plant-C metabolizing bacterial community in the rhizosphere of soya bean (Figure 1), indicating the potential shift of their eco-functions, especially C flow from the plant root to the soil. At the genus level, the populations of *Novosphingobium*, *Acidimicrobiales\_uncultured*, *Bacillus*, *Flavisolibacter* and *Schlesneria* were larger under eCO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 2). Genera *Novosphingobium*, *Bacillus* and *Schlesneria* affiliated to orders of Sphingomonadales, Bacillales and Planctomycetales, respectively, can degrade complex C compounds (Eichorst *et al.*, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2015). *Bacillus* is known as proteolytic bacteria, and is considered to be an important protease producer in soils (Sakurai *et al.*, 2007), whereas *Novosphingobium* and *Schlesneria* were reported to be able to metabolize a wide range of xenobiotic aromatic compounds (Liu *et al.*, 2005), and degrade various heteropolysaccharides (Dedysh, 2011). Moreover, *Novosphingobium* genera were found to be able to produce P450 enzymes that act as electron acceptors (Yang *et al.*, 2010). Many members of the *Novosphingobium* genera are capable of competing for electrons to reduce nitrate to

nitrite (Nguyen *et al.*, 2016), and the reduction of nitrate largely depends on the oxidation of organic C to supply electrons. Under eCO<sub>2</sub>, increased amounts of C released from roots (Table 1) might act as an electron donor that accelerates the electron flow. *Novosphingobium*, therefore, may be stimulated under eCO<sub>2</sub> to reduce nitrate to nitrous oxide, and provide more energy for microbial metabolism during the process (Ribera-Guardia *et al.*, 2014). The increased abundance of *Novosphingobium* DNA in the <sup>13</sup>C-enriched DNA fraction under eCO<sub>2</sub> indicated that this genus is important in the biodegradation of complex substrates and the acceleration of electron flow in the rhizosphere, consequently contributing to changes in soil C and N cycling in response to eCO<sub>2</sub>.

The eCO<sub>2</sub>-induced response of some genera might be temporal, as the positive Acidimicrobiales uncultured genera (Acidimicrobiales) response of and Flavisolibacter (Bacteroidetes) to eCO<sub>2</sub> was inconsistent with the result reported by Yu et al. (2016). The different responses of the two genera to eCO<sub>2</sub> might be attributed to the different plant growth stages between the two studies (the initial podding stage in the study of Yu et al. compared to the third-node stage in this study) as conditions in the rhizosphere differ between growth stages because of changes in root exudation and functions (Li et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017). Thus, the extent of change in bacterial communities of the rhizosphere in response to eCO<sub>2</sub> might depend on the changes of the plant-C efflux over time. The eCO2-induced changes in plant-derived compounds in rhizodeposits might be the primary factor for stronger competition for plant-C by complex-substrate assimilators (Figure 2). However, this assumption needs further investigation by identifying the composition of rhizodeposits and tracing the bacteria that metabolize these compounds in soils in response to eCO<sub>2</sub>.

A number of dominant plant-C metabolizing genera in the rhizosphere under aCO<sub>2</sub> were relatively constrained under eCO<sub>2</sub>. This constraint might be attributed to decreased degradability of rhizodeposits. These genera including the Pseudarthrobacter, Gaiellales uncultured, Gemmatimonas and Acidobacteriaceae uncultured belong to the phyla Actinobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes, Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria (Figure 2) that are usually competitive and fast-growing bacteria in the rhizosphere of many plant species (Edwards et al., 2014; Mao et al., 2014; Naz et al., 2014) including rice (Oryza sativa L.) (Okubo et al., 2015), grasses (Hayden et al., 2012) and shrubs (Lesaulnier et al., 2008). Moreover, several studies reported that genera Bradyrhizobium and Lysobacter in the rhizosphere of switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.) (Mao et al., 2014) and soya bean (Figure 2), and genera Actinobacteria, Gemmatimonas and Gemmatimonadetes in the rhizosphere of rice (Edwards et al., 2014) were the dominant users of rhizodeposits, and sensitive to the changes in quality of rhizodeposits (Grover et al., 2015). Although eCO<sub>2</sub> increased the plant-C efflux into the rhizosphere, it did not affect the concentration of DOC (Table 1). The result suggests that eCO<sub>2</sub> probably decreased the proportion of labile C in the rhizodeposits, thereby causing the relative suppression of fast-growing *r*-strategists.

