Supplementary data

Quality rating of studies by Journal endorsement, author stated compliance and field of nursing

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Quality of reporting** | **Published in COREQ endorsing Journal (n=81)** | **Published in a journal that did not endorse COREQ (n=116)** |
| Good/moderate (17-32 items) | 56 | 2 |
| Very poor/poor (0-16 items) | 25 | 59 |

*X*2 (1, n = 197) = 5.42, p = 0.02

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Quality of reporting** | **Authors reported complying with COREQ (n=11)** | **Authors did not report complying with COREQ (n=186)** |
| Good/moderate (17-32 items) | 10 | 107 |
| Very poor/poor (0-16 items) | 1 | 79 |

*X*2 (1, n = 197) = 4.80, p = 0.03

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Quality of reporting** | **Field of Nursing (adult) (n=81)** | **Field of Nursing (Mental health, Child, Learning Disability, Midwifery) (n=116)** |
| Good/moderate (17-32 items) | 75 | 42 |
| Very poor/poor (0-16 items) | 55 | 25 |

*X*2 (1, n = 197) = 0.46, p = 0.50