#### Conclusions

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> significantly decreased the richness and diversity of bacteria that utilized plant-C in the rhizosphere of soya bean grown in a Mollisol. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> decreased the relative abundances of fast-growing bacteria including Pseudarthrobacter, Gaiellales uncultured, Gemmatimonas and Acidobacteriaceae uncultured, but increased the abundances of assimilators of complex C compounds including Novosphingobium, Acidimicrobiales uncultured, Bacillus, Flavisolibacter and Schlesneria. Alteration of the bacterial community was most likely attributable to the eCO<sub>2</sub>-induced changes in the quantity and quality of plant-derived C compounds. Thus, the turnover of eCO<sub>2</sub>-derived plant-C in Mollisols might change as  $eCO_2$  suppresses the growth of fast-growing bacteria that metabolize plant-derived C but increases the populations of bacteria that metabolize more complex-C compounds. The microbial contribution to the turnover of specific plant-C compounds produced under eCO<sub>2</sub> warrants further research.

#### Acknowledgements

The project was funded by National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0300300), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41771326) and the Hundred Talents Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

#### References

- Biddle, J.F., Fitz-Gibbon, S., Schuster, S.C., Brenchley, J.E. & House, C.H. 2008. Meta-genomic signatures of the Peru Margin subseafloor biosphere show a genetically distinct environment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105, 10583–10588.
- Calvo, O.C., Franzaring, J., Schmid, I., Müller, M., Brohon, N. & Fangmeier, A. 2017. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and drought stress modify root exudation of barley. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 1292–1304.
- Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A, Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N. *et al.* 2012. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *The ISME Journal*, **6**, 1621–1624.
- Caporaso, J.G., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Desantis, T.Z., Andersen, G.L. & Knight, R. 2010b. PyNAST: a flexible tool for aligning sequences to a template alignment. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 266–267.
- Chen, S., Xu, C., Yan, J., Zhang, X., Zhang, X. & Wang, D. 2016. The influence of the type of crop residue on soil organic carbon fractions: An 11-year field study of rice-based cropping systems in southeast China. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 223, 261–269.
- Chen, R., Senbayram, M., Blagodatsky, S., Myachina, O., Dittert, K., Lin, X. *et al.* 2014. Soil C and N availability determine the priming effect: microbial N mining and stoichiometric decomposition theories. *Global Change Biology*, **20**, 2356– 2367.
- Da Costa, D.P., Dias, A.C.F., Cotta, S.R., Vilela, D., De Andrade, P.A.M., Pellizari, V.H., *et al.* 2018. Changes of bacterial communities in the rhizosphere of

sugarcane under elevated concentration of atmospheric CO2. *Global Change Bology*, **10**, 137–145.

- Dedysh, S.N. 2011. Cultivating uncultured bacteria from northern wetlands: knowledge gained and remaining gaps. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **2**, 184.
- Drigo, B., Kowalchuk, G.A. & van Veen, J.A. 2008. Climate change goes underground: Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on microbial community structure and activities in the rhizosphere. *Biology & Fertility of Soils*, **44**, 667–679.
- Edwards, J., Johnson, C., Santos-Medellín, C., Lurie, E., Podishetty, N.K., Bhatnagar, S. et al. 2014. Structure, variation, and assembly of the root-associated microbiomes of rice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 112, E911–E920.
- Eichorst, S.A. & Kuske, C.R. 2012. Identification of cellulose-responsive bacterial and fungal communities in geographically and edaphically different soils by using stable isotope probing. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology*, **78**, 2316–2327.
- Grayston, S.J., Campbell, C.D., Lutze, J.L. & Gifford, R.M. 1998. Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the metabolic diversity of microbial communities in N-limited grass swards. *Plant & soil*, **203**, 289–300.
- Grover, M., Maheswari, M., Desai, S., Gopinath, K.A. & Venkateswarlu, B. 2015. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: Plant associated microorganisms and carbon sequestration. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **95**, 73–85.
- Hayden, H.L., Mele, P.M., Bougoure, D.S., Allan, C.Y., Norng, S., Piceno, Y.M. *et al.* 2012. Changes in the microbial community structure of bacteria, archaea and fungi in response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and warming in an Australian native grassland soil. *Environmental Microbiology*, 14, 3081–3096.
- He, Z., Xiong, J., Kent, A.D., Deng, Y., Xue, K., Wang, G. *et al.* 2014. Distinct responses of soil microbial communities to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in a soybean agro-ecosystem. *The ISME Journal*, **8**, 714–726.
- Jin, J., Tang, C., Robertson, A., Franks, A.E., Armstrong, R. & Sale, P. 2014. Increased microbial activity contributes to phosphorus immobilization in the rhizosphere of wheat under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **75**, 292– 299.
- Jin, J., Wang, G.H., Liu, X.B. & Liu, J.J. 2009. Temporal and spatial dynamics of bacterial community in the rhizosphere of soybean genotypes grown in a black soil. *Pedosphere*, **19**, 808–816.
- Jones, M.D., Singleton, D.R., Sun, W. & Aitken, M.D. 2011. Multiple DNA extractions coupled with stable-isotope probing of anthracene-degrading bacteria in contaminated soil. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology*, **77**, 2984–2991.
- Kuzyakov, Y. & Blagodatskaya, E. 2015. Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: concept & review. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **83**, 184–199.
- Lesaulnier, C., Papamichail, D., McCorkle, S., Ollivier, B., Skiena, S., Taghavi, S. *et al.* 2008. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> affects soil microbial diversity associated

with trembling aspen. *Environmental Microbiology*, **10**, 926–941.

- Li, Y., Yu, Z., Liu, X., Mathesius, U., Wang, G., Tang, C. *et al.* 2017. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen fixation at the reproductive phase contributing to various yield responses of soybean cultivars. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **8**, 1546.
- Lian, T., Wang, G., Yu, Z.H., Li, Y.S., Liu, X.B., Zhang, S.Q., *et al.* 2017. Bacterial communities incorporating the plant-derived carbon in the soybean rhizosphere in Mollisols that differ in soil organic carbon contents. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **119**, 375–383.
- Liu, Z.P., Wang, B.J., Liu, Y.H. & Liu, S.J. 2005. Novosphingobium taihuense sp. nov., a novel aromatic-compound-degrading bacterium isolated from Taihu Lake, China. International Journal of Systematic & Evolutionary Microbiology, 55, 1229–1232.
- Mao, Y., Li, X., Smyth, E.M., Yannarell, A.C. & Mackie, R.I. 2014. Enrichment of specific bacterial and eukaryotic microbes in the rhizosphere of switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) through root exudates. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 6, 293–306.
- Naz, I., Mirza, M. & Bano, A. 2014. Molecular characterization of rhizosphere bacterial communities associated with wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.) cultivars at flowering stage. *JAPS, Journal of Animal & Plant*, 24, 1123–1134.
- Nguyen, T.M., Myung, S.W., Jang, H. & Kim, J. 2016. Description of Novosphingobium flavum sp. Nov. isolated from soil. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology. 66, 3642–3650.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B. *et al.* 2014. vegan: Community Ecology Package. (At: http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan. Accessed: 16/12/2016).
- Okubo, T., Liu, D., Tsurumaru, H., Ikeda, S., Asakawa, S., Tokida, T. *et al.* 2015. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels affect community structure of rice root-associated bacteria. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, 1–8.
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S. & Dean, L.A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorous in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA circular, No 939. USDA, Washington DC, pp. 18.
- Ribera-Guardia, A., Kassortaki, E., Gutierrez, O. & Pijuan, M. 2014. Effect of carbon source and competition for electrons on nitrous oxide reduction in a mixed denitrifying microbial community. *Process Biochemistry*, **49**, 2228–2234.
- Sakurai, M., Suzuki, K., Onodera, M., Shinano, T. & Osaki, M. 2007. Analysis of bacterial communities in soil by PCR-DGGE targeting protease genes. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 39, 2777–2784.
- Sui, Y.Y., Jin, J., Liu, X.B., Zhang, X.Y., Li, Y.S., Zhou, K.Q. et al. 2017. Carbon sequestration varies in organic carbon fractions in response to cattle manure applied to an artificially eroded Mollisol. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 63, 1510–1522.
- Tong, Y., Liu, J., Li, X., Sun, J., Herzberger, A., Wei, D. et al. 2017. Cropping system conversion led to organic carbon change in China's Mollisols regions. *Scientific*

*Report*, 7, 18064.

- Torsvik, V., Øvreås, L. & Thingstad, T.F. 2002. Prokaryotic diversity-magnitude, dynamics and controlling factors. *Science*, **295**, 1064–1066.
- Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C. & Jenkinson, D.S. 1987. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 19, 703–707.
- Wang, X., Sharp, C.E., Jones, G.M., Grasby, S.E., Brady, A.L. & Dunfield, P.F. 2015. Stable-isotope probing identifies uncultured Planctomycetes as primary degraders of a complex heteropolysaccharide in soil. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology*, 81, 4607-4615.
- Xu, M., He, Z., Deng, Y., Wu, L., van Nostrand, J.D., Hobbie, S.E. *et al.* 2013. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> influences microbial carbon and nitrogen cycling. *BMC Microbiology*, 13, 124.
- Yang, W., Bell, S.G., Wang, H., Zhou, W., Hoskins, N., Dale, A. et al. 2010. Molecular characterization of a class I P450 electron transfer system from *Novosphingobium aromaticivorans* DSM12444. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 285, 27372-27384.
- Yu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, G., Liu, J., Liu, J., Liu, X. *et al.* 2016. Effectiveness of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> mediating bacterial communities in the soybean rhizosphere depends on genotypes. *Agriculture Ecosystem & Environment*, 231, 229–232.
- Yu, Z., Li, Y., Jin, J., Liu, X. & Wang, G. 2017. Carbon flow in the plant-soil-microbe continuum at different growth stages of maize grown in a Mollisol. *Archives of Agronomy & Soil Science*, 63, 362–374.
- Zhang, W.W., Wang, G.G., Liu, X.B. & Feng, Z.Z. 2014. Effects of elevated O<sub>3</sub> exposure on seed yield, N concentration and photosynthesis of nine soybean cultivars (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) in Northeast China. *Plant Science*, **226**, 172–181.



2

Figure 1 The scores of principal components 1 and 2 plotted in the plane of these two 3 axes. The analysis was done on sequences of the <sup>13</sup>C- and <sup>12</sup>C-DNA fraction in the 4 rhizosphere of soya bean exposed to aCO<sub>2</sub> (390 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) or eCO<sub>2</sub> (550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) 5 for 54 days and <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> labelling for 25 days. Each datum point represents the mean of 6

3 replicates. 7



8

**Figure 2** The relative abundances of bacteria at the genus level in the  ${}^{13}C$ -DNA fraction in the rhizosphere of soya bean exposed to  $aCO_2$  (390 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> or  $eCO_2$  (550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) for 54 days and  ${}^{13}CO_2$  labelling for 25 days. Only genera with

12 significant (p < 0.05) responses to treatments are shown here.

**Table 1** Soil total C and N, C/N ratio, microbial biomass C (MBC), dissolved organic C (DOC), available N, P and K and  $\delta^{13}$ C in the rhizosphere of soya bean exposed to aCO<sub>2</sub> (390 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) or eCO<sub>2</sub> (550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) for 54 days and <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> labelling for 25 days.

Treatmonte	Total C	Total N	C/N	MBC	DOC	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N	Olsen P	Available K	$\delta^{13}$ C
Treatments	/g l	κg-1	$/\mathrm{mg}\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$					/‰		
aCO <sub>2</sub>	$17.2\pm0.2$	$1.02\pm0.0$	$16.9\pm0.5$	$196\pm3.6$	$49.8\pm2.3$	$17.3\pm4.1$	$40.9\pm1.2$	$44.0\pm4.4$	$119\pm1.9$	$611\pm97$
eCO <sub>2</sub>	$17.5\pm0.7$	$1.00\pm0.1$	$17.6\pm0.4$	$259\pm 6.5$	$52.7\pm1.8$	$10.4\pm2.6$	$40.5\pm0.6$	$47.3\pm2.7$	$106\pm2.0$	$2252\pm819$
<i>p</i> value	0.71	0.75	0.22	0.002	0.33	0.31	0.64	0.61	0.007	0.009

15 The data are means of 3 replicates  $\pm$  standard error. The *p* values indicate the significance of the CO<sub>2</sub> treatment effect.

**Table 2** Summary of the number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs), Ace estimator, Chao1 estimator and Shannon's index of bacteria and rarefaction coverage in the <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fraction in the rhizosphere of soya bean exposed to  $aCO_2$  (390 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) or  $eCO_2$  (550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) for 54 days and <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> labelling for 25 days.

Tuestaenta	Number	Ace	Chao1	Shannon's	Rarefaction
Treatments	of OTUs	estimator	estimator	index	coverage
aCO <sub>2</sub>	$891\pm4$	$973\pm7$	$986\pm7$	$5.18\pm0.07$	$0.99\pm0$
eCO <sub>2</sub>	$800\pm2$	$908\pm16$	$917\pm11$	$4.23\pm0.16$	$0.99\pm0$
<i>p</i> value	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.32

The data are means of 3 replicates  $\pm$  standard error. The *p* values indicate the significance of the CO<sub>2</sub> treatment effect.

#### **Supporting Information**



**Figure S1** The effect of eCO<sub>2</sub> on dry weights of shoots (a) and roots (b) and root-to-shoot ratios (c) of soya bean exposed to aCO<sub>2</sub> (390  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> or eCO<sub>2</sub> (550  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>) for 54 days and <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> labelling for 25 days. The vertical error bars represent the standard error of the mean (*n*=3). The *p* values indicate the significance of the CO<sub>2</sub> treatment effect.



**Figure S2** Aliquots of gradient fractions in non-labelled control (a) and  ${}^{13}CO_2$ -labelled treatment (b) after isopycnic centrifugation, the bands were PCR amplicons of DNA fractions and run on a 1% agarose gel. The marker on the left side was a DL 2000 ladder (Takara, Japan).

	Genus		Number of OTUs	
Phylum		OTUID	$aCO_2$	eCO <sub>2</sub>
Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriaceae_uncultured	OTU985	$128\pm17$	$28\pm4$
		OTU374	$10\pm1$	$3\pm 2$
		OTU852	$20\pm1$	$11\pm0$
		OTU331	$51\pm4$	$14\pm 6$
		OTU672	$100\pm 8$	$25\pm3$
		OTU414	$12\pm 2$	$20\pm10$
		OTU1129	$26\pm 6$	$15\pm2$
		OTU5	$1\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU511	$9\pm0$	$4\pm 2$
		OTU747	$10\pm1$	$2\pm 0$
		OTU1185	$2\pm 0$	$2\pm1$
		OTU295	$1\pm 0$	$2\pm1$
		OTU990	$54\pm1$	$28\pm1$
		OTU818	$9\pm4$	$6\pm0$
	Bryobacter	OTU854	$28\pm5$	$9\pm1$
		OTU191	$13\pm2$	$3\pm0$
		OTU253	$11 \pm 3$	$2 \pm 1$
		OTU259	$145\pm11$	$51\pm5$
		OTU339	$3\pm1$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU1086	$75\pm16$	$19\pm1$
		OTU251	$6\pm0$	$2\pm1$
		OTU1254	$0\pm 0$	$6\pm3$
		OTU233	$12\pm0$	$6 \pm 1$
		OTU908	$3\pm0$	$2\pm 0$
		OTU226	$1 \pm 1$	$61\pm25$
		OTU888	$3\pm0$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU183	$129\pm25$	$30\pm1$
		OTU899	$7\pm1$	$4\pm 2$
		OTU1195	$12 \pm 1$	$9\pm0$
		OTU873	$106\pm13$	$22\pm9$
		OTU1073	$59\pm8$	$12\pm2$
		OTU1077	$17\pm0$	$6\pm0$
		OTU224	$25\pm8$	$8 \pm 1$
		OTU235	$32\pm3$	$9\pm1$
	Candidatus_Solibacter	OTU211	$66\pm4$	$24\pm 5$
		OTU202	$23\pm3$	$17\pm4$

**Table S1** Number of OTUs in accordance with relative abundances ( $\geq 0.3\%$ ) of genera that responded significantly to eCO<sub>2</sub>. Genera were compared between <sup>13</sup>C-DNA fractions in the rhizosphere of soya bean exposed to aCO<sub>2</sub> (390 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) or eCO<sub>2</sub> (550 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>).

	OTU1084	$183\pm14$	$51 \pm 16$
	OTU499	$52\pm3$	$4\pm 2$
	OTU853	$51\pm7$	$5\pm 2$
	OTU116	$14 \pm 1$	$8\pm3$
	OTU584	$9\pm1$	$7\pm2$
	OTU489	$3\pm1$	$1\pm 0$
	OTU1176	$2\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
	OTU212	$37\pm5$	$6 \pm 1$
	OTU128	$3\pm1$	$2 \pm 1$
	OTU316	$77\pm5$	$20\pm1$
	OTU745	$27\pm 8$	$4\pm 2$
RB41	OTU821	$110\pm2$	$65\pm7$
	OTU168	$2\pm1$	$4\pm 2$
	OTU317	$7\pm0$	$2\pm 0$
	OTU930	$28\pm2$	$4 \pm 1$
	OTU1062	$1\pm1$	$0\pm 0$
	OTU763	$36\pm5$	$2\pm 0$
	OTU1015	$3\pm0$	$0\pm 0$
Granulicella	OTU427	$59\pm 8$	$17\pm3$
	OTU375	$21\pm4$	$10\pm 2$
Gaiellales_uncultured	OTU13	$10 \pm 1$	$5\pm0$
	OTU824	$28\pm2$	$11 \pm 5$
	OTU1063	$30\pm3$	$11\pm 2$
	OTU68	$3\pm1$	$3\pm1$
	OTU636	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
	OTU673	$128\pm3$	$84 \pm 15$
	OTU9	$12\pm 2$	$8\pm 2$
	OTU425	$39\pm3$	$21\pm 4$
	OTU1123	$32\pm2$	$19\pm2$
	OTU760	$3\pm0$	$1\pm 0$
	OTU607	$33\pm2$	$27\pm7$
	OTU874	$114\pm9$	$63 \pm 16$
	OTU670	$33 \pm 1$	$27\pm1$
	OTU502	$5\pm0$	$4\pm 2$
	OTU1004	$11\pm 2$	$7\pm0$
	OTU898	$176\pm20$	$94 \pm 17$
	OTU1132	$7\pm2$	$5\pm 2$
	OTU697	$14 \pm 1$	$18\pm5$
	OTU691	$5\pm1$	$3\pm 2$
	OTU55	$3\pm1$	$2\pm 0$
	OTU896	$91\pm11$	$61 \pm 1$
	OTU1121	$17 \pm 2$	$8\pm0$
	OTU1245	$6 \pm 1$	$3\pm0$

Actinobacteria

		OTU967	$2\pm0$	$1 \pm 1$
	Pseudarthrobacter	OTU581	$2042\pm268$	$1101 \pm 187$
	Microlunatus	OTU784	$325\pm32$	$134\pm31$
	Nocardioides	OTU447	$40\pm3$	$38\pm1$
		OTU1286	$70\pm7$	$57\pm1$
		OTU912	$7\pm2$	$5\pm1$
		OTU671	$3\pm1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU106	$5\pm0$	$5\pm1$
		OTU806	$56 \pm 1$	$36\pm8$
		OTU1006	$1\pm 0$	$2\pm0$
	Blastococcus	OTU658	$3\pm1$	$4 \pm 1$
		OTU916	$110\pm2$	$101\pm0$
	Gaiella	OTU26	$15\pm0$	$12 \pm 2$
		OTU662	$43 \pm 1$	$23\pm 5$
		OTU875	$42\pm2$	$31 \pm 1$
		OTU892	$13 \pm 3$	$7\pm2$
		OTU589	$3\pm0$	$2 \pm 1$
	Acidimicrobiales_uncultured	OTU856	$3 \pm 1$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU242	$3 \pm 1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU653	$9\pm1$	$3\pm0$
		OTU1138	$1\pm 0$	$2 \pm 1$
		OTU1180	$2\pm0$	$3\pm1$
		OTU724	$3 \pm 1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU17	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU884	$3 \pm 1$	$7 \pm 1$
		OTU438	$7 \pm 1$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU739	$5 \pm 1$	$2\pm0$
		OTU1250	$10 \pm 1$	$9\pm1$
		OTU1224	$2\pm0$	$62\pm0$
		OTU740	$1 \pm 1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU453	$3 \pm 1$	$2\pm0$
		OTU531	$3 \pm 1$	$3\pm 2$
Armatimonadetes	$Armatimonadetes\_uncultured$	OTU683	$4 \pm 1$	$7\pm2$
		OTU682	$11 \pm 3$	$4\pm0$
		OTU1052	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU764	$3 \pm 1$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU40	$8\pm0$	$2 \pm 1$
		OTU1029	$6 \pm 1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU385	$12 \pm 1$	$5 \pm 1$
		OTU1002	$2 \pm 1$	$3\pm1$
		OTU803	$7\pm3$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU801	$3\pm0$	$2\pm1$
		OTU57	$7 \pm 1$	$2 \pm 1$

		OTU334	$-3 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU333	$22\pm2$	$10\pm4$
		OTU684	$2\pm1$	$2\pm1$
		OTU554	$4\pm0$	$2\pm1$
		OTU882	$19\pm4$	$3\pm1$
		OTU1145	$8\pm 2$	$1\pm1$
		OTU1131	$17 \pm 1$	$22\pm 8$
		OTU388	$2\pm1$	$1\pm1$
		OTU857	$12\pm 4$	$8\pm3$
		OTU387	$3\pm0$	$4\pm1$
		OTU574	$10\pm1$	$2\pm1$
		OTU1199	$0\pm 0$	$3\pm 2$
		OTU354	$4 \pm 1$	$2\pm1$
		OTU1206	$1\pm 0$	$3\pm 2$
Bacteroidetes	Flavisolibacter	OTU153	$5\pm0$	$14\pm1$
		OTU462	$2\pm 0$	$9\pm1$
		OTU571	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU437	$6\pm0$	$15\pm 6$
		OTU1098	$2\pm 0$	$4\pm 2$
		OTU72	$2\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU6	$9\pm1$	$24\pm7$
Chloroflexi	Roseiflexus	OTU27	$23\pm5$	$8\pm4$
		OTU600	$1\pm 0$	$2\pm1$
		OTU601	$22\pm1$	$5\pm0$
		OTU604	$2\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU599	$15\pm5$	$5\pm 2$
		OTU1108	$2\pm1$	$3\pm 2$
		OTU136	$2\pm1$	$5\pm3$
		OTU79	$2\pm0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU71	$15 \pm 1$	$4\pm 2$
		OTU771	$117\pm24$	$40\pm18$
		OTU59	$7\pm1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU1055	$33\pm1$	$18\pm9$
		OTU174	$42\pm12$	$18\pm4$
		OTU114	$1\pm 0$	$2\pm 1$
		OTU595	$55\pm1$	$32\pm 4$
		OTU1024	$2\pm0$	$1\pm1$
		OTU795	$12\pm0$	$5\pm3$
		OTU798	$6\pm0$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU205	$21\pm 4$	$5\pm3$
		OTU585	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU765	$24\pm3$	$18 \pm 10$
		OTU1252	$2\pm1$	$8\pm4$

Firmicutes	Bacillus	OTU880	$2 \pm 1$	$5\pm1$
		OTU1214	$6 \pm 1$	$27 \pm 3$
		OTU960	$1 \pm 1$	$2\pm0$
		OTU1136	$20\pm1$	$46 \pm 4$
		OTU510	$131\pm11$	$409\pm31$
		OTU630	$1\pm 0$	$3 \pm 1$
		OTU519	$5\pm1$	$5 \pm 1$
		OTU858	$12 \pm 1$	$45\pm13$
		OTU1221	$2 \pm 1$	$10\pm4$
Gemmatimonadetes	Gemmatimonas	OTU841	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU398	$1 \pm 1$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU326	$7\pm0$	$2 \pm 1$
		OTU997	$3\pm0$	$2 \pm 1$
		OTU304	$7\pm1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU34	$5\pm1$	$3 \pm 1$
		OTU33	$125\pm7$	$89\pm11$
		OTU695	$20\pm3$	$10 \pm 2$
		OTU507	$42\pm1$	$24\pm0$
		OTU844	$65\pm7$	$30\pm3$
		OTU133	$30\pm1$	$21 \pm 4$
		OTU36	$0\pm 0$	$1 \pm 0$
		OTU25	$2\pm0$	$1 \pm 0$
		OTU20	$2\pm0$	$2\pm0$
		OTU917	$361\pm5$	$211\pm23$
		OTU514	$8\pm 2$	$2\pm1$
		OTU22	$3\pm0$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU343	$194\pm5$	$136 \pm 4$
		OTU569	$3\pm0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU981	$347\pm12$	$166 \pm 16$
		OTU84	$2 \pm 1$	$3 \pm 1$
		OTU528	$43\pm4$	$15 \pm 4$
		OTU1044	$145 \pm 6$	$74 \pm 1$
		OTU199	$7 \pm 1$	$9\pm3$
		OTU943	$93 \pm 3$	$26 \pm 1$
		OTU980	$33 \pm 0$	$9\pm1$
		OTU605	$14 \pm 1$	$2\pm0$
		OTU1	$4 \pm 1$	$2\pm1$
		OTU987	$1\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU409	$36 \pm 2$	$19 \pm 5$
		OTU1294	$242 \pm 8$	$126 \pm 7$
		OTU861	$17 \pm 0$	$5\pm 1$
		OTU993	$53 \pm 5$	$26 \pm 5$
	Gemmatimonadaceae_uncultured	OTU63	$3\pm0$	$1\pm 0$

		OTU509	$148 \pm 1$	$52\pm10$
		OTU508	$468\pm20$	$171 \pm 18$
		OTU430	$5\pm1$	$3\pm0$
		OTU46	$8 \pm 1$	$15\pm 8$
		OTU974	$81\pm2$	$65\pm14$
		OTU866	$72 \pm 1$	$42\pm7$
		OTU591	$240\pm14$	$134\pm5$
		OTU12	$2 \pm 1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU657	$16 \pm 2$	$7\pm3$
		OTU524	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU1057	$2\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU989	$6 \pm 1$	$4\pm 2$
Nitrospirae	Nitrospira	OTU640	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU614	$8 \pm 1$	$5\pm 2$
		OTU1031	$29\pm5$	$17\pm5$
		OTU277	$1\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU335	$5\pm1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU713	$7\pm0$	$2\pm 0$
		OTU1289	$1 \pm 1$	$4\pm 2$
		OTU1101	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU959	$11 \pm 3$	$4 \pm 1$
		OTU19	$59\pm7$	$12\pm 2$
		OTU1051	$3\pm 2$	$1\pm 0$
Planctomycetes	Singulisphaera	OTU400	$42\pm 6$	$20\pm1$
		OTU797	$12\pm4$	$2 \pm 1$
		OTU288	$3\pm0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU669	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU475	$13 \pm 1$	$9\pm1$
		OTU703	$0\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU937	$4\pm 2$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU1146	$56\pm7$	$5 \pm 1$
	Planctomycetaceae_uncultured	OTU750	$3 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU1110	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU1118	$1 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU556	$3\pm0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU1182	$0\pm 0$	$1 \pm 1$
		OTU301	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU953	$1\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU81	$1 \pm 1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU811	$6\pm 2$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU723	$4\pm1$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU1026	$2\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU1075	$4\pm0$	$1\pm 0$

			-	
		OTU138	$3 \pm 1$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU774	$25\pm8$	$4 \pm 1$
		OTU1035	$1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU515	$8 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU213	$2\pm0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU1107	$11 \pm 1$	$8\pm0$
		OTU337	$0\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU1027	$2\pm0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU14	$1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU1168	$9\pm1$	$3\pm 2$
		OTU270	$1\pm0$	$2\pm1$
		OTU346	$0\pm 0$	$1\pm 0$
		OTU1305	$0\pm 0$	$4\pm 2$
		OTU1321	$3\pm0$	$18\pm10$
		OTU178	$4\pm 2$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU664	$0\pm 0$	$1 \pm 1$
	Schlesneria	OTU187	$0\pm 0$	$201\pm42$
Proteobacteria	Ramlibacter	OTU232	$688\pm50$	$166\pm63$
	Massilia	OTU1070	$276\pm18$	$111\pm43$
		OTU248	$22 \pm 1$	$12 \pm 6$
		OTU431	$83\pm8$	$20\pm7$
	Luteimonas	OTU423	$389\pm 44$	$147\pm12$
	Nitrosomonadaceae_uncultured	OTU1313	$1\pm 0$	$11 \pm 5$
		OTU848	$52\pm0$	$7\pm3$
		OTU711	$9\pm0$	$6 \pm 1$
		OTU602	$5\pm1$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU311	$172 \pm 13$	$48 \pm 12$
	Pseudolabrys	OTU247	$56\pm5$	$18\pm 8$
		OTU1080	$154\pm2$	$67 \pm 12$
		OTU513	$34\pm4$	$23 \pm 2$
		OTU1113	$3\pm1$	$2 \pm 1$
	Bradyrhizobium	OTU1071	$243\pm8$	$178\pm8$
		OTU1274	$2\pm 0$	$9\pm5$
	Noviherbaspirillum	OTU260	$180\pm19$	$35\pm 8$
	Piscinibacter	OTU1094	$111 \pm 5$	$48\pm19$
	Porphyrobacter	OTU156	$90\pm4$	$34\pm7$
	Frateuria	OTU700	$115 \pm 14$	$60 \pm 1$
	Lysobacter	OTU992	$73\pm 6$	$25\pm2$
	Rhizomicrobium	OTU555	$50\pm7$	$9\pm3$
		OTU245	$18 \pm 1$	$8 \pm 1$
		OTU946	$2 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$
		OTU221	$7\pm2$	$8\pm5$
	Novosphingobium	OTU1152	$12 \pm 1$	$5771\pm434$

OTU544	$27\pm2$	$27\pm2$
 OTU1184	$18 \pm 1$	$14\pm3$

Data are mean of 3 replicates  $\pm$  standard error.